

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 06/15/2021 02:14 PM

Council File No: 00-9999

Comments for Public Posting: Recently the PLUM committee determined that two Cannabis PCN's were eligible to proceed. How is this possible when they are 400' from one another? It was my understanding that Social Equity applicants are first to be considered. What is the criteria that was considered when approving the PCN's? Is that public knowledge? Did all the PCN applicants go through the same process? I cannot find evidence of this? or were there applicants that received priority status, and if so, why? Does DCR have final approval of these PCN's Why not let ALL qualified Social Equity applicants be approved to open and let competition weed out the best operators - which would really invigorate downtown. Just like the "clothing district", "Art District," "Toy District", Textile District etc Make it the "Cannabis District" Why not? Let the strong survive just like in everything else!

Communication from Public

Name: Ernest Moore

Date Submitted: 06/16/2021 11:24 AM

Council File No: 00-9999

Comments for Public Posting: I want to bring to the attention of the city council the conflicts that homeless people have to face on a daily basis with the parking enforcement bureau. I have contacted the office of KEVIN DE LEÓN about a month ago and was told the I would be contacted by one of his deputies in regard to this matter. So far, I have not been contacted by any deputy from Kevin De Leon's office.

Communication from Public

Name: Baba Osiname
Date Submitted: 06/17/2021 04:14 PM
Council File No: 00-9999
Comments for Public Posting: Re: AA-2020-7891-PMLA at 20454 Strathern Ave, Winnetka Ca 91306 Greetings Councilman Bob Blumenfield I plan to be at council meeting scheduled for 6.18.21 to address council members especially Councilman Blumemfield regarding subject subdivision case. Attached is a summation of the case with 1 pages of supporting documents.

Re: AA-2020-7891-PMLA at 20454 Strathern Ave, Winnetka Ca 91306

Greetings Councilman Bob Blumenfield

I have not been able to get any assistance with moving the case forward as it was filed according to Housing Accountability Affordability Act.

On 10.26.20 the subject preliminary application was deemed complete.

Up until 12.29.20 I went back and forth with Planning dept intake case filing to meet all their demands.

On 2.24.21 the Planning dept issued attached incomplete letter acknowledging the case was filed 12.29.20. The regulation requires the agency to provide exhaustive list of incomplete items within 30 days which should have been by 1.29.21 per GC 65943A, otherwise the application is deemed complete.

On 3.22.21 Planning dept also provided BOE recommendation letter that the case be denied. Both the 2.24.21 Planning dept incomplete list and 3.22.21 BOE denial letter are not timely as they were not provided within 30 days by 1.29.21 per GC 65943A.

GC 65943 (a) **Not later than 30 calendar** days after any public agency has received an application for a development project, the agency shall determine in writing whether the application is complete and shall immediately transmit the determination to the applicant for the development project. If the application is determined to be **incomplete, the lead agency shall provide the applicant with an exhaustive list of items that were not complete**. That list shall be limited to those items actually required on the lead agency's **submittal requirement checklist**. In any subsequent review of the application determined to be incomplete, the local agency shall not request the applicant to provide any new information that was **not stated in the initial list of items that were not complete**. If the written determination is not made within 30 days after receipt of the application, and the application includes a statement that it is an application for a development permit, the application **shall be deemed complete for purposes** of this chapter

Mr. Blumfield, the regulation was written to address these types of issues and it would be unfair for City of Los Angeles to violate State regulations at the expense of its constituents. So, I respectfully ask implementation of the regulation and to deem the case complete contrary to BOE and Planning Dept as required by law. Once again, the preliminary application was approved by Planning dept on 10.26.20 which is attached.

