



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

STOP MANSIONIZATION: Adopt the ICOs and AMEND THE BMO (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Reed, Andrea <andrea.reed@bet.net>

Tue, Mar 24, 2015 at 12:52 AM

To: "paul.koretz@lacity.org" <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.bonin@lacity.org" <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>
Cc: "elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org" <elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org>, "andrew.westall@lacity.org" <andrew.westall@lacity.org>, "shawn.bayliss@lacity.org" <shawn.bayliss@lacity.org>, "mayor.garcetti@lacity.org" <mayor.garcetti@lacity.org>, "yvonne.farrow@lacity.org" <yvonne.farrow@lacity.org>, "stopmansionization@yahoo.com" <stopmansionization@yahoo.com>, "sharon.gin@lacity.org" <sharon.gin@lacity.org>, "Etta.Armstrong@lacity.org" <Etta.Armstrong@lacity.org>

Re: Council File 14-0656

STOP MANSIONIZATION: Adopt the ICOs and AMEND THE BMO

Dear City Council members,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Last May, Councilmember Koretz made a smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO, which would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

We appreciate that City Planning has proposed ICOs for a handful of neighborhoods, but realize that a) ICOs are only temporary, and b) protection for a select few neighborhoods will only place bigger targets on unprotected areas.

On Wednesday, you have the opportunity to do the right thing, and get this motion back on track. I urge you to:

- 1) Give your full support to the ICOs and to the urgency clause that ensures the ICOs take effect promptly.**
- 2) Direct the Planning Department to start work immediately on the amendments to the citywide Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, to remove counterproductive bonuses and exemptions.**

The BMO amendments proposed by Councilmember Koretz will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, to protect our treasured communities and preserve affordable, livable neighborhoods. There is absolutely no legitimate reason that it should take 18 months to amend the BMO. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

I thank you in advance for expediting the adoption of the ICOs and the BMO amendments, to provide our great city with desperately-needed relief from the destructive impacts of mansionization.

Sincerely,

Andrea Reed

Faircrest Heights

Council District 10



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

STOP MANSIONIZATION: Adopt the ICOs and AMEND THE BMO (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Ana Serrano <laserrano@mac.com>

Mon. Mar 23. 2015 at 10:42 PM

To: paul.koretz@lacity.org. councilmember.wesson@lacity.org. councilmember.bonin@lacity.org. councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org

Cc: elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org. andrew.westall@lacity.org. shawn.bayliss@lacity.org. mayor.garcetti@lacity.org. yvonne.farrow@lacity.org. stopmansionization@yahoo.com. sharon.gin@lacity.org. Etta.Armstrong@lacity.org

Dear City Council members.

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Last May, Councilmember Koretz made a smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO, which would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

We appreciate that City Planning has proposed ICOs for a handful of neighborhoods, but realize that a) ICOs are only temporary, and b) protection for a select few neighborhoods will only place bigger targets on unprotected areas.

On Wednesday, you have the opportunity to do the right thing, and get this motion back on track. I urge you to:

- 1) Give your full support to the ICOs and to the urgency clause that ensures the ICOs take effect promptly.**
- 2) Direct the Planning Department to start work immediately on the amendments to the citywide Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, to remove counterproductive bonuses and exemptions.**

The BMO amendments proposed by Councilmember Koretz will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, to protect our treasured communities and preserve affordable, livable neighborhoods. There is absolutely no legitimate reason that it should take 18 months to amend the BMO. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

I thank you in advance for expediting the adoption of the ICOs and the BMO amendments, to provide our great city with desperately-needed relief from the destructive impacts of mansionization.

Sincerely,



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

STOP MANSIONIZATION: Adopt the ICOs and AMEND THE BMO (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Ed <edwardlehman@yahoo.com>

Mon. Mar 23. 2015 at 10:37 PM

To: "paul.koretz@lacity.org" <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.bonin@lacity.org" <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>
 Cc: "elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org" <elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org>, "andrew.westall@lacity.org" <andrew.westall@lacity.org>, "shawn.bayliss@lacity.org" <shawn.bayliss@lacity.org>, "mayor.garcetti@lacity.org" <mayor.garcetti@lacity.org>, "yvonne.farrow@lacity.org" <yvonne.farrow@lacity.org>, "stopmansionization@yahoo.com" <stopmansionization@yahoo.com>, "sharon.gin@lacity.org" <sharon.gin@lacity.org>, "Etta.Armstrong@lacity.org" <Etta.Armstrong@lacity.org>

Re: Council File 14-0656

STOP MANSIONIZATION: Adopt the ICOs and AMEND THE BMO

Dear City Council members.

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Last May, Councilmember Koretz made a smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO, which would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

We appreciate that City Planning has proposed ICOs for a handful of neighborhoods, but realize that a) ICOs are only temporary, and b) protection for a select few neighborhoods will only place bigger targets on unprotected areas.

On Wednesday, you have the opportunity to do the right thing, and get this motion back on track. I urge you to:

1) Give your full support to the ICOs and to the urgency clause that ensures the ICOs take effect promptly.

2) Direct the Planning Department to start work immediately on the amendments to the citywide Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, to remove counterproductive bonuses and exemptions.

The BMO amendments proposed by Councilmember Koretz will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, to protect our treasured communities and preserve affordable, livable neighborhoods. There is absolutely no legitimate reason that it should take 18 months to amend the BMO. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

I thank you in advance for expediting the adoption of the ICOs and the BMO amendments. to provide our great city with desperately-needed relief from the destructive impacts of mansionization.

Sincerely,

Edward Lehman
1807 S. Hayworth Av.. 90035
Faircrest Heights
Council District 10



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

STOP MANSIONIZATION: Adopt the ICOs and AMEND THE BMO (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Luis Serrano <serrano.e.luis@gmail.com>

Mon. Mar 23. 2015 at 10:37 PM

To: paul.koretz@lacity.org. councilmember.wesson@lacity.org. councilmember.bonin@lacity.org.

councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org

Cc: elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org. andrew.westall@lacity.org. shawn.bayliss@lacity.org. mayor.garcetti@lacity.org.

yvonne.farrow@lacity.org. stopmansionization@yahoo.com. sharon.gin@lacity.org. Etta.Armstrong@lacity.org

Dear City Council members,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Last May, Councilmember Koretz made a smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO, which would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

We appreciate that City Planning has proposed ICOs for a handful of neighborhoods, but realize that a) ICOs are only temporary, and b) protection for a select few neighborhoods will only place bigger targets on unprotected areas.

On Wednesday, you have the opportunity to do the right thing, and get this motion back on track. I urge you to:

- 1) Give your full support to the ICOs and to the urgency clause that ensures the ICOs take effect promptly.**
- 2) Direct the Planning Department to start work immediately on the amendments to the citywide Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, to remove counterproductive bonuses and exemptions.**

The BMO amendments proposed by Councilmember Koretz will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, to protect our treasured communities and preserve affordable, livable neighborhoods. There is absolutely no legitimate reason that it should take 18 months to amend the BMO. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

I thank you in advance for expediting the adoption of the ICOs and the BMO amendments, to provide our great city with desperately-needed relief from the destructive impacts of mansionization.

Sincerely,

Luis Serrano

Faircrest Heights

Council District 10



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

STOP MANSIONIZATION: Adopt the ICOs and AMEND THE BMO (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Frank Considine <frankconsidine@me.com>

Mon. Mar 23. 2015 at 10:35 PM

To: "paul.koretz@lacity.org" <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.bonin@lacity.org" <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>

Cc: "elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org" <elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org>, "andrew.westall@lacity.org" <andrew.westall@lacity.org>, "shawn.bayliss@lacity.org" <shawn.bayliss@lacity.org>, "mayor.garcetti@lacity.org" <mayor.garcetti@lacity.org>, "yvonne.farrow@lacity.org" <yvonne.farrow@lacity.org>, "stopmansionization@yahoo.com" <stopmansionization@yahoo.com>, "sharon.gin@lacity.org" <sharon.gin@lacity.org>, "Etta.Armstrong@lacity.org" <Etta.Armstrong@lacity.org>

Re: Council File 14-0656

STOP MANSIONIZATION: Adopt the ICOs and AMEND THE BMO

Dear City Council members.

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Last May, Councilmember Koretz made a smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO, which would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

We appreciate that City Planning has proposed ICOs for a handful of neighborhoods, but realize that a) ICOs are only temporary, and b) protection for a select few neighborhoods will only place bigger targets on unprotected areas.

On Wednesday, you have the opportunity to do the right thing, and get this motion back on track. I urge you to:

- 1) Give your full support to the ICOs and to the urgency clause that ensures the ICOs take effect promptly.**
- 2) Direct the Planning Department to start work immediately on the amendments to the citywide Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, to remove counterproductive bonuses and exemptions.**

The BMO amendments proposed by Councilmember Koretz will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, to protect our treasured communities and preserve *affordable, livable* neighborhoods. There is absolutely no legitimate reason that it should take 18 months to amend the BMO. Thousands

of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

I thank you in advance for expediting the adoption of the ICOs and the BMO amendments to provide our great city with desperately-needed relief from the destructive impacts of mansionization.

Sincerely,

Frank Considine
Faircrest Heights
Council District 10



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

STOP MANSIONIZATION: Adopt the ICOs and AMEND THE BMO (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Marcelo Serrano <cel323@gmail.com>

Mon. Mar 23. 2015 at 10:32 PM

To: paul.koretz@lacity.org. councilmember.wesson@lacity.org. councilmember.bonin@lacity.org. councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org

Cc: elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org. andrew.westall@lacity.org. shawn.bayliss@lacity.org. "mayor.garcetti@lacity.org" <mayor.garcetti@lacity.org>. yvonne.farrow@lacity.org. stopmansionization@yahoo.com. sharon.gin@lacity.org. Etta.Armstrong@lacity.org

Dear City Council members.

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Last May, Councilmember Koretz made a smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO, which would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

We appreciate that City Planning has proposed ICOs for a handful of neighborhoods, but realize that a) ICOs are only temporary, and b) protection for a select few neighborhoods will only place bigger targets on unprotected areas.

On Wednesday, you have the opportunity to do the right thing, and get this motion back on track. I urge you to:

- 1) Give your full support to the ICOs and to the urgency clause that ensures the ICOs take effect promptly.**
- 2) Direct the Planning Department to start work immediately on the amendments to the citywide Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, to remove counterproductive bonuses and exemptions.**

The BMO amendments proposed by Councilmember Koretz will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, to protect our treasured communities and preserve affordable, livable neighborhoods. There is absolutely no legitimate reason that it should take 18 months to amend the BMO. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

I thank you in advance for expediting the adoption of the ICOs and the BMO amendments, to provide our great city with desperately-needed relief from the destructive impacts of mansionization.

Sincerely,

Marcelo Serrano

Faircrest Heights

Council District 10



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Fwd: STOP MANSIONIZATION: Adopt the ICOs and AMEND THE BMO (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Leone Avery <leone.avery@gmail.com>

Mon. Mar 23. 2015 at 10:30 PM

To: paul.koretz@lacity.org. councilmember.wesson@lacity.org. councilmember.bonin@lacity.org. councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org

Cc: elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org. andrew.westall@lacity.org. shawn.bayliss@lacity.org. Mayor Garcetti <mayor.garcetti@lacity.org>. yvonne.farrow@lacity.org. stopmansionization@yahoo.com. sharon.gin@lacity.org. Etta.Armstrong@lacity.org

Re: Council File 14-0656

STOP MANSIONIZATION: Adopt the ICOs and AMEND THE BMO

Dear City Council members.

Please stop the destruction of my neighborhood right before my eyes! The incredible history, architecture, and feel of my neighborhood is being lost. As an interior architect and interior designer trained at UCLA and working in Los Angeles, I can tell you this is a horrible tragedy.

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Last May, Councilmember Koretz made a smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO, which would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

We appreciate that City Planning has proposed ICOs for a handful of neighborhoods, but realize that a) ICOs are only temporary, and b) protection for a select few neighborhoods will only place bigger targets on unprotected areas.

On Wednesday, you have the opportunity to do the right thing, and get this motion back on track. I urge you to:

1) Give your full support to the ICOs and to the urgency clause that ensures the ICOs take effect promptly.

2) Direct the Planning Department to start work immediately on the amendments to the citywide Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, to remove counterproductive bonuses and exemptions.

The BMO amendments proposed by Councilmember Koretz will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, to protect our treasured communities and preserve affordable, livable neighborhoods. There is absolutely no legitimate reason that it should take 18 months to amend the BMO. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of

neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

I thank you in advance for expediting the adoption of the ICOs and the BMO amendments, to provide our great city with desperately-needed relief from the destructive impacts of mansionization.

