

**OPPOSE: Processes & Procedures Ordinance: Case No: CPC-2016-3182-CA,
Council File 12-0460, CEQA Case No. ENV-2016-CE**

2 messages

agold16113@aol.com <agold16113@aol.com>

Thu, Nov 15, 2018 at 2:44 PM

To: Councilmember.Englander@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfeld@lacity.org, councilmember.Harris-Dawson@aol.com, zina.cheng@lacity.org, cityclerk@lacity.org, board@nhwnc.net

To All Those To Whom This May Concern:

We are writing this e mail letter as residents, homeowners, and concerned citizens of Los Angeles to let you all know that we are OPPOSED to the Processes and Procedures Ordinance as mentioned in the subject line. It is your Case Number: CPC-2016-3182-CA, Council File 12-0460, CEQA Case No. ENV-2016-3183-CE.

This Process and Procedure Ordinance purpose is to make sure that we the residents in our communities do not have a voice in any planned land use decisions. It is a blatant power grab by the Department of City Planning and would also limit the jurisdictional power of our city council member. By adding this new chapter to the Los Angeles City Municipal Code it would take away planning power from our elected officials and give it to unelected planning bureaucrats.

We ask you as members of the Planning and Land Use Management Committee (PLUM) to REJECT and say NO to this Processes & Procedures Ordinance. It serves no purpose except to prevent and stop public discussions regarding zoning and land use in the city of Los Angeles, CA by the people and its elected officials.

Thank you.

Anita and Gerald Goldbaum
[16113 Malden Street](#)
[North Hills, CA 91343](#)

Re: Freedom

fretwerk@aol.com <fretwerk@aol.com>

To: zina.cheng@lacity.org

Cc: cityclerk@lacity.org

Thu, Nov 15, 2018 at 9:56 AM

Folks,

I vigorously oppose the processes and procedures of the following proposed fascist ordinance, Case No.: CPC-2016-3182-CA; Council File 12-0460.

Since 1999 I have worked with the city government trying to preserve the Historic Site Chase Knolls Garden Apartments in Sherman Oaks CA.

The greedy developers got EVERYTHING they wanted with out these new laws that bend over backwards to kiss developer's asses. They don't need a fast track because they already have ownership of our City government. This law totally by-passes citizens in-put about invasive development in their own communities. I guess we are good enough to vote for our slaves but NOT good enough to be considered when it comes to destroying our neighborhoods with bloated, overtly dense, greed palaces. Please don't mollycoddle these greedy pigs with more of your slavish, short sighted, ignorant, out of touch, head up your keester laws. I am sick of the kissing up to rich interests who could care less about the people of the City. I guess every one moving here is going to be a millionaire because in order to live here they better be. The planning is stone cold stupid. Please stop showing your shucking and jiving and sucking up to money in front of us. Try being for the City and its citizens. Try thinking human. Try preparing for the real future.

Barry Cullison

Council File 12-0460-S4

Hydee Feldstein <hydeefeldstein@yahoo.com>

Nov 15, 2018 2:41 PM

Posted in group: **Clerk-PLUM-Committee**

Hello Ms. Cheng and City Clerk's Office,

I have left two voicemails about this issue for Ms. Cheng and have also asked the general Clerk's office without reply.

I would very much appreciate a response at your earliest convenience. Why are comments not being posted to City Council File 12-0460-S4 as they are received?

Do I need to come downtown with a batch of them to have them stamped received?

Please advise.

Thank you,

Hydee R. Feldstein
310-709-5504

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Cindy Chvatal** <snorekel@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, Nov 15, 2018 at 12:47 PM

Subject: Re: Council File #: 12-0460-S4

To: Cityclerk@lacity.org

On Thu, Nov 15, 2018 at 12:45 PM ron greeno <greeno.ron@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Councilman Ryu,

Subject: OPPOSE Council File #: 12-0460-S4

I am writing to strongly OPPOSE Zoning Code / Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) / Reorganization of Administrative Provisions / Amendment, Council File 12-0460-S4; Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA; ENV-2016-3183-CE ("Proposed Ordinance").

Everyone needs a voice when it comes our communities and this ordinance takes away that voice and sends us down a path of reckless development. City Planning should be planned not just abdicated to the for-profit market benefiting from real estate speculation. The City and developers must compromise to create a more balanced livable city for residents, voters and taxpayers.

