


# Wi-Fi in Schools



KEVIN MOTTUS

IToms 3-9

#15-0940  
15-0941  
15-0942  
15-0953  
14-0664-S1  
07-0627-S1  
15-0350-S1

RECEIVED  
By M.V.

Are We Playing It Safe With Our Kids?

“Current FCC standards do not account for the unique vulnerability and use patterns specific to pregnant women and children. It is essential that any new standard for cell phones or other wireless devices be based on protecting the youngest and most vulnerable populations to ensure they are safeguarded throughout their lifetimes.” American Academy of Pediatrics Letter to FCC August 29, 2013 (20)

**By Cindy Russell, MD**

VP of Community Health, SCCMA

Industry has been quite successful in creating magically useful wireless technologies such as cell phones, Ipads, Wi-Fi, and now wearable tech devices such as Google glasses, we all love. Many of these handy gadgets have now reached the typical classroom across the globe. It has become apparent, however, that there are substantial downsides to being too connected to technology and as safety concerns mount, governments such as France and Israel are backing away from the blind adoption of wireless technology in schools, especially for young children.

These devices are cool and convenient, however there remains nagging questions of overuse and safety as the application of these devices has increased to the point we are literally exposed 24 hours a day to this radiation. Wireless microwaves come from many sources both at work and at home.

An increasing number of physicians, scientists, and parents are concerned about long term health effects from Wi-Fi in schools. (42)(43)(44)(49) As any parent knows, computers now are as ubiquitous in schools as they are at work. From kindergarteners on up kids are required to learn computer skills in order to take core testing online. There is a push to enable students to be connected to the internet 24/7 to take photos, email documents, and research a topic. In schools, wired connections for computers have been rapidly being eliminated to install wireless systems that connect students both indoors and outdoors on campus.

Europe and some schools in the U.S. are taking a different more precautionary approach and going back to the future with wired plug in computers. Studies have also cast doubt on some of the benefits of classroom computers and warned of the new age of “Digital Dementia” which has now crept into Korean youth due to the heavy use of electronic gadgets. (17)(48)

Professors in college are banning computers during lectures and finding students learn more. (38) (39)

## **CHILDREN ARE MORE VULNERABLE THUS NEED MORE PROTECTION**

Children have several organ systems that are immature at birth and are thus much more sensitive to toxic exposures. The human brain, one of the top vital organs, is far from being a finished product in youth. Long-term structural maturation of the nervous system is required for successful development of cognitive, motor, and sensory functions. Neuronal axons – long thin projections from the nerve cell – act as electronic transmission lines. Axons in major pathways of the brain continue to develop throughout childhood and adolescence. Myelin is the insulation surrounding individual nerves protecting it from outside electrical charges. The process of myelination is much faster the first two years but continues into adulthood. (16) Children have thinner skulls (29), their immune systems are undeveloped, their cells are dividing more rapidly, thus, they are more vulnerable to EMF radiation and other carcinogens. They also have a longer cumulative exposure to all toxins including EMF radiation.

## **CURRENT WIRELESS SAFETY STANDARDS AND MICROWAVING POTATOES**

Wireless devices work on high frequency microwaves similar to the microwave you use to cook food with. It is with less power but substantial research (1)(2)(3)(4) demonstrates that even at low power within the current safety standards these microwaves can cause biologic harm to plants, animals, and cellular structures. Current Federal Communications Commission (FCC) standards are based only on heat generated by the device, not on adverse biological effects seen in hundreds of studies and at much lower levels.

Our own CMA supports reassessment of EMF standards. The California Medical Association, in 2014, passed a resolution as follows:

“Resolved 1: That CMA supports efforts to re-evaluate microwave safety exposure levels associated with wireless communication devices, including consideration

Continued on page 18

# Wi-Fi in Schools, continued from page 17

of adverse nonthermal biologic and health effects from non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation used in wireless communications and be it further

Resolved 2: That CMA support efforts to implement new safety limits for wireless devices to levels that do not cause human or environmental harm based on scientific research.

## ADVERSE EFFECTS DEMONSTRATED IN PEER REVIEWED PUBLISHED RESEARCH (2)

- DNA with single and double stranded breaks
- Leakage of the blood brain barrier ( two hours of cell phone exposure causes 7+ days of albumin leakage)
- Stress protein production in the body indicating injury
- Infertility/reproductive harm
- Neurologic harm with direct damage to brain cells
- Lowering of melatonin levels
- Immune dysfunction
- Inflammation/oxidation.

## PLAUSIBLE MECHANISM FOUND FOR EMF MICROWAVE EFFECTS

Dr. Martin Pall, Professor Emeritus of Biochemistry, Washington State University has studied how electromagnetic fields impact the cells of our bodies. His 2013 paper on this subject highlights a major biological mechanism of action of EMF microwave radiation on cell structure. His work, along with two dozen prior studies, demonstrated that EMF microwave radiation effects cellular calcium channels and this can be inhibited with calcium channel blockers. "A whole series of biological changes reportedly produced by microwave exposures can now be explained in terms of this new paradigm of EMF actions via Voltage Gated Calcium Channels (VGCC) activation." (14)(15)

## EMF AFFECTS ON WILDLIFE: BIRDS, BEES, AND TOMATO PLANTS

Bird researchers in Germany found that their migratory European Robins lost their sense of navigation when in the city. (5) This was found to be due to the EMF radiation interfering with the bird's special internal magnetic compass. They replicated the experiment over seven years before publishing the results in the prestigious journal *Nature*.

John Phillips and others have found that newts, sea turtles, and migratory birds use a magnetic compass to navigate long distances and this can be interrupted by low levels of EMF. (6)(7) A review of effects on cell towers and wireless devices showed that beehives can have rapid colony collapse with exposure to cell phone radiation. (8)

Plants have been shown to have stress response to EMF from wireless devices. (9)(10) (22) In tomatoes exposed for short duration, the stress response seen by exposure to EMF was prevented by administration of calcium counteracting drugs. (11) Even simple high school science experiments document abnormal seed growth near Wi-Fi routers. (19) There appear to be adverse biological effects of this seemingly harmless radiation.

## HUMAN ELECTROSENSITIVITY: IS IT REAL?

There is varied opinion about those who state they are sensitive to EMF. Scientific research has not given a definitive answer, nevertheless, many seem to suffer from vague and often disabling symptoms they feel in the presence of EMF. Exposure to EMF radiation in some people reportedly causes headaches, memory problems, fatigue, sleep disorders, depression. This is so significant for some people that they have to live in a very low EMF environment to feel normal. (25)

Sweden recognizes electro-sensitivity as a functional impairment and estimates that about 3% of the population suffers from this. (23)(24) Dr. Magda Havas found in replicated studies that some EMF sensitive individuals heart rates increased with wireless devices turned on in double blind study. (12)(26) Researchers at Louisiana State University, in 2011, studied a self reported EMF sensitive physician and found "In a double-blinded EMF provocation procedure specifically designed to minimize unintentional sensory cues, the subject developed temporal pain, headache, muscle twitching, and skipped heartbeats within 100 s after initiation of EMF exposure ( $p < .05$ )." They concluded that "EMF hypersensitivity can occur as a bona fide environmentally inducible neurological syndrome." (27)

Genius and Lipp reviewed the current literature on EHS, in 2011, and point to several explanations for this multisystem phenomenon, including toxicant induced loss of tolerance as many with EHS symptoms had high levels of PCB's possibly causing immune dysfunction. Scientific research also identifies an inflammatory response with cytokine production. Another aspect of research points to catecholamine and adrenal gland dysfunction. In addition, heavy metal toxicity has also been proposed as contributing to EHS. (28)

The Austrian Medical Association feels Electrohypersensitivity is a real

phenomenon and in 2012 published Guidelines for EMF and Electrohypersensitivity. They state the primary method of treatment should consist in the prevention or reduction of EMF exposure, taking care to reduce or eliminate all sources of EMF if possible. (32)

## GOVERNMENT ACTIONS ON WI-FI IN SCHOOLS

While much of the U.S. is marching forward with Wi-Fi in schools, Europe is changing direction, as indicated by the policies listed below. (45) Internationally there is wide disagreement in standards. The U.S. and Canadian limits are 1000 microwatts/cm<sup>2</sup>. China and Russia are 10 microwatts/cm<sup>2</sup>. Belgium is 2.4 microwatts/cm<sup>2</sup>, and Austria is 0.001 microwatts/cm<sup>2</sup>. The Bioinitiative Report 2012 recommendation for "No Observable Effect" is 0.0003 microwatts/cm<sup>2</sup>. Cosmic background EMF we evolved with is <0.0000000001 microwatts/cm<sup>2</sup>. (2)

## COUNCIL OF EUROPE PARLIAMENT ASSEMBLY 2011 EMF MICROWAVE POLICY : "THE POTENTIAL DANGERS OF ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS AND THEIR EFFECT ON THE ENVIRONMENT"

The report notes "other non-ionizing frequencies, whether from ex-

*In May 2011, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified radiofrequency electromagnetic fields as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B).(30)*

tremely low frequencies, power lines or certain high frequency waves used in the fields of radar, telecommunications, and mobile telephony, appear to have more or less potentially harmful, non-thermal, biological effects on plants, insects, and animals, as well as the human body, even when exposed to levels that are below the official threshold values.”

The Council calls for a number of measures to protect humans and the environment, especially from high-frequency electromagnetic fields. One of the recommendations is to “take all reasonable measures to reduce exposure to electromagnetic fields, especially to radio frequencies from mobile phones, and particularly the exposure to children and young people who seem to be most at risk from head tumors”. (37)

### **IN FRANCE: A NEW NATIONAL LAW BANS WI-FI IN NURSERY SCHOOLS**

In January 2015, France passed a landmark law that calls for precaution with wireless devices for children and the general public. (34)(35) It calls for:

1. Wi-Fi banned in nursery schools.
2. Wi-Fi routers should be turned off in school when not in use.
3. Schools are informed when new tech equipment is installed.
4. Citizens will have access to environmental cell tower radiation measurements near homes.
5. There will be continued research conducted into health effects of wireless communications.
6. Information on reducing exposure to EMF radiation is mandatory in the contents of the cell phone package.
7. Wi-Fi hotspots are labeled.

### **ISRAELI MINISTRY OF EDUCATION ISSUE GUIDELINES TO LIMIT WI-FI IN SCHOOLS**

On August 27, 2013, the Israeli Ministry of Education issued new guidelines regarding Wi-Fi use in schools.

(33) The guidelines will:

1. Stop the installation of wireless networks in classrooms in kindergarten.
2. Limit the use of Wi-Fi between first and third grades. In the first grade, students will be limited to use Wi-Fi to study for one hour per day and no more than three days per week. Between the first and third grades, students will be limited to use Wi-Fi up to two hours per day for no more than four days per week.
3. To limit unnecessary exposure teachers will be required to turn off mobile phones and Wi-Fi routers when they are not in use for educational purposes.
4. All Wi-Fi equipment be tested for compliance with safety limits before and after installation in an Israeli school.
5. Desktop computers and power supplies be kept at least 20 cm from students.



### **OFFICIALLY RECOMMENDED THAT WI-FI NOT BE USED IN SCHOOLS.**

**2011 THE RUSSIAN COMMITTEE ON NON-IONIZING RADIATION PROTECTION (RNCNIRP) RELEASED THEIR RESOLUTION ENTITLED “ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS FROM MOBILE PHONES: HEALTH EFFECTS ON CHILDREN AND TEENAGERS.”**

According to the opinion of the Russian National Committee on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection, the following health hazards are likely to be faced by the children mobile phone users in the nearest future: disruption of memory, decline of attention, diminishing learning and cognitive abilities, increased irritability, sleep problems, increase in sensitivity to the stress, increased epileptic readiness. (36)

**Expected (possible) remote health risks:** brain tumors, tumors of acoustical and vestibular nerves (in the age of 25-30 years), Alzheimer’s

**Continued on page 20**

# Wifi In Schools, continued from page 19

disease, "got dementia", depressive syndrome, and the other types of degeneration of the nervous structures of the brain (in the age of 50 to 60).

## PLAYING IT SAFE FOR OUR KIDS

A healthy and safe learning environment is a cornerstone of education. Current FCC standards are obsolete and inappropriate as they are based only on heat effects, not biological effects. They give us a false sense of security. There may be higher EMF levels at school than at home as routers are more powerful. Cumulative Effects on DNA or cell structures are not taken into consideration in any safety standard. Because of the long-term exposure to EMF microwave radiation this generation is experiencing, they will be at higher risk for potential health problems. We will not know what happens to our progeny's DNA until our grandchildren are born.

Considering there has been a more precautionary approach internationally to microwave radiation exposure and the trend is toward less exposure in schools, especially to vulnerable populations such as children, it makes sense to re-evaluate our wireless schools. We buckle our seat belts and wear a helmet when we ride bikes even though we don't know if we will get in an accident. Although not all the issues of wireless microwaves are understood, there is enough science to understand it acts as a toxicant at even low levels that fall within current safety standards. We also know

3. **Limit Wi-Fi use, especially in younger grades.**
4. **Cell phones stay off and in the backpacks during class and on the campus during school hours.**
5. **Have EMF and electrical measurements done by one or more qualified, experienced consultants before and after any installation.** Understand you may need to increase your knowledge of low and high frequency electromagnetic fields and limits to accurately interpret the reports. The Bioinitiative Report is a very useful compendium that has recommendations for safer levels.
6. **Support efforts by governments to provide independent standardized transparent research to define safe limits in all the different wireless frequencies used commercially.** This could lead to less EMF emissions and safer wireless devices.

## REFERENCES

1. "Overpowered" by Dr. Martin Blank, 2014
2. Bioinitiative Report. 2012 <http://www.bioinitiative.org/>
3. EMF Portal. <http://www.emf-portal.de/>
4. Why Fi? : Is Wireless Communication Hazardous to Your Health? <http://www.sccma-mcims.org/Portals/19/assets/docs/Why%20Fi.pdf>
5. Electronics' noise disorients migratory birds. Man-made

*"Certain high frequency waves used in the fields of radar, telecommunications, and mobile telephony, appear to have more or less potentially harmful, non-thermal, biological effects on plants, insects, and animals, as well as the human body, even when exposed to levels that are below the official threshold values."*

that decades of research precedes meaningful regulation in the area of toxins, thus the only reasonable approach is precautionary.