Sincerely,

Leone Avery

Fairfax and Beverly

Council District 10

—

Leone Avery
510-847-2228
leone.avery@gmail.com

2 attachments



Pending Demolition in Faircrest Heights.jpg
75K

 **Faircrest Heights Mansionization Example Pointview.pdf**
584K



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

STOP MANSIONIZATION: Adopt the ICOs and AMEND THE BMO (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Lorraine Kirsten <lorrainekirsten@gmail.com>

Mon. Mar 23. 2015 at 10:14 PM

To: paul.koretz@lacity.org. councilmember.wesson@lacity.org. councilmember.bonin@lacity.org. councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org

Cc: elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org. andrew.westall@lacity.org. shawn.bayliss@lacity.org. mayor.garcetti@lacity.org. yvonne.farrow@lacity.org. stopmansionization@yahoo.com. sharon.gin@lacity.org. Etta.Armstrong@lacity.org

Re: Council File 14-0656

STOP MANSIONIZATION: Adopt the ICOs and AMEND THE BMO

Dear City Council members.

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Last May, Councilmember Koretz made a smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO, which would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

We appreciate that City Planning has proposed ICOs for a handful of neighborhoods, but realize that a) ICOs are only temporary, and b) protection for a select few neighborhoods will only place bigger targets on unprotected areas.

On Wednesday, you have the opportunity to do the right thing, and get this motion back on track. I urge you to:

1) Give your full support to the ICOs and to the urgency clause that ensures the ICOs take effect promptly.

2) Direct the Planning Department to start work immediately on the amendments to the citywide Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, to remove counterproductive bonuses and exemptions.

The BMO amendments proposed by Councilmember Koretz will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, to protect our treasured communities and preserve affordable, livable neighborhoods. There is absolutely no legitimate reason that it should take 18 months to amend the BMO. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

I thank you in advance for expediting the adoption of the ICOs and the BMO amendments, to provide our great city with desperately-needed relief from the destructive impacts of mansionization.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Kirsten

Faircrest Heights

CD10



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

STOP MANSIONIZATION: Adopt the ICOs and AMEND THE BMO (Council File 14-0656)

1 message

Considine, Traci <tconsidine@conet.ucla.edu>

Mon. Mar 23. 2015 at 10:12 PM

To: "paul.koretz@lacity.org" <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.bonin@lacity.org" <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>
 Cc: "elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org" <elizabeth.carlin@lacity.org>, "andrew.westall@lacity.org" <andrew.westall@lacity.org>, "shawn.bayliss@lacity.org" <shawn.bayliss@lacity.org>, "mayor.garcetti@lacity.org" <mayor.garcetti@lacity.org>, "yvonne.farrow@lacity.org" <yvonne.farrow@lacity.org>, "stopmansionization@yahoo.com" <stopmansionization@yahoo.com>, "sharon.gin@lacity.org" <sharon.gin@lacity.org>, "Etta.Armstrong@lacity.org" <Etta.Armstrong@lacity.org>

Re: Council File 14-0656

STOP MANSIONIZATION: Adopt the ICOs and AMEND THE BMO

Dear City Council members,

As you know, the Baseline Mansionization Ordinance (BMO) has failed miserably. Last May, Councilmember Koretz made a smart and sensible motion to amend the BMO, which would bring much-needed relief to constituents citywide, and would also relieve city agencies from the burdens associated with implementing and enforcing a patchwork of overlays.

We appreciate that City Planning has proposed ICOs for a handful of neighborhoods, but realize that a) ICOs are only temporary, and b) protection for a select few neighborhoods will only place bigger targets on unprotected areas.

On Wednesday, you have the opportunity to do the right thing, and get this motion back on track. I urge you to:

1) Give your full support to the ICOs and to the urgency clause that ensures the ICOs take effect promptly.

2) Direct the Planning Department to start work immediately on the amendments to the citywide Baseline Mansionization Ordinance, to remove counterproductive bonuses and exemptions.

The BMO amendments proposed by Councilmember Koretz will allow renovations, expansions, and new construction that can accommodate modern family life while respecting the scale and character of established neighborhoods. These changes could be discussed and adopted quickly, to protect our treasured communities and preserve *affordable, livable* neighborhoods. There is absolutely no legitimate reason that it should take 18 months to amend the BMO. Thousands of single family homes could be demolished - and hundreds of neighborhoods destroyed - during this timeframe.

I thank you in advance for expediting the adoption of the ICOs and the BMO amendments. to provide our great city with desperately-needed relief from the destructive impacts of mansionization.

Sincerely,

Traci Considine

Faircrest Heights

Council District 10



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Fwd: Updated - Our Opposition to ICO (Council File No. 14-0656)

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
 To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Fri. Mar 20. 2015 at 11:59 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jack Ahn (Yahoo)** <jackahn@yahoo.com>
 Date: Fri. Mar 20. 2015 at 9:11 AM
 Subject: Updated - Our Opposition to ICO (Council File No. 14-0656)
 To: sharon.gin@lacity.org

>> Hello. Ms. Gin.

>

>> I am a constituent in [Lower Council District 5 - zip code 90064] and I have been made aware of the update to this ICO (Council File No. 14-0656). Looks like the required covered parking does not count toward residential floor area. However. I am still puzzled by the lack of public outreach on this ICO and also by the fact that some neighborhoods in our City have much more favorable building regulations than ours. At lease. I believe. it needs to be discussed and explained further.

>

>> This ICO is overreaching while it does not necessarily prevent the building of hulky. box-like structures. It treats zoning restrictions in neighboring communities differently. and surely will deflate property values. which. in turn. will reduce city revenues and will drive away investment from our neighborhoods. I sincerely urge you. please. not to support these changes and urge the City Council to require the PLUM Committee to give ample public notice and hold public hearings so that our neighborhoods can understand the ramifications of the passage of this ICO and provide input on how to ensure responsible development in our communities.

>>

>> Thank you.

>>

>> Sincerely.

>> Jack Ahn

>

—
 Sharon Gin
 City of Los Angeles
 Office of the City Clerk
 213.978.1074
Sharon.Gin@lacity.org





Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Updated - Opposing View - ICO (Council File No. 14-0656)

1 message

Jack Ahn (Yahoo) <jackahn@yahoo.com>
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Fri, Mar 20, 2015 at 9:22 AM

> Dear distinguished leader of the city.

> I am a constituent in [Lower Council District 5 - zip code 90064] and I have been made aware of the update to this ICO (Council File No. 14-0656). Looks like the required covered parking does not count toward residential floor area. However, I am still puzzled by the lack of public outreach on this ICO and also by the fact that some neighborhoods in our City have much more favorable building regulations than ours. At least, I believe, it needs to be discussed and explained further.

> This ICO is overreaching while it does not necessarily prevent the building of hulky, box-like structures. It treats zoning restrictions in neighboring communities differently, and surely will deflate property values, which, in turn, will reduce city revenues and will drive away investment from our neighborhoods. I sincerely urge you, please, not to support these changes and urge the City Council to require the PLUM Committee to give ample public notice and hold public hearings so that our neighborhoods can understand the ramifications of the passage of this ICO and provide input on how to ensure responsible development in our communities.

>
> Thank you.
>
> Sincerely.
> Jack Ahn



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Updated - Opposing View - ICO (Council File No. 14-0656)

1 message

Jack Ahn (Yahoo) <jackahn@yahoo.com>
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Fri, Mar 20, 2015 at 9:22 AM

> Dear distinguished leader of the city.

> I am a constituent in [Lower Council District 5 - zip code 90064] and I have been made aware of the update to this ICO (Council File No. 14-0656). Looks like the required covered parking does not count toward residential floor area. However, I am still puzzled by the lack of public outreach on this ICO and also by the fact that some neighborhoods in our City have much more favorable building regulations than ours. At least, I believe, it needs to be discussed and explained further.

> This ICO is overreaching while it does not necessarily prevent the building of hulky, box-like structures. It treats zoning restrictions in neighboring communities differently, and surely will deflate property values, which, in turn, will reduce city revenues and will drive away investment from our neighborhoods. I sincerely urge you, please, not to support these changes and urge the City Council to require the PLUM Committee to give ample public notice and hold public hearings so that our neighborhoods can understand the ramifications of the passage of this ICO and provide input on how to ensure responsible development in our communities.

>

> Thank you.

>

> Sincerely,

> Jack Ahn



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Council File 14-0656 Interim Control Ordinance- Please do not pass this ordinance

1 message

Jefferson Schierbeek <jefferson@addisonschierbeek.com>

Fri, Mar 20, 2015 at 1:06 AM

To: councilmember.bonin@lacity.org, councilmember.koretz@lacity.org

Cc: Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.krekorian@lacity.org,

councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org, councilmember.labonge@lacity.org, councilmember.martinez@lacity.org,

councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, councilmember.parks@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org,

councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, Councilmember.Englander@lacity.org, councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org,

councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org,

joan.pelico@lacity.org, etta.armstrong@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org, jesus.d.orozco@lacity.org,

noah.muhlstein@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, erick.lopez@lacity.org, Tanner.Blackman@lacity.org,

tricia.keane@lacity.org

Councilmembers Koretz and Bonin- I am a resident of the mar vista neighborhood (the woods) and am opposed to the proposed ICO (Council File 14-0656.) This ordinance will deflate our property values compared to our neighboring communities and lower property values will result in fewer financial resources for our public schools and for other City services. This economic choice will have a long lasting impact on our city.

Please reconsider your vote as the matter comes before the city council.

regards,

jefferson schierbeek
3456 ashwood avenue
los angeles ca 90066



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Fwd: Council File 14-0656 Comments on CEQA document and Record Support of the La Brea Hancock Neighborhood for the ICO

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Wed, Mar 18, 2015 at 1:29 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **William Funderburk** <wfunderburk@candffirm.com>
Date: Tue, Mar 17, 2015 at 3:58 PM
Subject: RE: Council File 14-0656 Comments on CEQA document and Record Support of the La Brea Hancock Neighborhood for the ICO
To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, councilmember.labonge@lacity.org, councilmember.koretz@lacity.org, Tanner.Blackman@lacity.org
Cc: renee.weitzer@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, councilmember.krekorian@lacity.org, Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, councilmember.parks@lacity.org, Councilmember.Price@lacity.org, councilmember.bonin@lacity.org, councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org, Councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org, erick.lopez@lacity.org, Michael.logrande@lacity.org, Benjamin Seinfeld <ben.seinfeld@lacity.org>

Ms. Gin:

The below email needed an additional attachment which includes a color coded map of the La Brea Hancock neighborhood (300, 400, 600 blocks of South Sycamore, Orange, Mansfield and Citrus). Green on the map shows that the owner/resident supports limiting development using the RFA ordinance. I hope that PLUM and Chairman Huizar hear from neighbors and council staff who can testify to the outreach (described below) undertaken to our neighbors.

Thank you.

William Funderburk

William W. Funderburk, Jr.

wfunderburk@candffirm.com



CASTELLÓN & FUNDERBURK LLP

Castellón & Funderburk LLP

811 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1025

Los Angeles, California 90017

p: (213) 623-7515 | f: (213) 532-3984

3201 Danville Boulevard, Suite 257

Alamo, California 94507

p: (925) 837-1199 | f: (925) 837-1144

candffirm.com

Twitter @NPDESlaw

This message may contain confidential and privileged information. If it has been sent to you in error, please reply to advise the sender of the error and then immediately delete this message.

From: William Funderburk [mailto:wfunderburk@candffirm.com]
Sent: Tuesday, March 17, 2015 10:56 AM
To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org; councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org; councilmember.englander@lacity.org; councilmember.wesson@lacity.org; sharon.gin@lacity.org; ken.bernstein@lacity.org; tom.rothmann@lacity.org; councilmember.labonge@lacity.org; councilmember.koretz@lacity.org; Tanner.Blackman@lacity.org
Cc: renee.weitzer@lacity.org; shawn.bayliss@lacity.org; councilmember.krekorian@lacity.org; Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org; councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org; councilmember.parks@lacity.org; Councilmember.Price@lacity.org; councilmember.bonin@lacity.org; councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org; Councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org; mayor.garcetti@lacity.org; erick.lopez@lacity.org; Michael.logrande@lacity.org
Subject: Council File 14-0656 Comments on CEQA document and Record Support of the La Brea Hancock Neighborhood for the ICO

To the Honorable Councilmembers, Staff and Counsel:

My name is William Funderburk. I live in the La Brea-Hancock Neighborhood in the 400 block of S. Sycamore Avenue with my wife and two daughters. In my testimony and prior comments on the above-referenced matter, I did not recognize City Attorney Mike Feuer and his staff for the round-the-clock work the City Attorney's office has done to craft solutions to the problem of out of character development in some of LA's most cherished neighborhoods. Thanks to them, citizens of Los Angeles, like my family and me, see protections on the horizon.

I am writing as a private citizen, although I have been actively involved with the neighborhood since returning to

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ui=2&ik=eafe67dbd5&view=pt&q=14-0656&qs=true&search=query&th=14c2e949874dcf3c&siml=14c2e949874dcf3c>

the area in 2010. I serve on the Board of Directors of both the La Brea-Hancock Homeowners' Association (LBHHA) and the Board of the Greater Wilshire Neighborhood Council. I have testified at numerous PLUM hearings on the issue of mansionization. I incorporate by reference any comments made by Robert Eisele, who co-chairs the LBHHA Committee.

