



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>

Mon, Apr 20, 2020 at 8:22 AM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Shelly Niemand** <shellyrb@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Apr 20, 2020 at 8:08 AM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,
Shelly Niemand
8510 McConnell Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90045



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>

Mon, Apr 20, 2020 at 8:50 AM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **sentia takhmaz** <sentiatakhmaz@gmail.com>

Date: Sun, Apr 19, 2020 at 10:55 AM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,
sentia takhmaz
[11049 rhodesia ave](#)
[sunland, CA 91040](#)



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>

Mon, Apr 20, 2020 at 8:51 AM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Roberta Kung** <robertakung@gmail.com>

Date: Sun, Apr 19, 2020 at 10:45 AM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,
Roberta Kung
1821 Marcheeta Pl
Los Angeles, CA 90069



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>

Mon, Apr 20, 2020 at 8:56 AM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Luba Vitti** <happyluba@gmail.com>

Date: Sat, Apr 18, 2020 at 10:34 PM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,

Luba Vitti

740 N. Poinsettia Place
Los Angeles, CA 90046



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>

Mon, Apr 20, 2020 at 8:57 AM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Zarine Grigoryan** <arturzara1980@gmail.com>

Date: Sat, Apr 18, 2020 at 7:43 PM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,
Zarine Grigoryan
[5415 Corteen place 12a](#)
Valley valley, CA 91607



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: LA City Federal Stimulus Funds to buy up "Distressed Properties" after Covid19 Crisis

1 message

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>
To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Mon, Apr 20, 2020 at 9:35 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **John Okulick** <okulick@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Apr 20, 2020 at 9:28 AM

Subject: Re: LA City Federal Stimulus Funds to buy up "Distressed Properties" after Covid19 Crisis

To: Gila Standing <gila.standing@gmail.com>

Cc: Mayor Eric Garcetti <info@lamayor.org>, <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, <controller.galperin@lacity.org>, <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>, Mike N. Feuer <mike.n.feuer@lacity.org>, Mike Bonin <mike.bonin@lacity.org>, Cc: <councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org>, <Assemblymember.Burke@assembly.ca.gov>, Venice United <veniceunited@googlegroups.com>, Venice United-internal <veniceunited-internal@googlegroups.com>, <CityClerk@lacity.org>, <Clerk.RMD@lacity.org>, <daniel.tamm@lacity.org>, <senator.allen@senate.ca.gov>, <sheila@bos.lacounty.gov>, Tricia Keane <tricia.keane@lacity.org>, Venice <fbv@fightbackvenice.org>, <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, Eric Garcetti <mayor.garcetti@lacity.org>, <councilmember.Krekorian@lacity.org>, <david.ryu@lacity.org>, <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, <councilmember.martinez@lacity.org>, <councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org>, <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, Mike Bonin's Neighborhoods First Newsletter <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, <councilmember.english@lacity.org>, <councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org>, <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, <ethics.commission@lacity.org>, <Doug.Smith@latimes.com>, Steve Lopez <Steve.Lopez@latimes.com>, Gale Holland <Gale.Holland@latimes.com>, Joe Piasecki <joe@argonautnews.com>, <elijah@curbed.com>, <Richard.Winton@latimes.com>, Lopez, Lolita (NBCUniversal) <Lolita.Lopez@nbcuni.com>, <larry.mantle@kpcc.com>, <Adam.Elmahrek@latimes.com>, Patrick McGreevy <patrick.mcgreevy@latimes.com>, <2preservela@gmail.com>, <ken@citywatchla.com>, <anoonan@thefrontpageonline.com>, <phil.shuman@foxtv.com>, <anna.scott@kcrw.org>, <Directordog@cox.net>, <benjamin.gottlieb@kcrw.org>, <pndaut@cbs.com>, Susan Hirasuna <susan.hirasuna@foxtv.com>, Dakota <dakota.smith@latimes.com>, Emily Barsh <emily.barsh@gmail.com>, wilmington citizens <citizensforabetterwilmington@gmail.com>, <tips@nbcla.com>, Daniel Guss <thegussreport@gmail.com>, Raymond <RayLopez@iheartmedia.com>, <jake.tapper@cnn.com>, James Webster <jameswebster2@gmail.com>, <eric.leonard@nbcuni.com>, Paul Orfanedes <porfanedes@judicialwatch.org>, <Alicia.K.Kloss2@who.eop.gov>, Hobbs, Benjamin R. EOP/WHO <Benjamin.R.Hobbs@who.eop.gov>, Kleinschmidt, Arthur G. EOP/ONDCP <Arthur.G.Kleinschmidt@ondcp.eop.gov>, Gary Walker <gwalker@timespublications.com>, Mario Ramirez <Mario.ramirez@foxtv.com>, Dean DeMilio <dean.demilio@cumulus.com>, William Melugin <william.melugin@foxtv.com>, <isee@nbcla.com>, Ed Ring <ed@edwardring.com>, Jodi Roth <jodi.roth@cbs.com>, Sam Catanzaro <sam@yovenice.com>, Christina Pascucci <pascucci.christina@gmail.com>, <jade.m.hernandez@abc.com>, Laura <Laura.Prabucki@foxnews.com>, Grace Yoo <grace.e.yoo@gmail.com>, Soledad Ursua <soledadursua@gmail.com>

