

# Public Comments Not Uploaded Fwd: CF 14-0518 Wildlife Ordinance June 20, 2023 PLUM Committee Meeting

2 messages

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Jun 20, 2023 at 7:23 AM

Reply-To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

To: Clerk-PLUM-Committee <clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message ------

From: 'patriciamcpherson1@verizon.net' via Clerk - CityClerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Date: Fri, Jun 16, 2023 at 6:18 PM

Subject: CF 14-0518 Wildlife Ordinance June 20, 2023 PLUM Committee Meeting

To: cityclerk@lacity.org <cityclerk@lacity.org>

PLUM Committee per CF 15-0518,

c/o City Clerk,

Please include the following comment by Grassroots Coalition in its continued support of the proposed Wildlife Ordinance CF 14-0518.

Grassroots Coalition also supports the comment letter from Councilpersons Nithya Raman and Katy Yaroslavsky from the 4th and 5th Districts.

Thank you for the thoughtful effort that has gone into this Ordinance planning and its protective measures for the environment and its wildlife.

Patricia McPherson, Grassroots Coalition



cityci

clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org <clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org>
Draft To: "patriciamcpherson1@verizon.net" <patriciamcpherson1@verizon.net>
Cc: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, Office of the City Clerk <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Tue, Jun 20, 2023 at 10:24 AM

Good Morning,

Your communication has been received and uploaded to Council file No. 14-0518.

Thank you.
[Quoted text hidden]



## Public Comments Not Uploaded Fwd: THE PROPOSED DRAFT WILDLIFE ORDINANCE - COUNCIL FILE 14-0518

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Reply-To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org
To: Clerk-PLUM-Committee <clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org>

Tue, Jun 20, 2023 at 7:14 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Adeena Karsseboom <adeena@fittermanfamily.com>

Date: Tue, Jun 20, 2023 at 6:57 AM

Subject: THE PROPOSED DRAFT WILDLIFE ORDINANCE - COUNCIL FILE 14-0518

To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

June 19th, 2023

Via Email and Messenger

Los Angeles City Council, PLUM Committee, and Los Angeles City Planning

RE: THE PROPOSED DRAFT WILDLIFE ORDINANCE (CF 14-0518)

Dear Honorable Members and Los Angeles City Planning Staff,

I live in the neighborhood right alongside the proposed Wildlife Supplemental Use District ("WLD"), a fully developed and well-established neighborhood, whose homes are primarily smaller 1950's ranch homes on large steep lots with small building pads.

While I recognize the need for protection of Wildlife and Biodiversity and would welcome a well-designed Wildlife Ordinance founded on scientific research, I do not support *this* Wildlife Ordinance as it is neither of those

I urge the PLUM Committee, the City Council, and the Planning Department to respect the will of the affected residents of the proposed WLD, who overwhelmingly oppose the Wildlife Ordinance in its current incarnation, as evidenced by their comments submitted to the Planning Department and the City Planning Commission.

I would strongly prefer that the PLUM Committee reject the current incarnation of the Wildlife Ordinance in its entirety, and direct City Planning to draft a Wildlife Ordinance that relies upon scientific research to better protect Wildlife and Biodiversity without unduly burdening the ordinary homeowner. However, if that will not be done, I wish to express my vehement opposition to the following regulations contained in the current proposed Wildlife Ordinance, and in Councilmembers Yaroslavsky's and Ramen's letter to the PLUM Committee.

1. **I OPPOSE** the failure of the Wildlife Ordinance to promulgate different regulations for undeveloped/pristine lots and those lots which have already been developed.

This failure results in insufficient protections for undeveloped/ pristine land of high habitat and/or biodiversity value, combined with overly burdensome regulation of homes on already developed parcels that have limited habitat or biodiversity value.

2. **I OPPOSE** the proposal put forth by Councilmembers Yaroslavsky and Ramen to reinstate the disallowance of RFA for slopes greater than 60% (aka 31 degrees) contained in Version 2 of the Wildlife Ordinance.

This proposal for a blanket reduction in allowed home size on steeper lots is a classic example of regulatory overkill.

Simply put, there is no nexus between home size, slope steepness, and wildlife habitat destruction where the home is on an already developed parcel with an existing pad, as is the case of the majority of homes in the WLD. Absent additional grading, which is already regulated under other sections of the Wildlife Ordinance, this reduction in allowable home size severely negatively impacts homeowners while providing minimal benefit to wildlife or biodiversity.

Most homes in my neighborhood have slopes greater than 60% (31 degrees), and most of these homes are relatively small in size. Reducing allowable home size for the majority of our residents will prevent needed increases in home size to accommodate growing families, aging parents, and home offices for remote work. The larger homes that exist in our community will be rendered non-conforming, with the attendant mortgage and insurance difficulties.

Moreover, this heavy-handed reduction in allowable home size would only worsen the City's housing shortage. The California Department of Housing & Community Development (HCD) has already warned the City that reducing RFA below its current

level would likely violate California Government Code Section 66300, subdivision (b)(1) (A).

3. I OPPOSE the regulation in Section E(1)(b) which defines additions of greater than 500sf as a Project under the Wildlife Ordinance.

This 500sf threshold is entirely too low and is applied regardless of the size of the existing home. As a result, it unreasonably burdens the owners of smaller, older homes, such as those that constitute the majority of homes in our neighborhood. Additionally, it encourages homeowners who need more space to sell their homes rather than enlarge them, which is not only detrimental to neighborhood cohesiveness but also has the presumably unintended consequence of increasing the risk that the homes will be bought by developers who will build the largest home they can. At a minimum, additions that would result in homes of less than 3,500sf or 75% of allowed RFA should be exempted from this regulation.

