



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Izabella Hovhanisian <izabella.hovhanisian@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 8:03 AM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jeanne Morgan** <greg@filmpunk.com>

Date: Mon, Apr 6, 2020 at 5:29 PM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,
Jeanne Morgan
12400 Nedra drive
Granada hills, CA 91344



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Izabella Hovhanisian <izabella.hovhanisian@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 8:04 AM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Gina Rizzo** <ginarizzo5@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Apr 6, 2020 at 6:19 PM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,
Gina Rizzo
11548 Hartsook St
Valley Village, CA 91601



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Izabella Hovhanisian <izabella.hovhanisian@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 8:04 AM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Calley Williams** <calleyj.williams@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Apr 6, 2020 at 6:38 PM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,
Calley Williams
303 1/2 S. Norton Ave.
Los Angeles, CA 90020



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Izabella Hovhanisian <izabella.hovhanisian@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 8:08 AM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Emma Goodman** <emmagoodman.skincare@gamil.com>

Date: Mon, Apr 6, 2020 at 7:08 PM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,
Emma Goodman
2827 Frederick street
Los Angeles, CA 90065



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Izabella Hovhanisian <izabella.hovhanisian@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 8:09 AM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **William Hilbert** <tranzlocothemovie@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Apr 6, 2020 at 8:46 PM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,
William Hilbert
3086 Durand Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90068



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Izabella Hovhanisian <izabella.hovhanisian@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 8:10 AM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Paola Pini** <atelier2p@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Apr 6, 2020 at 9:41 PM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,

Paola Pini

1227 Palms Blvd

Venice, CA 90291



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Izabella Hovhanisian <izabella.hovhanisian@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 8:10 AM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Parnaz Aurasteh** <parnaza@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Apr 6, 2020 at 9:41 PM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,
Parnaz Aurasteh
9354 claircrest dr
Beverly Hills, CA 90210



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Izabella Hovhanisian <izabella.hovhanisian@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 8:11 AM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Amanda Smith** <pinkplatypus22@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Apr 6, 2020 at 10:48 PM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,
Amanda Smith
6525 Cleon Avenue 13
North Hollywood, CA 91606



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Izabella Hovhanisian <izabella.hovhanisian@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 8:12 AM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Mai Koitabashi** <maikoitabashi@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Apr 6, 2020 at 11:54 PM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,

Mai Koitabashi

760 Lillian Way

Los Angeles, CA 90038



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Izabella Hovhanisian <izabella.hovhanisian@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 8:12 AM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Mai Koitabashi** <maikoitabashi@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Apr 6, 2020 at 11:54 PM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,

Mai Koitabashi

760 Lillian Way

Los Angeles, CA 90038



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Izabella Hovhanisian <izabella.hovhanisian@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 8:12 AM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dee S** <neecy14@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 4:42 AM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,
Dee S
765 26th st
San pedro, CA 90731



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Connie Change ABC License

1 message

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 8:26 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>, Patrice Lattimore <patrice.lattimore@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Desiree Ann** <luv.mystic.vibz@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 8:10 AM

Subject: Connie Change ABC License

To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Dearest City Clerk,

Due to the unfortunate Pandemic I understand attending any hearing is not an option at this time. In regards to Connie Chang's request for a liquor license, I felt compelled to send a message and advise you Connie is a very responsible member of the community and demonstrates great business ethics. She has a no nonsense policy and is definitely deserving of your approval. Thank you for your time.

Best regards,
Desiree Clark

Sent from my iPhone



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Healthy LA

1 message

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 9:25 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>, Patrice Lattimore <patrice.lattimore@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Carlos Antonio** <carlosantonio289@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 9:23 AM

Subject: Healthy LA

To: <richard.llewellyn@lacity.org>, <contact.lapdonline@gmail.com>, <mayor.garcetti@lacity.org>, <cityclerk@lacity.org>

My family and I live in Herb Wesson's district. This is our #COVID19 story on why he needs to take action with @LACityCouncil for a #HealthyLA

I'm submitting my public comment to @CD6Nury in advance of the upcoming City Council meeting. Will you protect renters like me during #COVID19 and make sure @LACityCouncil supports the demands of #HealthyLA?

I can't speak to Councilmember @BobBlumenfield in person, but he and @LACityCouncil need to know what workers like me are going through right now under #COVID19. #HealthyLA

-Carlos Antonio



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Public City Forum

1 message

Izabella Hovhanisian <izabella.hovhanisian@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 11:06 AM

To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>, Patrice Lattimore <patrice.lattimore@lacity.org>, Staci Roberts <staci.roberts@lacity.org>

Cc: Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **alex belmonte** <jalex86@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 11:03 AM

Subject: Public City Forum

To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Hello,

I am trying to post on the public forum and like many of the city services at the moment, they are failing or not responding or submitting forms.

Here is what I have to say and what I will continue to attempt to post during this time.