Please send this Proposed Ordinance back to Planning and do not pass on to PLUM or Council until Planning has done the following:

1. FIXED the Proposed Ordinance to INCLUDE the Neighborhood Councils in the authorities section with all of their Charter and LAMC powers, notices and rights. No exceptions.
2. FIXED the Council powers section -- there is no section of this that ought to eliminate, suspend, transfer or delegate any of Council's powers to anyone else -- not Vince Bertoni or his successor, not Eric Garcetti or his successor, not the State of California and not anyone else. It would be easy enough to say up front that there is no intent to do that and the Proposed Ordinance does not do that.
3. FIXED to get rid of every single solitary reference to State law and every addition to the City Charter (like Advisory Agency authority added per Charter) in this Ordinance. We should not amend the Charter or bring State law into our LAMC or Charter.
4. FIXED and revised every substantive law change. There are many:
 - The Director of Planning should not be able to grant incentives, to waive like reduced parking or deviations from the zoning code setbacks, density or Floor Area Ratios other than through the existing affordable housing provisions
 - The Director should not be able to waive public hearings to make "back room" deals.
 - This ordinance now allows developers to "stack" incentives to maximize their bonuses but provide the minimal amount of affordable housing units which then double count or triple count as both replacement units under state law and new affordable units under the Transit Oriented Communities
 - The Director of Planning ability to act to "interpret" ordinances passed by Council without a right of appeal back to Council to ask what they really meant.
5. FIX 500 foot radius (or more if not at least 20 neighbors), across the street, Certified NCs and any resident who has asked to be notified of any item within an area - all need to be preserved for notice, public hearing and right of appeal.
6. FIX HPOZ provisions - Planning Dept should not be determining Certificates of Appropriateness without appeal to Council (which is the way it is now) or determine Certificates of Compatibility. There are also serious concerns about the approvals of historic monuments being given to just the Director of City Planning.

There is more that is of serious concern it is clear the Ordinance is fundamentally flawed and needs to be sent back for correction and review.

Ron Greeno MD, FCCP, MHM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **helen eigenberg** <hm.eigenberg@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, Nov 15, 2018 at 12:35 PM

Subject: OPPOSE Council File #: 12-0460-S4

To: <David@davidryu.com>

Cc: Cindy Chvatal <snorekel@gmail.com>, <Clerk@lacity.org>

Dear Councilman Ryu,

I am writing to strongly OPPOSE Zoning Code / Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) / Reorganization of Administrative Provisions / Amendment, Council File 12-0460-S4; Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA; ENV-2016-3183-CE ("Proposed Ordinance").

Everyone needs a voice when it comes our communities and this ordinance takes away that voice and sends us down a path of reckless development. City Planning should be planned not just abdicated to the for-profit market benefiting from real estate speculation. The City and developers must compromise to create a more balanced livable city for residents, voters and taxpayers.

Please send this Proposed Ordinance back to Planning and do not pass on to PLUM or Council until Planning has done the following:

1. FIXED the Proposed Ordinance to INCLUDE the Neighborhood Councils in the authorities section with all of their Charter and LAMC powers, notices and rights. No exceptions.
2. FIXED the Council powers section -- there is no section of this that ought to eliminate, suspend, transfer or delegate any of Council's powers to anyone else -- not Vince Bertoni or his successor, not Eric Garcetti or his successor, not the State of California and not anyone else. It would be easy enough to say up front that there is no intent to do that and the Proposed Ordinance does not do that.
3. FIXED to get rid of every single solitary reference to State law and every addition to the City Charter (like Advisory Agency authority added per Charter) in this Ordinance. We should not amend the Charter or bring State law into our LAMC or Charter.
4. FIXED and revised every substantive law change. There are many:
 - The Director of Planning should not be able to grant incentives, to waive like reduced parking or deviations from the zoning code setbacks, density or Floor Area Ratios other than through the existing affordable housing provisions
 - The Director should not be able to waive public hearings to make "back room" deals.
 - This ordinance now allows developers to "stack" incentives to maximize their bonuses but provide the minimal amount of affordable housing units which then double count or triple count as both replacement units under state law and new affordable units under the Transit Oriented Communities
 - The Director of Planning ability to act to "interpret" ordinances passed by Council without a right of appeal back to Council to ask what they really meant.
5. FIX 500 foot radius (or more if not at least 20 neighbors), across the street, Certified NCs and any resident who has asked to be notified of any item within an area - all need to be preserved for notice, public hearing and right of appeal.
6. FIX HPOZ provisions - Planning Dept should not be determining Certificates of Appropriateness without appeal to Council (which is the way it is now) or determine Certificates of Compatibility. There are also serious concerns about the approvals of historic monuments being given to just the Director of City Planning.