4. I OPPOSE the regulation in Section E(1)(c) which defines Major Remodels as a Project under the Wildlife Ordinance.

Homeowners with smaller, older homes, similar to most in our neighborhood, will face an unjustifiable burden due to the applicability of the Major Remodel threshold. Bringing these homes up to current standards and building codes significantly increases the likelihood of exceeding the Major Remodel threshold. Consequently, it is crucial to exempt owner-occupied homes of a certain age from this category of Project.

5. I OPPOSE the requirement in Section E(1)(e) and Section F.1(f), as to non-native trees only, that 2 new trees be planted for the removal of each non-native Significant (aka large) tree.

This regulation poses a significant fire hazard. Section 4906.4.2 of the 2023 California Fire Code (presumably to be adopted by the City in the near future) requires that the dripline at maturity of any newly planted trees be at least 10 feet away from structures and the dripline of other trees. By requiring a two-for-one tree replacement for the removal of large non-indigenous trees, this regulation would require homeowners with small building pads to plant trees that will violate best fire safety practices and fire regulations, or on steeper parts of the property where they cannot be readily maintained in a fire-safe manner.

Further, the native flora in the WLD is primarily chaparral - large native trees are generally only found in riparian areas. While there are many parts of Los Angeles that are in need of additional trees, the WLD is not one of them. A far better approach would be to require homeowners who remove large non-native trees to contribute to a fund dedicated to providing trees to areas where such trees are actually needed, and where

they would not be public safety hazard, or jeopardize a homeowners ability to obtain fire insurance.

Additionally, both the increased fire danger (and the increased bird strikes, as noted in the City's own PAWS report) resulting from this regulation would disallow a CEQA exemption for this Ordinance.

6. I OPPOSE the requirement in Section F.1(f), as to non-native trees only, that prohibits grading or construction within the dripline of a Significant (aka large) tree.

> Where the dripline of a large non-native tree extends over a home, this regulation would unreasonably interfere with homeowners 'ability to remodel their homes. Furthermore, as previously stated, the WLD does not require protection for non-native trees, making this requirement both unnecessary and burdensome...

7. I OPPOSE the requirement in Section Section F.1(j) that requires trash cans to be stored in a building or special enclosure.

> According to the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) and the Planning Department, these trash can enclosures would be considered structures and thus prohibited in side and front setback areas. Consequently, they would need to be situated in the central portion of homeowners' backyards. Most homes in our neighborhood are on small pads with small or non-existent back yards, and some back yards are only reachable by stairs. Having odorous and unsightly trash cans enclosures in the middle of our small back yards is untenable, as is dragging them up and down stairs - especially for the elderly or disabled. Storing odorous, insect-attracting trash cans in the garage is equally unacceptable.

Additionally, given that Wildlife intrusion into trash cans stored behind fences on homeowners 'properties is extremely rare, and that Wildlife resistant latches are readily available for under \$20, this regulation is wholly unreasonable.

8. I OPPOSE the requirement in Section F.1(j) for Site Plan Review for homes resulting in greater than 6,000sf.

The 6.000sf threshold is too low. The Planning Department has stated that the average new/rebuilt home in the WLD is over 6,000sf, consequently this regulation would require that the *majority* of new/rebuilt homes undergo Site Plan Review.

9. **I OPPOSE** the failure of **Section H.3** to provide for a simplified review process in cases where a Resource is erroneously mapped and does not actually exist in the mapped location and/or where it is clear that the Project would have a negligible impact on the Resource.

The Planning Department has not verified that the Resources are accurately mapped, and the Wildlife Ordinance unreasonably shifts this burden to the homeowner at the significant expense and difficult of a Site Plan Review and Biological Assessment. See Exhibit 1 for examples.

10. **I OPPOSE** the failure of **Section E.1** to exclude homes destroyed by casualties other than natural disasters (e.g. cooking or electrical fires) from the Wildlife Ordinance regulations.

This failure is entirely unreasonable and will result in homeowners having difficulty obtaining mortgages or refinancing.

11. I **OPPOSE** the failure of **F.1(e)(2)** to utilize R1 and R2 Zoning rather than lot size in determining which parcels are subject to the Wildlife Ordinance's Lot Coverage regulations, and to give an overly generous Lot Coverage allowance to large parcels.

Many RE zoned homes in the WLD are on lots that are smaller than R1/R2 lots. Our neighborhood, although zoned primarily RE-15, has several such lots (e.g. lots of 6,000sf - 7000sf) and these homeowners would be greatly burdened by the application of a 50% Lot Coverage limit to their properties.

This burden on homeowners of smaller parcels is especially galling in the face of the absolute gift to developers of mega-mansions of up to 100,000sf of Lot Coverage for larger parcels. It is these mega-mansion Projects that have a negative impact on Wildlife and Biodiversity, not the small parcel home.

12. I OPPOSE the regulation in Section F.1(c)(2)(i)(a) to the extent that it would prohibit stairs and decks on slopes greater than 100% (aka 45 degrees).

Prohibiting stairs on these slopes creates a public safety hazard. Prohibiting stairs would render it difficult/dangerous for property owners to reach areas of their property for brush clearance and other property maintenance. Further, this regulation restricts homeowners 'access to their own property, and as such constitutes a taking.

Many homes on steeper slopes have very small flat pads and are in neighborhoods with no sidewalks and limited access to recreational areas. Decks provide the opportunity for outdoor living space while leaving the land below the deck available for use by wildlife.

13. I **OPPOSE** Councilmembers Yaroslavsky's and Ramen's proposal to revert to Version 2's inclusion of non-Open Space designated City-owned vacant land in the definition of Open Space.

Per the Planning Department, this change would place Resource Buffers on approximately 1000 adjacent parcels, requiring the owners of those adjacent parcels to undergo expensive and time-consuming Site Plan Review for Projects. The mere fact that the City owns the unimproved parcel does not justify this result, especially where the City itself has declined to designate the parcel as Open Space.