A picture tells more than a thousand words. For that reason, please review the attached map showing support for anti mansionization regulation.

My comments address some of the comments made on the CEQA document regarding the record to support the ICOs. There are two categories of ICOs that protect neighborhoods: (1) an HPOZ category and (2) an anti-mansionization category. Although I do not practice CEQA, NEPA or land use law, I was struck by some of the statements in the comments related to the lack of record to support the urgency finding and the limitations being proposed. My neighbors and I certainly do not fit in the category of neighborhoods that lack the record to support some of the findings made for the anti-mansionization ordinance. Without detailing the history of thousands of man hours invested by Mr. Eisele, Ms. Barbara Savage (the other co-chair and our Association president), Cathy Roberts (the architect of the attached map) and the other committee members Michelle Owen, Jill Brown, Brad Jewett and Justin Urcis since 2013, there is a clear record of outreach to the community through regular mail, Korean translated disclosure documents, email, Next Door social media and routine board meetings to which the entire community has been invited to develop LBH's strategy and position on reasonable regulation that will discourage mansionization but provide the flexibility to homeowners to do planned renovations. At some times, because of the many iterations of this approach and the now nationwide publicity attention the problem has received, keeping the neighbors informed has been difficult. But, the LBH Committee has acted with resolve, deliberation and prudence at every step, always taking into account opposing points of view. I would urge PLUM to consider the record of each neighborhood as it goes about holding future hearings. I would also state that some neighborhoods are clearly more threatened than others as the CEQA document shows in terms of new construction permits. The threat to LBHHA is clear and present. And, so is the ample record of neighborhood supporting the LBH ICO. Not everyone in our neighborhood agrees with the approach, but an overwhelming majority does. No opposition was expressed for the LBH ICO at the last PLUM hearing. None. In fact, close to 70% of the homes in LBH voted to support restrictions limiting development. These restrictions are very similar to those in the current La Brea Hancock ICO.

Some fine tuning of the anti-mansionization ICOs is necessary to reflect those neighborhoods—Citrus Square (part of "Lower CD 5"), Miracle Mile, La Brea Hancock, 300 block of S. Citrus (in the LBH neighborhood but included in the "Lower CD 5" ICO), Fairfield Heights and others—that have demonstrated a record to support new permitting restrictions in the anti-mansionization ICOs. None of these neighborhoods has received anything but a fraction of opposition to the anti-mansionization. It was pretty evident at the PLUM hearing that several of the other neighborhoods are either divided or have a vocal minority against their ICOs. These include the Lower CD 5 portion not composed of Citrus Square and the 300 block of S. Citrus, Mar Vista, Bel Air, eastern/western Venice and Beverlywood. The issues raised are diverse. The concern I have is that HPOZ ICOs will survive and all of the anti-mansionization ICOs will be thrown out. Don't throw the baby out with the bath water. A third category of ICOs needs to be established after this package passes to account for those neighborhoods that overwhelming support the anti mansionization ICO (Citrus Square (part of "Lower CD 5"), Miracle Mile, La Brea Hancock, 300 block of S. Citrus (in the LBH neighborhood but included in the "Lower CD 5" ICO), Fairfield Heights and others) and those that are divided or have failed to reach consensus.

I urge immediate passage of the ICO package as drafted and referral to the City Council for approval today. I urge PLUM to consider during implementation of the ICOs separating the anti-mansionization ICO neighborhoods that have a record of support from those that do not. Doing otherwise will put years community outreach at risk and endanger the most threatened neighborhoods like La Brea Hancock.

Thank you.

Respectfully submitted.

William Funderburk

William W. Funderburk, Jr.

wfunderburk@candffirm.com



----- CASTELLÓN & FUNDERBURK LLP -----

Castellón & Funderburk LLP

811 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1025

Los Angeles, California 90017

p: (213) 623-7515 | f: (213) 532-3984

3201 Danville Boulevard, Suite 257

Alamo, California 94507

p: (925) 837-1199 | f: (925) 837-1144

candffirm.com

Twitter @NPDESlaw

This message may contain confidential and privileged information. If it has been sent to you in error, please reply to advise the sender of the error and then immediately delete this message.

--
Sharon Gin
City of Los Angeles
Office of the City Clerk
213.978.1074
Sharon.Gin@lacity.org



LBHHA - RFA_McMansion Map_10-14.pdf
2012K



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

14-0656

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Wed. Mar 18. 2015 at 1:29 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Evelyn Wiles** <wiles2209@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Mar 17, 2015 at 3:49 PM
Subject: ICO Protection for Beverlywood
To: sharon.gin@lacity.org

Dear Councilmember Gin.

We hope the new ICO rules will be enacted and strengthened for Beverlywood. The overbuilding in our community has reached a frenzied pace. On our street alone there have been four oversized homes completed within the last 2 years. 3 of them within the last year. There are three more in construction right now. In addition there are two more homes that have sold and have not yet begun construction. One has already posted the notice for construction to begin and the other has yet to begin. All this is on one street. Duxbury Circle in Beverlywood. These houses cut light and privacy for their neighbors and actually violate the CC&Rs of our Beverlywood Homeowners Association. Realtors and builders have pushed their own agendas in our neighborhood. We hope that the city will protect residents from this overbuilding frenzy until new rules are set for the entire city.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Evelyn & Alex Wiles

--
Sharon Gin
City of Los Angeles
Office of the City Clerk
213.978.1074
Sharon.Gin@lacity.org





Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Fwd: Council File 14-0656 / Baseline Mansionization Ordinance

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
 To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Wed. Mar 18. 2015 at 1:28 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Barbara Broide** <bbroide@hotmail.com>
 Date: Tue, Mar 17, 2015 at 1:54 PM
 Subject: Council File 14-0656 / Baseline Mansionization Ordinance
 To: "Sharon Gin PLUM Leg Asst." <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
 Cc: "Councilmember.Jose Huizar" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, Councilmember Mitch Englander <councilmember.englander@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, Paul Koretz - cd 5 <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, Shawn Bayliss - CD 5 Planning <shawn.bayliss@lacity.org>, Chuy Orozco <jesus.d.orozco@lacity.org>, Councilmember Wesson <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>

Attached please find our letter in support of CF14-0656 which comes before PLUM today.

We request that the Committee adopt the proposed ordinance with support for community protections while the Planning Dept. addresses needed amendments to close loopholes in the existing BMO.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Barbara Broide
 President
 for Westwood South of Santa Monica Blvd. HOA

Sharon Gin
 City of Los Angeles
 Office of the City Clerk
 213.978.1074
 Sharon.Gin@lacity.org





Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

14-0656

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Wed. Mar 18. 2015 at 1:28 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Janet Reichmann** <jreichmann@sbcglobal.net>
Date: Tue, Mar 17, 2015 at 1:05 PM
Subject: Big box invasion
To: Jan Reichmann <jreichmann@comstockhills.com>
Cc: "sharon.gin@lacity.org" <sharon.gin@lacity.org>

A classic 2 story Spanish totally restored is now in a shadow with light and views gone forever.

WE NEED THE ICO NOW!

Comstock Hills HOA
Jan Reichmann, Pres.
1429 Comstock Ave
410.666.9708

Sent from my iPhone

Sharon Gin
City of Los Angeles
Office of the City Clerk
213.978.1074
Sharon.Gin@lacity.org



3/26/2015

City of Los Angeles Mail - 14-0656

IMG_2401.JPG

738K





Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Fwd: 14-0656 Sunset Square ICO

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
 To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Wed. Mar 18. 2015 at 1:27 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Tamara Bergman & Michael Schwartz <sbsierra@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Mar 17, 2015 at 12:26 PM
Subject: 14-0656 Sunset Square ICO
 To: Sharon.Gin@lacity.org. Jose.huizar@lacity.org. Mitchell.englander@lacity.org. Erick.Lopez@lacity.org. Tom.Rothmann@lacity.org. Ken Bernstein <ken.bernstein@lacity.org>. Renee Weitzer <renee.weitzer@lacity.org>. Jonathan Brand <jonathan.brand@lacity.org>. Christy McAvoy <christy@historicla.com>. Tom LaBonge <councilmember.Labonge@lacity.org>. tom.labonge@lacity.org. gil.cedillo@lacity.org

Sharon.Gin@lacity.org	(Sharon Gin-Legislative Assistant)
Tom.labonge@lacity.org	(CD4 Councilmember)
Jose.huizar@lacity.org	(PLUM Committee Member)
Mitchell.englander@lacity.org	(PLUM Committee Member)
gil.cedillo@lacity.org	(PLUM Committee Member)
Erick.Lopez@lacity.org	(City Planner)
Tom.Rothmann@lacity.org	(City Planner)
Ken Bernstein@lacity.org	(City Planner. Cultural resources)

Honorable Members of the Planning and Land Use Management (PLUM) Committee, Councilmember LaBonge, and members of LA City Planning Dept.

RE: Report on Baseline Mansionization Ordinance Amendment (CF 14-0656) and the Department's Neighborhood Conservation Initiative
Sunset Square Interim Control Ordinance in the 4th Council District.

Sunset Square Supports the PLUM Committee and City of Los Angeles in granting an Interim Control Ordinance (ICO) for the proposed and pending Sunset Square HPOZ - Council District 4.

Issuance of an immediate ICO is desperately needed to protect the Sunset Square neighborhood and prevent further demolition of more historic single family homes. Sunset Square, neighbor to the north and "sister historic neighborhood" of the Spaulding Square HPOZ, are two of the last remaining intact historic single family neighborhoods in Hollywood. Please protect this cultural resource and neighborhood as they move forward through the HPOZ process.

Sunset Square has previously submitted over 120 letters to this file supporting this ICO

motion. We have been working towards our HPOZ designation for the last nine years with the support of Councilmember LA Bonge's office.

On behalf of the residents and members of Sunset Square Neighborhood Org. we thank you for your time and swift action in granting the Interim Control Ordinance for Sunset Square and all of the other pending HPOZ's and neighborhoods which are badly in need of such protection.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Holland, President

Tamara Bergman, Treasurer

The Sunset Square Neighborhood Org.

--
Tamara Bergman, Esq.

--
Sharon Gin
City of Los Angeles
Office of the City Clerk
213.978.1074
Sharon.Gin@lacity.org





Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

14-0656

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Wed. Mar 18. 2015 at 1:27 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **William Kuzmin** <william@williamkuzmin.com>
Date: Tue, Mar 17, 2015 at 12:12 PM
Subject: Written Public Comment 3-17-15 PLU committee agenda item 8
To: sharon.gin@lacity.org

Hi Sharon.

Could you please include the attached to the the public comments for todays PLU meeting and attach to the council file.

Thanks.

William E. Kuzmin - Real Estate Counselor

(CalBRE License# 00850279)
Mel Wilson and Associates, Realtors
18860 Nordhoff St. Suite 200
Northridge CA 91324
Cell Phone: 818-634-5344
email: William@WilliamKuzmin.com
website: http://WilliamKuzmin.com

—
Sharon Gin
City of Los Angeles
Office of the City Clerk
213.978.1074
Sharon.Gin@lacity.org



 **3-17-15 public comment PLU.pdf**
418K



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

ICO COUNCIL NO 14-0656

1 message

Courtney Delancey <courtdesigns@gmail.com>

Tue, Mar 17, 2015 at 12:51 PM

To: sharon.gin@lacity.org. councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org. councilmember.krekorian@lacity.org. councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org. councilmember.labonge@lacity.org. councilmember.koretz@lacity.org. councilmember.martinez@lacity.org. councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org. councilmember.parks@lacity.org. councilmember.price@lacity.org. councilmember.wesson@lacity.org. councilmember.bonin@lacity.org. Councilmember.Englander@lacity.org. councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org. councilmember.huizar@lacity.org. councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org. shawn.bayliss@lacity.org. joan.pelico@lacity.org. etta.armstrong@lacity.org. mayor.garcetti@lacity.org. jesus.d.orozco@lacity.org. noah.muhlstein@lacity.org. tom.rothmann@lacity.org. erick.lopez@lacity.org

I am a constituent in [Lower Council District 5] and until just recently. I was unaware that my neighborhood was included in this ICO (Council File No. 14-0656). This ICO is overreaching. does not necessarily prevent the building of hulky. box-like structures. treats zoning restrictions in neighboring communities differently. will deflate property values. will reduce city revenues. and will drive away investment from our neighborhoods. I urge you please not to support these changes and urge the City Council to require the PLUM Committee to give ample public notice and hold public hearings so that our neighborhoods can understand the ramifications of the passage of this ICO and provide input on how to ensure responsible development in our communities.

Thank you.