There is more that is of serious concern it is clear the Ordinance is fundamentally flawed and needs to be sent back for correction and review.

Helen Eigenberg

Peter Buonocore

[611 Lillian Way.](#)

[90004](#)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: 'Hydee Feldstein' via Clerk - CityClerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Date: Thu, Nov 15, 2018 at 2:41 PM

Subject: Council File 12-0460-S4

To: zina.cheng@lacity.com <zina.cheng@lacity.com>, clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org <clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org>, cityclerk@lacity.org <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Hello Ms. Cheng and City Clerk's Office,

I have left two voicemails about this issue for Ms. Cheng and have also asked the general Clerk's office without reply.

I would very much appreciate a response at your earliest convenience. Why are comments not being posted to City Council File 12-0460-S4 as they are received?

Do I need to come downtown with a batch of them to have them stamped received?

Please advise.

Thank you,

Hydee R. Feldstein
310-709-5504

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Linda <lindasanoff@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Thu, Nov 15, 2018 at 1:48 PM

Subject: OPPOSE Council File #: 12-0460-S4

To: David.Ryu@lacity.org <David.Ryu@lacity.org>, CityClerk@lacity.org <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Cc: >

Dear Councilman Ryu,

With the help of the amazing Cindy Chvatal, I am sending this email to implore you to oppose Zoning Code 12-0460-S4. I am in total agreement with Cindy whose only interest is to PROTECT the residents of Hancock Park.

Thus, I am writing to strongly OPPOSE Zoning Code / Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) / Reorganization of Administrative Provisions / Amendment, Council File 12-0460-S4; Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA; ENV-2016-3183-CE ("Proposed Ordinance").

Everyone needs a voice when it comes our communities and this ordinance takes away that voice and sends us down a path of reckless development. City Planning should be planned not just abdicated to the for-profit market benefiting from real estate speculation. The City and developers must compromise to create a more balanced livable city for residents, voters and taxpayers.

Please send this Proposed Ordinance back to Planning and do not pass on to PLUM or Council until Planning has done the following:

1. FIXED the Proposed Ordinance to INCLUDE the Neighborhood Councils in the authorities section with all of their Charter and LAMC powers, notices and rights. No exceptions.
2. FIXED the Council powers section -- there is no section of this that ought to eliminate, suspend, transfer or delegate any of Council's powers to anyone else -- not Vince Bertoni or his successor, not Eric Garcetti or his successor, not the State of California and not anyone else. It would be easy enough to say up front that there is no intent to do that and the Proposed Ordinance does not do that.
3. FIXED to get rid of every single solitary reference to State law and every addition to the City Charter (like Advisory Agency authority added per Charter) in this Ordinance. We should not amend the Charter or bring State law into our LAMC or Charter.
4. FIXED and revised every substantive law change. There are many:
 - The Director of Planning should not be able to grant incentives, to waive like reduced parking or deviations from the zoning code setbacks, density or Floor Area Ratios other than through the existing affordable housing provisions
 - The Director should not be able to waive public hearings to make "back room" deals.
 - This ordinance now allows developers to "stack" incentives to maximize their bonuses but provide the minimal amount of affordable housing units which then double count or triple count as both replacement units under state law and new affordable units under the Transit Oriented Communities
 - The Director of Planning ability to act to "interpret" ordinances passed by Council without a right of appeal back to Council to ask what they really meant.
5. FIX 500 foot radius (or more if not at least 20 neighbors), across the street, Certified NCs and any resident who has asked to be notified of any item within an area - all need to be preserved for notice, public hearing and right of appeal.
6. FIX HPOZ provisions - Planning Dept should not be determining Certificates of Appropriateness without appeal to Council (which is the way it is now) or determine Certificates of Compatibility. There are also serious concerns about the approvals of historic monuments being given to just the Director of City Planning.

There is more that is of serious concern it is clear the Ordinance is fundamentally flawed and needs to be sent back for correction and review.

Thank you for your consideration.