14. I **OPPOSE** the proposal put forth by Councilmembers Yaroslavsky and Ramen to reinstate the disallowance of a basement exemption, contrary to CPC recommendations. I propose basement exemptions that equal the footprint of the ground/main level of the home. This will not affect wildlife or biodiversity and should remain an option to property owners, especially since they are not allowed to utilize SB9 or 10, due to other area conditions.

I sincerely hope that the above explanation of the impacts of just some of the proposed regulations makes clear that the current version of the Wildlife Ordinance is deeply flawed.

Sincerely,

Adeena K. Fitterman

#### Exhibit 1

Example of Erroneous Wildlife Resource in Bel Air Hills, depicting stream traversing homes, pools, and Roscomare Road.

The Wildlife Resource so depicted does not exist.

Source: Current Planning Department Wildlife Resource Map, captured on November 14<sup>th</sup>, 2022

A picture containing diagram Description automatically generated.jpeg

Example of Erroneous Wildlife Resource in Bel Air Hills,

depicting an Open Channel running through the side of a home.

The Wildlife Resource so depicted does not exist.

Source: Current Planning Department Wildlife Resource Map, captured on June 19<sup>th</sup>, 2023



A screenshot of a map Description automatically generated with medium confidence.jpeg

#### 2 attachments




A picture containing diagram




A screenshot of a map 53K



### **Draft Wildlife Resources**







## Public Comments Not Uploaded Opposition to Proposed Wildlife Ordinance (Yaroslavsky)

1 message

A G <dhsbrenda@gmail.com>

Tue, Jun 20, 2023 at 12:38 AM

Reply-To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org, kevin.keller@lacity.org, jarrett.thompson@lacity.org, jenelle.henderson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Yaroslavsky@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org, cityatty.help@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org

Dear Mayor, Councilmembers, and PLUM Committee Members:

I am a constituent in 90025. I am opposed to the proposed Wildlife Ordinance because:

- 1. This proposal does not do what it claims for wildlife, and does much harm to housing. This ordinance will gravely affect small property owners, not the mega mansion developers they are claiming to be going after, and will not protect wildlife. It will make our housing shortage worse. It will cause more population outflows. And without truly helping wildlife in the region. These new regulations do not work to preserve large open spaces. They don't create corridors between these open spaces that are as free from potential human contact as possible. In fact they do very few things that are actually good for wildlife.
- 2. This set of regulations conflicts with State law and policy promoting housing. This is a down-zone, plain and simple, and thus violates State law—including CEQA, HAA and other rules regarding zoning. It will end up tying the city up in lawsuit knots- for no reason.
- 3. This proposal violates due process—this ordinance has been pushed by bureaucratic insiders. It has yet to be fully vetted or even discussed in an open and honest way. Most people in the hills still don't realize what is happening. There have been no major studies on the environmental, economic and housing impacts of this ordinance. There has been no effort made to track down who will be negatively impacted—and how badly. Instead this ordinance continues to be shuttled around the back hallways of City Hall without being truly and openly debated.
- 4. The PLUM Committee must send this ordinance back to the planning department to be fully vetted, to have the parts of the rules removed that don't directly help wildlife and to expand those elements that truly help our wildlife—by formally designating open areas and plotting out how to connect these habitats and corridors in a way that keeps wildlife out of harm's way (i.e. away from people). These are efforts true wildlife experts have suggested will help LA maintain its unique and wonderful access to nature and save wildlife at the same time..

Brenda Williams

dhsbrenda@gmail.com 11901 Santa Monica Blvd., #701 Los Angeles, CA 90025



Virus-free.www.avg.com



# Public Comments Not Uploaded Wildlife corridors SUPPORT! (CF#14-0518)- Petition and signers

1 message

Lawrence Lincoln < lawrencelincoln77@gmail.com>

Tue, Jun 20, 2023 at 8:55 AM

Reply-To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

To: kevin.keller@lacity.org, jarrett.thompson@lacity.org, jenelle.henderson@lacity.org,

Councilmember.Yaroslavsky@lacity.org, contactCD4@lacity.org, mayor.helpdesk@lacity.org, cityatty.help@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.rodriguez@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, cd10@lacity.org, councilmember.Lee@lacity.org, "mashael.majid@lacity.org" <mashael.majid@lacity.org>, "dylan.sittig@lacity.org" <dylan.sittig@lacity.org>, "paola.bassignana@lacity.org" <paola.bassignana@lacity.org>, "dan.rosales@lacity.org" <dan.rosales@lacity.org>, "kidada.malloy@lacity.org"

<kidada.malloy@lacity.org>, clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

Good Morning Mayor and Council Members and staff,

Please see attached change.org petition and its 427 signers in STRONG SUPPORT of the proposed wildlife ordinance (CF#14-0518) and Item 19 on today's PLUM Committee meeting, including supporting the measures to strengthen the ordinance by Council Members Raman and Yaroslavsky.

The petition lives here online: https://chng.it/vpX5STVWV5S

I hope you all will stand strong against the b.s. being spread around by the avaricious corporate real estate goons. That type of greed and lying is what's going to kill us all.

Merged Petition · SAVE FUTURE P-22's\_APPROVE WILDLIFE ORDINANCE NOW!.pdf 727K

### change.org



Dashboard

Petition details

Edit

Comments



## **SAVE FUTURE P-22's: TELL LA CITY COUNCIL** TO APPROVE WILDLIFE ORDINANCE NOW!

Started February 4, 2023

413 500

Next Goal Signatures



John Monsen signed 7 hours ago

teresa lake signed 20 hours ago

Share this petition

### Why this petition matters



Started by **Lawrence Lincoln** 

**Our beloved Hollywood Mountain Lion, P-22,** dodged cars, avoided rodenticide and managed to survive in LA's urban jungle for a decade before old age, ill health and the rest caught up with him. <u>He died several weeks ago</u> and **we are all mourning his tragic loss.** 

But we must not let his passing be in vain. The enormous turn out for the <u>celebration of his life</u>, which apparently even crashed Ticketmaster, shows how much <u>the City of Angels loved their cougar</u>. Congressman <u>Adam Schiff's suggestion of a postage stamp</u> is great, but we can do something that actually, really protects our wildlife going forward.