On Sun, Apr 19, 2020 at 9:34 PM Gila Standing <gila.standing@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mayor Garcetti,

Many of us would love to get an honest answer from you to Soledad Ursua letter.

The letter emailed to you by Soledad Ursua represent most of Venice residents that unfortunately included in district 11 with a councilman that despise us.

You probably asking yourself, how Mr. Bonin was re-elected if over 90% of his actions are against the people of Venice? he was re-elected because most of his campaign contributors/voters are from the other cities he represent for example; Brentwood, Pacific Palisades Westchester and a few more that vote for him for exchange in decisions in their favor while he is continue with his mission to destroy the live of Venice residents **as he clearly stated on Saturday.** Mr. Bonin and his "gang" are just waiting for us to be totally destroy so they can come and collect.

We would love you to come and visit Venice without Mr. Bonin, without cameras and without reporters, **please** come and see that skid-road is all about in Venice. Street people, sick in every corner, alcohol, drugs, criminals and mentally ill on every street in Venice.

The COVID-19 Virus, to me, look so insignificant in the destruction of our life in comparison to the last few years of Mr. Bonin mission of Venice destruction. with the virus we have a chance to survive, with Mr. Bonin mission to bulldozer us we have no hope or chance

Mr. Bonin is destroying what used to be our beloved city of Venice.

Gila Standing
Venice

On Sun, Apr 19, 2020 at 4:40 PM Soledad Ursua <soledadursua@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Mayor Garcetti,

I am a Venice Beach resident, small business owner, and small family owned landlord which lives in a triplex and rents out two units. My two upstairs tenants were not able to pay rent on April 1st, yet I was still required to pay property taxes on April 10th. I am in a position where I am likely to have to carry the entire triplex for the next 4-6 months, since your eviction moratorium lasts for 90 days following the end of the local crisis, which I hope does end on May 15th as projected. I am not a large developer or property owner, so I do not have a federally backed mortgage. I am facing a real risk of losing my property and your eviction moratorium will cause massive mortgage defaults on an unprecedented level.

Yesterday, on 4/18 I dialed into a town hall hosted by Councilmember Mike Bonin. My jaw dropped when he said the following,

"I intend on putting in another proposal in the next week or two that asks the city to look at the federal bailout or stimulus funds we'll be getting as a result of this crisis...and using some of that to either buy hotels that go belly up or to buy the distressed properties that are absolutely going to be on the market at cheaper prices after this crisis is over. And use that as homeless and affordable housing. It's going to be a hell of a lot cheaper to purchase stuff that is already there and move people in there than if we start from scratch. A lot of good stuff is being done." -- Mike Bonin, LA City Councilmember, 11th District

(Audio Link here <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z-A3gzcS-bk&t=67m10s> at 1:07:09 of 1:29:20)

It hit me that the City may end up with my property at the end of this crisis. The great irony here is that Wall Street will end up swooping in on all these distressed property deals. Are you any different yourself?