In addition, we need to be thoughtful about how much our kids should use computers and what this is doing not only to them, but to our society as a whole. We get starry eyed with every new wireless gadget, however, in "Alone Together" Sherry Turkle expertly addresses the rise in isolation, loneliness, lack of privacy, and increasing pressure on students in this age of invasive technology. Her thorough and non-judgmental scientific investigation of the psychological effects of computers makes us aware that we need to take care that we do not replace real human connection with a "virtual reality" that will redirect us in an unhealthy direction.

As physicians and parents, we understand that decisions we make today may have far reaching consequences in the future for our kids. Let's play it safe for them right now.

## RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SCHOOLS

1. **Wired internet connections** like we used to have are the safest and possibly cheapest option – all the benefits of the internet without the risk.
2. **Wireless devices**, but with an on/off switch in each room so teachers can use only when needed for educational purposes.

electromagnetic radiation disrupts robins' internal magnetic compasses. May 7, 2014 <http://www.nature.com/news/electronics-noise-disorients-migratory-birds-1.15176>

6. **True Navigation: Sensory Bases of Gradient Maps.** Phillips, J. 2006 <http://www.pigeon.psy.tufts.edu/asc/Phillips/>
7. **A behavioral perspective on the biophysics of the light-dependent magnetic compass: a link between directional and spatial perception?** Phillips, J. Journal of Experimental Biology. June 17, 2010. <http://jeb.biologists.org/content/213/19/3247.full.pdf>
8. **Impacts of radio-frequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) from cell phone towers and wireless devices on biosystem and ecosystem – a review.** Sivani, S. *Biology and Medicine*. Dec 3, 2012 [http://www.emrpolicy.org/regulation/United States/Exhibit 19 EMRPI Sivani Bio & Med 2012.pdf](http://www.emrpolicy.org/regulation/United%20States/Exhibit%2019%20EMRPI%20Sivani%20Bio%20&%20Med%202012.pdf)
9. **Effects of Microwaves on the Trees and Other Plants.** Balmori, A. 2003. [http://www.hese-project.org/de/emf/WissenschaftForschung/Balmori Dr. Alfonso/showDoc.php?lang=de&header=Dr.%20Balmori&file=THE%20EFFECTS%20OF%20MICROWAVES%20ON%20THE%20TREES%20AND%20OTHER%20PLANTS.html&back=../showAuthor.php?target=Balmori Dr. Alfonso](http://www.hese-project.org/de/emf/WissenschaftForschung/Balmori_Dr._Alfonso/showDoc.php?lang=de&header=Dr.%20Balmori&file=THE%20EFFECTS%20OF%20MICROWAVES%20ON%20THE%20TREES%20AND%20OTHER%20PLANTS.html&back=../showAuthor.php?target=Balmori_Dr._Alfonso)
10. **Effects of Electromagnetic Waves Emitted by Mobile Phones on**

- Germination, Root Growth, and Root Tip Cell Mitotic Division. Pol J of Envir Studies. Nov 2010. <http://www.pjoes.com/pdf/21.1/Pol.J.Environ.Stud.Vol.21.No.1.23-29.pdf>
11. Intercellular Communication in Plants: Evidence for an EMF-Generated Signal that Evokes Local and Systemic Transcriptional Responses in Tomato. 2013. [http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-642-36470-9\\_16](http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-642-36470-9_16)
  12. Provocation Study using heart rate variability shows microwaves radiation from 2.4 Ghz cordless phones. *European Journal of Oncology*. January 16, 2011. <http://www.bemri.org/publications/dect/341-provocation-study-using-heart-rate-variability-shows-microwave-radiation-from-2-4ghz-cordless-phone.html?path=>
  13. Is newborn melatonin production influenced by magnetic fields produced by incubators? *Early Hum Dev* 2012; 88 (8): 707 – 710 <http://www.emf-portal.de/viewer.php?aid=20376&dl=e>
  14. Electromagnetic fields act via activation of voltage-gated calcium channels to produce beneficial or adverse effects. Pall, ML. *J Cell Mol Med*. 2013 Aug;17(8):958-65. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23802593>
  15. Microwave Electromagnetic Fields Act by Activating Voltage-Gated Calcium Channels: Why the Current International Safety Standards Do Not Predict Biological Hazard. Professor Dr. Martin L. Pall <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/view?id=7521102473>
  16. Communication Studies UCLA. <http://cogweb.ucla.edu/CogSci/Myelinate.html>
  17. "Digital Dementia" on the Rise. June 24, 2013 <http://koreajoongangdaily.joins.com/news/article/article.aspx?aid=2973527>
  18. International Precautionary Actions EMF. <http://ehtrust.org/international-policy-actions-on-wireless/>
  19. Student Science Experiment Finds Plants won't Grow near Wi-Fi Router <http://www.globalresearch.ca/student-science-experiment-finds-plants-wont-grow-near-wi-fi-router/5336877>
  20. American Academy of Pediatrics Letter to FCC and FDA regarding EMF Exposure Policies and Standards. <http://www.wirelesswatchblog.org/wp-content/uploads/2011/06/FCC-13-84-09-03-2013-American-Academy-of-Pediatrics-7520941318-1.pdf>
  21. Transient DNA damage induced by high-frequency electromagnetic fields (GSM 1.8 GHz) in the human trophoblast HTR-8/SVneo cell line evaluated with the alkaline comet assay. *Mutat Res*. 2010 Jan 5. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19822160>
  22. High frequency (900 MHz) low amplitude (5 V m<sup>-1</sup>) electromagnetic field: a genuine environmental stimulus that affects transcription, translation, calcium and energy charge in tomato. Roux, D. *Planta*. 2008 Mar <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18026987>
  23. Electrosensitivity in Sweden. <http://www.emfacts.com/2009/02/1014-electrosensitivity-in-sweden-by-olle-johansson/>
  24. Towards Better Health: Switzerland TV Program on Electrohypersensitivity. 2009. <http://mieuxprevenir.blogspot.com/2013/03/swiss-tv-program-from-2009-on.html>
  25. 'Wi-fi refugees' shelter in West Virginia mountains. 2011. <http://www.bbc.com/news/world-us-canada-14887428>
  26. Replication of heart rate variability provocation study with 2.4-GHz cordless phone confirms original findings. Havas, M. *Electromagn Biol Med*. 2013 Jun <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23675629>
  27. Electromagnetic hypersensitivity: evidence for a novel neurological syndrome. *Int J Neurosci*. 2011 Dec;121(12):670-6. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21793784>
  28. Review: Electromagnetic hypersensitivity: Fact or Fiction? Genius, S, Lipp, C. *Science of Total Environment*. Sept 2011. [http://www.academia.edu/4125616/Genuis\\_EHS\\_paper](http://www.academia.edu/4125616/Genuis_EHS_paper)
  29. Electromagnetic absorption in the human head and neck for mobile telephones at 835 and 1900 MHz. Gandi, O. *Microwave Theory and Techniques*. Vol 44, Oct, 1996. <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/xpl/articleDetails.jsp?reload=true&arnumber=539947>
  30. International Agency for Research on Cancer. IARC Classifies Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields as Possibly Carcinogenic to Humans. [http://www.iarc.fr/en/media-centre/pr/2011/pdfs/pr208\\_E.pdf](http://www.iarc.fr/en/media-centre/pr/2011/pdfs/pr208_E.pdf)
  31. Alone Together. Sherry Turkle. 2012. <http://www.npr.org/2012/10/18/163098594/in-constant-digital-contact-we-feel-alone-together>
  32. Austrian Medical Association Guidelines- EMF and Electrohypersensitivity. <http://freiburger-appell-2012.info/media/EMF%20Guideline%20OAK-AG%20%202012%2003%2003.pdf>
  33. Israeli Ministry of Education issue guidelines to limit Wi-Fi in schools. 2013 <http://www.gsma.com/publicpolicy/israeli-ministry-of-education-issue-guidelines-to-limit-wi-fi-in-schools>
  34. France: New National Law Bans Wi-Fi in Nursery School! <http://ehtrust.org/france-new-national-law-bans-wifi-nursery-school/>
  35. French government bans advertising of mobiles to children. 2009 <http://www.independent.co.uk/life-style/gadgets-and-tech/news/french-government-bans-advertising-of-mobiles-to-children-1299673.html>
  36. Electromagnetic Fields From Mobile Phones: Health Effects on Children and Teenagers. April 2011. [http://www.magdahavas.com/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2011/06/Russia\\_20110514-rncnirp\\_resolution.pdf](http://www.magdahavas.com/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2011/06/Russia_20110514-rncnirp_resolution.pdf)
  37. Parliament Assembly European Council EMF Microwave Policy. 2011. <http://assembly.coe.int/Mainf.asp?link=/Documents/AdoptedText/ta11/ERES1815.htm>
  38. Why A Leading Professor of New Media Just Banned Technology Use in Class. Sept 25, 2014. *Washington Post*. <http://www.washingtonpost.com/blogs/answer-sheet/wp/2014/09/25/why-a-leading-professor-of-new-media-just-banned-technology-use-in-class/>
  39. Princeton/UCLA Study: Its Time to Ban Laptops in Law School Classrooms. Feb 5, 2015. [http://taxprof.typepad.com/taxprof\\_blog/2015/02/princetonucla-study.html](http://taxprof.typepad.com/taxprof_blog/2015/02/princetonucla-study.html)
  40. Brain Cancer – Mobile phone and cordless phone use and the risk for glioma – Analysis of pooled case-control studies in Sweden, 1997-2003 and 2007-2009. Hardell. *Pathophysiology*. 2014 Oct 29. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25466607>
  41. Brain Cancer – Mobile phone use and brain tumors in the CERENAT case-control study. Coureau G. *Occup. Environ Med*. 2014 Jul; <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24816517>

5

California Medical Association  
House of Delegates Resolution Wireless Standards Reevaluation  
2014 Resolution 107- 14

PASSED

Date Adopted Dec 7, 2014

Resolved 1 That CMA supports efforts to reevaluate microwave safety exposure levels associated with wireless communication devices, including consideration of adverse non-thermal biologic and health effects from non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation used in wireless communications; and be it further

Resolved 2 That CMA support efforts to implement new safety exposure limits for wireless devices to levels that do not cause human or environmental harm based on scientific research.

CALIFORNIA MEDICAL ASSOCIATION HOUSE OF DELEGATES 2014  
Wireless Communications Public Safety Standards Reevaluation  
Introduced by Cindy Lee Russell, M.D. AND Ken Yew, M.D.

Whereas there are over 6 billion active cell phones worldwide and dependence of wireless communication networks is rapidly expanding including cell phones, cell towers, wireless routers for home use, medical devices and utility smart meters; and (1)

Whereas scientists are increasingly identifying EMF from wireless devices as a new form of environmental pollution with a growing body of peer reviewed scientific evidence finding significant adverse health and biologic effects on living organisms with exposure to low levels of non-ionizing microwaves currently approved and used in wireless communication, and

Whereas peer reviewed research has demonstrated adverse biological effects of wireless EMF including single and double stranded DNA breaks, creation of reactive oxygen species, immune dysfunction, cognitive processing effects, stress protein synthesis in the brain, altered brain development, sleep and memory disturbances, ADHD, abnormal behavior, sperm dysfunction, and brain tumors; and (2-55)

Whereas there is a long latency period of years to decades to study and identify adverse health effects such as brain cancer, neurodegenerative damage and autism; and

Whereas children's brains are developmentally immature until adolescence, their skulls are thinner and the brain is considerably more vulnerable to toxin exposure , and (23,24)

Whereas the World Health Organization in 2011 designated wireless communications including cell phones to be a possible carcinogenic, and (63)

Whereas many scientists, researchers, public health officials and agencies conclude that wireless electromagnetic frequency (EMF) standards established by the Federal Communications Commission are outdated as they are based only on heat effects which damage to the organism and not biological effects of non -ionizing EMF microwave radiation which are scientifically demonstrated at levels hundreds of times less than current safety exposure limits and thus current standards are inadequate to protect public health; and (49-51)(57)

6

Whereas the American Academy of Pediatrics in 2013 has asked for reassessment of exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields limits and policies that protect children's health and well-being throughout their lifetimes and reflect current use patterns (58)

RESOLVED; that the CMA understands that existing public safety limits for microwave EMF devices are outdated and inadequate to protect public health thus endorses efforts of the Federal Communications Commission to reevaluate its safety standards to include consideration of adverse non thermal biologic and health effects from non ionizing electromagnetic radiation used in wireless communications; and be it further

RESOLVED; that the CMA supports efforts to implement microwave safety exposure limits to levels that do not cause human or environmental harm based on scientific research, and be it further

RESOLVED; that the CMA set up a task force to determine adequate precautionary recommendations for the use of cell phones and wireless devices for schools and children