There is something very important you can do right now to protect all the other mountain lions living quietly in and around the Santa Monica mountain, as well as all the other wildlife we share our urban city with. It's not just about building bridges across freeways, it's about actively protecting our hillside areas. The Los Angeles City Council has brought forward a groundbreaking ordinance to protect the hillsides from overdevelopment in our most urban of cities. That means, if you are building a new house, you should leave a little extra room around your property for wildlife to pass, particularly if you are situated in a known wildlife area. It's simple, it protects our quality of life in the hillsides and canyons (I live in Laurel Canyon), and, best of all, homes near wildlife preserves generally always increase in value. It's a win-win-win all across the board.

A version of the ordinance weakened by political deal-making was approved by the Los Angeles Planning Commission this past December 2022, now we need to <u>push hard to get</u> the <u>City Council to re-strengthen the ordinance</u>, bring it to Planning committee as soon as possible, and get the whole City Council to approve it and Mayor Bass to sign it.

So, right now, while you're thinking about it:

- 1) Please sign the petition below calling on the Los Angeles City Council, especially Councilwomen Nithya Raman and Katy Yaroslavsky, to strengthen the wildlife ordinance back to an earlier version, and, most urgently, tell the City Council to approve this ordinance NOW!
- 2) Also, please <u>sign the Center for Biological Diversity's excellent action alert</u> which will send emails directly to the Los Angeles City Council.

support. Letters are already in there if you need samples. Demand the City Council strengthen the ordinance back the way it was.

- 4) Sign up on on the CLAW (Citizens for LA Wildlife) website to get updates and actions you can take.
- 5) Sign up here on the LA City Planning page to receive updates on the ordinance.

Report a policy violation

COMPANY COMMUNITY

Blog **About** 

**Impact Press** 

Careers **Community Guidelines** 

Team

SUPPORT CONNECT

Help **Twitter** 

Guides Facebook

Privacy Instagram

**Policies** 

Cookies

**English (United States)** 

	А	В	С	D	Е
1	Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country
2	Lawrence Lincoln	Los Angeles	CA		US
3	Wendy-Sue Rosen	Los Angeles	CA	90049	US
4	Nanci Sackett	Redding	CA	96003	US
5	Mary Heyman	Palm Desert	CA	92260	US
6	Josh Standiford	Lake Zurich		60047	US
7	Carol Capwell	Upperco		21155	US
8	Matthew Burdette	JoeRoganPodcast		69420	US
9	Daniel Coxson	Olney		20832	US
10	Liberty Bell	Talkeetna	AK	99654	US
11	Kate E. Byrne Haltom	Los Angeles		91335	US
12	Deborah Spencer	Billerica		1821	US
13	Gina Thornburg	Spring Hill	KS	66083	US
14	shawn hunter	Groton		6340	US
15	Negar Mokhtari	Hillsboro		97129	US
16	Arline Lohli	Las Vegas		89129	US
17	kelly Vieitez	Fort Lee		7024	US
18	Rafael Pastrana	Tampa		33647	US
19	Ray Yow	San Antonio		78244	US
20	Jerry Doughty	Mabelvale		72103	US
21	Terri Alward	Nottingham		21236	US
22	yolanda schultes	Wittenbach	CA	9300	US
23	Christeen Anderson	Crestview	FL	32539	US
24	Dominique Lang	Vaison la Romaine		84110	France
25	Katalin Kónya-Jakus	Szatymaz			Hungary
26	Anne Montarou	Ahrensburg		22926	Germany
27	Christna Frutuoso	Esch		4069	Luxembourg
28	Patricia Broun	Los Angeles	CA	90034	US
29	Deanna Hutchinson	Los Angeles	CA	90006	US
30	Chantel Ramnanan	Cambridge		N1r 5g5	Canada
31	Margit Ristimäki	Helsinki			Finland
32	Karine Simonart	Sambreville		6200	Belgium
33	Maria Hernandez	San Antonio	TX	78207	US
34	James White	Los Angeles	CA	90009	US
35	Sabine Möhler	esselbach		97839	Germany
36	Jessica Yow	Lacey		98503	US
37	Mark Cooney	Boca Raton		33433	US
-	Falcon Knight	Brooklyn	NY	11226	
	Linda Ratliff	Grundy		24614	
-	Ashley Zimmerman	Henderson		89074-4170	
41	kara mice	Parkersburg		26104	
42	Devin Diamond	Chicago		60609	
43	Diane Guerin	Montreal		H1X2L3	Canada
44	Reanako Reanako	Orlando		32839	
45	Alexander Shaw	Newport Beach		92663	
46	Colin curtin				US

