

Communication from Public

Name: Christina Boyar

Date Submitted: 06/10/2025 03:36 PM

Council File No: 14-0268-S18

Comments for Public Posting: I am commenting in support of a codified Right to Counsel. I am a renter and an attorney at Public Counsel and I live in CD5. I strongly support a codified Right to Counsel ordinance. I am in support of the ordinance version A. It has the correct definition of tenant, which is the same definition of tenant used in other ordinances including the Just Cause ordinance and Rent Stabilization ordinance. The City Attorney's Office should not be legislating and should be following Council instructions. This is the 4th round of amendments to this ordinance which has delayed Right to Counsel for passing for over a year now. Right to Counsel in 25 cities across the nation has proven to save the City money, prevent homelessness, and reduce the number of evictions. I also want to thank Councilmember Raman for your leadership on this critical issue. I urge all Committee members to vote yes on the RTC ordinance Version A. Thank you!

Communication from Public

Name: Kyle Nelson

Date Submitted: 06/10/2025 04:05 PM

Council File No: 14-0268-S18

Comments for Public Posting: I am a renter, and I live in Council District #5. I strongly support a codified Right to Counsel (RTC) ordinance. I am in support of the ordinance version A. I also want to thank Councilmember Raman for their longtime leadership on this critical issue. It is confusing why there are even two ordinances in first place, as the City Council directed the City Attorney's Office to draft only one ordinance. Ordinance Version A has the correct definition of tenancy insofar as it is compatible with definitions used in other tenant protection ordinances like the Just Cause ordinance and Rent Stabilization ordinance. Language in ordinance version B creates a new definition of tenancy, which will create unnecessary confusion across ordinances. Tenants facing eviction in the City of Los Angeles need RTC now and the City Attorney's Office should be following City Council's instructions; legislating is not part of the its mandate and this process has simply gone on for far too long. This is now the 4th round of amendments to this ordinance, which has delayed an RTC ordinance from passing for over a year now. In 25 cities across the United States, RTC programs are proven to save cities money, prevent homelessness, and reduce the number of evictions. In a city like Los Angeles that continues to struggle to mitigate dual housing affordability and homelessness crises, RTC will be a vital tool in the City's homelessness prevention toolkit and help keep tenants housed. For these reasons, I urge all Committee members to vote yes on the RTC Ordinance Version A today. Thank you!

Communication from Public

Name: Lorena MK

Date Submitted: 06/10/2025 05:46 PM

Council File No: 14-0268-S18

Comments for Public Posting: My name is Lorena and I live in Valley Village, in CD 2. I strongly support a codified Right to Counsel ordinance. I am in support of the ordinance version A. It has the correct definition of tenant, which is the same definition of tenant used in other ordinances including the Just Cause ordinance and Rent Stabilization ordinance. The City Attorney's Office should not be legislating and should be following Council instructions. This is the 4th round of amendments to this ordinance which has delayed Right to Counsel for passing for over a year now. I really wish our City Attorney would stop wasting time on this matter. Thank you.