



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Commercial Cannabis Location Restriction Ordinance at City Council 11/28/17

J. Raza Lawrence <razalawrence@gmail.com>

Tue, Nov 28, 2017 at 8:43 AM

To: Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org

Cc: Allison Margolin <allison@margolinlawrence.com>, Lauren Estevez <lauren@margolinlawrence.com>

Dear District 8 Council Member Marqueece Harris-Dawson and City Council,

Our law office represents a client with an interest in the location at 6820 Crenshaw Boulevard, who will be seeking to apply for a license under Los Angeles' new commercial cannabis licensing system. We are writing with respect to Council File 14-0366-S4 and the proposed Commercial Cannabis Location Restriction Ordinance, which is scheduled for a hearing before the City Council on November 28, 2017 at 10:00 a.m.

We hereby request that you consider amending the Commercial Cannabis Location Restriction Ordinance to allow a commercial cannabis retail business to apply for a license and operate at 6820 Crenshaw Boulevard, a location in City Council District 8. This location is less than a block outside the retail zone on the proposed maps published by the City, and is not within the "buffer zones" indicated on the map.

This address previously housed a commercial cannabis retail business that we understand was in substantial compliance with all local and state laws. Please find enclosed with this email a map, showing the proposed eligible retail zone in pink, with a star just barely North of that zone, where 6820 Crenshaw Boulevard is located. Further, as set forth in the enclosed chart of medical marijuana dispensaries in the City of Los Angeles, the medical marijuana business that was operating at this address was among the 191 medical marijuana businesses confirmed by the City of Los Angeles to be "Approved" and eligible for a special 2017 BTRC as a medical marijuana business, and a BTRC was issued on 4/12/17. Accordingly, we respectfully request that this address be permitted to apply as a commercial cannabis retail location in the City's upcoming licensing process.

Thank you for considering our input on this issue.

--

J. Raza Lawrence

Partner, Margolin & Lawrence

margolinlawrence.com

8484 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 440

Beverly Hills, CA 90211

raza@margolinlawrence.com

(c) 213-309-2590 (o) 323-653-9700

PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

This message contains information which may be confidential and privileged. Unless you are the addressee (or authorized to receive for the addressee), you may not use, copy or disclose to anyone the message or any information contained in the message. If you have received the message in error, please advise the sender by reply email, and delete the message.

From: Niall Huffman <niall.huffman@lacity.org>
Date: November 27, 2017 at 3:21:00 PM PST
To: Niall Huffman <niall.huffman@lacity.org>
Subject: **Commercial Cannabis Location Restriction Ordinance at City Council 11/28/17**

Dear Stakeholders:

On Tuesday, November 28, 2017, the City Council is scheduled to consider the proposed Commercial Cannabis Location Restriction Ordinance.

The meeting is scheduled to begin at 10:00 a.m. in the Council Chamber (Room 340) of Los Angeles City Hall, [200 N Spring St, Los Angeles, CA 90012](#). All interested persons are invited to attend the meeting. An agenda is available at the following link: http://clkrep.lacity.org/granicus/2017/11282017_cal.htm The proposed ordinance is item No. 7 on the agenda.

Written comments on the proposed ordinance should be addressed directly to the City Council and sent via the City Clerk's office at Richard.Williams@lacity.org. Please reference Council File 14-0366-S4 in your correspondence.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at niall.huffman@lacity.org or [213-978-3405](tel:213-978-3405). Thank you for your continued interest.

--



Niall H. Huffman, City Planning Associate
Department of City Planning
(213) 978-3405
200 N. Spring St, Room 701
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Please be advised that I am out of the office every other Friday.



For the latest information regarding re:code LA, please visit our website at recode.la.

Follow us on [Facebook](#), [Twitter](#), & [YouTube](#)



Please consider the environment before printing this email.

2 attachments



6820 Crenshaw Blvd .png
222K

 **PastedGraphic-1.pdf**
244K



Richard Williams <richard.williams@lacity.org>

Council File 14-0366-S4

julio kollerbohm <jkollerbohm@gmail.com>
To: Richard.Williams@lacity.org

Tue, Nov 28, 2017 at 11:08 AM

Hello Richard,

The difference between prop 64 and what the city as presented (without voter approval) under the parameters of Measure M is staggering. WE the voters, entrusted the city would follow similar rules at prop 64, however instead of trying to pull this industry into legality the city is going to make it so difficult to operate that the black market will proliferate. The city is going to waste resources pursuing enforcement instead of allowing new resources to help our infrastructure and social welfare programs. It's so unfortunate.

Best,
Julio