#### References Wireless Communications Public Safety Standards Reevaluation

- 1) More People Have Cell Phones Than Toilets, U.N. Study Shows. Time. March 25, 2013. <http://newsfeed.time.com/2013/03/25/more-people-have-cell-phones-than-toilets-u-n-study-shows/>
- 2) Acute low-intensity microwave exposure increases DNA single-strand breaks in rat brain cells. Lai H, Singh, NP Bioelectromagnetics 1995;16(3):207-10 WEB <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7677797>
- 3) Behavioral Effects of Microwaves . Neurobehavioral Toxicology: 1980 Spring;2(1): 49-58 Sern S. WEB <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/7442919>
- 4) Microwave Radiation Absorption: Behavioral Effects. Health Physiology: 1991 Jul;6(1):29-40 D'Andrea JA. WEB <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2061046?ordinalpos=1&itool=PPMCLayout.PPMCAAppController.PPMCArticlePage.PPMCPubmedRA&linkpos=2>
- 5) Nerve Cell Damage in Mammalian Brain after Exposure to Microwaves from GSM Mobile Phones. Safford L. Environmental Health Perspectives Volume 111, Number 7, June 2003 WEB <http://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/members/2003/6039/6039.html> <http://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/press/012903.html>
- 6) The effects of broad-band electromagnetic field exposure on mice (Mus musculus). Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A: Toxic/Hazardous Substances and Environmental Engineering. Volume 35, Issue 3, 2000. <http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/content~db=all~content=a906773614>
- 7) Electromagnetic Fields and DNA Damage. Phillips J. Pathophysiology 16 (2009) 79-88. <http://www.scribd.com/doc/21750937/EMF-Cell-Phone-DNA-Damage>
- 8) Single- and double-strand DNA breaks in rat brain cells after acute exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation. Lai H, Singh NP, Int J Radiat Biol 69(4):513-521, 1996. [http://www.researchgate.net/publication/14573695\\_Single-\\_and\\_double-strand\\_DNA\\_breaks\\_in\\_rat\\_brain\\_cells\\_after\\_acute\\_exposure\\_to\\_radiofrequency\\_electromagnetic\\_radiation](http://www.researchgate.net/publication/14573695_Single-_and_double-strand_DNA_breaks_in_rat_brain_cells_after_acute_exposure_to_radiofrequency_electromagnetic_radiation)
- 9) DNA Strand Breaks in Rat Brain Cells Exposed to Low level Microwave Radiation. Behari J. <http://www.ursi.org/proceedings/procGA08/papers/K02bp2.pdf>
- 10) Long Term Exposure to Microwave Radiation Provokes Cancer Growth: Evidences from Radars and Mobile Communication Systems. Kyrylenko S . Experimental Oncology. March 2011. <http://exp-oncology.com.ua/article/1845/long-term-exposure-to-microwave-radiation-provokes-cancer-growth-evidences-from-radars-and-mobile-communication-systems>
- 11) ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS: Conference, Hearing Call Up Cell Phone Use. Environ Health


- Perspect. Nov 2009; 117(11): A486. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2801166/>
- 12) EMF's and DNA Effects: Potential Mechanism Elucidated-Science Selections. Hood E. Environmental Health Perspectives, May 2004. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1316056/>
- 13) Association between vestibular schwannomas and mobile phone use. Moon S. Tumour Biol. Jan 2014; 35(1): 581–587. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3907669/>
- 14) Long-term use of cellular phones and brain tumors: increased risk associated with use for > or =10 years. Hardell L, Carlsberg M. Occupational Environmental Medicine 2007, Sept; 64(9):626-32 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17409179>
- 15) Meta-analysis of long-term mobile phone use and the association with brain tumors. Hardell L International Journal of Oncology. May 2008 vol 32 Number 5. [http://www.spandidos-publications.com/ijo/article.jsp?article\\_id=ijo\\_32\\_5\\_1097](http://www.spandidos-publications.com/ijo/article.jsp?article_id=ijo_32_5_1097)
- 16) Cell phone Use and Acoustic Neuroma: The Need for Standardized Questionnaires and access to Industry Data. Surgical Neurology. Volume 72, issue 3, pages 216-222 (Sept 2009) Yueh-Ying Han. [http://www.researchgate.net/publication/24241041\\_Cell\\_phone\\_use\\_and\\_acoustic\\_neuroma\\_the\\_need\\_for\\_standardized\\_questionnaires\\_and\\_access\\_to\\_industry\\_data](http://www.researchgate.net/publication/24241041_Cell_phone_use_and_acoustic_neuroma_the_need_for_standardized_questionnaires_and_access_to_industry_data)
- 17) Exposure to Wireless Phone Emissions and Serum Bets-Trace Proteins. Hardell L. Soderqvist F. International Journal Molecular Medicine. 2010 August; 26(2):301-306. <http://www.biomedsearch.com/nih/Exposure-to-wireless-phone-emissions/20596612.html>
- 18) Mobile Phone Use and the Risk for Malignant Brain Tumors: A Case Control Study on Deceased Cases and Controls . Hardell L, Carlsberg M, Neuroepidemiology: 2010 June 15;35(2): 109-114. [http://www.avaate.org/IMG/pdf/Hardell\\_et\\_al\\_Neuroepidemiology\\_2010.pdf](http://www.avaate.org/IMG/pdf/Hardell_et_al_Neuroepidemiology_2010.pdf)
- 19) Case-control study of the association between malignant brain tumours diagnosed between 2007 and 2009 and mobile and cordless phone use. Hardell L . Int J Oncol. 2013 Dec;43(6):1833-45. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24064953>
- 20) Mobile Phones, Cordless Phones and the Risk for Brain Tumors. Hardell L, Carlberg M. International Journal of Oncology, 2009 Jul;35(1):5-17 PMID: 19513546 [http://www.spandidos-publications.com/ijo/article.jsp?article\\_id=ijo\\_35\\_1\\_5](http://www.spandidos-publications.com/ijo/article.jsp?article_id=ijo_35_1_5)
- 21) Oxidative damage in chemical teratogenesis. Wells PG . Mutat Res. 1997 Dec 12;396(1-2):65-78.<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9434860>
- 22) Swedish review strengthens grounds for concluding that radiation from cellular and cordless phones is a probable human carcinogen. Dr. Devra Davis. Pathophysiology. Volume 20, issue 2, Pages 123–129, April 2013
- 23) Critical periods of vulnerability for the developing nervous system: evidence from humans and animal models. Environ Health Perspect. Rice D. 108(suppl 3):511–533 (2000). <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.281.957>
- 24) Critical periods of vulnerability for the developing nervous system: evidence from humans and animal models. Environ Health Perspect. Rice D. 108(suppl 3):511–533 (2000). <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.281.957>
- 25) Electromagnetic noise inhibits radiofrequency radiation-induced DNA damage and reactive oxygen species increase in human lens epithelial cells. Yao K . Mol Vis. 2008 May 19;14:964-9. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18509546>
- 26) Neuronal Death and Oxidative Stress in the Developing Brain. Chrysanthy Ikonomidou. ANTIOXIDANTS & REDOX SIGNALING Volume 00, Number 0, 2011. <https://neurology.wisc.edu/publications/2010%20Pubs/Ikonomidou-5.pdf>
- 27) Reactive oxygen species elevation and recovery in Drosophila bodies and ovaries following short-term and long-term exposure to DECT base EMF. Manta AK . Electromagn Biol Med. 2014 Jun;33(2):118-31. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23781995>
- 28) Protective effects of melatonin and caffeic acid phenethyl ester against retinal oxidative stress in long-term use of mobile phone: a comparative study. Ozguner F . Mol Cell Biochem. 2006 Jan;282(1-2):83-8. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16317515>
- 29) Autism-relevant social abnormalities in mice exposed perinatally to extremely low frequency electromagnetic fields. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24970316>

- 30) *Drosophila* oogenesis as a bio-marker responding to EMF sources. Margaritis LH. *Electromagn Biol Med*. 2014 Sep;33(3):165-89. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23915130>
- 31) Exposure to 1800 MHz radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation induces oxidative DNA base damage in a mouse spermatocyte-derived cell line. Liu C. *Toxicol Lett*. 2013 Mar 27;218(1):2-9. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23333639>
- 32) [Metabolic changes in cells under electromagnetic radiation of mobile communication systems]. Iakimenko IL. *Ukr Biokhim Zh*. 2011 Mar-Apr;83(2):20-8. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21851043>
- 33) Non-thermal activation of the hsp27/p38MAPK stress pathway by mobile phone radiation in human endothelial cells: molecular mechanism for cancer- and blood-brain barrier-related effects. Leszczynski D. *Differentiation*. 2002 May;70(2-3):120-9. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12076339>
- 34) Effect of 900 MHz radiofrequency radiation on oxidative stress in rat brain and serum. Bilgici B. *Electromagn Biol Med*. 2013 Mar;32(1):20-9. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23301880>
- 35) Behavior and memory evaluation of Wistar rats exposed to 1.8 GHz radiofrequency electromagnetic radiation. Júnior LC. *Neurol Res*. 2014 Sep;36(9):800-3. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24620965>
- 36) Effect of radio-frequency electromagnetic radiations (RF-EMR) on passive avoidance behaviour and hippocampal morphology in Wistar rats. Narayanan SN. *Ups J Med Sci*. 2010 May;115(2):91-6. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20095879>
- 37) Analysis of emotionality and locomotion in radio-frequency electromagnetic radiation exposed rats. Narayanan SN. *Neurol Sci*. 2013 Jul;34(7):1117-24. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22976773>
- 38) Evaluation of oxidant stress and antioxidant defense in discrete brain regions of rats exposed to 900 MHz radiation. Bratisl Lek Listy. 2014;115(5):260-6. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25174055>
- 39) Fetal Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure From 800-1900 Mhz-Rated Cellular Telephones Affects Neurodevelopment and Behavior in Mice. Aldid, T. *Nature. Scientific Reports* 2, Article number: 312. Feb 18, 2013. <http://www.nature.com/srep/2012/120315/srep00312/full/srep00312.html>
- 40) Cell phone radiation exposure on brain and associated biological systems. Kesari KK. *Indian J Exp Biol*. 2013 Mar;51(3):187-200. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23678539>
- 41) Disturbance of the immune system by electromagnetic fields-A potentially underlying cause for cellular damage and tissue repair reduction which could lead to disease and impairment. Johansson O. *Pathophysiology*. 2009 Aug;16(2-3):157-77. Epub 2009 Apr 23. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19398310>
- 42) Cell phone use and behavioural problems in young children. Divan HA, . *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2012 Jun;66(6):524-9. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21138897>
- 43) Prenatal and postnatal exposure to cell phone use and behavioral problems in children. Divan HA. *Epidemiology*. 2008 Jul;19(4):523-9. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18467962>
- 44) Maternal cell phone and cordless phone use during pregnancy and behaviour problems in 5-year-old children. Guxens M. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2013 May;67(5):432-8. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23386674>
- 45) Radio frequency electromagnetic radiation (RF-EMR) from GSM (0.9/1.8GHz) mobile phones induces oxidative stress and reduces sperm motility in rats. Mailankot M. *Clinics (Sao Paulo)*. 2009;64(6):561-5. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19578660>
- 46) Effects of the exposure to mobile phones on male reproduction: a review of the literature. La Vignera S. *J Androl*. 2012 May-Jun;33(3):350-6. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21799142>
- 47) Effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic waves (RF-EMW) from cellular phones on human ejaculated semen: an in vitro pilot study. Agarwal A. *Fertil Steril*. 2009 Oct;92(4):1318-25. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18804757>

- 48) Bioinitiative 2012. <http://www.bioinitiative.org/conclusions/>
- 49) Autism and EMF/RFR? Plausibility of a Pathophysiological Link-Part I. Pathophysiology Volume 20, Issue 3 , 191-209, June 2013. Herbert M, Sage C (2013) . [http://www.pathophysiologyjournal.com/article/S0928-4680\(13\)00037-0/fulltext](http://www.pathophysiologyjournal.com/article/S0928-4680(13)00037-0/fulltext)
- 50) Autism and EMF/RFR? Plausibility of a Pathophysiological Link-Part II. Pathophysiology Volume 20, Issue 3 , 211-234, June 2013. Herbert M, Sage C (2013) <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/view?id=7520958031>
- 51) BioInitiative Report: A Rationale for Biologically-based Public Exposure Standards for Electromagnetic Radiation. December 31, 2012. BioInitiative Working Group, Cindy Sage and David O. Carpenter. <http://www.bioinitiative.org/>
- 52) Epidemiological Evidence for a Health Risk from Mobile Phone Base Stations. International Journal of Occupational Environmental Health: 16-3, Jul/Sep 2010, p263-267. Khurana V, Hardell L . [http://www.brain-surgery.us/Khurana\\_et\\_al\\_IJOEH-Base\\_Station\\_RV.pdf](http://www.brain-surgery.us/Khurana_et_al_IJOEH-Base_Station_RV.pdf)
- 53) Shallow Minds: How the Internet and Wi-Fi in Schools Can Affect Learning. Cindy Lee Russell, MD. Oct 2013 issue SCCMA Bulletin. [http://issuu.com/18621/docs/bulletin\\_1013\\_web](http://issuu.com/18621/docs/bulletin_1013_web) or <http://www.sccma-mcms.org/Portals/19/assets/docs/Wi-Fi%20in%20Schools%20rev.pdf> for full references
- 54) Autism Rising Part 1. Dr. Cindy Russell. May/June SCCMA Bulletin 2014 <http://www.sccma-mcms.org/NewsEvents/BulletinMemberMagazine.aspx> or <http://www.sccma-mcms.org/Portals/19/Autism%20Rising%20Part%201.pdf>
- 55) Autism Rising Part 2 . Dr. Cindy Russell. SCMA Bulletin 2014 <http://www.sccma-mcms.org/NewsEvents/BulletinMemberMagazine.aspx> or <http://www.sccma-mcms.org/Portals/19/assets/docs/Autism%20Rising%20Part%202%20rev.pdf>
- 56) Scientific panel on electromagnetic field health risks: consensus points, recommendations, and rationales. Fragopoulou A . Rev Environ Health. 2010 Oct-Dec;25(4):307-17. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21268443>
- 57) Letter American Academy of Pediatrics to FDA on "Reassessment of Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields Limits and Policies" published in the Federal Register on June 4, 2013. <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/view?id=7520941318>
- 58) Wired vs Wireless in Classrooms. Letter to Los Angeles Unified School District. Feb 2013 from Martha Herbert, Assistant Professor Pediatric Neurology, Harvard Medical School. <http://apps.fcc.gov/ecfs/document/view?id=7520940748>
- 59) The precautionary principle in environmental science. D Kriebel . Environ Health Perspect. Sep 2001; 109(9): 871-876. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1240435/>
- 60) Environmental Working Group Cell Phone Report <http://www.scribd.com/doc/19576223/EWGs-Cell-Phone-Radiation-Report>
- 61) WiFi in schools proven dangerous. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KN7VetsCR2I&feature=related>
- 62) Electromagnetic fields and public health: mobile phones. <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs193/en/>

HARVARD MEDICAL SCHOOL

Martha R. Herbert, Ph.D., M.D.  
*Assistant Professor, Pediatric Neurology*  
*Director, TRANSCEND Research Program*  
www.transcendresearch.org  
transcend@partners.org



MASSACHUSETTS  
GENERAL HOSPITAL

Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging  
149 13<sup>th</sup> Street, Room 10.018  
Boston, Massachusetts 02129  
Phone: (617) 724-5920  
Fax: (617) 812-6334  
mherbert1@partners.org

TO: Los Angeles Unified School District  
FROM: Martha R Herbert, PhD, MD  
RE: Wireless vs. Wired in Classrooms  
DATE: February 8, 2013

I am a pediatric neurologist and neuroscientist on the faculty of Harvard Medical School and on staff at the Massachusetts General Hospital. I am Board Certified in Neurology with Special Competency in Child Neurology, and Subspecialty Certification in Neurodevelopmental Disorders.