Courtney Delancey

www.courtdesignsinc.com



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Unfair action against citizens without proper representation of the community

1 message

Stan Lim <stanlimbiz@gmail.com>

To: Stan Lim <stanlimbiz@gmail.com>

Bcc: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Tue. Mar 17. 2015 at 11:55 AM

March 16, 2015

To Whom It May Concern:

I am a constituent in [Lower Council District 5] and until just recently, I was unaware that my neighborhood was included in this ICO (Council File No. 14-0656). This ICO is overreaching, does not necessarily prevent the building of hulky, box-like structures, treats zoning restrictions in neighboring communities differently, will deflate property values, will reduce city revenues, and will drive away investment from our neighborhoods.

I don't support these changes and urge the City Council to require the PLUM Committee to give ample public notice and hold public hearings so that our neighborhoods can understand the ramifications of the passage of this in our communities.

THIS HAS TO BE BROUGHT TO A PUBLIC VOTE!

Sincerely,

Stan Lim

710 Milwood Ave.

Venice, CA 90291



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Fwd: Council File 14-0656 Comments on CEQA document and Record Support of the La Brea Hancock Neighborhood for the ICO

1 message

Sharon Gin <sharon.gin@lacity.org>
To: Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Tue. Mar 17. 2015 at 10:59 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: William Funderburk <wfunderburk@candffirm.com>
Date: Tue. Mar 17. 2015 at 10:56 AM
Subject: Council File 14-0656 Comments on CEQA document and Record Support of the La Brea Hancock Neighborhood for the ICO
To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.englander@lacity.org, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, sharon.gin@lacity.org, ken.bernstein@lacity.org, tom.rothmann@lacity.org, councilmember.labonge@lacity.org, councilmember.koretz@lacity.org, Tanner.Blackman@lacity.org
Cc: renee.weitzer@lacity.org, shawn.bayliss@lacity.org, councilmember.krekorian@lacity.org, Councilmember.Blumenfield@lacity.org, councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org, councilmember.parks@lacity.org, Councilmember.Price@lacity.org, councilmember.bonin@lacity.org, councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org, Councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org, mayor.garcetti@lacity.org, erick.lopez@lacity.org, Michael.logrande@lacity.org

To the Honorable Councilmembers, Staff and Counsel:

My name is William Funderburk. I live in the La Brea-Hancock Neighborhood in the 400 block of S. Sycamore Avenue with my wife and two daughters. In my testimony and prior comments on the above-referenced matter, I did not recognize City Attorney Mike Feuer and his staff for the round-the-clock work the City Attorney's office has done to craft solutions to the problem of out of character development in some of LA's most cherished neighborhoods. Thanks to them, citizens of Los Angeles, like my family and me, see protections on the horizon.

I am writing as a private citizen, although I have been actively involved with the neighborhood since returning to the area in 2010. I serve on the Board of Directors of both the La Brea-Hancock Homeowners' Association (LBHHA) and the Board of the Greater Wilshire Neighborhood Council. I have testified at numerous PLUM hearings on the issue of mansionization. I incorporate by reference any comments made by Robert Eisele, who co-chairs the LBHHA Committee.

A picture tells more than a thousand words. For that reason, please review the attached map showing support for anti-mansionization regulation.

My comments address some of the comments made on the CEQA document regarding the record to support the ICOs. There are two categories of ICOs that protect neighborhoods: (1) an HPOZ category and (2) an anti-mansionization category. Although I do not practice CEQA, NEPA or land use law, I was struck by some of the statements in the comments related to the lack of record to support the urgency finding and the limitations being proposed. My neighbors and I certainly do not fit in the category of neighborhoods that lack the record to support some of the findings made for the anti-mansionization ordinance. Without detailing the history of

thousands of man hours invested by Mr. Eisele. Ms. Barbara Savage (the other co-chair and our Association president). Cathy Roberts (the architect of the attached map) and the other committee members Michelle Owen. Jill Brown. Brad Jewett and Justin Urcis since 2013. there is a clear record of outreach to the community through regular mail. Korean translated disclosure documents. email. Next Door social media and routine board meetings to which the entire community has been invited to develop LBH's strategy and position on reasonable regulation that will discourage mansionization but provide the flexibility to homeowners to do planned renovations. At some times. because of the many iterations of the this approach and the now nationwide publicity attention the problem has received. keeping the neighbors informed has been difficult. But. the LBH Committee has acted with resolve. deliberation and prudence at every step. always taking into account opposing points of view. I would urge PLUM to consider the record of each neighborhood as it goes about holding future hearings. I would also state that some neighborhoods are clearly more threatened than others as the CEQA document shows in terms of new construction permits. The threat to LBHHA is clear and present. And. so is the ample record of neighborhood supporting the LBH ICO. Not everyone in our neighborhood agrees with the approach. but an overwhelming majority does. No opposition was expressed for the LBH ICO at the last PLUM hearing. None. In fact. close to 70% of the homes in LBH voted to support restrictions limiting development. These restrictions are very similar to those in the current La Brea Hancock ICO.

Some fine tuning of the anti-mansionization ICOs is necessary to reflect those neighborhoods—Citrus Square (part of "Lower CD 5"). Miracle Mile. La Brea Hancock. 300 block of S. Citrus (in the LBH neighborhood but included in the "Lower CD 5" ICO). Fairfield Heights and others—that have demonstrated a record to support new permitting restrictions in the anti-mansionization ICOs. None of these neighborhoods has received anything but a fraction of opposition to the anti-mansionization. It was pretty evident at the PLUM hearing that several of the other neighborhoods are either divided or have a vocal minority against their ICOs. These include the Lower CD 5 portion not composed of Citrus Square and the 300 block of S. Citrus. Mar Vista. Bel Air. eastern/western Venice and Beverlywood. The issues raised are diverse. The concern I have is that HPOZ ICOs will survive and all of the anti-mansionization ICOs will be thrown out. Don't throw the baby out with the bath water. A third category of ICOs needs to be established after this package passes to account for those neighborhoods that overwhelming support the anti mansionization ICO (Citrus Square (part of "Lower CD 5"). Miracle Mile. La Brea Hancock. 300 block of S. Citrus (in the LBH neighborhood but included in the "Lower CD 5" ICO). Fairfield Heights and others) and those that are divided or have failed to reach consensus.

I urge immediate passage of the ICO package as drafted and referral to the City Council for approval today. I urge PLUM to consider during implementation of the ICOs separating the anti-mansionization ICO neighborhoods that have a record of support from those that do not. Doing otherwise will put years community outreach at risk and endanger the most threatened neighborhoods like La Brea Hancock.

Thank you.

Respectfully submitted.

William Funderburk

William W. Funderburk, Jr.

wfunderburk@candffirm.com



— CASTELLÓN & FUNDERBURK LLP —

Castellón & Funderburk LLP

811 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1025

Los Angeles, California 90017

p: (213) 623-7515 | f: (213) 532-3984

3201 Danville Boulevard, Suite 257

Alamo, California 94507

p: (925) 837-1199 | f: (925) 837-1144

candffirm.com

Twitter @NPDESLaw

This message may contain confidential and privileged information. If it has been sent to you in error, please reply to advise the sender of the error and then immediately delete this message.

—
Sharon Gin
City of Los Angeles
Office of the City Clerk
213.978.1074
Sharon.Gin@lacity.org



 **LBHHA McMansion Map 11-29-14.pdf**

119K



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

Complete Petition Packet for PLUM 2015-03-17

1 message

Diane Gross <gross.diane@sbcglobal.net>

Tue, Mar 17, 2015 at 10:47 AM

Reply-To: Diane Gross <gross.diane@sbcglobal.net>

To: "sharon.gin@lacity.org" <sharon.gin@lacity.org>, "councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org" <councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org>, "councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org" <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>, "councilmember.labonge@lacity.Org" <councilmember.labonge@lacity.org>, "councilmember.koretz@lacity.org" <councilmember.koretz@lacity.org>, "councilmember.martinez@lacity.org" <councilmember.martinez@lacity.org>, "councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org" <councilmember.fuentes@lacity.org>, "councilmember.parks@lacity.org" <councilmember.parks@lacity.org>, "councilmember.price@lacity.org" <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, "councilmember.wesson@lacity.org" <councilmember.wesson@lacity.org>, "councilmember.bonin@lacity.org" <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, "Councilmember.Englander@lacity.org" <Councilmember.Englander@lacity.org>, "councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org" <councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org>, "councilmember.huizar@lacity.org" <councilmember.huizar@lacity.org>, "councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org" <councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org>, "shawn.bayliss@lacity.org" <shawn.bayliss@lacity.org>, "joan.pelico@lacity.org" <joan.pelico@lacity.org>, "etta.armstrong@lacity.org" <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>, "mayor.garcetti@lacity.org" <mayor.garcetti@lacity.org>, "jesus.d.orozco@lacity.org" <jesus.d.orozco@lacity.org>, "noah.muhlstein@lacity.org" <noah.muhlstein@lacity.org>, "tom.rothmann@lacity.org" <tom.rothmann@lacity.org>, "erick.lopez@lacity.org" <erick.lopez@lacity.org>

The number of signers for the petition asking to remove Lower Council District 5. Kentwood. Mar Vista/East Venice (which includes zip codes 90064. 90024. 90025. 90066. 90045. 90291) from the proposed ICO is mounting. At this point in time. we have 679 plus signatures. Please listen to your constituents and remove these neighborhoods from the ICO. which were added into the ICO just a month ago. until such time that these residents can become educated about the proposed ICO so that our community can give meaningful input.

Thank you.
Diane Gross

 **Petition Packet for PLUM 2015-03-17.pdf**
219K

March 17. 2015

The following petition was started and circulated by constituents of Los Angeles City Council District 5 on the www.change.org website. The petition went live on 2/23/2015 and, as of 3/16/2015, has received 679 signatures. The specific action requested in the petition is delivery of the letter below to Councilmen Koretz and Bonin.

Respectively submitted.

Diane and Mitchell Gross
Residents of "Lower Council District 5"
Los Angeles. CA 90064

Petitioning Councilman Paul Koretz. District 5 and Councilman Mike Bonin.
District 11

Remove Lower Council District 5. Kentwood. and Mar Vista/East Venice
(which includes 90064. 90024. 90025. 90066. 90045. 90291) from the
Proposed Interim Control Ordinance which will further restrict construction
on residential lots within our community.

The ongoing active petition can be found at: (accessed 3/16/2015)

The complete text of the petition is found below:

Remove Lower Council District 5. Kentwood. and Mar Vista/East Venice (which includes 90064. 90024. 90025. 90066. 90045. 90291) from the Proposed Interim Control Ordinance which will further restrict construction on residential lots within our community.

Councilmen Paul Koretz and Mike Bonin are under the impression that most people want stricter limits on the size of new or remodeled homes because that is what is reported in newspapers and what a few vocal residents are pushing. Lower Council District 5. Kentwood. and Mar Vista/East Venice are family friendly neighborhoods comprised mostly of single-story.

1920's and 1930's homes. Residents wish to have the ability to expand these homes to suit their needs. while maintaining the charm of the neighborhood.

Over the past several months. the City of Los Angeles has been working to further restrict buildable area on residential lots. including removal of the density bonus. The City's Planning Department expects to have these new restrictions prepared within 18 months. However. now Councilmen Paul Koretz and Mike Bonin have introduced an Interim Control Ordinance (ICO) that if adopted would immediately restrict construction on residential lots. Of particular concern is that the ICO would apply to most of the Westside including zip codes 90064. 90024. 90025. 90066. 90045. and 90291. This proposed ICO would not only remove the 20% bonus density so that homeowners would only be able to build up to 50% of the size of their lot but now mandatory covered parking. detached accessory buildings. porches. patios. and breezeways would count towards the livable residential floor area. By way of example. construction of a house on a typical lot size of 6.250 square feet would be limited to a maximum of 2.475 square feet of livable interior floor space since required covered parking. detached accessory buildings. porches. patios. and breezeways will count towards habitable living space. It should be further noted that this change in classification of covered parking. detached accessory buildings. porches. patios. and breezeways does not apply in many other areas and this ordinance is therefore creating much stricter restrictions in these zip codes than in many other neighborhoods to which it applies. In short. this ordinance will deflate our property values compared to our neighboring communities and lower property values means less financial resources for our public school and for other City services.

If you oppose this ICO that would restrict your buildable area to what is really approximately 30% of your lot size and places stricter limits on your neighborhood than others. thereby deflating your property values. then I strongly urge you to sign this petition to voice your opposition to including zip codes 90064. 90024. 90025. 90066. 90045. and 90291 to this Interim Control Ordinance. Time is of the essence as the City plans to pass this ordinance in the next few days.

Thank you!

Letter to:

Petitioning Councilman Paul Koretz. District 5 and Councilman Mike Bonin. District 11 Remove Lower Council District 5. Kentwood. and Mar Vista/East Venice (which includes 90064. 90024. 90025. 90066. 90045. 90291) from the Proposed Interim Control Ordinance which will further restrict construction on residential lots within our community.