	А	В	С	D	Е
47	t ara	los angeles	•	90014	US
48	luna S.	Miami		33129	US
49	Julie Renner	Easton		18042	US
50	John Bias	Ammon		83407	US
51	jenny gallaway	Wheeling		26003	US
52	Clara Kang	Queens		11365	US
53	Nishantha Wijenaike	Matara			Sri Lanka
54	Raquel Glaspie	Queens		11412	US
55	Anne Allbritton	Irondale		35210	US
56	Liana Peterson	Johnstown		15906	US
57	Bonnie Billiot	New Orleans	LA	70125	US
58	Mozhdeh Baniasadi	Reston		20190	US
59	Ashton Wren				US
60	Jim Hanson	Winter Park		32789	US
61	Colin Watson				US
62	vielka Valenzuela	Tujunga	CA	91042	US
63	loonA baboon	San Marcos	CA	92078	US
64	Christian Esiegbue	Hanford		93230	US
	NoBody InVR	Denver		80251	
66	Jerome Ilomuanya	Richmond	TX	77469	US
	Tanya Romero	phoenix		85027	
	Kelsey Didia	Carmel		47708	
	Roann Schott	Plains		59859	
	Laura Coughanour	Manassas	VA	20110	
$\overline{}$	Joyce Alexander	Edinburgh	SCT	EH11	UK
-	Jim Stewart	Los Angeles	CA	90006	
-	Kourtnie Good	Los Angeles	CA	90066	
-	Marga Gili	Palma			Spain
	Alison Simard	Los Angeles	CA	90046	
	Bett Weston	Ann Arbor	MI	48113	
_	Julia Cisneros	Tujunga	CA	91042	
$\vdash$	JULIA ORR	Napa DKL lakarta	CA	94559	Indonesia
$\vdash$	Marcel Alexandrovich sadie al				Indonesia
	R S	South Jakarta Koln			
$\vdash$	R S Paulinda Schimmel	Studio City	CA	91604	Germany
$\overline{}$	corine cathala	Pierrelatte	CA		France
$\overline{}$	Mike Andrews	Hamilton		L8N1M2	Canada
	Adea Claude	Saint-Quentin			France
	Melanie Winter	Studio City	CA	91604	
$\vdash$	Jan Gielkens	Weert	<i>U</i> , ,	6005RV	Netherlands
$\vdash$	JON INWOOD	Brooklyn	NY	11230	
	Abby Belkin	Los Angeles	CA	90006	
	Vanessa Poster	Redondo Beach	CA	90277	
_	Susana Muñoz	Madrid		28019	
<b>—</b>	Monica Parmar	Calabasas	CA	91302	•

	А	В	С	D	Е
93	Sylvie Herbaut	Aubignan		84810	France
94	amanda M			75009	France
95	Dan Silver	Los Angeles	CA	90060	US
96	Maria Martinez	Muscle Shoals		35661	US
97	Zack Seals	Morristown		37814	US
98	ravi g	Latrobe		15650	US
99	Lisa Maccaro	Toms River Township	NJ	8753	US
100	Elliott Weber	Greensburg		47240	US
101	Ellie Horvath	Ellicott City		21043	US
102	P Fisher	Newcastle		73065	US
103	Terry Hoskins	Indianapolis		46234	US
104	Ernest Smith	Charlotte		28208	US
105	Mariam Ali	Florence		25901	US
106	Leslie Swales	Stow		44224	US
107	Robert Fernandez	Brooklyn		11213	US
108	Laura Karr	Lexington		40502	US
109	Angela Birdsall	Marietta		30066	US
110	Donica Krska	Chicago	IL	60638	US
111	Marcus Ruelle	Melrose		2176	US
112	Yamileth Trinidad	durham		27713	US
113	Ka Natura	Portland		97222	US
114	Yich Eng	Queens		11358	US
115	Kayla Williams	Harrisonville		64701	US
116	Olivia Upton	Los Angeles	CA	90028	US
117	Shelley Billik	Los Angeles	CA	90046	US
-	Martine Cuisenaire	Heer			Brazil
$\vdash$	Gérard Goulette	Montreal		H2L	Canada
-	Petra Hegenscheidt			45131	Germany
	Gerard Goulette	Montréal		H1e3e9	Canada
	Tierney Brannigan	Los Angeles	CA	90025	
	Tony Tucci	Beverly Hills	CA	90209	
-	Shayna Tyler	Vallentigny			France
-	Anneke Andries	Raamsdonksveer		4941JT	Netherlands
	Beth Anderson	Los Alamos	NM	87544	
-	Claudia Neuhalfen	Bonn		53117	Germany
-	Niina Anttinen	Espoo			Finland
-	Danielle Langlois	Van Nuys	CA	91411	
	Dominique Girard	Marseille	TV		France
	Adam Kaluba	Burleson	TX	76028	
-	Leslie Purcell	Ventura	CA	93002	
-	Roxana Moya	Santiago	NIS /	2012:	Chile
-	Brenda Choi	Las Vegas	NV	89121	
-	Elizabeth Limebrook	Aliso Viejo	CA	92656	
-	Vulture Bones	Brooklyn	NY	11226	
-	Analía Caiazza	Rosario. Santa Fe			Argentina
138	jocelyne lapointe	terrebonne		j6w0b5	Canada