Baba Osiname
323.474.4040
bosiname@gmail.com

**DEPARTMENT OF
CITY PLANNING**

COMMISSION OFFICE
(213) 978-1300

CITY PLANNING COMMISSION

SAMANTHA MILLMAN
PRESIDENT

CAROLINE CHOE
VICE-PRESIDENT

DAVID H. J. AMBROZ
HELEN LEUNG

KAREN MACK

DANA M. PERLMAN

YVETTE LOPEZ-LEDESMA

AJAY RELAN

JENNA HORNSTOCK

**CITY OF LOS ANGELES
CALIFORNIA**



ERIC GARCETTI
MAYOR

EXECUTIVE OFFICES

200 N. SPRING STREET, ROOM 525
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012-4801
(213) 978-1271

VINCENT P. BERTONI, AICP
DIRECTOR

KEVIN J. KELLER, AICP
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

SHANA M.M. BONSTIN
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

ARTHI L. VARMA, AICP
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

LISA M. WEBBER, AICP
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

VACANT
DEPUTY DIRECTOR

February 24, 2021

Property Owner/Applicant

Baba Osiname
1511 N. Kenmore Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90027

Case Number:
CEQA:

ZA-2020-7891-PMLA
ENV-2020-7892-CE

Representative

Taiwo B. Laditi
1511 N. Kenmore Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90027

Application Type:

Parcel Map

Project Location:

20454 Strathern Ave. & 20453 W.
Blythe St.

Legal Description:

Lot 1, Tract 33285

Planning Area:

Canoga Park - Winnetka - Woodland
Hills - West Hills

Council District:

3

Status of Project Review: Application Incomplete and Case Processing on Hold

The above referenced case, filed on December 29, 2020, was accepted by the Department of City Planning Development Services Center, and forwarded to the Valley Project Planning Division for review.

I am your assigned Project Planner, and I determined that the case file materials are NOT complete. Therefore, as provided for in Section 19.00 of the Los Angeles Municipal Code, your application has been placed on hold until the following items are corrected or submitted:



Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 06/18/2021 07:00 PM

Council File No: 00-9999

Comments for Public Posting: Make more laws for people driving with distant lights if you can't drive without distant lights you shouldn't drive at all. It causes accidents and is dangerous. Not only for driving situations but also eye health. The new halogen lights are damaging to your eyes not only that but it also causes nausea and psychologic disturbance because of constant bright light and not being able to see the road and almost getting in a accident. Halogen lights are too bright even without distant lights on. Which doesn't make it safer if the other person can't see the road. Also the cars have a automatic function which isn't automatic if it doesn't dim lights if another person is coming. So if you're driving on mullholland for example the lights will be extremely bright even without distant lights. These things weren't tested before releasing it. And there are many studies about it coming out. There're needs to changes at the auto companies. With a California law about health risks. In the mean time a warning should be send out about the stop of using the "automatic function" and being thoughtful about thinking about how the lights affect other people. Standing in front of their own lights and adjusting them. And a warning about people driving with distant light so they're conscious about the affects. And more enforcement that people who cannot drive without distant lights shouldn't be allowed to drive at night

Communication from Public

Name: Monique Bacon
Date Submitted: 06/23/2021 11:15 AM
Council File No: 00-9999

Comments for Public Posting: Good morning, I watched today's meeting going on right now and was deeply disturbed that during public comment, all council persons and staff were busy doing everything else but listening to your constituents. Maybe comments made doesn't effect you nor may matter, but it matters to us! Harridge Group, specifically David Schwartzman, is trying to buy the Baldwin Hills Crenshaw Mall and the 40-42 acres it's sitting on. He doesn't have the money himself and has bad business practices. He has now aligned himself with dark money (please do the research on his new partner!) and you say nothing about it! Downtown Crenshaw Rising, who are your constituents, put in an offer, offered more money and already have the money in the bank and yet were denied the bid for no other reason than racially motivated! This is unacceptable and we need you to intervene and stop the sale to Harridge Group! and award the bid to Downtown Crenshaw Rising! You do have a say! Nothing should be built or torn down without your say so. If you stay silent on this, then you side with the gentrifier and this is unacceptable to us!