Linda L Sanoff

515 N June Street, 90004

----- Forwarded message -----

From: 'Blake Sweeney' via Clerk - CityClerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Date: Thu, Nov 15, 2018 at 1:30 PM

Subject: OPPOSE Council File #: 12-0460-S4

To: <David.Ryu@lacity.org>, <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Dear Councilman Ryu,

I oppose Council File #: 12-0460-S4. It feels like this excludes local neighborhood councils from the decision making process. Also, this seems to give the Director of Planning substantial, unwarranted powers and exclusions from the regular laws, which is unwarranted and troublesome.

Below is a list of more specific feedback that we'd like to give. Thanks for representing us.

Blake Sweeney
640 N June St
Los Angeles, CA 90004

Please send this Proposed Ordinance back to Planning and do not pass on to PLUM or Council until Planning has done the following:

1. FIXED the Proposed Ordinance to INCLUDE the Neighborhood Councils in the authorities section with all of their Charter and LAMC powers, notices and rights. No exceptions.
2. FIXED the Council powers section -- there is no section of this that ought to eliminate, suspend, transfer or delegate any of Council's powers to anyone else -- not Vince Bertoni or his successor, not Eric Garcetti or his successor, not the State of California and not anyone else. It would be easy enough to say up front that there is no intent to do that and the Proposed Ordinance does not do that.
3. FIXED to get rid of every single solitary reference to State law and every addition to the City Charter (like Advisory Agency authority added per Charter) in this Ordinance. We should not amend the Charter or bring State law into our LAMC or Charter.
4. FIXED and revised every substantive law change. There are many:
 - The Director of Planning should not be able to grant incentives, to waive like reduced parking or deviations from the zoning code setbacks, density or Floor Area Ratios other than through the existing affordable housing provisions
 - The Director should not be able to waive public hearings to make "back room" deals.
 - This ordinance now allows developers to "stack" incentives to maximize their bonuses but provide the minimal amount of affordable housing units which then double count or triple count as both replacement units under state law and new affordable units under the Transit Oriented Communities
 - The Director of Planning ability to act to "interpret" ordinances passed by Council without a right of appeal back to Council to ask what they really meant.
5. FIX 500 foot radius (or more if not at least 20 neighbors), across the street, Certified NCs and any resident who has asked to be notified of any item within an area - all need to be preserved for notice, public hearing and right of appeal.
6. FIX HPOZ provisions - Planning Dept should not be determining Certificates of Appropriateness without appeal to Council (which is the way it is now) or determine Certificates of Compatibility. There are also serious concerns about the approvals of historic monuments being given to just the Director of City Planning.

There is more that is of serious concern it is clear the Ordinance is fundamentally flawed and needs to be sent back for correction and review.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Linda <lindasanoff@sbcglobal.net>

Date: Thu, Nov 15, 2018 at 1:50 PM

Subject: Fw: OPPOSE Council File #: 12-0460-S4

To: Cindy Chvatal <snorekel@gmail.com>

Dear Councilman Ryu,

With the help of the amazing Cindy Chvatal, I am sending this email to implore you to oppose Zoning Code 12-0460-S4. I am in total agreement with Cindy whose only interest is to PROTECT the residents of Hancock Park.

Thus, I am writing to strongly OPPOSE Zoning Code / Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) / Reorganization of Administrative Provisions / Amendment, Council File 12-0460-S4; Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA; ENV-2016-3183-CE ("Proposed Ordinance").

Everyone needs a voice when it comes our communities and this ordinance takes away that voice and sends us down a path of reckless development. City Planning should be planned not just abdicated to the for-profit market benefiting from real estate speculation. The City and developers must compromise to create a more balanced livable city for residents, voters and taxpayers.

Please send this Proposed Ordinance back to Planning and do not pass on to PLUM or Council until Planning has done the following:

1. FIXED the Proposed Ordinance to INCLUDE the Neighborhood Councils in the authorities section with all of their Charter and LAMC powers, notices and rights. No exceptions.
2. FIXED the Council powers section -- there is no section of this that ought to eliminate, suspend, transfer or delegate any of Council's powers to anyone else -- not Vince Bertoni or his successor, not Eric Garcetti or his successor, not the State of California and not anyone else. It would be easy enough to say up front that there is no intent to do that and the Proposed Ordinance does not do that.
3. FIXED to get rid of every single solitary reference to State law and every addition to the City Charter (like Advisory Agency authority added per Charter) in this Ordinance. We should not amend the Charter or bring State law into our LAMC or Charter.
4. FIXED and revised every substantive law change. There are many:
 - The Director of Planning should not be able to grant incentives, to waive like reduced parking or deviations from the zoning code setbacks, density or Floor Area Ratios other than through the existing affordable housing provisions
 - The Director should not be able to waive public hearings to make "back room" deals.
 - This ordinance now allows developers to "stack" incentives to maximize their bonuses but provide the minimal amount of affordable housing units which then double count or triple count as both replacement units under state law and new affordable units under the Transit Oriented Communities
 - The Director of Planning ability to act to "interpret" ordinances passed by Council without a right of appeal back to Council to ask what they really meant.
5. FIX 500 foot radius (or more if not at least 20 neighbors), across the street, Certified NCs and any resident who has asked to be notified of any item within an area - all need to be preserved for notice, public hearing and right of appeal.
6. FIX HPOZ provisions - Planning Dept should not be determining Certificates of Appropriateness without appeal to Council (which is the way it is now) or determine Certificates of Compatibility. There are also serious concerns about the approvals of historic monuments being given to just the Director of City Planning.

There is more that is of serious concern it is clear the Ordinance is fundamentally flawed and needs to be sent back for correction and review.

Thank you for your consideration.

Linda L Sanoff

515 N June Street, 90004

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Cindy Chvatal** <snorekel@gmail.com>

Date: Thu, Nov 15, 2018 at 12:21 PM

Subject: Re: Opposition to Changes to Zoning Code

To: <Cityclerk@lacity.org>

On Thu, Nov 15, 2018 at 12:07 PM C Mehta <christopher.mehta@gmail.com> wrote:

I am writing to strongly OPPOSE Zoning Code / Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) / Reorganization of Administrative Provisions / Amendment, Council File 12-0460-S4; Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA; ENV-2016-3183-CE ("Proposed Ordinance").

Everyone needs a voice when it comes our communities and this ordinance takes away that voice and sends us down a path of reckless development. City Planning should be planned not just abdicated to the for-profit market benefiting from real estate speculation. The City and developers must compromise to create a more balanced livable city for residents, voters and taxpayers.

Please send this Proposed Ordinance back to Planning and do not pass on to PLUM or Council until Planning has done the following:

1. FIXED the Proposed Ordinance to INCLUDE the Neighborhood Councils in the authorities section with all of their Charter and LAMC powers, notices and rights. No exceptions.
2. FIXED the Council powers section -- there is no section of this that ought to eliminate, suspend, transfer or delegate any of Council's powers to anyone else -- not Vince Bertoni or his successor, not Eric Garcetti or his successor, not the State of California and not anyone else. It would be easy enough to say up front that there is no intent to do that and the Proposed Ordinance does not do that.
3. FIXED to get rid of every single solitary reference to State law and every addition to the City Charter (like Advisory Agency authority added per Charter) in this Ordinance. We should not amend the Charter or bring State law into our LAMC or Charter.
4. FIXED and revised every substantive law change. There are many:
 - The Director of Planning should not be able to grant incentives, to waive like reduced parking or deviations from the zoning code setbacks, density or Floor Area Ratios other than through the existing affordable housing provisions
 - The Director should not be able to waive public hearings to make "back room" deals.
 - This ordinance now allows developers to "stack" incentives to maximize their bonuses but provide the minimal amount of affordable housing units which then double count or triple count as both replacement units under state law and new affordable units under the Transit Oriented Communities
 - The Director of Planning ability to act to "interpret" ordinances passed by Council without a right of appeal back to Council to ask what they really meant.
5. FIX 500 foot radius (or more if not at least 20 neighbors), across the street, Certified NCs and any resident who has asked to be notified of any item within an area - all need to be preserved for notice, public hearing and right of appeal.
6. FIX HPOZ provisions - Planning Dept should not be determining Certificates of Appropriateness without appeal to Council (which is the way it is now) or determine Certificates of Compatibility. There are also serious concerns about the approvals of historic monuments being given to just the Director of City Planning.

There is more that is of serious concern it is clear the Ordinance is fundamentally flawed and needs to be sent back for correction and review.