Name	City	State	Zip Code	Signed On
1 Diane Gross	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
2 Mitchell Gross	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
3 Robert Kahn	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/23/2015
4 allison samek	Beverly Hills	California	90212	2/23/2015
5 Jonathan Silver	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
6 Ariella Silver	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
7 Terri Lubaroff	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
8 Oved Fattal	Los Angeles	California	90024	2/23/2015
9 Lucinda Brown	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
10 Catherine Gopaulsingh	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
11 Bryan Nadley	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/23/2015
12 Scott Friedman	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
13 steven okin	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
14 Rick Lubaroff	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
15 Matthew Liszt	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/23/2015
16 Melissa Mayo	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
17 Michael Davis	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
18 jeremy ogulnick	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
19 Dave Schulz	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
20 Nicole Saacks	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
21 Virginia Bresee	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
22 krisha garvey	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/23/2015
23 David Snow	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/23/2015
24 Frank Huang	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/23/2015
25 Paul Plotkin	Los Angeles	California	90066	2/23/2015
26 Jan Plotkin	Los Angeles	California	90066	2/23/2015
27 Celine Kahn	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/23/2015
28 jill seidel	Los Angeles	California	90034	2/23/2015
29 Polly Eshel	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
30 s millstone	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/23/2015
31 Shirine Partiyeli	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/24/2015
32 Nina Rosen	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/24/2015
33 jocelyn silverman	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/24/2015
34 Fred Partiyeli	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/24/2015
35 Nikoli Partiyeli	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/24/2015
36 Adelle Gross	Los Angeles	California	90035	2/24/2015
37 Robert Finkel	Los Angeles	California	90035	2/24/2015
38 Thomas Martin	Ventura	California	93004	2/24/2015
39 Allison holtzman	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/24/2015
40 Judy Kravitz	Los Angeles	California	90035	2/24/2015
41 Trinity Martin	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/24/2015
42 Joanne Martin	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/24/2015
43 Arlene Rosin	Los Angeles	California	90024	2/24/2015
44 KEN LEMUNYON	Los Angeles	California	90066	2/24/2015
45 James Winett	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/24/2015
46 Jacqueline Park	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/24/2015

47	Stephanie Ksy	Los Angeles	California	90035	2/24/2015
48	Jennie Fahn	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/24/2015
49	Stephanie Elias	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/24/2015
50	Judy Stein	Los Angeles	California	90049	2/24/2015
51	Ilyse Bronte	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/24/2015
52	Josh Holtzman	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/24/2015
53	Pamela Singer	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/24/2015
54	Tatiana Melguizo	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/24/2015
55	Alexis Rappaport	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/24/2015
56	James Gopaulsingh	Point Roberts	Washington	98281	2/24/2015
57	Robert Guszak	Torrance	California	90505	2/24/2015
58	Marc Timoteo	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/24/2015
59	Anne Singleton	Hemet	California	92545	2/24/2015
60	Deborah ross	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/24/2015
61	Llsa Kalin	Los Angeles	California	90024	2/24/2015
62	Karam & Myrna Gopaulsingh	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/24/2015
63	Heather Meyers	White Plains	New York	10601	2/24/2015
64	Ingrid Barrios	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/24/2015
65	Greg Drebin	Los Angeles	California	90024	2/24/2015
66	Juillet Wellons	North Hollywood	California	91602	2/24/2015
67	Donna Gottlieb	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/24/2015
68	Ryan Baylor	Los Angeles	California	91401	2/24/2015
69	Patrick Cunningham	Hermosa Beach	California	90254	2/24/2015
70	jason herbers	Hermosa Beach	California	90254	2/24/2015
71	Malkeet Gupta	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/24/2015
72	nithya ramanathan	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/24/2015
73	Julie Sandor	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/24/2015
74	Henry Campos	Hermosa Beach	California	90254	2/24/2015
75	Myrna Gopaulsingh	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/24/2015
76	Jim Flores	Hermosa Beach	California	90254	2/24/2015
77	Eva Fogg	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/24/2015
78	Ramtin Partiyeli	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/24/2015
79	Sharona Meiselman	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/24/2015
80	Jila Siman	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/24/2015
81	Stephen bohrer	Los Angeles	California	91607	2/24/2015
82	Sandra Sarraf	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/24/2015
83	Mark Darwish	Irvine	California	92618	2/25/2015
84	Beverly Sheldon	Los Angeles	California	90035	2/25/2015
85	Lucy Fiske	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/25/2015
86	Peter Park	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
87	Daniel Beaney	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
88	Cynthia Waldman	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
89	steve avery	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
90	Patrick Roberts	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
91	Elizabeth Moursi	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
92	Kip Hamilton	Los Angeles	California	90049	2/25/2015
93	Kattia-katie Redmond	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/25/2015

94 Kasi Beaney	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
95 Sean Redmond	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/25/2015
96 Anna Gross	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
97 Valeska Donoso	Santa Monica	California	90405	2/25/2015
98 Joel Avery	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
99 Phil McCreary	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/25/2015
100 Matt Klein	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
101 Chad Lund	Los Angeles	California	90077	2/25/2015
102 Jennifer Chen	Los Angeles	California	90024	2/25/2015
103 Rio Yasa	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
104 Vivian Engle	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
105 Philip Button	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/25/2015
106 Danny Brown	Los Angeles	California		2/25/2015
107 Patrick Luke	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
108 Barry Wollman	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
109 Judy Wollman	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
110 Daniel Beaney	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
111 chris feil	Pacific Palisades	California	90272	2/25/2015
112 Ben Lee	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
113 Todd Jerry	Los Angeles	California	90066	2/25/2015
114 Justin Feil	Santa Monica	California	90402	2/25/2015
115 Masooma azam	Sacramento	California	95823	2/25/2015
116 JR Matson	Pacific Palisades	California	90272	2/25/2015
117 drew boyd	Machesney Park	Illinois	61115	2/25/2015
118 satyn tomsheck	Shelby	Montana	59474	2/25/2015
119 Mike Reed	Sheridan	Illinois	60551	2/25/2015
120 Una Maslesa	West Hollywood	California	90069	2/25/2015
121 Paulet Arndt	Wausau	Wisconsin	54401	2/25/2015
122 Cheryl Wadsworth	Bentonville	Arkansas	72712	2/25/2015
123 John Rabuchin	Pacific Palisades	California	90272	2/25/2015
124 Brady McShane	Pacific Palisades	California	90272	2/25/2015
125 Terry Travis	Ewa Beach	Hawaii	96706	2/25/2015
126 mynka draper	Los Angeles	California	90042	2/25/2015
127 Lillyam Barberi	Fort Lauderdale	Florida	33327	2/25/2015
128 Steve Schafir	Davie	Florida	33324	2/25/2015
129 conor hatch	Mpls	Minnesota	55407	2/25/2015
130 Michael Moss	Warren	Michigan	48091	2/25/2015
131 Julie Martin	Frederic	Wisconsin	54837	2/25/2015
132 Shane McAndrew	Prospect Park	Pennsylvania	19076	2/25/2015
133 Steven Andelin	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
134 John Andrews	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
135 Annette Andelin	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
136 Diamond West	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
137 Michael Klein	Los Angeles	California	90024	2/25/2015
138 Suzanne Geller	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
139 Lisa Andelin	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
140 Cory Holtzman	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015

141 Paul Wylie	Los Angeles	California	91403	2/25/2015
142 John Stewart	Beverly Hills	California	90210	2/25/2015
143 James Bremner	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
144 Devony Hastings	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/25/2015
145 Taylor Carlson	Los Angeles	California	90066	2/25/2015
146 Richard Schulman	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/26/2015
147 Yasir Shawgi	Greensboro	North Carolir	27407	2/26/2015
148 Michael Trepp	Seattle	Washington	98125	2/26/2015
149 Bryce Overend	Paramount	California	90723	2/26/2015
150 David Bartman	Los Angeles	California	90049	2/26/2015
151 Jay Lappen	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/26/2015
152 SALVATORE CENTO	brooklyn	New York	11234	2/26/2015
153 Ramon & Sol Lopez	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/26/2015
154 Alisha Sherman	Tampa	Florida	33647	2/26/2015
155 stephanie burt	Clovis	New Mexico	88101	2/26/2015
156 Selega Suafoa-Yanez	Dinuba	California	93618	2/26/2015
157 Matthew Zarcufsky	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/26/2015
158 hilda hutcherson	Cleveland	Ohio	44125	2/26/2015
159 jer johnson	Iowa City	Iowa	52240	2/26/2015
160 Thomas Beadel	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/26/2015
161 Sylvie Schenker	San Diego	California	92104	2/26/2015
162 Rylee Sullivan	Oklahoma City	Oklahoma	73160	2/26/2015
163 NICHOL HIEMSTRA	Los Angeles	California	90066	2/26/2015
164 Jeffrey Guillatt	Los Angeles	California	90066	2/26/2015
165 Brianna Rasmussen	Los Angeles	California	90045	2/26/2015
166 Carla MacDonald	Los Angeles	California	90045	2/26/2015
167 Sharon Head	DeSoto	Missouri	63020	2/26/2015
168 Jim Quandt	Venice	California	90291	2/26/2015
169 Thomas Beadel	Los Angeles	California	90045	2/26/2015
170 heather harden	Seffner	Florida	33584	2/26/2015
171 Olgamaria Carmen Forsyth	Waukesha	Wisconsin	53188	2/26/2015
172 Jennifer Campos	Los Angeles	California	90024	2/26/2015
173 Jason Engle	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/26/2015
174 Lisa Kolieb	Los Angeles	California	90035	2/26/2015
175 Mia Feil	Pacific Palisades	California	90272	2/26/2015
176 Federico Bianchi	Los Angeles	California	90066	2/26/2015
177 Caitlin Owens	Playa Vista	California	90094	2/26/2015
178 Brooke Taylor	San Francisco	California	94110	2/26/2015
179 JOE ABRAHAMS	Santa Monica	California	90404	2/26/2015
180 Jennifer Abrahams	Venice	California	90291	2/26/2015
181 James Aldous	Dedham	Massachuset	2026	2/26/2015
182 Catherine Boynton	Mountain View	California	94041	2/26/2015
183 Kym Young	Superior	Wisconsin	54880	2/26/2015
184 Julia Feil	Santa Monica	California	90402	2/26/2015
185 Michael Harmon	Torrance	California	90504	2/26/2015
186 Kevin Chan	Monterey Park	California	91755	2/26/2015
187 Esther L Sage	Rocklin	California	95765	2/26/2015

188 Louise Pacheco	Denver	Colorado	80203	2/26/2015
189 Cynthia Viar	East Ridge	Tennessee	37412	2/26/2015
190 Travis Marsh	Kennett Square	Pennsylvania	19348	2/26/2015
191 Shirley Serure	Los Angeles	California	90035	2/26/2015
192 McKenna Fisher	Portland	Oregon	97206	2/26/2015
193 Terry Stone	Scottsboro	Alabama	35768	2/26/2015
194 sherryann pardee	riverside	California	92503	2/26/2015
195 Yvonne Lemunyon	Los Angeles	California	90066	2/26/2015
196 Abdul Karmi	Los Angeles	California	90027	2/26/2015
197 Whitney Gosden	Marina del Rey	California	90292	2/26/2015
198 Cindy Feit	Los Angeles	California	90024	2/26/2015
199 Wendy Wong	Saint Paul	Minnesota	55128	2/26/2015
200 Zach Nivens	Los Angeles	California	90029	2/26/2015
201 Michael Sherman	Los Angeles	California	90035	2/26/2015
202 Jason Moy	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/26/2015
203 Alfred Griffith	Miami	Florida	33172	2/26/2015
204 Michael Dotson	Carterville	Illinois	62918	2/26/2015
205 Cora Allen	Riverdale	Georgia	30274	2/26/2015
206 David Mousiki	Los Angeles	California	90049	2/27/2015
207 julie merchant	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/27/2015
208 Laura Neibart	Los Angeles	California	90024	2/27/2015
209 Nicholas Merkin	Los Angeles	California	90035	2/27/2015
210 Paul Ayers	Madison	Mississippi	39110	2/27/2015
211 William Derry	Philadelphia	Pennsylvania	19142	2/27/2015
212 Veronica Assmar	Addison	Illinois	60101	2/27/2015
213 Katrina White	Chicago	Illinois	60619	2/27/2015
214 Gregory Evert	Garfield Hts.	Ohio	44125	2/27/2015
215 Mila Popovich	Aurora	Colorado	80014	2/27/2015
216 Paul Langhorn	Elk Grove Village	Illinois	60007	2/27/2015
217 Kirti Gadhale	Coppell	Texas	75019	2/27/2015
218 Jorge Alvarez	Orange Park	Florida	32065	2/27/2015
219 Mohammed Boudaia	Indianapolis	Indiana	46278	2/27/2015
220 miklos bosarge	cincinnati	Ohio	45239	2/27/2015
221 Carlos Hernandez	Ponce	Puerto Rico	733	2/27/2015
222 robin konczal	lebanon	Ohio	45036	2/27/2015
223 Ken Franklin	Concord	California	94520	2/27/2015
224 leora edut	NY	New York	10016	2/27/2015
225 steven pinola	stuart	Florida	34997	2/27/2015
226 Derrick Lacy	Carrollton	Alabama	72956	2/27/2015
227 David Jacques	Escanaba	Michigan	49829	2/27/2015
228 maria morrill	OMAHA	Nebraska	68127	2/27/2015
229 Tracy Liu	Vienna	Virginia	22180	2/27/2015
230 yolanda lopez	Pittsburg	Texas	75686	2/27/2015
231 geysi peterson	kissimmee	Florida	34746	2/27/2015
232 Zena Macias	San Antonio	Texas	78225	2/27/2015
233 Brenda Gordon	Norwalk	California	90650	2/27/2015
234 Ava Cunningham	Fort Worth	Texas	76116	2/27/2015