I have an extensive history of research and clinical practice in neurodevelopmental disorders, particularly autism spectrum disorders. I have published papers in brain imaging research, in physiological abnormalities in autism spectrum disorders, and in environmental influences on neurodevelopmental disorders such as autism and on brain development and function.

I recently accepted an invitation to review literature pertinent to a potential link between Autism Spectrum Disorders and Electromagnetic Frequencies (EMF) and Radiofrequency Radiation (RFR). I set out to write a paper of modest length, but found much more literature than I had anticipated to review. I ended up producing a 60 page single spaced paper with over 550 citations. It is available at [http://www.bioinitiative.org/report/wp-content/uploads/pdfs/sec20\\_2012\\_Findings\\_in\\_Autism.pdf](http://www.bioinitiative.org/report/wp-content/uploads/pdfs/sec20_2012_Findings_in_Autism.pdf).

In fact, there are thousands of papers that have accumulated over decades – and are now accumulating at an accelerating pace, as our ability to measure impacts become more sensitive – that document adverse health and neurological impacts of EMF/RFR. Children are more vulnerable than adults, and children with chronic illnesses and/or neurodevelopmental disabilities are even more vulnerable. Elderly or chronically ill adults are more vulnerable than healthy adults.

Current technologies were designed and promulgated without taking account of biological impacts other than thermal impacts. We now know that there are a large array of impacts that have nothing to do with the heating of tissue. The claim from wifi proponents that the only concern is thermal impacts is now definitively outdated scientifically.

EMF/RFR from wifi and cell towers can exert a disorganizing effect on the ability to learn and remember, and can also be destabilizing to immune and metabolic function. This will make it harder for some children to learn, particularly those who are already having problems in the first place.

Powerful industrial entities have a vested interest in leading the public to believe that EMF/RFR, which we cannot see, taste or touch, is harmless, but this is not true. Please do the right and precautionary thing for our children

I urge you to step back from your intention to go wifi in the LAUSD, and instead opt for wired technologies, particularly for those subpopulations that are most sensitive. It will be easier for you to make a healthier decision now than to undo a misguided decision later.

Thank you.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Martha Herbert', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Martha Herbert, PhD, MD  
Pediatric Neurology  
Martinos Center for Biomedical Imaging  
Massachusetts General Hospital  
Harvard Medical School  
Boston, Massachusetts  
USA



EMFscientist.org

PRESS RELEASE

**International Scientists Appeal to U.N. to Protect Humans and Wildlife from Electromagnetic Fields and Wireless Technology**

*WHO's conflicting stance on risk needs strengthening, says 190 scientists*

New York, NY, May 11, 2015 (Business Wire) -- Today 190 scientists from 39 nations submitted an appeal to the United Nations, UN member states and the World Health Organization (WHO) requesting they adopt more protective exposure guidelines for electromagnetic fields (EMF) and wireless technology in the face of increasing evidence of risk. These exposures are a rapidly growing form of environmental pollution worldwide.

The "*International EMF Scientist Appeal*" asks the Secretary General and UN affiliated bodies to encourage precautionary measures, to limit EMF exposures, and to educate the public about health risks, particularly to children and pregnant women.

The *Appeal* highlights WHO's conflicting positions about EMF risk. WHO's International Agency for Research on Cancer classified Radiofrequency radiation as a Group 2B "Possible Carcinogen" in 2011, and Extremely Low Frequency fields in 2001. Nonetheless, WHO continues to ignore its own agency's recommendations and favors guidelines recommended by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP). These guidelines, developed by a self-selected group of industry insiders, have long been criticized as non-protective.

The *Appeal* calls on the UN to strengthen its advisories on EMF risk for humans and to assess the potential impact on wildlife and other living organisms under the auspices of the UN Environmental Programme, in line with the science demonstrating risk, thereby resolving this inconsistency.


Martin Blank, PhD, of Columbia University, says, "International exposure guidelines for electromagnetic fields must be strengthened to reflect the reality of their impact on our bodies, especially on our DNA. The time to deal with the harmful biological and health effects is long overdue. We must reduce exposure by establishing more protective guidelines."

Joel Moskowitz, PhD, of University of California, Berkeley, says, "ICNIRP guidelines set exposure standards for high-intensity, short-term, tissue-heating thresholds. These do not protect us from the low-intensity, chronic exposures common today. Scientists signing the Appeal request that the UN and member nations protect the global human population and wildlife from EMF exposures."

# Captured Agency:

How the Federal Communications Commission Is Dominated by the Industries It Presumably Regulates

by Norm Alster



[www.ethics.harvard.edu](http://www.ethics.harvard.edu)

# Captured Agency

How the Federal Communications Commission Is Dominated  
by the Industries It Presumably Regulates

By Norm Alster

--  
Copyright:



This ebook is available under the Creative Commons 4.0 license.  
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

*Published by:*

Edmond J. Safra Center for Ethics  
Harvard University  
124 Mount Auburn Street, Suite 520N  
Cambridge, MA 02138 USA  
<http://www.ethics.harvard.edu/>



HARVARD UNIVERSITY  
Edmond J. Safra  
Center for Ethics

## CONTENTS

1. The Corrupted Network
  2. Just Don't Bring Up Health
  3. Wireless Bullies and the Tobacco Analogy
  4. You Don't Need Wires To Tie People Up
  5. \$270 Billion . . . and Looking for Handouts
  6. The Cable Connection
  7. What about Privacy?
  8. Dependencies Power the Network of Corruption
  9. A Modest Agenda for the FCC
  10. Stray Thoughts
- Appendix – Survey of Consumer Attitudes
- Endnotes



# United States Department of the Interior

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240

FEB - 7 2014



In Reply Refer To: (ER 14/0001) (ER 14/0004).

Mr. Eli Veenendaal  
National Telecommunications and Information  
Administration  
U.S. Department of Commerce  
1401 Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20230

Dear Mr. Veenendaal:

The Department of the Interior (Department) has reviewed the above referenced proposal and submits the following comments and attachment for consideration. Because the First Responder Network Authority (FirstNet) is a newly created entity, we commend the U.S. Department of Commerce for its timely proposals for NEPA implementing procedures.

The Department believes that some of the proposed procedures are not consistent with Executive Order 13186 Responsibilities of Federal Agencies to Protect Migratory Birds, which specifically requires federal agencies to develop and use principles, standards, and practices that will lessen the amount of unintentional take reasonably attributed to agency actions. The Department, through the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), finds that the proposals lack provisions necessary to conserve migratory bird resources, including eagles. The proposals also do not reflect current information regarding the effects of communication towers to birds. Our comments are intended to further clarify specific issues and address provisions in the proposals.

The Department recommends revisions to the proposed procedures to better reflect the impacts to resources under our jurisdiction from communication towers. The placement and operation of communication towers, including un-guyed, unlit, monopole or lattice-designed structures, impact protected migratory birds in two significant ways. The first is by injury, crippling loss, and death from collisions with towers and their supporting guy-wire infrastructure, where present. The second significant issue associated with communication towers involves impacts from non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation emitted by them (See Attachment).

In addition to the 147 Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC) species, the FWS has listed an additional 92 species as endangered or threatened under the Endangered Species Act. Together with the bald and golden eagle, this represents 241 species of birds whose populations are in trouble or otherwise merit special protection, according to the varying criteria of these lists. The Department suggests that FirstNet consider preparing a programmatic environmental impact statement (see attachment) to determine and address cumulative impacts from authorizing FirstNet projects on those 241 species for which the incremental impact of tower mortality, when

17

## Enclosure A

### Background

The placement and operation of communication towers, including un-guyed, unlit, monopole or lattice-designed structures, impact protected migratory birds in two significant ways.

The first is by injury, crippling loss, and death from collisions with towers and their supporting guy-wire infrastructure, where present. Mass mortality events tend to occur during periods of peak spring and fall songbird migration when inclement weather events coincide with migration, and frequently where lights (either on the towers and/or on adjacent outbuildings) are also present. This situation has been well documented in the U.S. since 1948 in the published literature (Aronoff 1949, see Manville 2007a for a critique). The tallest communication towers tend to be the most problematic (Gehring *et al.* 2011). However, mid-range (~400-ft) towers as proposed by the First Responder Network Authority (FirstNet, a newly created entity under the Department of Commerce) can also significantly impact protected migratory birds, as can un-guyed and unlit lattice and monopole towers (Gehring *et al.* 2009, Manville 2007a, 2009, 2013a). Mass mortalities (more than several hundred birds per night) at un-guyed, unlit monopole and lattice towers were documented in fall 2005 and 2011 in the Northeast and North Central U.S. (*e.g.*, Manville 2007a). It has been argued that communication towers including “short” towers do not impact migratory birds, including at the population level (*e.g.*, Arnold and Zink 2011), but recent findings have contradicted that assertion (Manville 2007a, 2013a, Longcore *et al.* 2012, 2013).

The second significant issue associated with communication towers involves impacts from non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation emitted by these structures. Radiation studies at cellular communication towers were begun circa 2000 in Europe and continue today on wild nesting birds. Study results have documented nest and site abandonment, plumage deterioration, locomotion problems, reduced survivorship, and death (*e.g.*, Balmori 2005, Balmori and Hallberg 2007, and Everaert and Bauwens 2007). Nesting migratory birds and their offspring have apparently been affected by the radiation from cellular phone towers in the 900 and 1800 MHz frequency ranges – 915 MHz is the standard cellular phone frequency used in the United States. However, the electromagnetic radiation standards used by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) continue to be based on thermal heating, a criterion now nearly 30 years out of date and inapplicable today. This is primarily due to the lower levels of radiation output from microwave-powered communication devices such as cellular telephones and other sources of point-to-point communications; levels typically lower than from microwave ovens. The problem, however, appears to focus on very low levels of non-ionizing electromagnetic radiation. For example, in laboratory studies, T. Litovitz (personal communication) and DiCarlo *et al.* (2002) raised concerns about impacts of low-level, non-thermal electromagnetic radiation from the standard 915 MHz cell phone frequency on domestic chicken embryos – with some lethal results (Manville 2009, 2013a). Radiation at extremely low levels (0.0001 the level emitted by the average digital cellular telephone) caused heart attacks and the deaths of some chicken embryos subjected to hypoxic conditions in the laboratory while controls subjected to hypoxia were unaffected (DiCarlo *et al.* 2002). To date, no independent, third-party field studies have been conducted in North America on impacts of tower electromagnetic radiation on migratory birds. With the European field and U.S. laboratory evidence already available,

MS



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JUL 16 2002

OFFICE OF  
AIR AND RADIATION

Ms. Janet Newton  
President  
The EMR Network  
P.O. Box 221  
Marshfield, VT 05658

Dear Ms. Newton:

This is in reply to your letter of January 31, 2002, to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Whitman, in which you express your concerns about the adequacy of the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC) radiofrequency (RF) radiation exposure guidelines and nonthermal effects of radiofrequency radiation. Another issue that you raise in your letter is the FCC's claim that EPA shares responsibility for recommending RF radiation protection guidelines to the FCC. I hope that my reply will clarify EPA's position with regard to these concerns. I believe that it is correct to say that there is uncertainty about whether or not current guidelines adequately treat nonthermal, prolonged exposures (exposures that may continue on an intermittent basis for many years). The explanation that follows is basically a summary of statements that have been made in other EPA documents and correspondence.

The guidelines currently used by the FCC were adopted by the FCC in 1996. The guidelines were recommended by EPA, with certain reservations, in a letter to Thomas P. Stanley, Chief Engineer, Office of Engineering and Technology, Federal Communications Commission, November 9, 1993, in response to the FCC's request for comments on their Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation (enclosed).

The FCC's current exposure guidelines, as well as those of the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) and the International Commission on Non-ionizing Radiation Protection, are thermally based, and do not apply to chronic, nonthermal exposure situations. They are believed to protect against injury that may be caused by acute exposures that result in tissue heating or electric shock and burn. The hazard level (for frequencies generally at or greater than 3 MHz) is based on a specific absorption dose-rate, SAR, associated with an effect

19

that results from an increase in body temperature. The FCC's exposure guideline is considered protective of effects arising from a thermal mechanism but not from all possible mechanisms. Therefore, the generalization by many that the guidelines protect human beings from harm by any or all mechanisms is not justified.

These guidelines are based on findings of an adverse effect level of 4 watts per kilogram (W/kg) body weight. This SAR was observed in laboratory research involving acute exposures that elevated the body temperature of animals, including nonhuman primates. The exposure guidelines did not consider information that addresses nonthermal, prolonged exposures, i.e., from research showing effects with implications for possible adversity in situations involving chronic/prolonged, low-level (nonthermal) exposures. Relatively few chronic, low-level exposure studies of laboratory animals and epidemiological studies of human populations have been reported and the majority of these studies do not show obvious adverse health effects. However, there are reports that suggest that potentially adverse health effects, such as cancer, may occur. Since EPA's comments were submitted to the FCC in 1993, the number of studies reporting effects associated with both acute and chronic low-level exposure to RF radiation has increased.