I am writing to this post forum, as a residence of Los Angeles for over 16 years and the last 10 of them spent in the neighborhood of Echo Park. Mitch O'Ferrell is my district councilman. For years now, I have been witness to the popularity of my community and the changes councilman O'Ferrell has been at an oversight of drastic change that has directly contributed to, what I feel, is a loss in community and culture that made Echo Park the desirable neighborhood it is today. This rent ordinance is a direct protection to further secure the existing tenants, or what remains of, that have directly contributed to the leverage and economic shift that keeps the neighborhood thriving. I fail to understand, beyond the sense of greed and further maneuvering of economic and cronnism political gains, a reason not to carry out a freeze or forgiveness of rent for all city tenants, whether they have been excused or dismissed from work or not. You have a responsibility and a CIVIC DUTY AS A SERVANT OF THE PEOPLE WHO VOTED FOR YOU, and FURTHER AS A LOCAL AND STATE REPRESENTATIVE, to act not only as liaison to resources made available, AS THEY ARE MADE AVAILABLE, but as a direct buffer to financial institutions who act in furthering FEDERAL AND COUNTRY INTERESTS. Furthermore, I see our Mayor's office as a direct pillar for which councilman O'Ferrell aligns himself with, for nothing more than such positioning to cooperate and gain, along with the financial sectors and institutions, which harbor the burden of payment for mortgage and leasing of lands throughout the world, for no other purpose than to further his political and economic future. Otherwise, why not pass the vote? Do your job, SINCE YOU ARE ABLE TO STILL GOTO WORK AND PERFORM, while none of us are able. WHY ARE YOU STILL RECEIVING A SALARY? I see a failure to pass this motion as a failure of all your representation and a failure as decent civic personalities, who again failure to be the voice of which you were appointed. It doesn't mean much if all you had to do was swindle your way into office. The next election cycle is coming and a new wave will meet you with tremendous trepidation and not a fraction of the conservation of image that you all so diligently put effort into sustaining to appear well informed and able to lead. It's a fraudulent image and a weak one at that. I have nothing to hide, so why do I feel like my representatives have many things to hide? Again, these are feelings that reflect many many many many of my neighbors and I applaud the council-members who have acted accordingly as their duty has called them too. I wish no harm or illness come of you or any of your family members. I worry that that feeling is not a reciprocal one from people who are supposed to speak for me; and that an expectation to perform at a time where I am forced to sit idle is still present and somehow, I am supposed to feel sorry and somehow undermined and patronized or condescend to, TAKE YOUR PICK, I feel all of the above. I would work all of you in a debate, it'd be like "Showtime" and I'm both Magic AND KAREEM, SHAQ AND KOBE, KOBE AND PAU, LEBRON AND AD! This current condition of rent furlough, is clearly just set to abolish the past rent control language and further the motion, recently passed and set in motion last Summer and into September 2019. Those conditions guise the headline to make it seem like a cap on increase is a progress and some kind of favor, you want me to think I owe you for fighting for my protections. The purchase of MSG properties "The Forum", as well as all the increases for buildings and businesses in LA's "hotspots (Mid-City, Echo Park, Silverlake, Venice, El Segundo, Leimert Park and adjacent neighborhoods such as Vernon and Boyle Heights) are suspicious and appear to favor future agendas that confuse and are beginning to be seen as measures set in place to increase the probability that once this CRITICAL TIME has passed, will still allow for eviction proceedings to conclude, once the 12 month period is over and eviction processing can conclude, likely in record numbers and further allowing for an increase in budget spending towards manpower, which I see ultimately leaving all of you with a substantial bonus and future and quite frankly, leaves Los Angeles in a state of FUCKED and resembling that of New York City, in all of its gentrified and white washed commercial glory. As a citizen of Los Angeles, and a voice of my neighborhood and generation, I demand that YOU, MY CIVIL SERVANTS, pass this motion and stand up to all responsible and coordinating financial institutions, that further jeopardize the nature of our city and it's people. WE ARE TO

4/7/2020

City of Los Angeles Mail - Fwd: Public City Forum

BE SOLD AND ARE NOT FOR SALE AND WE WILL KEEP YOU FROM ALLOWING THE GROWTH OF THIS CITY TO BE OF A LEECHING NATURE OF OUR CULTURE AND HARMONY. FAILURE TO DO SO CLEARLY SHOWS YOUR INTEREST LEANS AND ABILITY TO REPRESENT OUR CITY IN AND UNDER ALL APPROPRIATE FUNCTIONING AND DIPLOMATIC CIRCUMSTANCES.

Alex Belmonte

For Guitar, Production, Engineering and Mixing

213 570 9137 - text or call and leave message (be creative)

<https://www.vscourmusic.com/click-here>

<http://soundcloud.com/alexbelmonte>



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

2 messages

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 3:34 PM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Angela Sagar** <syversam@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 3:33 PM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,
Angela Sagar
20619 Runnymede St
Winnetka, CA 91306

Izabella Hovhanisian <izabella.hovhanisian@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 3:33 PM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

4/7/2020

City of Los Angeles Mail - Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

[Quoted text hidden]



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>

Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 3:40 PM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Lorena Martinez <lomart392@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Apr 7, 2020 at 3:38 PM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,
Lorena Martinez
6229 Ben Ave
North Hollywood, CA 91606