235 louie farah	Lincoln Park	Michigan	48146	2/27/2015
236 Ryan Boggs	Kenosha	Wisconsin	53140	2/27/2015
237 William Sanders	Fort Lauderdale	Florida	33334	2/27/2015
238 esray melendez	Los Angeles	California	90008	2/27/2015
239 Sarena Leal	San Antonio	Texas	78210	2/27/2015
240 James Royse	Grayslake	Illinois	60030	2/27/2015
241 Brandon Robinson	west palm beach	Florida	33407	2/27/2015
242 Katherine Tichenor	Slidell	Louisiana	70460	2/27/2015
243 Candy Larson-Soete	Washington	Missouri	63090	2/27/2015
244 Tanika Fears	Saint Paul	Minnesota	55130	2/27/2015
245 Melissa Miller	Mill Valley	California	94941	2/27/2015
246 Peggy Lloyd	Wilmington	North Carolir	28411	2/27/2015
247 Marshall McWilliams	Houston	Texas	77088	2/27/2015
248 Francisco Balleza	Houston	Texas	77022	2/27/2015
249 Mike Kara	Ocoee	Florida	34761	2/27/2015
250 Tracey Hoffmeister	Rome	New York	13440	2/27/2015
251 Laurie Storm	Buffalo	New York	14207	2/27/2015
252 nakwon kang	Tampa	Florida	33602	2/27/2015
253 Shay Taylor	Atlanta	Georgia	30331	2/27/2015
254 Charlene Reddie	Stuart	Florida	34994	2/27/2015
255 Patricia Hostetler	Canton	Ohio	44705	2/27/2015
256 Paul-Michael Fajardo	Vallejo	California	94591	2/27/2015
257 Runie Mensche	Corona	New York	11368	2/27/2015
258 barbara hallett	Lynn	Massachusett	1904	2/27/2015
259 Olivia Malone	South Chatham	Massachusett	2659	2/27/2015
260 steve maury	Littleton	Colorado	80123	2/27/2015
261 janna piper	portland	Oregon	97293	2/27/2015
262 balaro alaro	reading	Pennsylvania	1960	2/27/2015
263 Nancy harless	North Bend	Oregon	97459	2/27/2015
264 Kim Wright	Bossier City	Louisiana	71111	2/27/2015
265 corey shepherd	keystone heights	Florida	32656	2/27/2015
266 Linda Peterson	Boulder	Colorado	80304	2/27/2015
267 Daphne Wood	Semmes	Alabama	36575	2/27/2015
268 James Rampello	Myrtle Beach	South Carolir	29579	2/27/2015
269 Sue Tart	New Orleans	Louisiana	70131	2/27/2015
270 Shunda Robertson	Duncanville	Texas	75137	2/27/2015
271 Emily Bianchi	Los Angeles	California	90066	2/27/2015
272 chris callahan	missoula	Montana	59801	2/27/2015
273 esther garrett	Miami	Florida	33186	2/27/2015
274 Joe moreno	Aurora	Illinois	60506	2/27/2015
275 Tiffany ingram	Montgomery	Alabama	36116	2/27/2015
276 Amy Balm	Waukee	Iowa	50263	2/27/2015
277 Gurbachan Mann	Canton	Michigan	48187	2/27/2015
278 Vanessa Ramos	Hayward	California	94544	2/27/2015
279 Rafael Fortich	North Babylon	New York	11703	2/27/2015
280 Lora Schultz	Bloomington	Illinois	61701	2/27/2015
281 Nancy Brown	Minot	North Dakot	58701	2/27/2015

282 Yuji Saeki	Not Important	New York	10000	2/27/2015
283 naihomi figueroa	san juan	Puerto Rico	926	2/27/2015
284 Robert Credle	Boston	Massachusett	2119	2/27/2015
285 melissa montgomery	Syracuse	New York	13210	2/27/2015
286 douglas irwin	Minneapolis	Minnesota	55415	2/27/2015
287 Jean Gray	Magnolia	New Jersey	8049	2/27/2015
288 Grant Wright	Indianapolis	Indiana	46237	2/27/2015
289 VLONEEKA TAYLOR	WASHINGTON	District of Co	20036	2/27/2015
290 Trina Dyer	Shipman	Virginia	22971	2/27/2015
291 Ebony Perry	Birmingham	Alabama	35214	2/27/2015
292 Karis Ahrens	Fort Plain	New York	13339	2/27/2015
293 Gannon McGuire	Helena	Georgia	31037	2/27/2015
294 Anarelys Diaz	Miami	Florida	33187	2/27/2015
295 Anna Rider	Austin	Texas	78758	2/27/2015
296 Jill Coombs	Canaseraga	New York	14822	2/27/2015
297 Audra Daniels	Mount Pleasant	Michigan	48858	2/27/2015
298 Santos Rosales	Duncanville	Texas	75137	2/27/2015
299 Suzie herrera	Chino Hills	California	91709	2/27/2015
300 Elena Valencia	Montrose	California	91020	2/27/2015
301 Jane Feil	Santa Monica	California	90402	2/27/2015
302 Annette Cleary	Chelmsford	Massachusett	1824	2/27/2015
303 Mary Klein	Saint Paul	Minnesota	55104	2/27/2015
304 Autumn Hickman	New York	New York	10040	2/27/2015
305 Carol Dorn	Beaver	Pennsylvania	15009	2/27/2015
306 Jose Valladares	Montclair	California	91763	2/27/2015
307 Ashley Day	Vero Beach	Florida	32962	2/27/2015
308 Michael Sodos	Frederick	Maryland	21703	2/27/2015
309 Erinn Freeman	Southfield	Michigan	48076	2/27/2015
310 Neal Keplinger	Eloy	Arizona	85131	2/27/2015
311 javier fernandez vazquez	spain	Texas	8292	2/27/2015
312 Katherine Hutchins	Phoenix	Arizona	85050	2/27/2015
313 Lawrence Johnson	Raleigh	North Carolin	27606	2/27/2015
314 mark rogers	Las Vegas	Nevada	89101	2/27/2015
315 Chudney Williams	Elmont	New York	11003	2/27/2015
316 janiceelizabeth kreh	Buena Park	California	90621	2/27/2015
317 Michael tavaglione	Whiting	New Jersey	8753	2/27/2015
318 Miguel Flores	Melrose Park	Illinois	60164	2/27/2015
319 marie mocerino	greer	South Carolin	29650	2/27/2015
320 justin bassyouni	Cordova	Tennessee	38016	2/27/2015
321 KEVIN LALIBERTE	Chelmsford	Massachusett	1824	2/27/2015
322 Flora Siman	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/27/2015
323 Annie Raysse	Lizella	Georgia	31052	2/27/2015
324 Cathy Ginder	Poway	California	92064	2/27/2015
325 Douglas Teiger	Richardson	Texas	75080	2/27/2015
326 Allison higgins	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/28/2015
327 Caroline Dreyfus	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/28/2015
328 Danielle Ambrose	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/28/2015

329	Boneshia Perri	Santa Monica	California	90404	2/28/2015
330	David Ambrose	Los Angeles	California	90025	2/28/2015
331	Zoe Klein	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/28/2015
332	Susan Levison	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/28/2015
333	Stephanie Levine	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/28/2015
334	Joan Swartz	Santa Monica	California	90402	2/28/2015
335	Peter Aronson	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/28/2015
336	Stephaniejlevine@yahoo.c	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/28/2015
337	Rory Posin	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/28/2015
338	Alison Kandler	Santa Monica	California	90403	2/28/2015
339	Lisa Aronson	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/28/2015
340	Christopher Miller	Los Angeles	California	90064	2/28/2015
341	Gregory Nathan	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/1/2015
342	Shane Lex	Orange	California	92869	3/1/2015
343	Alexander lehmann	Fullerton	California	92833	3/1/2015
344	Bryan Koss	Los Angeles	California	91316	3/1/2015
345	Giancarlo Tallarico	Los Angeles	California	90077	3/1/2015
346	Michele Aronson	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/1/2015
347	Maria Manning	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/1/2015
348	jerty wise	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/1/2015
349	Guillermmina Monarrez	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/1/2015
350	Thomas Hilal	West Hollywood	California	90069	3/1/2015
351	David Galperson	Los Angeles	California	90034	3/1/2015
352	Brad Downs	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/1/2015
353	Tomer fedida	Los Angeles	California	90046	3/1/2015
354	Christina Thau	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/1/2015
355	PAUL BRADLEY	CULVER CITY	California	90230	3/1/2015
356	Elizabeth Masih	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/1/2015
357	Lewis Rudzki	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/1/2015
358	karen brooks	Los Angeles	California	90035	3/1/2015
359	Kevin Singer	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
360	David Levine	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
361	Risa Okin	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
362	Laura Jacobs	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
363	Diana Taylor	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/2/2015
364	Steven ambers	Los Angeles	California	90034	3/2/2015
365	Robert Galperson	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
366	Jill Levy	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/2/2015
367	Sam Aminia	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/2/2015
368	Laura Baril	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
369	Ranit Efron	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
370	erica fox	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
371	Rachel Feit-Leichman	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/2/2015
372	Loryn Arkow	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
373	Marianne Young	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/2/2015
374	Aaron Aviera	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
375	Pamela Belperio	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/2/2015

376	Jon Ghoddoussi	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
377	Ron Rosenberg	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
378	Elaine Huang	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/2/2015
379	John Monterosso	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
380	Victoria Shapiro	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
381	Evan Levy	Beverly Hills	California	90212	3/2/2015
382	Grace Griesbach	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
383	Michelle Nissan	Beverly Hills	California	90210	3/2/2015
384	Amy Roegler	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/2/2015
385	Cheryl Wada	Los Angeles	California	90049	3/2/2015
386	Vida Tuch	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
387	David Young	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/2/2015
388	Tim Flora	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/2/2015
389	Donna Duggan	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/2/2015
390	andrew thou	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
391	Serge Brantman	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/2/2015
392	Jennifer Drebin	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/3/2015
393	Scott Carmody	Los Angeles	California	90049	3/3/2015
394	Suzy Grant	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/3/2015
395	Michael harper	West Hollywood	California	90069	3/3/2015
396	Kim Hoffman	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/3/2015
397	Jack Ludden	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/3/2015
398	Mary Lund	Los Angeles	California	90077	3/3/2015
399	Brian Lazarus	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/3/2015
400	Jim Kleverweis	West Hollywood	California	90046	3/3/2015
401	Maggie Christie	Los Angeles	California	90046	3/3/2015
402	Adam Schneider	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/4/2015
403	nina kleinert	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/4/2015
404	Alison Moore	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/4/2015
405	Alexis Rappaport	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/4/2015
406	Arthur Brody	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/4/2015
407	Jonathan Christie	Los Angeles	California	90046	3/4/2015
408	Jennifer Cowan	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/4/2015
409	Mari Tell Peters	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/4/2015
410	Justin Block	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/4/2015
411	Mari Anne Kam	Burbank	California	91506	3/4/2015
412	Tekeia Semere	Los Angeles	California	90013	3/4/2015
413	Susye Melega	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/4/2015
414	Fred Larian	Los Angeles	California	90045	3/5/2015
415	Tom Rann	Los Angeles	California	90048	3/5/2015
416	Robert Silverman	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/5/2015
417	Raphael Levy	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/5/2015
418	Laurie Woolner	Venice	California	90291	3/5/2015
419	Larissa Block	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/5/2015
420	cary gimelstob	Santa Monica	California	90402	3/5/2015
421	Arlene Aviera	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/5/2015
422	Taylor Whitley	Playa del Rey	California	99293	3/5/2015