While there is general, although not unanimous, agreement that the database on low-level, long-term exposures is not sufficient to provide a basis for standards development, some contemporary guidelines state explicitly that their adverse-effect level is based on an increase in body temperature and do not claim that the exposure limits protect against both thermal and nonthermal effects. The FCC does not claim that their exposure guidelines provide protection for exposures to which the 4 W/kg SAR basis does not apply, i.e., exposures below the 4 W/kg threshold level that are chronic/prolonged and nonthermal. However, exposures that comply with the FCC's guidelines generally have been represented as "safe" by many of the RF system operators and service providers who must comply with them, even though there is uncertainty about possible risk from nonthermal, intermittent exposures that may continue for years.


The 4 W/kg SAR, a whole-body average, time-average dose-rate, is used to derive dose-rate and exposure limits for situations involving RF radiation exposure of a person's entire body from a relatively remote radiating source. Most people's greatest exposures result from the use of personal communications devices that expose the head. In summary, the current exposure guidelines used by the FCC are based on the effects resulting from whole-body heating, not exposure of and effect on critical organs including the brain and the eyes. In addition, the maximum permitted local SAR limit of 1.6 W/kg for critical organs of the body is related directly to the permitted whole body average SAR (0.08 W/kg), with no explanation given other than to limit heating.

I also have enclosed a letter written in June of 1999 to Mr. Richard Tell, Chair, IEEE SCC28 (SC4) Risk Assessment Work Group, in which the members of the Radiofrequency Interagency Work Group (RFIAWG) identified certain issues that they had determined needed to be addressed in order to provide a strong and credible rationale to support RF exposure guidelines.

Federal health and safety agencies have not yet developed policies concerning possible risk from long-term, nonthermal exposures. When developing exposure standards for other physical agents such as toxic substances, health risk uncertainties, with emphasis given to sensitive populations, are often considered. Incorporating information on exposure scenarios involving repeated short duration/nonthermal exposures that may continue over very long periods of time (years), with an exposed population that includes children, the elderly, and people with various debilitating physical and medical conditions, could be beneficial in delineating appropriate protective exposure guidelines.

I appreciate the opportunity to be of service and trust that the information provided is helpful. If you have further questions, my phone number is (202) 564-9235 and e-mail address is [hankin.norbert@epa.gov](mailto:hankin.norbert@epa.gov).

Sincerely,



Norbert Hankin  
Center for Science and Risk Assessment  
Radiation Protection Division

Enclosures:

- 1) letter to Thomas P. Stanley, Chief Engineer, Office of Engineering and Technology, Federal Communications Commission, November 9, 1993, in response to the FCC's request for comments on their Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM), Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation
- 2) June 1999 letter to Mr. Richard Tell, Chair, IEEE SCC28 (SC4) Risk Assessment Work Group from the Radiofrequency Radiation Interagency Work Group

## France bans Wifi in nurseries

February 11, 2015

<http://www.connexionfrance.com/index.php>

WIFI has been banned in nurseries, schools, kindergartens and childcare centres across France that cater for children under the age of six.



In primary schools, which also welcome older pupils and where computers are used in lessons, Wifi points in classrooms must be disabled when not in use, national frequencies regulator, *L'Agence Nationale des Fréquences (ANFR)* has said.

Restrictions on Wifi access in schools are intended to reduce young children's exposure to electromagnetic wave radiation, which the International Agency for Research on Cancer considers, "possibly carcinogenic".

Establishments offering public Wi-Fi access will now have to display a symbol indicating the presence of electromagnetic waves.

Meanwhile, homeowners and tenants now have access to information about the level of exposure to electromagnetic waves in their homes, by [printing and completing this PDF form](#).

Once counter-signed by an official at approved organisation - such as a local authority, environmental protection body or family association, it can be sent to the ANFR.

It is also possible to find out the location of all the mobile phone antennas are in your area, by logging on to the ANFR's [Cartoradio](#) site.

Related stories:

[Mobile use poses cancer risk: study](#)

- See more at: <http://www.connexionfrance.com/france-wifi-schools-ban-children-six-nurseries-daycare-kindergartens-homes-electromagnetic-waves-16637-view-article.html#sthash.uM4b5ULE.dpuf>

September 23, 2015

# Israel Bans WiFi in Kindergarten, Calls to Limit Children's Exposure <sup>22</sup>

by James Tracy • News • Tags: environmentalism, public health, wireless technology

## Italian State of Tyrol Also Calls for Curbing Wireless in Schools

Environmental Health Trust

Sep 22, 2015

Teton Village, WY — (SBWIRE) — As of this fall, Israel and Italy are officially recommending schools reduce children's exposures to wireless radiation. The Israeli Ministry of Health has initiated a major public awareness effort to reduce wireless and electromagnetic radiation exposures to children. In similar action, the Italian State Parliament of South Tyrol voted to allow the application of the precautionary principle to replace existing wireless networks whenever possible with wired networks or those that emit less radiation.



The Israeli Ministry of Health (MoH) recommendations are published in the Environmental Health in Israel Report 2014 which states that

“Precautions should be strictly enforced with regard to children, who are more sensitive to developing cancer.”

The Report makes the following points:

**Cell Phones:** “The MoH recommends sensible use of cellular and wireless technology, including: considering alternatives like landline telephones” MoH recommendations include: use a speaker or hands-free phone accessory or (non-wireless) personal earphone in order to distance the telephone from the body, reduce the amount and duration of calls, and in areas of weak reception reduce calls because of higher radiation.

**Children:** MoH recommends: “refraining from installing the base of wireless phones in a bedroom, work room, or children's room.”

**Schools:** Levels of non-ionizing radiation were measured in 25 schools nation-wide and “based on these findings, the MoEP recommends that students remain at a distance of at least 1.5 meters from electrical cabinets and that use of wireless communication networks in schools be reduced.”

**Reduce Exposure in Cars:** The MoH recommends not using cellphones in closed places like cars or elevators, buses, and trains unless there is an external antenna “due to amplified radiation in such places.” “When driving, a hands-free device should be used for calls. It is recommended to install an antenna outside the vehicle and to use a line connection between the telephone and the speaker as opposed to using Bluetooth.”

**Research:** Previous research findings in Israel “clearly indicated a link between cellphone use for more than 10 years and the development of tumors in the salivary glands.” Israel is currently a partner in two additional international studies: (1) MOBI-Kids, a multi-center study involving experts from 16 countries who are examining potential associations between use of communication devices and other environmental factors and risk of brain tumors, and (2) the GERoNiMO (Generalised EMF Research using Novel Methods) project, which uses an integrated approach and expertise from 13 countries to further the state of knowledge on EMF and health.

The Report concludes with a chapter by Linda S. Birnbaum, Director of the US National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and National Toxicology Program, who states, “**Israel is a world leader in research on the health effects of non-ionizing radiation. If some of the studies turn out to be harbingers of things to come, we may have major health consequences from the nearly ubiquitous presence of wireless equipment.**”


The recently published ISRAEL 2015 RF Safety Report details current actions on EMFS such as:

**New Public Education Website:** The Israeli government launched the public education website TNUDA (<http://www.tnuda.org.il>) of the National Information Center for Non-Ionizing Radiation to guide the public and decision-makers on the educated use of technology.

**Guidelines for the installation and operation of Wi-Fi networks in schools:** Following a petition seeking an outright ban on Wi-Fi in Schools, the government is banning Wi-Fi in kindergartens and restricting hours of use in schools, installing equipment with exposures to be set as low as possible, and monitoring radiofrequency (RF) radiation levels.

**Government Testing Finds that Mobile Phones Violate Manufacturers’ Reported SAR:** In a study conducted by the Ministry for Environmental Protection and the Holon Institute of Technology, the SAR of 10 models of mobile phones was measured using phantoms. The measured SAR exceeded the SAR declared by the manufacturer, when the phone was held close to the head and in bad reception mode (100% of the maximum power).

**ELF EMF limits are recommended at numbers far below international limits.** These recommendations were set to account for research showing links to leukemia. “The Ministry of Health (MoH) jointly recommend a threshold of two milligauss on an average annual basis when planning an electrical facility or four milligauss on a daily average.” A study performed by the



## Belgium Adopts New Regulations to Promote Cell Phone Radiation Safety

**Children's mobile phones are banned. The specific absorption rate (SAR) must be listed on every mobile phone at the point of sale and a warning provided to customers to choose a lower SAR phone, use it moderately, and wear an earpiece.**

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

*PRLog (Press Release) - Oct. 24, 2013 - BERKELEY, Calif.* -- According to the Federal Public Service, beginning in March, 2014, new regulations will apply to the sale of mobile phones in Belgium. Children's mobile phones will be banned. The specific absorption rate (SAR) for every mobile phone must be listed at the point of sale and the following warning must be provided to customers:

"Think about your health – use your mobile phone moderately, make your calls wearing an earpiece and choose a set with a lower SAR value."

The Belgian government's additional recommendations include use of other hands-free methods to keep the phone away from the body such as text messaging, and not making calls when the signal is weak, such as in an elevator or in a moving vehicle.

All cell phones will be labeled with the letter A, B, C, D, or E, corresponding to the phone's specific absorption rating, or SAR, which is a measure of the maximum amount of energy deposited in an adult user's brain during a short phone call.

"A" indicates a SAR less than 0.4 watts/kilogram (w/kg), "B" from 0.4 to less than 0.8 w/kg, "C" from 0.8 to less than 1.2 w/kg, "D" from 1.2 to less than 1.6 w/kg, and "E" more than 1.6 w/kg.

Although phones sold in the U.S. cannot currently exceed 1.6 w/kg and are measured in a different manner than in Europe, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is considering weakening the U.S. standard and adopting the European or international standard which was developed by a private organization called ICNIRP. The multinational Telecom Industry has lobbied to weaken our protections in the interest of global "harmonization." This policy change is strongly opposed by numerous consumer groups, environmental groups, medical professionals and health scientists in the U.S. who have advocated for stronger regulations, not weaker ones, to protect public health.

In 2010, the city of San Francisco adopted a cell phone "right to know" law that is similar to the Belgian Government's new regulations, but after a lengthy legal battle in the Federal courts with the Telecom Industry, the city repealed the law earlier this year.

The new regulations by the Belgian government are in response to the International Agency for Research on Cancer's (IARC) declaration that radio frequency radiation is "possibly carcinogenic" based upon research that finds increased risk of brain cancer due to intensive use of a mobile phone.

Since the IARC declared that cell phone radiation is "possibly carcinogenic" in May, 2011, more evidence of brain cancer risk has been published in the peer-reviewed, scientific literature. The latest study by

Martin L. Pall\*

# Scientific evidence contradicts findings and assumptions of Canadian Safety Panel 6: microwaves act through voltage-gated calcium channel activation to induce biological impacts at non-thermal levels, supporting a paradigm shift for microwave/lower frequency electromagnetic field action

**Abstract:** This review considers a paradigm shift on microwave electromagnetic field (EMF) action from only thermal effects to action via voltage-gated calcium channel (VGCC) activation. Microwave/lower frequency EMFs were shown in two dozen studies to act via VGCC activation because all effects studied were blocked by calcium channel blockers. This mode of action was further supported by hundreds of studies showing microwave changes in calcium fluxes and intracellular calcium  $[Ca^{2+}]_i$  signaling. The biophysical properties of VGCCs/similar channels make them particularly sensitive to low intensity, non-thermal EMF exposures. Non-thermal studies have shown that in most cases pulsed fields are more active than are non-pulsed fields and that exposures within certain intensity windows have much large biological effects than do either lower or higher intensity exposures; these are both consistent with a VGCC role but inconsistent with only a heating/thermal role. Downstream effects of VGCC activation include calcium signaling, elevated nitric oxide (NO), NO signaling, peroxynitrite, free radical formation, and oxidative stress. Downstream effects explain repeatedly reported biological responses to non-thermal exposures: oxidative stress; single and double strand breaks in cellular DNA; cancer; male and female infertility; lowered melatonin/sleep disruption; cardiac changes including tachycardia, arrhythmia, and sudden cardiac death; diverse neuropsychiatric effects including depression; and therapeutic effects. Non-VGCC non-thermal mechanisms may occur,

but none have been shown to have effects in mammals. Biologically relevant safety standards can be developed through studies of cell lines/cell cultures with high levels of different VGCCs, measuring their responses to different EMF exposures. The 2014 Canadian Report by a panel of experts only recognizes thermal effects regarding safety standards for non-ionizing radiation exposures. Its position is therefore contradicted by each of the observations above. The Report is assessed here in several ways including through Karl Popper's assessment of strength of evidence. Popper argues that the strongest type of evidence is evidence that falsifies a theory; second strongest is a test of "risky prediction"; the weakest confirms a prediction that the theory could be correct but in no way rules out alternative theories. All of the evidence supporting the Report's conclusion that only thermal effects need be considered are of the weakest type, confirming prediction but not ruling out alternatives. In contrast, there are thousands of studies apparently falsifying their position. The Report argues that there are no biophysically viable mechanisms for non-thermal effects (shown to be false, see above). It claims that there are many "inconsistencies" in the literature causing them to throw out large numbers of studies; however, the one area where it apparently documents this claim, that of genotoxicity, shows no inconsistencies; rather it shows that various cell types, fields and end points produce different responses, as should be expected. The Report claims that cataract formation is produced by thermal effects but ignores studies falsifying this claim and also studies showing  $[Ca^{2+}]_i$  and VGCC roles. It is time for a paradigm shift away from only thermal effects toward VGCC activation and consequent downstream effects.

\*Corresponding author: Martin L. Pall, Washington State University, 638 NE 41st Ave., Portland, OR 97232-3312, USA, E-mail: martin\_pall@wsu.edu

## Studies that show WiFi Health Effects

### Eyes

**Akar A, Karayiğit MO, Bolat D, Gültiken ME, Yarım M, Castellani G. Effects of low level electromagnetic field exposure at 2.45 GHz on rat cornea. Int J Radiat Biol. 2012 Dec 3. [Epub ahead of print]**

**Abstract Purpose:** To investigate the effects of low level Electromagnetic Field (low level-EMF) exposure, as frequently encountered in daily life, on the yesmal rat cornea using histological and stereological method. **Methods:** Twenty-two adult male Wistar rats were randomly divided into two groups: study group (n=11) and control group (n=11). Rats in the study group were exposed to 2.45 GHz Microwave (MW) radiation ( $11.96 \pm 0.89 \text{V/m}$ ),  $0.25 \text{ W/kg}$  specific absorption rate (SAR) for 2 hours each day for 21 days. The corneal thickness and the anterior epithelium corneal thickness were measured using two different methods.