It is my understanding that both you and Mike Bonin have always worked in the public sector; so let me make this simple--

In order to weather an economic storm, cash is king. It's the only way to stay solvent. Small landlords and property owners like myself asked for property tax relief before our April 10th payment deadline. I could have used that money to offset the lack of income from my tenants in order to keep everyone safe at home. I want more than anything else, for us all to return to normal, but the City refuses to take a haircut and is even getting paid overtime during this crisis, while law abiding citizens stay home and face financial ruin. Our 15 Councilmembers are making \$280,000 annually and you are making \$375,000, and you each have a staff of over 20 staffers.

As our Mayor, you did not even go to the State to demand a property tax moratorium on behalf of we, the citizens of Los Angeles, your stakeholders. Why didn't you have our back and fight for the best deal possible on behalf of your stakeholders?

If you really wanted to help out Los Angelinos you would do the following:

Take the federal bailout money, which Mike Bonin proposed to buy out distressed properties, and use it to create a citywide fund for property owners, which would act as a second mortgage. You could quickly let us borrow at 90% Loan-to-Value against the property taxes that we paid on April 10th. You would be able to verify our borrowing capacity very easily by looking at the LA County property tax records.

Although it seems counter intuitive that we would have to pay these property taxes only to borrow against them, but it's the most effective way to ensure that Los Angelinos can stay in their homes, for both renters and owners city wide. You could let us borrow the money that we already paid and then put us on a payment plan that begins 12 months following, which mirrors the payment plan of your eviction moratorium.

If my email has not gotten you to do this as a rightful duty of the Mayor of LA, because it is the right thing to do, then let me remind you that your LA City Eviction Ban is unconstitutional, since takes away the legitimate police power and court powers afforded to private citizens. Your moratorium violates the 14th amendment and the contracts clause. Secondly, it also imposes a regulatory taking of private property, protected by the 14th and 5th amendments. If you do not act now to implement this, then the City of Los Angeles will be faced with an unprecedented amount civil rights lawsuits along these very lines, and you'll find my name on a future class action lawsuit.

And finally, could you please respond or contact me? I have been sending you unsolicited advice for the past 5 years. I am a native Los Angelino who was born at the east Los Angeles County Hospital. While I spent 9 years going to graduate school and working in New York City, I've moved home and I am in it for the long haul. I want what is best for this City and I am willing to work with anyone with the same common goal. I truly hope that you are one of those people.

Best,

Soledad Ursua
Venice Beach Resident

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Venice United-internal" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to veniceunited-internal+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com. To view this discussion on the web visit https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/veniceunited-internal/CAKh3G%2BcZ2mnHJ9oaCFiCGY_1mDC4ZSfC%2BpBBdU-2x%2B%2BhDzxE2Q%40mail.gmail.com.

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "Venice United-internal" group. To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to veniceunited-internal+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com. To view this discussion on the web visit <https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/veniceunited-internal/CAPTooeP%2B48of-tU%2Bx51qUdKSPcneiJd9yM4UZsFCQZMPu7pZw%40mail.gmail.com>.


--
john okulick

3 attachments



IMG_4158.jpg
380K

 **Bonin's Ghetto.pdf**
22K

 **bonin's 100,000.00 BEDS.pdf**
44K



Bonin's Ghetto

Bridge Housing in Venice is a waste of \$16,000,000.00. You would do better to give \$100,000.00 to each of the 150 homeless and see how fast they disappear. But instead you, Bonin, cram down the throats of Venice residents this ridiculous idea that these transients will find relief from drug dependence, mental instability, physical and social dysfunction, lack of education, rehabilitation, and affordable housing at the end of three years. Not in Venice! Bonin's concern for the estimated 1200 homeless in Venice takes precedent over the 40,000 tax paying residents is typical of Bonin's inability to deal with the issue of transient behavior in residential areas. Bridge housing is a joke. His and Garcetti's continued lies and support for lobbying efforts by developers, the social service industry, like lobbyists for United Way, is typical of a misguided political effort to circumvent the public. Changing zoning and regulatory environmental laws to suit a corrupt city council, mayor's office, planning dept., and the courts all contribute to more homeless in the area. Sanitation is that of the 3rd world in parts of Venice. Putting cheap and ugly trailers and a large tent on a \$300,000,000.00 property is not only bad judgement but is typical of the dysfunction of Councilman Bonin's thinking. Bonin is a puppet with strings attached and is responsible for overloading Venice with these transients, without providing substantive help. A \$500,000.00 condo ward is not the solution. The future looks bleak since the city council and mayor don't care about the outcome or management efforts to reduce the homeless issue but are throwing 100's of millions of dollars in the directions that they can be disassociated with later as it fails. Much to the delight of his developer friends who reap the monetary rewards. Crime is on the rise in Venice along with pollution, overdevelopment, gentrification, all pontificated by Garcetti and Bonin. These politicians show little concern for, as the city controller has stated, "wasting funds with no corrective effect on the homeless". The result, more drug and gang activity, poverty, and large sums of money going to the wrong place with no oversight. There is no difference between privatizing social services, and the prisons and education system that operate for profit and requires a steady stream of victims to remain profitable. Where is the money going? Why is there no evaluation of success and failure? United Way provides no services directly to the homeless but lobbies extensively for taxpayer dollars. There is no evidence that this homeless problem will end any time soon as it continues to grow out of control.

