

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Bo Sundius  
**Date Submitted:** 10/21/2019 04:13 PM  
**Council File No:** 00-9999

**Comments for Public Posting:** Dear Council Members, I am writing in response to the planned Dodger development in the current outfield, for which i received planning notice. In that notice it appears that the planning department has waived any public hearing or EIR in regards to the development. A development which as the Dodger submittal states will create "A new front door" to the stadium. I dont see how creating "a new front door" to a stadium of 56,000 seats does not warrant additional traffic studies or any study by the planning department. The development literally flips the central attraction of the Dodgers experience to the outfield. How can this not possibly affect traffic in an adverse way? Already the Dodgers have failed to do any traffic studies or coordinate effectively with DOT. By their own admission they direct traffic only to their gates and they open all the gates. This ensnares traffic in ways any traffic engineer would understand. Particular terrible and dangerous areas are along Academy Road between the Police Academy and the Academy Gate after the game and on the 110 before games because traffic from Broadway is allowed up Solano Ave and is not pushed to Bishop where the entrance is. These sections of road were never designed to handle the loads that get dumped on them and little effort towards moving traffic to the areas designed for traffic have been seriously made. Lip service perhaps. CD1 commissioned a traffic study, but no results have come and given CD1 support for this submittal i fear it was only political cover. Recent conversation with the Dodgers have resulted them in saying that they plan to do no studies on traffic because this development will cause no changes in traffic. This is patently false and a lie. If they would just address the issue and make plans and contingencies and share them with the neighborhood and TOD, then in my mind i would not be writing this letter. Many of us here moved to the neighborhood knowing the stadium is here and we genuinely like the Dodgers. But why cannot they just address the issues that they make and now keep making worse? Why can they not go through the process that everyone else does? That planning gave a waiver is just plain corruption. Just do a study and present it. We are already dealing with Dodger obstruction on how social media apps have already changed traffic, how new planned events by the dodgers such as concerts impacts traffic and to all these things we get radio

silence. Just do a study and act on it.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 10/21/2019 04:20 PM

**Council File No:** 00-9999

**Comments for Public Posting:** An RSO Amendment to the current HSO enacting on 11/1/9 will help homeowners who rely on STR income, rather than add them to the growing mass of homeless people which will occur due to the financial duress caused by loss of pertinent STR income. It will also add to the city coffers by increasing the already significant tax income STRs provide.