	А	В	С	D	Е
139	Deena Adkins	Valley Village	CA	91607	US
140	Gabriella Reist-Schnei	Hopkinsville	KY	42240	US
141	Astrid V.d. Geest	Stadskanaal		9502	Netherlands
142	Michelle Riebeling	Thousand Oaks	CA	M	US
143	Joan Forman	Redondo Beach	CA	902678	US
144	Jen Carruthers	Los Angeles	CA	90026	US
145	Maggie Rufo	Novato	CA	94945	US
146	Marie-José CHOBERT	Orléans		45000	France
147	Judith Frazier	Winnetka	CA	91306	US
148	Jason Wise	Los Angeles	CA	90026	US
149	Joyce Brown	longueuil		j4l 1r8	Canada
150	Farahnaz Jalali	Calabasas	CA	91302	US
151	Rosa Cabrerizo	Hospitalet de Llobregat		8906	Spain
152	Consuelo Serena Velas	Strambino Fr Cerone		10019	Italy
153	Pam Miller	Tolar	TX	76046	US
	Mona Chatterji	San Francisco	CA	94102	US
155	Michelle Austin	Athens		10433	Greece
$\vdash$	Andrew Vallender	Ventnor	ENG	PO38 1BH	UK
	Graham edward Ingle	San Roque cadiz		11360	•
	Julia Rigler	Grapevine	TX	76051	
-	rolf wiesler	Johannesburg			South Africa
-	Frances Weaver-Johns	=	CA	90068	
$\vdash$	brigitte vanbekbergen				Belgium
-	Patty Yoo	Tustin	CA	92780	
-	wendy smith	Wigan		WN6	UK
164		Castelloli			Spain
-	Djamila Grouci	Nanteuil les meaux			France
-	sara sang	los angeles	CA	91601	
	A. Otto	Auerbach			Germany
	mary dellenbusch	Niagara Falls		L2G	Canada Austria
-	Bambi Mayr Kevin Sewell	Nottingham	ENG	ng17 8bf	UK
-	laurence vanham	5310 leuze	ENG	_	Belgium
-	Tony Nuccio	Los Angeles	CA	90068	_
-	sandra mason	Chesterton	IN	46304	
$\vdash$	Nelly PRESTAT	Mouroux			France
-	Nelly Nyffeler	Derendingen			Switzerland
	Barbara English	Aliso Viejo	CA	92656	
-	Judith Walter	Thousand Oaks	CA	91362	
	Ana Gruber	Wolfratshausen			Germany
-	Dr. L. Donaker	Denver	СО	90049	•
-	Carrie Gleason	Littleton	СО	80130	
181	Barbara Inyan	Graeagle	CA	96103	
-	Raleigh koritz	Saint Paul	MN	55114	US
183	Cecilia Ball	MANHATTAN BCH	CA	90266	US
184	Jill Spady	Valencia	CA	91355	US

	A	В	С	D	Е
185	Jennifer Parker	Los Angeles	CA	90068	US
186	Renata Cheferrino	Rio de Janeiro			Brazil
187	Maria SICILIANO	Los Angeles	CA	90034	US
188	Suzanne Marienau	Ozark	MO	65721	US
189	Ethan Dampf	Los Angeles	CA	90019	US
190	Jeanet Moltke	Calabasas	CA	91302	US
191	Denise Derouen	Houston	TX	7701777004	US
192	Robert Nowak				Poland
193	Wendy Forster				UK
194	Neil Ryding	Birchwood	ENG	wa3 6tb	UK
195	Debbie Macmillan	Helensburgh	SCT	G84	UK
196	Kate Kenner	Guilford	VT	5301	US
197	Susan Walsh	Verona		37036	Italy
198	Elisabeth Bechmann	Polten		3100	Austria
199	Yvette Duquette	Elliot Lake		P5A 1E7	Canada
-	pilar blas	san sebastian		20009	Spain
201	llean Brown	Elliot Lake		P5A	Canada
202	robert dampf	Woodland Hills	CA	91367	US
203	Tina M	Houthalen		3530	Belgium
204	Sheryl Schroeder	Buellton	CA	93427	US
205	Caroline Sévilla	Paris		75001	France
206	Laura Dampf	Topanga	CA	990290	US
207	Jasmine Cannon	Tucson		85731	US
208	requis snikerburger	Cottage Grove		55016	US
209	Chris Cristobal	Los Angeles		90028	US
210	Carter Hudson	Wooster		44691	US
211	Daihanna Bencosme	Garnerville ny		10923	US
212	daysi jaime	Los Ángeles		90015	US
213	Frédéric Jaubert	Pont de Chéruy		38230	France
214	Tiziana Dordoni	via xx settembre 11	Corsico milano	20094	Italy
215	Sue Schümmer	Ulm		89077	Germany
216	Maryann Staron	Hometown	IL	60456-1035	US
217	simone maes			9000	Belgium
218	Marcelle Mollentze	Johannesburg			South Africa
219	Leigh Coto	Viriginia Beach	VA	23462	US
220	Uwe Vietzke				Germany
221	Hamidah Lakhani	Calgary		T3p0h3	Canada
222	L Saunders	Masterton			New Zealand
223	Claire Schlotterbeck	Brea	CA	92823	US
224	Ann Cantrell	Long Beach	CA	90808	US
225	E. Tucci	Long Beach	CA	90807	US
226	Patricia Neves	Saint John		E2M-1P4	Canada
227	Linda Reilly	Cypress	CA	90630	US
$\vdash$	Janine Vinton	Hastings		3915	Australia
229	Donna Platt	New Orleans	LA	70124	US
230	Heidi Siebens	Muizen		2800	Belgium