423 faye sarafian-Erdman	Beverly Hills	California	90210	3/5/2015
424 Andrew Y.	West Hollywood	California	90069	3/5/2015
425 Jane Gavens	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/5/2015
426 Michael Sahakian	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/5/2015
427 Victoria Aguilar	Santa Monica	California	90401	3/5/2015
428 Howard Weiss	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/5/2015
429 Stacey Bronson	Los Angeles	California	90035	3/5/2015
430 Emily Free	Venice	California	90291	3/5/2015
431 Steve Erdman	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/5/2015
432 Galina Blackman	Beverly Hills	California	90210	3/5/2015
433 Jody fine	Santa Monica	California	90402	3/6/2015
434 David Rosenfeld	Venice	California	90291	3/6/2015
435 Diana Pollard	Venice	California	90291	3/6/2015
436 Karen Selcov	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/6/2015
437 Maileen phillips	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/6/2015
438 Alyssa Schramm	SANTA MONICA	California	90403	3/6/2015
439 Scott Tamkin	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/6/2015
440 Cecilia Glorious	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/6/2015
441 Kenneth Sparling	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/6/2015
442 Kathryn Hirsch	Santa Monica	California	90403	3/6/2015
443 Ian Hirsch	Santa Monica	California	90403	3/6/2015
444 Tammy Hunt	Beverly Hills	California	90210	3/6/2015
445 Patricia Dotzler	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/6/2015
446 Richard Nelson	Beverly Hills	California	90210	3/6/2015
447 Eric Selcov	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/6/2015
448 Denise Hay	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/6/2015
449 Mary Piccione	Los Angeles	California	90048	3/6/2015
450 Andre David Bohbot	Los Angeles	California	90048	3/6/2015
451 Karen Joseph	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/6/2015
452 Timothy Taylor	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/6/2015
453 Janet Park	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/6/2015
454 Ann Hwang	Beverly Hills	California	90210	3/6/2015
455 Roger Dauer	Beverly Hills	California	90212	3/6/2015
456 dunia partow	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/6/2015
457 Dmitri Kondrashov	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/6/2015
458 Michael Carter	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/6/2015
459 Frederick Sauls	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/6/2015
460 Jack Ahn	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/6/2015
461 Linda Linham	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/6/2015
462 Douglas Conyers	Los Angeles	California	90045	3/6/2015
463 Lee Curcio	Studio City	California	91604	3/7/2015
464 Jan Hatcher	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/7/2015
465 victoria billings	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/7/2015
466 Elsa Mayol	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/7/2015
467 Sharon Rose Smith	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/7/2015
468 Lisa Barash	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/7/2015
469 Julie Payne	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/7/2015

470	mina solomon	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/7/2015
471	Loreta Azarian	Beverly Hills	California	90212	3/7/2015
472	Ravi Gadhia	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/7/2015
473	Afsaneh Kamran	Beverly Hills	California	90210	3/7/2015
474	Sonja Roth frederick	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/7/2015
475	Tahereh Simino	Beverly Hills	California	90210	3/7/2015
476	Mercedes Coronel	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/7/2015
477	Tae Kim	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/7/2015
478	Faithe Haimer	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/7/2015
479	roya levian	Beverly Hills	California	90210	3/7/2015
480	Kamran Nahid	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/7/2015
481	Andrea Farber	Los Angeles	California	90046	3/7/2015
482	Naomi Davis	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/8/2015
483	Dan Braum	Los Angeles	California	90035	3/8/2015
484	Marc Morrison	Los Angeles	California	90035	3/8/2015
485	David Hekmatjah	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/8/2015
486	channa wintner	Los Angeles	California	90036	3/8/2015
487	Thomas Stelmach	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/8/2015
488	Richard Peters	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/8/2015
489	Sheri Weiss	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/8/2015
490	Fred Schwartz	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/9/2015
491	Nicole Roberts	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/9/2015
492	Lisa Larian	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/9/2015
493	Farhad Larian	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/9/2015
494	Mary Beth Woods	Santa Monica	California	90402	3/9/2015
495	Douglas Staples	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/9/2015
496	Emanuel Yashari	Santa Monica	California	90404	3/9/2015
497	Michael Arzani	Santa Monica	California	90404	3/9/2015
498	shervanloo shervanloo	Beverly Hills	California	90210	3/10/2015
499	Mitra Bakhtiari	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/10/2015
500	Danny Mahelka	Los Angeles	California	90035	3/10/2015
501	Lily Nathan	Los Angeles	California	90067	3/10/2015
502	Faye Farzani	Beverly Hills	California	90210	3/10/2015
503	Nina Moahkovich	Los Angeles	California	90035	3/10/2015
504	Rose Bradford	Los Angeles	California	90036	3/10/2015
505	Larry Serna	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/10/2015
506	Theresa Shapiro	Carlsbad	California	92009	3/10/2015
507	Michael Bradford	Laguna Beach	California	92651	3/10/2015
508	sebastian ruiz	Beverly Hills	California	90211	3/10/2015
509	Sam Grayeli	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/11/2015
510	Marcelle Navid	Los Angeles	California	90048	3/11/2015
511	Bruce Nahid	Los Angeles	California	90045	3/11/2015
512	michelle kuner	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/11/2015
513	Elena Jablonski	Los Angeles	California	90048	3/11/2015
514	Michael Townsend	Venice	California	90291	3/11/2015
515	Tirdad Rouhani	Marina del Rey	California	90292	3/11/2015
516	Audrey Peters	Beverly Hills	California	90212	3/11/2015

517 Sean Constine	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/11/2015
518 vanessa auerswald	Marina del Rey	California	90292	3/11/2015
519 Christina Venezia	Venice	California	90291	3/11/2015
520 Erik Penn	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/12/2015
521 ramin haverim	Los Angeles	California	90049	3/12/2015
522 Sam Chacour	Santa Monica	California	90401	3/12/2015
523 Eddie Makabi	Los Angeles	California	91356	3/12/2015
524 Borna Solomon	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/12/2015
525 Laura Van Loh	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/12/2015
526 Joyce gottlieb	Santa Monica	California	90403	3/12/2015
527 Christine Matthew	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/12/2015
528 Geanina Balint	Tarzana	California	91356	3/12/2015
529 Tanya Baron	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/12/2015
530 Adam Surnow	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/12/2015
531 Alison Schur	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/12/2015
532 Michelle Beiley	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/12/2015
533 steven taylor	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/12/2015
534 Allison Bloom	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/12/2015
535 christine campbell	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/12/2015
536 Jordan Woppard	Los Angeles	California	90027	3/12/2015
537 S Wong	Los Angeles	California	90028	3/12/2015
538 Lori Pantel	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/12/2015
539 Todd Beiley	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/12/2015
540 Chris Yamashita	Los Angeles	California	90013	3/12/2015
541 Tencia Lee	Los Angeles	California	90034	3/12/2015
542 sam shakerchi	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/12/2015
543 John Nicoll	Los Angeles	California	90032	3/12/2015
544 FUCK THIS PETITION	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/12/2015
545 Angela Chen	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/12/2015
546 AJ Teppy	Los Angeles	California	90038	3/13/2015
547 Hunter Kenihan	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/13/2015
548 emmanuel labranche	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/13/2015
549 Joshua Bernstein	Los Angeles	California	90045	3/13/2015
550 Ed Bernstein	Canoga Park	California	91304	3/13/2015
551 Francie Bernstein	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/13/2015
552 Michelle gertzman	Marina del Rey	California	90292	3/13/2015
553 Mike Royce	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/13/2015
554 Adam Gershwin	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/13/2015
555 Blake Searles	Manhattan Beach	California	90266	3/13/2015
556 Daniel mense	Los Angeles	California	90048	3/13/2015
557 Davoud Tavassoli	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/14/2015
558 elisabeth abarbanel	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/14/2015
559 patricia cross	Los Angeles	California	90019	3/14/2015
560 Jason Pollack	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/14/2015
561 Erin mays	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/14/2015
562 Vanessa Schlosser	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/15/2015
563 Alyssa Weinberger	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/15/2015

564 Jany Park	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/15/2015
565 Tiffany Von Banck	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/15/2015
566 Chloe King	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/15/2015
567 Deborah Heald	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/15/2015
568 Mark Appleman	Los Angeles	California	90049	3/15/2015
569 Charlene Huang	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/16/2015
570 Laura Rogers	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/16/2015
571 Josh Heald	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/16/2015
572 Allison Burnett	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/16/2015
573 Lynelle Kerstine	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/16/2015
574 Tracy Alvy	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/16/2015
575 Carrie Haber	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/16/2015
576 Louis Leal	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
577 Samantha Kinnon	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
578 Kirk Wickstrom	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
579 Tracey Becker	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
580 Ashley de Harde	Playa del Rey	California	90293	3/16/2015
581 Emma Petersen	Redondo Beach	California	90277	3/16/2015
582 Tami Pardee	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
583 Jessica Pfeiffer	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
584 Vanessa Lessard	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
585 Christina Dogan	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
586 Kelley Christine	Los Angeles	California	90045	3/16/2015
587 Sherlyn Zerbey	Santa Monica	California	90405	3/16/2015
588 Aline Grunwald Wurman	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/16/2015
589 Patrice kinnon	Culver City	California	90232	3/16/2015
590 Sharon Beam	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
591 Emily Emerson	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
592 Kerry Ann Sullivan	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
593 Lindsey Materne	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
594 Taylor Materne	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
595 laura Mesing	Culver City	California	90230	3/16/2015
596 Alexandra Malloy	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
597 Timan Khoubian	Los Angeles	California	90064	3/16/2015
598 Chelsey Kinnon	Culver City	California	90232	3/16/2015
599 Edmond Bina	Los Angeles	California	90049	3/16/2015
600 Jacqueline Cahen	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
601 Lucia Bartholomew	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
602 Kim Gordon	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
603 Benjamin Denckla	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/16/2015
604 Derek Leavitt	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/16/2015
605 Cassandra Monnastes	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
606 Joanne wilson	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
607 ashton ramsey	Los Angeles	California	90046	3/16/2015
608 Travis Hollman	Irving	Texas	75038	3/16/2015
609 alec perelman	Los Angeles	California	90046	3/16/2015
610 Brendan Lee	Los Angeles	California	90024	3/16/2015

611 Heidi Bright	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
612 Judy Kwon	Marina del Rey	California	90292	3/16/2015
613 janice batter	Venice	California	90291	3/16/2015
614 Steven Fernandez	Venice	California	90294	3/16/2015
615 Shane King	Los Angeles	California	90034	3/17/2015
616 DAVID HERTZ	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
617 Julie Smith-Clementi	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
618 Sherwin Aryeh	Los Angeles	California	90067	3/17/2015
619 eric lindeman	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
620 Thomas Myers	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/17/2015
621 Graham Turner	Marina del Rey	California	90292	3/17/2015
622 Kate Federico	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
623 Kristopher Moller	Santa Monica	California	90405	3/17/2015
624 Dan Brunn	Los Angeles	California	90005	3/17/2015
625 george ghiz	santa monica	California	90402	3/17/2015
626 Wes Furuta	Santa Monica	California	90401	3/17/2015
627 Cayley Lambur	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
628 Johannes Girardoni	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
629 Christian Navar	Los Angeles	California	90034	3/17/2015
630 Daniel Afari	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/17/2015
631 Michael King	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
632 Sabine Gebser	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
633 Christopher Craig	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/17/2015
634 Tim Cason	Los Angeles	California	90046	3/17/2015
635 Timothy McCarthy	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/17/2015
636 Hatem Dhiab	Marina del Rey	California	90292	3/17/2015
637 Eric Drachman	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
638 Michael Pardee	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
639 Richard Flippo	El Segundo	California	90245	3/17/2015
640 Anthony Coscia	Los Angeles	California	90025	3/17/2015
641 Katie Pardee	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/17/2015
642 Karen Manfield	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
643 ELlen Turner	Marina del Rey	California	90292	3/17/2015
644 Tracy Ng	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/17/2015
645 mario romano	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
646 Lois Whitman	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
647 Anya Romano	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
648 Larry Sanchez	Culver City	California	90232	3/17/2015
649 Mason Sachs	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
650 Todd Stein	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
651 Colin Wellman	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/17/2015
652 Sarah Schroeder	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/17/2015
653 Jennifer Portnoy	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
654 Joseph Ahdoot	Los Angeles	California	91316	3/17/2015
655 Dee Clay	Los Angeles	California	90067	3/17/2015
656 Glen Irani	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
657 Rod Findley	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015

658 Jason Teague	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
659 Darren McClure	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
660 patricia jones	marina del rey	California	90292	3/17/2015
661 craig jerris	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
662 Tiffany rochelle	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
663 stacey foxson	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
664 Patrick McGurk	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/17/2015
665 Elizabeth Donaldson	Los Angeles	California	90066	3/17/2015
666 Jonathan Azal	Los Angeles	California	91335	3/17/2015
667 Noelle Koeppe	Beverly Hills	California	90211	3/17/2015
668 Jo brown	Venice	California	90291	3/17/2015
669 Saman Younai	Los Angeles	California	90046	3/17/2015
670 Roy livityatan	Beverly Hills	California	90211	3/17/2015