**Results:** Using the histological method, the mean corneal thicknesses in the control and study group were  $278.9 \pm 54.5 \mu\text{m}$ , and  $272.4 \pm 85.6 \mu\text{m}$ , respectively. There was no statistically significant difference between the groups ( $p > 0.05$ ). The anterior corneal epithelium thickness was  $28.1 \pm 4.9 \mu\text{m}$  in the control group and  $31.7 \pm 5.5 \mu\text{m}$  in the study group. There were statistically differences between the groups with regard to the thickness of anterior epithelium ( $p < 0.05$ ). In the measurement made by the stereological method, the percentage of the cornea occupied by anterior corneal epithelium was 15.94% in the control group and 17.9% in the study group. Despite the fact that there was a relation between increased anterior epithelial area (AEA) and radiation exposure, no statistically significant relationship in area fraction of each compartment was found between the control and study groups. **Conclusions:** Results of this preliminary study show that exposure to MW radiation might cause alterations in the rat cornea.

**Balci M, Namuslu M, Devrim E, Durak I. Effects of computer monitor-emitted radiation on oxidant/antioxidant balance in cornea and lens from rats. Mol Vis. 15:2521-2525, 2009.**

**PURPOSE:** This study aims to investigate the possible effects of computer monitor-emitted radiation on the oxidant/antioxidant balance in corneal and lens tissues and to observe any protective effects of vitamin C (vit C). **METHODS:** Four groups (PC monitor, PC monitor plus vitamin C, vitamin C, and control) each consisting of ten Wistar rats were studied. The study lasted for three weeks. Vitamin C was administered in oral doses of  $250 \text{ mg/kg/day}$ . The computer and computer plus vitamin C groups were exposed to computer monitors while the other groups were not. Malondialdehyde (MDA) levels and superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), and catalase (CAT) activities were measured in corneal and lens tissues of the rats. **RESULTS:** In corneal tissue, MDA levels and CAT activity were found to increase in the computer group compared with the control group. In the computer plus vitamin C group, MDA level, SOD, and GSH-Px activities were higher and CAT activity lower than those in the computer and control groups. Regarding lens tissue, in the computer group, MDA levels and GSH-Px activity were found to increase, as compared to the control and computer plus vitamin C groups, and SOD activity was higher

## Studies that show WiFi Health Effects

than that of the control group. In the computer plus vitamin C group, SOD activity was found to be higher and CAT activity to be lower than those in the control group. CONCLUSION: The results of this study suggest that computer-monitor radiation leads to oxidative stress in the corneal and lens tissues, and that vitamin C may prevent oxidative effects in the lens.

**Behrens T, Lynge E, Cree I, Sabroe S, Lutz JM, Afonso N, Eriksson M, Guénel P, Merletti F, Morales-Suarez-Varela M, Stengrevics A, Févotte J, Llopis-González A, Gorini G, Sharkova G, Hardell L, Ahrens W.**  
**Occupational exposure to electromagnetic fields and sex-differential risk of uveal melanoma. Occup Environ Med.67(11):751-759, 2010**

**Objectives** The association between occupational exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMF) and the risk of uveal melanoma was investigated in a case-control study in nine European countries. **Methods** Incident cases of uveal melanoma and population as well as hospital controls were included and frequency matched by country, 5-year birth cohort and sex. Subjects were asked whether they had worked close to high-voltage electrical transmission installations, computer screens and various electrical machines, or in complex electrical environments. Measurements of two Scandinavian job-exposure matrices were applied to estimate lifelong cumulative EMF exposure. Unconditional logistic regression analyses, stratified by sex and eye colour were calculated, adjusting for several potential confounders. **Results** 293 patients with uveal melanoma and 3198 control subjects were interviewed. Women exposed to electrical transmission installations showed elevated risks (OR 5.81, 95% CI 1.72 to 19.66). Positive associations with exposure to control rooms were seen among men and women, but most risk increases were restricted to subjects with dark iris colour. Application of published EMF measurements revealed stronger risk increases among women compared to men. Again, elevated risks were restricted to subjects with dark eye colour. **Conclusion** Although based on a low prevalence of exposure to potential occupational sources of EMF, our data indicate that exposed dark-eyed women may be at particular risk for uveal melanoma.

## Blood

**Cleary SF, Liu LM, Merchant RE, In vitro lymphocyte proliferation induced by radio-frequency electromagnetic radiation under isothermal conditions. Bioelectromagnetics 11(1):47-56, 1990.**

Whole human blood was exposed or sham-exposed in vitro for 2 h to 27 or 2,450 MHz radio-frequency electromagnetic (RF) radiation under isothermal conditions (i.e., 37 +/- 0.2 degrees C). Immediately after exposure, mononuclear cells were separated from blood by Ficoll density-gradient centrifugation and cultured for 3 days at 37 degrees C with or without mitogenic stimulation by phytohemagglutinin (PHA). Lymphocyte proliferation was assayed at the end of the culture period by 6 h of pulse labeling with 3H-thymidine (3H-TdR). Exposure to radiation at either frequency at specific absorption rates (SARs) below 50 W/kg resulted in a dose-

## Studies that show WiFi Health Effects

dependent, statistically significant increase of 3H-TdR uptake in PHA-activated or unstimulated lymphocytes. Exposure at 50 W/kg or higher suppressed 3H-TdR uptake relative to that of sham-exposed cells. There were no detectable effects of RF radiation on lymphocyte morphology or viability. Notwithstanding the characteristic temperature dependence of lymphocyte activation in vitro, the isothermal exposure conditions of this study warrant the conclusion that the biphasic, dose-dependent effects of the radiation on lymphocyte proliferation were not dependent on heating.

### Brain

**Akoev IG, Pashovkina MS, Dolgacheva LP, Semenova TP, Kalmykov VL. [Enzymatic activity of some tissues and blood serum from animals and humans exposed to microwaves and hypothesis on the possible role of free radical processes in the nonlinear effects and modification of emotional behavior of animals] Radiats Biol Radioecol 42(3):322-330, 2002. [Article in Russian]**

The dependence of activities of actomyosin ATPase, alkaline phosphatase, aspartataminotransferase, monoaminoxidase and that of affective rat behavior on frequency of modulation of microwaves (0.8-10 microW/cm<sup>2</sup>) was explored at short-time actions. Series of nonlinear phenomenon, inexplicable from positions of the energy approaches are revealed, The working hypothesis explaining opportunity of high performance of weak and super-weak microwaves and other revealed phenomena by resonance interaction of such electromagnetic radiofrequency radiation with paramagnetic molecules of biological tissues was proposed. This resonance interaction activate free radicals and initiate auto-supporting and auto-intensifying of chain chemical reactions. The spontaneous autocatalytic oxidation of catecholamines enlarges a common pool of free radicals, capable to participate in such enhanced generating. The protective role of monoaminoxidase is postulated. Monoaminoxidase is basically located on an outer surface of mitochondrias and it is deaminating monoamines. The deaminating prevents penetration of catecholamines inside of mitochondrias and their quinoid oxidation there with formation of free-radical semi-quinons, capable to destroy system of ATP synthesis. These inferences are obliquely confirmed by the experimentally revealed correlation between activity of monoaminoxidase and integrative activity of the rat brain.

**Chen YB, Li J, Liu JY, Zeng LH, Wan Y, Li YR, Ren D, Guo GZ. Effect of Electromagnetic Pulses (EMP) on associative learning in mice and a preliminary study of mechanism. Int J Radiat Biol. 87(12):1147-1154, 2011.**

PURPOSE: To investigate the effects of electromagnetic pulses (EMP) on associative learning in mice and test a preliminary mechanism for these effects. MATERIALS AND METHODS: A tapered parallel plate gigahertz transverse electromagnetic

## Studies that show WiFi Health Effects

(GTEM) cell with a flared rectangular coaxial transmission line was used to expose male BALB/c mice to EMP (peak-intensity 400 kV/m, rise-time 10 ns, pulse-width 350 ns, 0.5 Hz and total 200 pulses). Concurrent sham-exposed mice were used as a control. Associative learning, oxidative stress in the brain, serum chemistry and the protective action of tocopherol monoglucoside (TMG) in mice were measured, respectively. RESULTS: (1) Twelve hour and 1 day post EMP exposure associative learning was reduced significantly compared with sham control ( $p < 0.05$ ) but recovered at 2 d post EMP exposure. (2) Compared with the sham control, lipid peroxidation of brain tissue and chemiluminescence (CL) intensity increased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ), while the activity of the antioxidant enzymes Superoxide Dismutase [SOD], Glutathione [GSH], Glutathione Peroxidase [GSH-Px], Catalase [CAT] decreased significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) at 3 h, 6 h, 12 h and 1 d post EMP exposure. All these parameters recovered at 2 d post EMP exposure. (3) No significant differences between the sham control group and EMP exposed group were observed in serum cholesterol and triglycerides. (4) Pretreatment of mice with TMG showed protective effects to EMP exposure. CONCLUSIONS: EMP exposure significantly decreased associative learning in mice and TMG acted as an effective protective agent from EMP exposure. This mechanism could involve an increase of oxidative stress in brain by EMP exposure.

### Fertility

**Atasoy HI, Gunal MY, Atasoy P, Elgun S, Bugdayci G. Immunohistopathologic demonstration of deleterious effects on growing rat testes of radiofrequency waves emitted from conventional Wi-Fi devices. *J Pediatr Urol.* 9(2):223-229, 2013.**

OBJECTIVE: To investigate effects on rat testes of radiofrequency radiation emitted from indoor Wi-Fi Internet access devices using 802.11.g wireless standards. METHODS: Ten Wistar albino male rats were divided into experimental and control groups, with five rats per group. Standard wireless gateways communicating at 2.437 GHz were used as radiofrequency wave sources. The experimental group was exposed to radiofrequency energy for 24 h a day for 20 weeks. The rats were sacrificed at the end of the study. Intracardiac blood was sampled for serum 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine levels. Testes were removed and examined histologically and immunohistochemically. Testis tissues were analyzed for malondialdehyde levels and prooxidant-antioxidant enzyme activities. RESULTS: We observed significant increases in serum 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine levels and 8-hydroxyguanosine staining in the testes of the experimental group indicating DNA damage due to exposure ( $p < 0.05$ ). We also found decreased levels of catalase and glutathione peroxidase activity in the experimental group, which may have been due to radiofrequency effects on enzyme activity ( $p < 0.05$ ). CONCLUSIONS: These findings raise questions about the safety of radiofrequency exposure from Wi-Fi Internet access devices for growing organisms of reproductive age, with a potential effect on both fertility and the integrity of germ cells.

**Avendaño C, Mata A, Sanchez Sarmiento CA, Doncel GF. Use of laptop**

## Studies that show WiFi Health Effects

**computers connected to internet through Wi-Fi decreases human sperm motility and increases sperm DNA fragmentation.***Fertil Steril.* 97(1):39-45, 2012.

**OBJECTIVE:** To evaluate the effects of laptop computers connected to local area networks wirelessly (Wi-Fi) on human spermatozoa.**DESIGN:** Prospective in vitro study.**SETTING:** Center for reproductive medicine.**PATIENT(S):** Semen samples from 29 healthy donors.**INTERVENTION(S):** Motile sperm were selected by swim up. Each sperm suspension was divided into two aliquots. One sperm aliquot (experimental) from each patient was exposed to an internet-connected laptop by Wi-Fi for 4 hours, whereas the second aliquot (unexposed) was used as control, incubated under identical conditions without being exposed to the laptop.**MAIN OUTCOME MEASURE(S):** Evaluation of sperm motility, viability, and DNA fragmentation.**RESULT(S):** Donor sperm samples, mostly normozoospermic, exposed ex vivo during 4 hours to a wireless internet-connected laptop showed a significant decrease in progressive sperm motility and an increase in sperm DNA fragmentation. Levels of dead sperm showed no significant differences between the two groups.**CONCLUSION(S):** To our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the direct impact of laptop use on human spermatozoa. Ex vivo exposure of human spermatozoa to a wireless internet-connected laptop decreased motility and induced DNA fragmentation by a nonthermal effect. We speculate that keeping a laptop connected wirelessly to the internet on the lap near the testes may result in decreased male fertility. Further in vitro and in vivo studies are needed to prove this contention.

## Pregnancy

**Col-Araz N. Evaluation of factors affecting birth weight and preterm birth in southern Turkey.** *J Pak Med Assoc.* 2013 Apr;63(4):459-62.

**OBJECTIVE:** To identify factors affecting birth weight and pre-term birth, and to find associations with electromagnetic devices such as television, computer and mobile phones. **METHODS:** The study was conducted in Turkey at Gazintep University, Faculty of Medicine's Outpatient Clinic at the Paediatric Ward. It comprised 500 patients who presented at the clinic from May to December 2009. All participants were administered a questionnaire regarding their pregnancy history. SPSS 13 was used for statistical analysis. **RESULTS:** In the study, 90 (19%) patients had pre-term birth, and 64 (12.9%) had low birth weight rate Birth weight was positively correlated with maternal age and baseline maternal weight ( $r = 0.115$ ,  $p < 0.010$ ;  $r = 0.168$ ,  $p < 0.000$ , respectively). Pre-term birth and birth weight less than 2500g were more common in mothers with a history of disease during pregnancy ( $p < 0.046$  and  $p < 0.008$ , respectively). The habit of watching television and using mobile phones and computer by mothers did not demonstrate any relationship with birth weight. Mothers who used mobile phones or computers during pregnancy had more deliveries before 37 weeks ( $p < 0.018$ ,  $p < 0.034$ ; respectively). Similarly, pregnancy duration was shorter in mothers who used either mobile phone or computers during pregnancy ( $p$

## Studies that show WiFi Health Effects

< 0.005,  $p < 0.048$ , respectively). **CONCLUSION:** Mobile phones and computers may have an effect on pre-term birth.