	А	В	С	D	Е
231	Herbert Staniek	Vienna		1200	) Austria
232	Susan Pappalardo	Little Egg Harbor Towns	NJ	808	7 US
233	Hariana Dias	Bogota		11131	L Colombia
234	Jean Chagnon	Montréal		H2K	US
235	marinella bigoni	modena		41120	5 Italy
236	Maria Moore	Exeter	ENG	EX5 4HP	UK
237	Monica Riedler	Washington		2001	5 Austria
238	Jim Frageman	stanton	CA	90680	) US
239	Wilma V Brandwijk	Vlaardingen		313	2 Netherlands
240	Sylvie Auger	Trois-Rivières		G9A	Canada
241	Karen Hoyle	Lincolnchire	ENG	Ln130dl	UK
242	Mary Foley	Cork		d15	Ireland
-	Marian Dodge	Los Angeles	CA	9002	
-	Gerry Hans	Los Angeles	CA	90020	
$\vdash$	Jake Robbins	Los Angeles	CA	9002	
246	Valérie PAILLARD	Savigny-le-temple		77170	5 France
$\vdash$	Nina Beckhardt	New York	NY	10009	) US
-	naomi cohen	Albrightsville	PA	1821	) US
-	Shari Ranger	Ashland	ОН	4480!	
-	Gerald Hallead	Traverse City	MI	4968	
$\vdash$	Andréa Branco	Sao Paulo			) Brazil
-	theo de wert	Best			<sup>7</sup> Netherlands
-	Gail Courtney	London		EC4A	UK
-	Nestor Berazategui	Maipú			5 Argentina
-	Andre Ferro	Helmond		5707RN	Netherlands
-	Debbie Brent	Columbia	MD	2104	
-	Lauri Kamiel	Thousand Oaks	CA	9136	
-	Frances Mackiewicz	Beachwood	NJ	872	
	Rachel Bunt	Madeira Park		V0N 2H1	Canada
-	Lanny Toy	Alhambra	CA	9180	
$\vdash$	Patricia Huff	South Pasadena	CA	91030	
-	Dominique Antoniaza	•			) France
-	Sabine Dahlhaus	Lüdenscheid			7 Germany
	Fátima Minarello	Americana		13478260	
$\vdash$	Freya Harris	Atlanta	GA	30342	
-	Michael Mitchell	Canola Park	CA	91304	
-	Luc Beaulieu	Montréal		H3G	Canada
	Paata Kekutia	Batumi	66		) Georgia
	NADINE GORGES	TULLINS	SC	38210	
$\vdash$	Tom McElvain	Pasadena	CA	9110	
-	kathi king	moline	IL CA	6126	
	Ellen Pasternack	Santa Barbara	CA	9310:	
-	isabelle duvivier	Santa Monica	CA	9040	
$\vdash$	Donata Dal cappello	Verona	CA		7 Italy
-	Deborah Bird	Los Angeles	CA	9007:	
2/6	Dawn L	Pottsville	PA	1790:	L US

	А	В		С	D	Е
277	Barry Campion	Santa Monica	CA		90405	US
278	Dan De Yo	Yorba Linda			92886	US
279	Jeanne Brooks	London	OR		97759	US
280	Erin Gaffaney	Brooklyn Center			55429	US
281	Rafi Ibrahim	Cohoes			12047	US
282	Amy DeBauch	Gillett			54124	US
283	debbie loprete	staten island			10306	US
284	Amy Michele	Peachtree City			30269	US
285	Logan McCormack	San Antonio			78223	US
286	Aileen Glynn	Bronx			10463	US
287	Kentona Shipp					US
288	ANGELA DEMPSTER	New Rochelle	NY		10801	US
289	Angel Scott	South Holland			60473	US
290	Corey Meyers	Lakeland			33809	US
291	Raymond Cruz	Carolina			678	US
292	Zed Trick	Brooklyn	NY			US
293	Derek Gendvil	Las Vegas			89117	US
	Zed Trick	Brooklyn	NY			US
295	МВ	Los Angeles			90049	US
296	Katherine Gerg	Lacey			98503	US
297	Linda Freeman	Yuba City			95991	US
-	Trey Crawford	Colorado Springs			80903	
<b>—</b>	Debbie E	Felton			19943	US
	Tristan Hudson	Wilmington			19803	
$\vdash$	Iris Toth					US
	Carniecia Ellison	Seattle			98166	
-	Mya Wilkerson	Lakewood			98498	
$\vdash$	Mohammad Azari	Dallas			75270	
	Kimberly Traylor	Atlanta				US
	Regina Brooks	Pittsburgh			15209	
<b>—</b>	Cathy OByrne	Jacksonville	FL		32208	
-	Brynne McHugh	Lancaster	•		17603	
-	Natalie Freidberg	Los Angeles	CA		90004	
	Marc Huysmans	Edegem	<b></b>		01000	Belgium
-	Jennifer Brent	Calabasas	CA		91302	
$\vdash$	Sara Graziosa	Canaan	CT		6018	
$\vdash$	christine marchand	marest dampcourt				France
$\vdash$	diana kolaski	Chicago	IL		60610	
	nadine richer Aïcha Tabal	Nevers				France
$\vdash$		Strasbourg				France
$\vdash$	Jessica Coulon Kristina Sedic	Ceyrat				Cape Verde
$\vdash$		Zagreb	C^			Croatia
-	Ryan Nicodemus MARIE-FRANCE PA	Los Angeles ARTHES	CA		90028	
	Matthew Huff	South Pasadena	CA		91030	France
$\vdash$			CA			
322	Joshua Curphey	Peterborough			PE7	US

	А	В	С	D	Е
323	Chantal Derenesse	Los Angeles	CA	90066	US
324	Betsy Hunter	Los Angeles	CA	90020	US
325	Peregrine Whitehurst	Grass Valley	CA	95945	US
326	heidi mylo	Los Angeles	CA	90009	US
327	Jay Strehlow	Phoenix		85007	US
328	dani paolucci	TR		5100	Italy
329	Jelica Roland	Zagreb		10360	Croatia
330	Joan How	Luton	ENG	LU3 2PU	UK
331	Sarah Wauters	Marina del Rey	CA	90292	US
332	Larry Ladd	Chico		95928	US
333	Paola Torres	Los Angeles		90033	US
334	Catherine Van den Els	Bruxelles		1190	Belgium
335	M. Browning	Chandler		85224	US
$\overline{}$	Jocelyne Peiffer	Brussels			Belgium
337	Hugh KENNY	LOS ANGELES	CA	90039	US
-	Diana Rodriguez	Kanab	UT	84741	
-	Miriam Ranney	Los Angeles	CA	90006	
$\overline{}$	Jeanne Eddy	Myrtle Creek	OR	97457	
-	Colby Allerton	Redondo Beach	CA	90277	US
	ava falah	lahijan			Iran
_	G. Diane Matthews-M			90746	
-	Sarah Earl	Lansdale	PA	19446	
$\vdash$	Anastasia Walker	Street		21154	
_	John Vangelisti	Los Angeles	CA	90012	
	Nikki Brown	Kansas City	KS	66103	
-	Rachel Canon	Woodland Hills	CA	91364	
_	Jodi Igard	Studio City	CA	91607	
-	Loretta Ramos	Los Angeles	CA	90006	
		Los Angeles	CA	90038	
	Polly Estabrook	Los Angeles	CA	90004	
-	Alexandria mancao	los angeles		90042	
	NICOLE RIERA Anna Laidler	Robion Vaucluse			Russia
	Elaina Dowell	East Stroudsburg Saint Johns		18301 48879	
	Anthony Scrimenti	Guilderland		48879 12084	
	Skeleton Key	Los Angeles	CA	12084	US
_	Dancing Ass	LOS Aligeies	CA		US
$\overline{}$	James Rice	Acworth	GA	30102	
_	Joline Sattarelle	Poland	OH	44514	
	Brian Haig	Los Angeles	CA	90060	
	Hunter Dunn	Pasadena	CA	91107	
-	Charli Rou	Los Angeles	CA	90066	
	Rhonda Plank-Richard	_	CA	90006	
_	Kiersten Cluster	Los Angeles	CA		US
	Riley Haythorn	Inglewood	CA	90305	
_	Wendy Katagi	Palos Verdes Estates	CA	90274	
200	wendy Katagi	raios verdes Estates	CA	902/4	US

