

Communication from Public

Name: Julia
Date Submitted: 08/28/2020 12:09 PM
Council File No: 00-9999

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Honorable Council members, I am writing to ask you to either deny approval outright for the hotel and retail development known as The Venice Place Project or veto it and send it back to the WLA Planning Commission for further review and community input. The Venice community has opposed this project since it was first proposed 8 years ago. In that time, the developers have done little to address community concerns that have been brought up over and over again. Among the issues that have never been addressed: The developer seeks to tie 8 lots together in an area where legally they can only tie 3 lots together. This will set a dangerous precedent for future development in Venice. The project will negatively impact the quality of life for the historically African-American community of Oakwood, an area already disproportionately impacted by COVID, lack of affordable housing, gentrification, land speculation and inadequate parking. At capacity (and especially during events such as weddings, screenings, and business gatherings) there is simply not enough parking to accommodate the hotel, multiple bars and restaurants and thousands of square feet of office/retail space. Overflow traffic will park in Oakwood, where the Coastal Commission has mandated parking be free and unrestricted. The infrastructure in the area is not adequate to accommodate the traffic this project will generate. With the parking entrance and exit located on Electric Ave. - a tiny side street barely wide enough for one car to pass at a time now and locked in on all sides by single family homes and small apartments - gridlock is inevitable at peak times there and the surface streets in Oakwood. And unlike other retail or residential projects on Abbot Kinney, the hotel will be open 24 hours a day, bringing with it traffic very late at night and early in the morning. The developers claim they will provide state of the art "automated" parking, but have admitted the technology is new and untested. Worse, they've provided no back up plan in case the technology fails. Lastly, the developers are seeking a liquor license to serve alcohol from 7AM-1AM, even though it will be located directly across the street from the Westminster Elementary School. Until these issues are adequately addressed by the developer, I respectfully ask you to deny approval outright or veto this project as proposed send it back to the WLA Planning Commission for further review and

community input. Sincerely, Julia Alter 411 California Street El
Segundo, CA 90245 Venice, CA

Communication from Public

Name: Miki Jackson

Date Submitted: 09/01/2020 12:46 PM

Council File No: 00-9999

Comments for Public Posting: Miki Jackson for AHF/Housing is a Human Right The plight of the unhoused is a public health emergency. Item 21 It is imperative that all measures to keep people in their homes and to secure housing for residents. This should be fast tracked. Item 42 An Eviction Defense Fund is an emergency. All haste must be employed to establish this as soon as possible. Items 37 - 43 All possible measures must be taken to help residents during our COVID emergency. This must be done with maximum efficiency, in a transparent and accountable fashion. Keep our families housed. Yes on Prop 21.

Communication from Public

Name: Adam Davidson
Date Submitted: 09/02/2020 08:00 AM
Council File No: 00-9999
Comments for Public Posting: The hotel project on Abbot Kinney/Electric Ave is the wrong project for Venice and it has been for the last 8 years. We urge PLUM to deny the project.

Communication from Public

Name: Susan Rocha

Date Submitted: 09/02/2020 10:46 AM

Council File No: 00-9999

Comments for Public Posting: Remove words "Except Churches" from LAMPC Chapter 11, Article 5, Section 115.02b. Churches should NOT be above the law. And, they are not. Yet the Los Angeles City Attorney neighborhood prosecutor says they are. The current law violates California Penal Code 415. I should NOT be forced to hear off key tape recordings which are nothing more than advertisements into my home 10 times a day every day from Divine Saviour Catholic church 90065. This church has taken me to court twice to shut me up. Twice they lost. This is a very unfair fight. Noise is noise. They should NOT be above the law. Please remove the words "EXCEPT CHURCHES" from the law. It is NOT a constitutional right. The Supreme court has already ruled on this issue in the case Police Chief Rizzo vs. Methodist Episcopal Church
<https://casetext.com/case/christian-methodist-episcopal-church-v-rizzo>
Please remove the words "EXCEPT CHURCHES" from the law. Or anyone can call themselves a church and be as noisy as they want.