**Belliemi CV, Pinto I, Bogi A, Zoppetti N, Andreuccetti D, Buonocore G. Exposure to electromagnetic fields from laptop use of "laptop" computers. Arch Environ Occup Health. 67(1):31-36, 2012.**

Portable computers are often used at tight contact with the body and therefore are called "laptop." The authors measured electromagnetic fields (EMFs) laptop computers produce and estimated the induced currents in the body, to assess the safety of laptop computers. The authors evaluated 5 commonly used laptop of different brands. They measured EMF exposure produced and, using validated computerized models, the authors exploited the data of one of the laptop computers (LTCs) to estimate the magnetic flux exposure of the user and of the fetus in the womb, when the laptop is used at close contact with the woman's womb. In the LTCs analyzed, EMF values (range 1.8-6  $\mu\text{T}$ ) are within International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation (NIR) Protection (ICNIRP) guidelines, but are considerably higher than the values recommended by 2 recent guidelines for computer monitors magnetic field emissions, MPR II (Swedish Board for Technical Accreditation) and TCO (Swedish Confederation of Professional Employees), and those considered risky for tumor development. When close to the body, the laptop induces currents that are within 34.2% to 49.8% ICNIRP recommendations, but not negligible, to the adult's body and to the fetus (in pregnant women). On the contrary, the power supply induces strong intracorporal electric current densities in the fetus and in the adult subject, which are respectively 182-263% and 71-483% higher than ICNIRP 98 basic restriction recommended to prevent adverse health effects. Laptop is paradoxically an improper site for the use of a LTC, which consequently should be renamed to not induce customers towards an improper use.

**Cabot E, Christ A, Bühlmann B, Zefferer M, Chavannes N, Bakker JF, van Rhoon GC, Kuster N. Quantification Of RF-exposure of the Fetus Using Anatomical CAD-Models in Three Different Gestational Stages. Health Phys. 107(5):369-381, 2014.**

This study analyzes the exposure of pregnant women and their fetuses in three different gestational stages to electromagnetic radiation in the radio frequency range in the near- and the far-field using numerical modeling. For far-field exposure, the power density at which the basic restriction for the whole body SAR is reached is calculated for both the mother and the fetus at whole body resonance and at frequencies between 450 MHz and 2,450 MHz. The near-field exposure is assessed at 450 MHz, 900 MHz, and 2,450 MHz using half wavelength dipoles as generic sources located at different locations around the abdomen of the mother. For the investigated cases, the exposure of the mother is always below or on the order of magnitude of the basic restriction for exposure at the reference level. When applying the reference levels for the general public, the fetus is sufficiently shielded

## Studies that show WiFi Health Effects

by the mother. However, the basic restrictions for general public exposure can be exceeded in the fetus when the mother is exposed at reference levels for occupational conditions. For plane wave exposure at occupational levels, the whole body SAR in the fetus can exceed the basic restrictions for the general population by at least 1.8 dB, and in the near-field of professional devices, the 10 g SAR can be non-compliant with the product standard for the general public by > 3.5 dB.

**Cobb BL, Jauchem JR, Mason PA, Dooley MP, Miller SA, Ziriach JM, Murphy MR, Neural and behavioral teratological evaluation of rats exposed to ultra-wideband electromagnetic fields. *Bioelectromagnetics* 21(7):524-537, 2000.**

Several investigators have reported teratologic effects of electromagnetic field exposure. The majority of these studies have been performed at levels of exposure that could produce substantial heating of the animals. New and unique sources of ultra-wideband (UWB) electromagnetic fields are currently being developed and tested that are capable of generating nonthermalizing, high-peak-power, microwave (MW) pulses with nanosecond (ns) pulse widths, picosecond (ps) rise times, and an UWB of frequencies. Our study was performed to determine if teratological changes occur in rat pups as a result of (i) daily UWB exposures during gestation days 3-18, or (ii) as a result of both prenatal and postnatal (10 days) exposures. Dams were exposed either to (i) UWB irradiation from a Kentech system that emitted a 55 kV/m-peak E field, 300 ps rise time, and a 1.8 ns pulse width, average whole-body specific absorption rate 45 mW/kg; (ii) sham irradiation; or (iii) a positive control, lead (Pb) acetate solution (2000 mug/ml) continuously available in the drinking water. Offspring were examined for ontogeny (litter size, sex-ratios, weights, coat appearance, tooth-eruption, eye-opening, air-righting, and ultrasonic stress vocalizations). Male pups were tested on various performance measures (locomotor, water-maze learning, and fertilization capabilities). The pups postnatally exposed were examined for hippocampal morphology and operant behavior. Behavioral, functional, and morphological effects of UWB exposure were unremarkable with these exceptions: (i) The UWB-exposed pups emitted significantly more stress vocalizations than the sham-exposed pups; (ii) the medial-to-lateral length of the hippocampus was significantly longer in the UWB-exposed pups than in the sham-exposed animals; (iii) male offspring exposed in utero to UWB mated significantly less frequently than sham-exposed males, but when they did mate there was no difference in fertilization and offspring numbers from the sham group. There does not appear to be a unifying physiological or behavioral relationship among the significant differences observed, and our findings could be due to the expected spurious results derived when a large number of statistical comparisons are made. Significant effects found between our positive-controls and other groups on numerous measures indicates that the techniques used were sensitive enough to detect teratological effects.

## Studies that show WiFi Health Effects

### **Oxidative Stress**

**Aweda MA, Gbenebitse S, Meidinyo RO. Effects of 2.45 GHz microwave exposures on the peroxidation status in Wistar rats. *Niger Postgrad Med J.* 10(4):243-246, 2003.**

One of the consequences of exposures to microwave (MW) radiations is the enhanced production of free O<sub>2</sub>, free radicals, peroxides and superoxides. The effects on the lipid peroxidation status (LPS) of whole body irradiation of 120 Wistar rats with 2.45 GHz MW at a power density of 6mWcm<sup>(-2)</sup> have been studied using the MW generator model ER6660E from Toshiba UK Ltd. The LPS in the rats was monitored for a period of 8 weeks post irradiation using thiobarbituric acid (TRA) method. The MW exposures caused an increase in the LPS from the mean control value of  $4.18 \times 10^{(-6)} \text{g } 1^{(-1)}$  to a maximum of  $6.50 \times 10^{(-6)} \text{g } 1^{(-1)}$  within the first 24 hrs, and then gradually reduced to control value after about a week. 1mg kg<sup>(-1)</sup> of ascorbic acid administered before irradiation caused a decrease in the LPS from the control value to a minimum of  $2.86 \times 10^{(-6)} \text{g } 1^{(-1)}$  within the first week. The value then gradually rose to a maximum of  $3.96 \times 10^{(-6)} \text{g } 1^{(-1)}$  within the monitoring period. 1 mg kg<sup>(-1)</sup> of a-tocopherol also administered before irradiation also caused a decrease in the LPS from the control value to a minimum of  $2.10 \times 10^{(-6)} \text{g } 1^{(-1)}$  within the first week. The value then gradually rose to a maximum of  $3.94 \times 10^{(-6)} \text{g } 1^{(-1)}$  within the monitoring period. The results obtained from this study demonstrate that MW exposures cause significant increase in the LPS and there are protective effects of the anti-oxidants ascorbic acid and alpha-tocopherol.

**Aynali G, Nazırođlu M, Celik O, Dođan M, Yarıktaş M, Yasan H. Modulation of wireless (2.45 GHz)-induced oxidative toxicity in laryngotracheal mucosa of rat by melatonin. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* 270(5):1695-1700, 2013.**

It is well known that oxidative stress induces larynx cancer, although antioxidants induce modulator role on etiology of the cancer. It is well known that electromagnetic radiation (EMR) induces oxidative stress in different cell systems. The aim of this study was to investigate the possible protective role of melatonin on oxidative stress induced by Wi-Fi (2.45 GHz) EMR in laryngotracheal mucosa of rat. For this purpose, 32 male rats were equally categorized into four groups, namely controls, sham controls, EMR-exposed rats, EMR-exposed rats treated with melatonin at a dose of 10 mg/kg/day. Except for the controls and sham controls, the animals were exposed to 2.45 GHz radiation during 60 min/day for 28 days. The lipid peroxidation levels were significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher in the radiation-exposed groups than in the control and sham control groups. The lipid peroxidation level in the irradiated animals treated with melatonin was significantly ( $p < 0.01$ ) lower than in those that were only exposed to Wi-Fi radiation. The activity of glutathione peroxidase was lower in the irradiated-only group relative to control and sham control groups but its activity was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) increased in the groups treated with melatonin. The reduced glutathione levels in the mucosa of rat did not change in the four groups. There is an apparent protective effect of melatonin on the Wi-Fi-induced

## Studies that show WiFi Health Effects

oxidative stress in the laryngotracheal mucosa of rats by inhibition of free radical formation and support of the glutathione peroxidase antioxidant system.

**Ceyhan AM, Akkaya VB, Güleçol ŞC, Ceyhan BM, Özgüner F, Chen W. Protective effects of  $\beta$ -glucan against oxidative injury induced by 2.45-GHz electromagnetic radiation in the skin tissue of rats. Arch Dermatol Res. 304(7):521-527, 2012.**

In recent times, there is widespread use of 2.45-GHz irradiation-emitting devices in industrial, medical, military and domestic application. The aim of the present study was to investigate the effect of 2.45-GHz electromagnetic radiation (EMR) on the oxidant and antioxidant status of skin and to examine the possible protective effects of  $\beta$ -glucans against the oxidative injury. Thirty-two male Wistar albino rats were randomly divided into four equal groups: control; sham exposed; EMR; and EMR +  $\beta$ -glucan. A 2.45-GHz EMR emitted device from the experimental exposure was applied to the EMR group and EMR +  $\beta$ -glucan group for 60 min daily, respectively, for 4 weeks.  $\beta$ -glucan was administered via gavage at a dose of 50 mg/kg/day before each exposure to radiation in the treatment group. The activities of antioxidant enzymes, superoxide dismutase (SOD), glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) and catalase (CAT), as well as the concentration of malondialdehyde (MDA) were measured in tissue homogenates of the skin. Exposure to 2.45-GHz EMR caused a significant increase in MDA levels and CAT activity, while the activities of SOD and GSH-Px decreased in skin tissues. Systemic  $\beta$ -glucan significantly reversed the elevation of MDA levels and the reduction of SOD activities.  $\beta$ -glucan treatment also slightly enhanced the activity of CAT and prevented the depletion of GSH-Px activity caused by EMR, but not statistically significantly. The present study demonstrated the role of oxidative mechanisms in EMR-induced skin tissue damages and that  $\beta$ -glucan could ameliorate oxidative skin injury via its antioxidant properties.

## Cancer

**Balcer-Kubiczek EK, Harrison GH. Neoplastic transformation of C3H/10T1/2 cells following exposure to 120-Hz modulated 2.45-GHz microwaves and phorbol ester tumor promoter. Radiat Res 126(1):65-72, 1991.**

Some recent epidemiological studies have shown a positive association between cancer incidence and exposure to electromagnetic (EM) fields. Evidence from in vitro studies indicates that this effect could be due to synergistic interaction between EM fields and tumor promoters. However, no dose-response data related directly to carcinogenesis have been published. In this study, actively growing cultures of C3H/10T1/2 cells were exposed for 24 h to 2.45-GHz microwaves pulse-modulated at 120 Hz. Conditions of EM-field exposure were designed to simulate low-field exposures (specific absorption rate 0.1, 1, or 4.4 W/kg; the corresponding peak amplitudes were electric field 18, 56, or 120 V/m, magnetic field 0.09, 0.27, or

## Studies that show WiFi Health Effects

0.56  $\mu\text{T}$ , respectively). In separate experiments, a 24-h EM-field exposure at 4.4 W/kg was preceded or followed by X irradiation at 0.5, 1, or 1.5 Gy. Cells were assayed for cell survival and neoplastic transformation with or without post-treatment administration of 0.1 micrograms/ml of 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA) for the duration of the assay. The EM fields alone had no effect on cell survival or induction of neoplastic transformation. However, enhancement of transformation due to EM fields plus TPA was highly significant and ranged up to a level equivalent to that produced by 1.5 Gy of X rays. The frequency of neoplastic transformation was dependent on the level of EM exposure and was additive with doses of X rays given as a cocarcinogen.

**Belyaev IYa, Alipov YD, Shcheglov VS, Lystsov VN, Resonance effect of microwaves on the genome conformational state of E. coli cells. Z Naturforsch [C] 47(7-8):621-827, 1992.**

The effect of low intensity microwaves on the conformational state of the genome of X-irradiated E. coli cells was studied by the method of viscosity anomalous time dependencies. It has been established that within the ranges of 51.62-51.84 GHz and 41.25-41.50 GHz the frequency dependence of the observed effect has a resonance nature with a resonance half-width of the order of 100 MHz. The power dependence of the microwave effect within the range of 0.1-200  $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$  has shown that a power density of 1  $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$  is sufficient to suppress radiation-induced repair of the genome conformational state. The effect of microwave suppression of repair is well reproduced and does not depend on the sequence of cell exposure to X-rays and microwave radiation in the millimeter band. The results obtained indicate the role of the cell genome in the resonant interaction of cells with low intensity millimeter waves.