	А	В	С	D	Е
369	Daniel Stockel	Los Angeles	CA	90009	US
370	jessie schiewe	Los Angeles	CA	90041	US
371	Rhiannon Mulkerrins	Staten Island		10309	US
372	Nicolle Ruiz	Panama City		32405	US
373	Carro Reyes	San Diego		92105	US
374	Cassandra Rosales	Long Beach		90813	US
375	Aileen Milliman	Long Beach	CA	90802	US
376	Tiffany Adorno	Encino	CA	91436	US
377	Gordon Poston	Kingstree		29556	US
378	Andrew Florez	Flushing		11367	US
379	DHAVAL PATEL				US
380	Talycia Crawford	Detroit		48238	US
381	nereyda hernandez	Goodyear		85338	US
382	G. M.	New Bern		28560	US
383	Priya Gupta	14708 NE 13th Ct Vand	couver	98685	US
384	Rudy Martinez	Alhambra	CA	91803	US
385	Salma Valenzuela	Mission Viejo	CA	92691	US
386	Terri Middlemiss	Inyokern	CA	93527	US
387	Remy Baruch	Los Angeles	CA	90046	US
388	Tessa Charnofsky	Canoga Park	CA	91303	US
389	Jane Bicek	San Jose	CA	95127	US
390	Andrea Sosa	San Pablo	CA	94806	US
391	Nancy Larsen	Danville	CA	94506	US
392	Tom Maendle	San Rafael	CA	94901	US
393	Stacey Sklute	Los Angeles	CA	90034	US
394	Divya Nagendran	Aurora		60505	US
395	Brandi L	Bowie		20716	US
396	The Glee Club				US
$\overline{}$	Andrew Floyd				US
398	Abid Amiri	Washington		20307	US
399	Elena Thornton	Chattanooga		37412	US
-	anna kurihara	north hollywood	CA	91605	
-	Pitagord Lucien	Miami		33164	US
402	Isabelle Johnson	Lincoln		68523	US
-	Rita Thio	Walnut	CA	91789	
-	Etc Foreigners	Summerville		29485	
$\vdash$	Georgia O'Connell				US
	ray ross	Ridgefield Park		7660	
	Kym Heller	Tampa	FL	33615	
$\vdash$	Priscilla Rouse	Bristol		37620	
-	Ivy McCourt	Morgantown		26301	
	Carlos Alfonso	Vero Beach		32963	
-	Andria Califf	Poway	CA	92064	
-	teresa lake	klamath falls	OR	97603	
$\vdash$	Shelton Hardy	Denver		80230	
414	John Monsen	Laguna Woods	CA	92637	US



#### **Public Comments Not Uploaded Wildlife ordinance**

1 message

dpt1501 via Clerk-PLUM-Committee < clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org>
Reply-To: clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org
To: "clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org" < clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org>

Tue, Jun 20, 2023 at 8:05 AM

Dear LA City Planning Commission, and Honorable Council members, and City Attorney Hydee Feldstein,

This email is in regards to The Wildlife Ordinance meeting scheduled this Tuesday June 20th 2pm. I FRIDAY?! This is too short of notice for this public hearing. And this item should be removed from the June 20th meeting agenda.

I'm being notified on a FRiday of a Holiday wknd. this public hearing scheduled for Tuesday June 20th??

I'm a former California City, City Planner. And feel this timeline is not Due Process. I'm in favor of protecting our Wildlife,

but not in favor of reducing square footage, or building envelope for hillside homes, and lots.

I was able to read a letter recently prepared by councilmember Raman, and Yaroslavsky. As a constituent, I am offended that I was not notified of their opinions and suggestions.

I am against all of Nithya Raman, and Newly appointed Councilwoman Katy Yaroslavsky's proposed changes to the draft.

One of their suggestions was to eliminate the 1,000sf exception for basements. And counting this square footage in the overall sf of the home. I am opposed to any square footage of height reductions...They're suggesting making this ordinance even more RESTRICTIVE and impactful for property owners.

Too much of this ordinance has been pushed by certain stakeholders, without concern for our property values. This has not been discussed openly with the land owners, and home owners in the hills.

I would like the PLUM committee to send this ordinance back to the planning department to have the parts of the rules removed that don't directly help wildlife. **Two business days notice for this public hearing is absurd.** 

Why is there a need for a reduction in allowable square footage for rebuilds, or new construction? This is a downzoning! disguised as a Wildlife ordinance.

Most of my neighbors are also against this. How can we be involved in new meetings, discussions on this proposed ordinance?

Thank you for your consideration.

DPT 323-595-7944 8340 Grand View DR. Los Angeles CA 90046