Christopher Mehta

234 S Muirfield RD

Los Angeles, CA 9004

—— Forwarded message ——

From: **Mary O'Connell** <moconnell@adamsocConnell.com>

Date: Thu, Nov 15, 2018 at 7:23 PM

Subject: Please OPPOSE Council File #: 12-0460-S4

To: <David.Ryu@lacity.org>, <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Dear Councilman Ryu,

Subject: Please OPPOSE Council File #: 12-0460-S4

I am writing to strongly OPPOSE Zoning Code / Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) / Reorganization of Administrative Provisions / Amendment, Council File 12-0460-S4; Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA; ENV-2016-3183-CE ("Proposed Ordinance").

I feel very strongly that City Planning should be planned not just abdicated to the for-profit market benefiting from real estate speculation. The City and developers must compromise to create a more balanced livable city for residents, voters and taxpayers.

Please send this Proposed Ordinance back to Planning and do not pass on to PLUM or Council.

Sincerely,

Mary Adams O'Connell

426 No. McCadden Pl., LA, CA 90004

—
AOC

Mary Adams O'Connell

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

ADAMS O'CONNELL INC.

800 WILSHIRE BLVD. SUITE 730 LOS ANGELES CA 90017

PHONE 213.489.2400 x205. FAX 213.489.2401

WWW.ADAMSOCONNELL.COM

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE NAMED RECIPIENT AND MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL, PROPRIETARY OR LEGALLY PRIVILEGED INFORMATION. UNAUTHORIZED INDIVIDUALS OR ENTITIES ARE NOT PERMITTED ACCESS TO THIS INFORMATION. ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS INFORMATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS MESSAGE IN ERROR, PLEASE ADVISE THE SENDER BY REPLY EMAIL AND DELETE THIS MESSAGE AND ANY ATTACHMENTS. THANK YOU.

----- Forwarded message -----

From:

Date: Thu, Nov 15, 2018 at 12:18 PM

Subject: OPPOSE Council File #: 12-0460-S4

To: <clerk@lacity.org>

Dear Councilman Ryu,

Subject: OPPOSE Council File #: 12-0460-S4

I am writing to strongly OPPOSE Zoning Code / Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) / Reorganization of Administrative Provisions / Amendment, Council File 12-0460-S4; Case No. CPC-2016-3182-CA; ENV-2016-3183-CE ("Proposed Ordinance").

Everyone needs a voice when it comes our communities and this ordinance takes away that voice and sends us down a path of reckless development. City Planning should be planned not just abdicated to the for-profit market benefiting from real estate speculation. The City and developers must compromise to create a more balanced livable city for residents, voters and taxpayers.

Please send this Proposed Ordinance back to Planning and do not pass on to PLUM or Council until Planning has done the following:

1. FIXED the Proposed Ordinance to INCLUDE the Neighborhood Councils in the authorities section with all of their Charter and LAMC powers, notices and rights. No exceptions.

2. FIXED the Council powers section -- there is no section of this that ought to eliminate, suspend, transfer or delegate any of Council's powers to anyone else -- not Vince Bertoni or his successor, not Eric Garcetti or his successor, not the State of California and not anyone else. It would be easy enough to say up front that there is no intent to do that and the Proposed Ordinance does not do that.

3. FIXED to get rid of every single solitary reference to State law and every addition to the City Charter (like Advisory Agency authority added per Charter) in this Ordinance. We should not amend the Charter or bring State law into our LAMC or Charter.

4. FIXED and revised every substantive law change. There are many:

- The Director of Planning should not be able to grant incentives, to waive like reduced parking or deviations from the zoning code setbacks, density or Floor Area Ratios other than through the existing affordable housing provisions
- The Director should not be able to waive public hearings to make "back room" deals.
- This ordinance now allows developers to "stack" incentives to maximize their bonuses but provide the minimal amount of affordable housing units which then double count or triple count as both replacement units under state law and new affordable units under the Transit Oriented Communities
- The Director of Planning ability to act to "interpret" ordinances passed by Council without a right of appeal back to Council to ask what they really meant.

5. FIX 500 foot radius (or more if not at least 20 neighbors), across the street, Certified NCs and any resident who has asked to be notified of any item within an area - all need to be preserved for notice, public hearing and right of appeal.

6. FIX HPOZ provisions - Planning Dept should not be determining Certificates of Appropriateness without appeal to Council (which is the way it is now) or determine Certificates of Compatibility. There are also serious concerns about the approvals of historic monuments being given to just the Director of City Planning.

There is more that is of serious concern it is clear the Ordinance is fundamentally flawed and needs to be sent back for correction and review.