### Wellbeing

**Bergdahl J, Tillberg A, Stenman E. Odontologic survey of referred patients with symptoms allegedly caused by electricity or visual display units. Acta Odontol Scand. 56(5):303-307, 1998.**

Twenty-eight consecutive patients with symptoms allegedly caused by electricity or visual display units were odontologically investigated according to a specially designed registration form including an anamnestic interview and a clinical protocol. The most common oral and general symptoms reported were burning mouth, craniomandibular dysfunction symptoms, skin complaints, and fatigue. Oral symptoms such as craniomandibular dysfunction and general symptoms such as eye complaints and dizziness scored highest on a visual analog scale regarding mean symptom intensity. The patients reported various numbers of medical diagnoses, such as allergic rhinitis or asthma and hypothyroidism. Various dental diseases were found; the most common were temporomandibular joint and masticatory muscle dysfunctions, lesions in the oral mucosa, and periodontal diseases. Urinary-Hg (U-Hg) analysis showed a mean U-Hg concentration of 8.5 nmol Hg/L urine, and none of the patients exceeded the limit

## Studies that show WiFi Health Effects

of 50 nmol Hg/L urine. The U-Hg concentration was positively correlated with the number of amalgam fillings ( $P < 0.01$ ) and craniomandibular disorders ( $P < 0.05$ ). No or low secretion of the minor mucous glands was found in 43% of the patients. One patient showed hypersensitivity to gold and cobalt. The present study showed that various odontologic factors might be involved in some of these patients' suffering. Thus, it is important that professionals from other disciplines collaborate with dentistry if these patients are to be properly investigated.

### Whole Body

**Bergqvist B, Arvidsson L, Pettersson E, Galt S, Saalman E, Hamnerius Y, Norden B, Effect of microwave radiation on permeability of liposomes. Evidence against non-thermal leakage. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1201(1):51-54, 1994.**

The effect of 2.45 GHz microwave radiation on the permeability of unilamellar phosphatidylcholine liposomes has been studied. Leakage of 5(6)-carboxyfluorescein from the liposomes was measured using spectrofluorimetry after exposure to either microwaves or thermal heating for 5-20 min intervals. The exposure temperature,  $37.6 \pm 0.5$  degrees C, was well above the phase transition temperature of the lipid membrane. The microwave exposure did not result in any non-thermal increase in permeability above that produced by thermal heating. This study refutes the results reported by Saalman et al. [*Biochim Biophys Acta* 1064(1):124-130, 1991] in which an increased liposome permeability due to microwave exposure was reported. The refined analysis in the present study shows that this increased liposome permeability was not a non-thermal microwave effect.

### Bone Marrow

**Busljeta I, Trosic I, Milkovic-Kraus S. Erythropoietic changes in rats after 2.45 GJz nonthermal irradiation. *Int J Hyg Environ Health*.207(6):549-554, 2004.**

The purpose of this study was to observe the erythropoietic changes in rats subchronically exposed to radiofrequency microwave (RF/MW) irradiation at nonthermal level. Adult male Wistar rats (N=40) were exposed to 2.45 GHz continuous RF/MW fields for 2 hours daily, 7 days a week, at 5-10 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>. Exposed animals were divided into four subgroups (n=10 animals in each subgroup) in order to be irradiated for 2, 8, 15 and 30 days. Animals were sacrificed on the final irradiation day of each treated subgroup. Unexposed rats were used as control (N=24). Six animals were included into the each control subgroup. Bone marrow smears were examined to determine absolute counts of anuclear cells and erythropoietic precursor cells. The absolute erythrocyte count, haemoglobin and haematocrit values were observed in the peripheral blood by an automatic cell counter. The bone marrow cytogenetic analysis was accomplished by micronucleus (MN) tests. In the exposed animals erythrocyte count, haemoglobin and haematocrit were increased in peripheral blood on irradiation days 8 and 15. Concurrently, anuclear cells and erythropoietic precursor cells were significantly decreased ( $p < 0.05$ ) in the bone marrow on day 15, but micronucleated cells' frequency was

## Studies that show WiFi Health Effects

increased. In the applied experimental condition, RF/MW radiation might cause disturbance in red cell maturation and proliferation, and induce micronucleus formation in erythropoietic cells.

### Insulin

**Chen YB, Li J, Qi Y, Miao X, Zhou Y, Ren D, Guo GZ. The effects of electromagnetic pulses (EMP) on the bioactivity of insulin and a preliminary study of mechanism. *Int J Radiat Biol.* 86(1):22-26, 2010.**

**PURPOSE:** To investigate the effects of electromagnetic pulse (EMP) exposure on the bioactivity of insulin and a preliminary mechanism for these effects. **MATERIALS AND METHODS:** A tapered parallel plate Gigahertz Transverse Electromagnetic (GTEM) cell with a flared rectangular coaxial transmission line was used to expose the insulin solution to EMP. Concurrent sham-exposed insulin solutions were used as a control. The effect of EMP-exposed insulin on fasting blood glucose levels of type I diabetes model mice, the effect of EMP on binding affinity between insulin and its receptor and the effect of EMP on insulin's fluorescence intensity were detected, respectively. **RESULTS:** (i) After EMP exposure, compared with sham-exposed insulin, the bioactivity of insulin in decreasing fasting blood glucose levels in type I diabetes model mice was reduced significantly ( $p = 0.023$ ). (ii) Compared with sham-exposed insulin group, the percentage fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) labelling of HL-7702 cells was significantly reduced in the EMP-exposed insulin group (22.7-13.8%, respectively). (iii) Compared with sham-exposed insulin, the fluorescence intensity was significantly reduced in EMP-exposed insulin ( $p < 0.001$ ). **CONCLUSIONS:** EMP exposure significantly decreased the bioactivity of insulin to reduce the blood glucose levels in type I diabetic mice. This could be due to a decreased binding affinity between insulin and its receptor. This mechanism could involve an alteration of insulin's conformation caused by EMP exposure.

### Cell

**Cleary, SF, Du, Z, Cao, G, Liu, LM, McCrady, C, Effect of isothermal radiofrequency radiation on cytolytic T lymphocytes. *FASEB J* 10(8):913-919. 1996.**

Previous in vitro studies provide evidence that RF electromagnetic radiation modulates proliferation of human glioma, lymphocytes, and other cell types. The mechanism of RF radiation cell proliferation modulation, as well as mechanisms for effects on other cell physiologic endpoints, are not well understood. To obtain insight regarding interaction mechanisms, we investigated effects of RF radiation exposure on interleukin 2 (IL-2) -dependent proliferation of cytolytic T lymphocytes (CTL-2). After exposure to RF radiation in the presence or absence of IL-2 cells were cultured at various physiological concentrations of IL-2. Treatment effects on CTL-2 proliferation were determined by tritiated thymidine incorporation immediately or 24 h after exposure. Exposure to 2450 MHz RIF radiation at specific absorption rates (SARs) of greater than 25 W/kg (induced E-field strength 98.4

## Studies that show **WiFi** Health Effects

V/m) induced a consistent, statistically significant reduction in CTLL-2 proliferation, especially at low IL-2 concentrations. At lower SARs, 2450 MHz exposure increased CTLL-2 proliferation immediately after exposure but reduced 24 h postexposure proliferation. RF radiation effects depended on the mitotic state of the cells at the time of exposure. Comparison of the effects of temperature elevation and RF radiation indicated significant qualitative and quantitative differences.

# M

IARC MONOGRAPHS

## LOW-FREQUENCY ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELDS

VOLUME 102

IARC MONOGRAPHS  
ON THE EVALUATION  
OF CARCINOGENIC RISKS  
TO HUMANS

International Agency for Research on Cancer



477

## 6. EVALUATION

---

### 6.1 Cancer in Humans

There is *limited evidence* in humans for the carcinogenicity of radiofrequency radiation. Positive associations have been observed between exposure to radiofrequency radiation from wireless phones and glioma, and acoustic neuroma.

### 6.2 Cancer in Experimental Animals

There is *limited evidence* in experimental animals for the carcinogenicity of radiofrequency radiation.

### 6.3 Overall Evaluation

Radiofrequency electromagnetic fields are *possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B)*.

### 6.4 Rationale of the evaluation of the epidemiological evidence

The human epidemiological evidence was mixed. Several small early case-control studies were considered to be largely uninformative. A large cohort study showed no increase in risk of relevant tumours, but it lacked information on level of mobile-phone use and there were several potential sources of misclassification of exposure. The bulk of evidence came from reports of the INTERPHONE study, a very large international, multicentre case-control study and a separate large case-control study from Sweden on gliomas and meningiomas of the brain and acoustic neuromas. While affected by selection bias and information bias to varying degrees, these studies showed an association between

glioma and acoustic neuroma and mobile-phone use; specifically in people with highest cumulative use of mobile phones, in people who had used mobile phones on the same side of the head as that on which their tumour developed, and in people whose tumour was in the temporal lobe of the brain (the area of the brain that is most exposed to RF radiation when a wireless phone is used at the ear). The Swedish study found similar results for cordless phones. The comparative weakness of the associations in the INTERPHONE study and inconsistencies between its results and those of the Swedish study led to the evaluation of *limited evidence* for glioma and acoustic neuroma, as decided by the majority of the members of the Working Group. A small, recently published Japanese case-control study, which also observed an association of acoustic neuroma with mobile-phone use, contributed to the evaluation of *limited evidence* for acoustic neuroma.

There was, however, a minority opinion that current evidence in humans was *inadequate*, therefore permitting no conclusion about a causal association. This minority saw inconsistency between the two case-control studies and a lack of exposure-response relationship in the INTERPHONE study. The minority also pointed to the fact that no increase in rates of glioma or acoustic neuroma was seen in a nationwide Danish cohort study, and that up to now, reported time trends in incidence rates of glioma have not shown a trend parallel to time trends in mobile-phone use.

## Video Statement:

**Dr. Anthony B Miller, MD, FRCP, FRCP(C), FHPHM, FACE WHO scientist, presented to Toronto Councilors at the Government Management Committee stating wireless radiation would be classified 2A (probable) carcinogen if evaluated today and recommends prudent avoidance.**  
<http://www.c4st.org/dr-anthony-miller> (4 min video presentation)

Dangers of Wireless-Cell Phones for Kids

[www.youtube.com/watch?v=PKbOpY9kHXc](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=PKbOpY9kHXc)

Young Girls getting tumors where carry cell in bra

<http://www.ktvu.com/videos/news/special-report-keeping-cell-phone-in-bra-may-lead/vhPF8/>

Martin Blank PhD, Columbia University-Wireless Health Effects

<https://vimeo.com/123468632>

Attorney Jimmy Gonzalez- Wireless Causes Cancer

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DIIOVJd0IA8&authuser=0>

Documentary: MICROWAVES, SCIENCE AND LIES- Vimeo  
at: <http://vimeo.com/ondemand/17755/89417454>

# LeBron James remembers his spring surgery on jaw tumor: 'It was a nerve-racking experience'

Published: Sunday, October 18, 2009, 11:59 PM Updated: Monday, October 19, 2009, 11:05 AM



By [Brian Windhorst](#), [The Plain Dealer](#)

[Follow](#)

[Share](#) [Email](#) [Print](#)



Joshua Gunter/[The Plain Dealer](#)

Few fans noticed the growing lump on LeBron James' face as the 2009 playoffs wore on last spring. Visible in this photo (on the side of James' face, just below his right ear), the growth was determined to be benign, but required a six-hour surgery to remove after the end of the season. "I was working with some good professionals," James said of the surgeons who aided him. "They were telling me they didn't think it was cancer, but we had to be sure, of course."

---

CLEVELAND, Ohio -- Some of the toughest days for LeBron James over the last year had nothing to do with basketball. It was the gut-churning period when he waited to make sure he didn't have cancer.

In his first interview on the subject since surgery to remove a tumor from his jaw area in June, James told [The Plain Dealer](#) there were several jittery days last January after he had a biopsy on the growing lump under his right ear.

Sadetzki S, Chetrit A, Jarus-Hakak A, Cardis E, Deutch Y, Duvdevani S, Zultan A, Novikov I, Freedman L, Wolf M. Cellular phone use and risk of benign and malignant parotid gland tumors--a nationwide case-control study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2008 Feb 15;167(4):457-67. Epub 2007 Dec 6.

#### Source

Cancer and Radiation Epidemiology Unit, Gertner Institute, Chaim Sheba Medical Center, Tel Hashomer, Israel. [siegals@gertner.health.gov.il](mailto:siegals@gertner.health.gov.il)

#### Abstract

The objective of this nationwide study was to assess the association between cellular phone use and development of parotid gland tumors (PGTs). The methods were based on the international INTERPHONE study that aimed to evaluate possible adverse effects of cellular phone use. The study included 402 benign and 58 malignant incident cases of PGTs diagnosed in Israel at age 18 years or more, in 2001-2003, and 1,266 population individually matched controls. For the entire group, no increased risk of PGTs was observed for ever having been a regular cellular phone user (odds ratio = 0.87;  $p = 0.3$ ) or for any other measure of exposure investigated. However, analysis restricted to regular users or to conditions that may yield higher levels of exposure (e.g., heavy use in rural areas) showed consistently elevated risks. For ipsilateral use, the odds ratios in the highest category of cumulative number of calls and call time without use of hands-free devices were 1.58 (95% confidence interval: 1.11, 2.24) and 1.49 (95% confidence interval: 1.05, 2.13), respectively. The risk for contralateral use was not significantly different from 1. A positive dose-response trend was found for these measurements. Based on the largest number of benign PGT patients reported to date, our results suggest an association between cellular phone use and PGTs.

<http://aje.oxfordjournals.org/content/167/4/457.long>

# Wheeler confirmed as head of FCC

Mike Snider, USA TODAY 8:04 p.m. EDT October 29, 2013

**The Federal Communications Commission has a chairman and four commissioners for the first time in months.**



Core Capital Partners Managing Director Tom Wheeler. Tom Wheeler, a venture capitalist and longtime industry operative, will be tapped to lead the FCC.(Photo: HO).....

The U.S. Senate on Tuesday confirmed venture capitalist Thomas Wheeler to head the FCC and, as a commissioner, Mike O'Rielly, who had been an advisor to Senate Minority Whip John Cornyn, R-Tex. President Obama nominated Wheeler in April after then-FCC chairman Julius Genachowski announced that he would step down in June.....

Wheeler is currently the managing director at Core Capital Partners, a venture-capital firm based in Washington, D.C. **He has also been a top lobbyist for the wireless and cable industries. From 1979 to 1984, he served as president of the National Cable Television Association and before that he was CEO of the Cellular Telecommunications & Internet Association.**

Contact Info:

Kevin Mottus

California Brain Tumor Association and Parents for Safe  
Technology

[kmottus@gmail.com](mailto:kmottus@gmail.com)

310-479-0299

46