JUNE LAGMAY

HOLLY L. WOLCOTT EXECUTIVE OFFICER

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

CALIFORNIA



MAYOR

OFFICE OF THE

CITY CLERK
ELECTION DIVISION

SPACE 300 555 RAMIREZ STREET LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 (213) 978-0444 FAX (213) 978-0376

JACOB WEXLER

February 3, 2012

The Los Angeles City Council City Hall, Los Angeles, California

REGARDING:

ASSESSMENT BALLOT PROCEEDING FOR

ROXFORD STREET AND LOS OLIVOS ROAD LIGHTING DISTRICT

COUNCIL FILE NUMBER 11-1908

Honorable Councilmembers:

In accordance with Proposition 218, the City of Los Angeles provided notice to the property owners of the parcels in the proposed Roxford Street and Los Olivos Road Lighting District, as specified in the subject Council File. Along with that notice, each property owner received an assessment ballot weighted by the amount of the parcel's proposed assessment. I have tabulated the assessment ballot delivered to my office by the final hearing date of January 25, 2012.

The proposed assessment district failed, because it received a weighted majority of "no" ballots as defined in Article XIII D (Proposition 218) of the State Constitution. As a result, the City may not impose an assessment for the improvement and maintenance of lighting in the proposed district. The certified results of the tabulations are attached. I have also forwarded a copy of these results to the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting, for their information.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR COUNCIL ACTION:

In accordance with Council policy adopted on December 19, 1997 (CF 97-0843) and the report of the Board of Public Works adopted by the Council on May 9, 1998:

- 1. FIND that a majority protest exists in the Street Lighting Maintenance Assessment District and therefore the proposed assessment cannot be enacted.
- 2. ADOPT the abandoning Ordinance attached to the Council File.
- 3. INSTRUCT the Director, Bureau of Street Lighting, to assure that the streetlights are not installed or are removed from service if previously installed, in accordance with Council policy.

Sincerely,

JUNE LAGMAY

City Clerk

Attachments

cc: Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting



| STATE OF CALIFORNIA |) |
|-----------------------|------|
| |) SS |
| COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES |) |

I, JUNE LAGMAY, City Clerk of the City of Los Angeles, hereby certify to the City Council that one ballot was submitted on or before January 25, 2012, in connection with the assessment ballot proceeding for the proposed Roxford Street and Los Olivos Road Lighting Maintenance Assessment District, as specified in Council File Number 11-1908. I further certify the attached report to be a true, correct and complete tabulation of the returns of that assessment ballot proceeding.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal this day of February 2012.

Sincerely,

JUNE LAGMAY City Clerk

Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for Roxford Street and Los Olivos Road Lighting District

Final Public Hearing January 25, 2012

Election # 3808 Council File # 11-1908

Ballot Tally

| Assmnt | County | Owner | Assessmen | t Ballot | Weight | ed Vote | No Vote/ |
|--------|--------------|------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|
| No. | APN | | Weight | Vote Y/N | Yes | No | Challenged |
| 1 | 2501-013-023 | PATRICIA & FRANCISCO PADILLA | \$120.89 | N | | \$ 120.89 | |
| 2 | 2501-013-024 | HECTOR & CONNIE GALVAN | \$157.16 | | Not Cast | Not Cast | |
| | 2 | TOTAL | \$278.05 | | \$0.00 | \$120.89 | |
| | 4 | TOTAL VOTES | | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for Roxford Street and Los Olivos Road Lighting District

Final Public Hearing January 25, 2012

Election # 3808 Council File # 11-1908

| Voting/Ballot Summary | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Vote | Weighted Total | Assessment Percent of Votes Cast | Number of Ballots | | |
| Yes | \$0.00 | 0.00% | 0 | | |
| No. | \$120.89 | 100.00% | 1 | | |
| No Vote. | | | 0 | | |
| Challenged | | | 0 | | |

| Assessment District Summary | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|---------|--|
| T | Total | Voted | Percent | |
| Parcels | 2 | 1 | 50% | |
| Assessment | \$278.05 | \$120.89 | 43% | |