Bonin's \$100,000.00 beds

With more people losing their income unable to pay their rent and provide food for their families its a free ride for transients living on our sidewalks. These beds cost around \$200.00 each but Bonin would rather spend \$16,000,000.00 for his failed crusade on the MTA lot and \$10,000,000.00 on a worthless Venice Beach Business Improvement District (BID). Both should be eliminated since they cost taxpayers unnecessary hardship.





City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fwd: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

1 message

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>

Mon, Apr 20, 2020 at 4:08 PM

To: Mayor Helpdesk <mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org>, City Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>, Izabella Hovhanisian <izabella.hovhanisian@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Josh Madson** <info@joshmadson.com>

Date: Mon, Apr 20, 2020 at 4:06 PM

Subject: Stop Deployment of Wireless during Quarantine

To: Ms. Holly Wolcott <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms. Wolcott,

Dear Mayor & City Council Member,

We ask that you impose a moratorium on “small cells” and other wireless infrastructure permits process and deployment until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

The wireless providers are using the COVID-19 emergency as cover to expand and cement their rapid and virtually unsupervised deployment of harmful wireless infrastructure. Our local leaders should not have to dedicate time and resources to policing whether the wireless companies are following local and state law, they have far more important things to do.

The FCC wireless permit rules allow emergency moratoria. Homeland Security guidelines emphasize that maintenance of existing communications capability is the priority. New construction is not “essential.”

The COVID-19 emergency has led to a government shut down of non-essential activity. Hospitals, emergency response and local officials are overwhelmed and they must be allowed to focus on what is indeed “essential”. Now is not the time to be dedicating resources to expanding, rather than just maintaining, our networks.

The FCC has directly held a local jurisdiction can impose a temporary halt to deployment and permits during emergencies. In the Matter of Accelerating Wireline Broadband Deployment by Removing Barriers, FCC 18-111, 33 FCC Rcd 7705, 7784-7785, ¶157 (2018) (“We recognize that there may be limited situations in the case of a natural disaster or other comparable emergency where an express or de facto moratoria that violates section 253(a) may nonetheless be ‘necessary’ to ‘protect the public safety and welfare’ or to ‘ensure the continued quality of telecommunications services.’”)

Homeland Security has declared that local government is on the forefront and can take control over determining whether to temporarily halt all non-essential activity. Homeland Security guidance documents prioritize maintenance of existing Communications Systems, and do not support “essential” status for new construction. See Homeland Security Cybersecurity & Infrastructure Security Agency, Identifying Critical Infrastructure During COVID-19, <https://www.cisa.gov/identifying-critical-infrastructure-during-covid-19> (local control); e-Critical Infrastructure and Key Resources Support Annex, <http://www.fema.gov/pdf/emergency/nrf/nrf-support-cikr.pdf> (focus on “protection, response, recovery, and restoration”). Homeland Security, like the FCC, understands that it is essential in an emergency situations justify focusing on protecting, responding, recovering and restoring of existing systems, but new communications facilities construction is and should be deemed nonessential, and subject to lockdown for so long as we are under emergency conditions.

Cities can and should impose a moratorium on deployment in their local area and freeze the permit process until the COVID-19 emergency is over.

Sincerely,
Josh Madson
5551 Noble Ave
Sherman Oaks, CA 91411