Name	SignedOn	Comment
1 Mitchell Gross	2/23/2015	I want to preserve the value of my home and our quality of life.
2 Robert Kahn	2/23/2015	It needs further study and vetting and does not appear to be fair to those that may already be in process.
3 Terri Lubaroff	2/23/2015	I do not agree with any zoning ordinance that restricts the size of a house to a percentage of lot size. I also vehemently disagree that mandatory covered parking, breezeways and patios should be counted in square footage. Please REPEAL the anti-mansionization ordinance and definitely do not support any further restrictions. Our property value has already been negatively affected due to the EXPO line across the street. Don't do anything else that will make it hard for us to sell or expand as we meet the needs of our growing family. Thank you.
4 Oved Fattal	2/23/2015	Oppose these new restrictions
5 Rick Lubaroff	2/23/2015	One size (restriction) does not fit all.
6 Michael Davis	2/23/2015	Restrictions like these are just going to force people with families out of the area.
7 Virginia Bresee	2/23/2015	Property owners should be able to control what is built on their property, not the city council.
8 Thomas Martin	2/24/2015	I believe what the city is proposing will be detrimental to my communities way of life.
9 Joanne Martin	2/24/2015	This will have a devastating financial effect on this community. Please forward to all your contacts if you are reading this.
10 KEN LEMUNYON	2/24/2015	These restrictions would be detrimental not only to property values but also to businesses in the area that provide materials and services. The larger homes also provide a substantial increase in property taxes.
11 Jim Winett	2/24/2015	I believe that ordinances should only be enacted after VERY careful, thoughtful, open, transparent review to ensure total fairness to those affected and the surrounding areas. I don't feel this has been followed in this instance. How did we, those affected, not know about this??
12 Robert Guszak	2/24/2015	I am signing this petition because, I am in full support of building, remodeling, and increasing the size of homes if the owners wish to do so. I live in a neighborhood in which these restrictions have been implemented, and as a home owner, it is very upsetting to me. I'd hate to see it happen in other areas.
13 Anne Singleton	2/24/2015	I am a homeowner in 90064 and do not want restrictions placed on future renovations, redevelopments and other modifications of single family homes such as min.
14 Donna Gottlieb	2/24/2015	I do not agree with the ICO for this area
15 Malkeet Gupta	2/24/2015	This will negatively affect the ability of families to move into this area. Please oppose these restrictions
16 Eva Fogg	2/24/2015	The proposed ordinance will have the opposite effect of what it seeks to do. Rather than making our neighborhoods more beautiful, it will discourage the building of homes with features that enhance aesthetics (patios, balconies) since it counts such features as part of the allowable footage - the result will be boxy houses built out to maximize the diminished allowable square footage. The Council needs to take a close look at the history of the existing ordinance and be reminded that the it was crafted after much careful thought, input, and compromise, something which is sorely lacking in the current proposed ordinance. This is the result of an extreme and vocal minority and it is a sort sighted appeasement.
17 Beverly Sheldon	2/25/2015	I think you can go from one extreme to the other..some of these homes need upgrading and improvements and these restriction are to much.
18 Daniel Beaney	2/25/2015	The proposed ICO does NOT reflect my views as a property owner and resident of the City, Lower District 5 and/or Rancho Park neighborhood. The proposed ICO amounts to a "taking" of value and diminishes my rights as a property owner. I am vehemently opposed to the ICO and ask that the Council reevaluate the subjective application of the proposed ICO.

19 Cynthia Waldman	2/25/2015	Our community has had no notice of these restrictions and no opportunity to have a full understanding of the impact of these proposed regulations. Many of the affected houses are in need of repair, and are not suited to today's lifestyle. The residents of our community should not have their hands tied in such an overreaching way. Also, we need full awareness of the implications. What about the impact it will have on city coffers? This is an attempt to railroad through restrictions without a full study of the impact.
20 Patrick Roberts	2/25/2015	I am for a new ordinance, but this is way too punitive and will stop all new development. Mar Vista needs more new development, not less.
21 Kasi Beaney	2/25/2015	I don't agree with home owners rights being controlled in such a manner. Limiting development only further hurts our local economy and growth, pushing families to other areas. Property values will drastically decrease by these limitations. The building standards and restrictions in place are more than appropriate to contain over building on these properties.
22 Joel Avery	2/25/2015	I am in favor of nicer homes in the area. It improves the community and businesses around it, and it doesn't hurt anybody. It even provides jobs to many people
23 Danny Brown	2/25/2015	New restrictions will choke the local economy which is just starting to gain traction after the long, Great Recession.
24 Barry Wollman	2/25/2015	This will adversely affect my home values.
25 Judy Wollman	2/25/2015	I believe in free enterprise. I didn't buy in a planned community. This will adversely affect our home values.
26 Todd Jerry	2/25/2015	The ordinance is too restrictive, particularly for smaller lots since the exceptions for garage, etc are now excluded.
27 JR Matson	2/25/2015	Keep gov out of private property
28 James Bremner	2/25/2015	I am signing because I am against this change because builders are only making these big house because that is what people want. It will economically harm everyone if you curtail the construction of homes that the people buying want.
29 Bryce Overend	2/26/2015	I support community revitalization
30 Jim Quandt	2/26/2015	Significantly lowers my property value and controls all of my options to fully develop my property .
31 JOE ABRAHAMS	2/26/2015	I LOVE MY WIFE
32 Yvonne Lemunyon	2/26/2015	This will be detrimental to my property value.
33 Douglas Teiger	2/27/2015	I oppose the new ordinance. It will discourage creative massing and lead to ugly boxes
34 Joan Swartz	2/28/2015	The proposal is over the top: TOO EXTREME. You may be trying to legislate good taste through this effort but you'd be punishing too many people. No, no, no.
35 Bryan Koss	3/1/2015	This ordinance will have an adverse effect on property values... There's No upside to it.
36 Giancarlo Tallarico	3/1/2015	This ordinance is an infringement on my property rights.
37 Michele Aronson	3/1/2015	I oppose further restriction on construction.
38 Lewis Rudzki	3/1/2015	I am vehemently opposed to ICO
39 karen brooks	3/1/2015	I am against this unfair decision to limit the potential value of my property. All areas should be regulated and treated equally.
40 Kevin Singer	3/2/2015	This ordinance was done without proper public notice and is unfair to those who want to do the same contructions that their neighbors have done
41 Robert Galperson	3/2/2015	Nobody should be restricting our property values this much by this ordinance.
42 Loryn Arkow	3/2/2015	These requirements are unevenly applied to different neighborhoods. This is stripping homeowners of their property rights.

43 Aaron Aviera	3/2/2015	I am signing this petition to protect the value of our property, and to prevent unfair limitations to our building rights
44 John Monterosso	3/2/2015	I don't yet know which side I am on and don't like that this is being rushed through without a chance for discussion.
45 Evan Levy	3/2/2015	30% is way too excessive.

To be specific, the part of this document I take issue with is the part that now makes the garage and covered balconies a part of the livable square footage of the building - and it is this I address with the following comments;

I sell real estate and I work with some exceptional people who build beautiful, efficient homes that the neighbors are happy to have on their block. Now not everyone that builds has this level of integrity or ability, and so I understand the need to limit building size and keep this proportionate to the lot size. However making the garage and patios a part of the livable allowable square footage

3/2/2015 takes this too far. On a 5000 square foot lot this reduction could be as much as another 20% reduction in the space for actual rooms in a home.

Currently with the 20% bonus on a 5000 sq ft lot which is pretty average for most areas, you are currently able to build a 3000 sq ft home. Now on that same lot you will only be able to build a 2500 sq ft home and that must include garages and covered patios. Which will take the actually livable space to a maximum of 2000 if you want a small covered patio and garage which is typically 400 square feet for a 2 car garage which is required by the City.

2000 square feet is not big enough to build the kind of home that the buyers in this market want or that the cost of constructing this home will support. If a buyer is looking to buy new construction they have a good solid financial background because most new construction is at least 1,800,000 in this City, and when spending that kind of money and a lot more the buyer expects certain things. Just looking at a regular family with two kids, most couples want 4 bedrooms, one for themselves, one for each child and a guest. And assuming that the buyer, doesn't have kids, many plan for that, or plan for extended family members to stay, when spending this kind of money expectations are high. They look for an in home office, and really want the formal living room and a place where the family or friends can hang out. Doing this is 2000 sq ft makes for a very boxy home, which is precisely what looks ugly and we want to avoid. Utilitarianism is rarely aesthetically pleasing, and without extra spaces homes will have to become that.

If on the other hand the garage and patio were not included in the livable square footage - and lets face it who lives in their garage??? - building the kind of home that buyers of new construction are looking for would be very doable with 2500 sq ft, and would still have a very generous back yard, which is also something these buyer's desire.

Ultimately builders and developers are in business to make a profit - even the ones that are very well intentioned and care about the environment and community. Land is not cheap in LA, and prices currently are at premium, no one is getting a deal, and so consequently it is already hard to make a profit, and the only way of making a profit is to add square footage to existing homes. If the profit margin is very lean which under the restrictions you indicate suggest, then I can see the following happening;

1. Builders will halt projects and stop buying - this will impact the value of properties negative, investors make up a huge portion of todays market, if the investor goes away in the market, volume will go down, tear downs and fixers will sit on the market and as the fixer kind of property far outweighs the new construction segment of the market, ultimately we will be left with more inventory than we can sell. The law of supply and demand dictates when there is more product than buyers prices go down. And this will affect all of the home owners in the neighborhood impacted by this ruling.

2. If a builder determines that there is a small profit, and does go ahead and build, they certainly won't be putting in pretty balconies or patio's that take advantage of the beautiful weather we have here in Southern California, because they will need that square footage to ensure they squeeze in all the necessary rooms. The ugly boxes that no one wants to see will be what returns, because with the limited space the builder will choose to make sure they squeeze everything that is required in, as there is not the space for beauty. This will impact how homes look, I would rather see a beautiful 2500 sq ft home than a 200 sq ft box - and you think 400 sq ft doesn't make a difference - that 400 sq ft is the family room where the kids get to hang out with their parents, it is the guest room for the elderly parent who comes to live with their children, it is the office space that means less congestion or fuel consumption because the owners work from home. It is important.

3. If builders are forced to build smaller homes, will there be a market for them? In Westwood to buy a 5000 sq ft lot with a fixer on is around 1,350,000, to build a 2000 home with a garage is going to cost just in terms of construction \$500,000 and then there is at least another \$250,000 + which includes planning, contractor, architect fees and landscaping, holding costs, selling costs. Just to break even the value of the resulting home would need to be \$2,100,000, to give just a 10% return on investment the selling price would need to be \$2,175,000, which is over \$1000 a sq ft. The area's that you have identified here are not going to support this dollar amount easily. The builder won't be able to work in this market place, the buyers won't have the product they want and everyone loses.

And of course these comments could be seen as self serving as I am the realtor! However I sell homes when the market is going up and I sell homes when the market goes down. In a down turn of the market, volume doesn't tend to fluctuate, many realtor prospered tremendously from the downturn with the sheer volume of foreclosure and short sales. So while this may seem like a self serving commentary, it really isn't, I will make money whether builders build or not, because real estate is fundamentally driven by life change, and the economy going up or down imposes change on peoples lives. I am taking the time out of my busy day because I am in the market everyday, and I understand the numbers of the market and the people who are buying in the market and the impact that this will have. The people who will loose if you make building in these areas unprofitable are not the investors and developers, they will take their money else where, it is not the buyers, they too will look to areas of the city that support building homes that they want and desire, it won't be the realtor community, we sell all over the city and all kinds of property and proper regardless of the market dynamic - it will be the homeowners, they will be the ones who bear the brunt of this decisions in a decrease in value, and it will only impact the neighborhoods defined here. And most people don't even know this is a discussion, including the real estate community. Most people know that the 20% bonus may go way which is by the way making builders shy away from more investment, but few people know about the suggestion to include garages and covered patios in the livable square footage or the impact this will have.

And that is the part that makes me scratch my head, the inconsistency. If this is a good idea, why is it not City wide, at least then the market would be effected across the board, at least then there would be an even playing field, why target certain neighborhoods and make just those neighborhoods bare the burden that this will dictate. Do these homeowners in the areas effected know how this will effect value, and while the community and the environment should always be considered above financials - the people in the areas in question should know what is being considered here so they can weigh the factors at play here. And I know the people are unaware as I go door to door for my business, and when I ask if people have heard about this, their answer for the most part is no, same in the real estate offices.

And last of all, there will be a further inconsistency in how properties are measured. Currently realtors take square footage from the public records, public records show square footage as livable space that does not include the garage or covered balconies and patios. The way this is written will cause confusion amongst the buyers and sellers, amongst the appraisers, lender's and the real estate community - and why create such an inconsistency where certain segments of certain areas on new construction will now be measured differently to all homes in LA? This will lead to inconsistent appraisals which would more than likely lead to fewer loans being given out and again once properties don't appraise, the buyer pool in the market will shrink which will have a negative impact.

At least if the City determines that homes should be built on 40% of the lot size instead of 50%-60% - then at the very least keep the way that homes are measured consistent to avoid confusion in the market place over what is considered livable square footage.

47 David Young	3/2/2015 I disagree with the ordinance restricting homeowners' freedom to build on their own property.
48 Tim Flora	3/2/2015 The proposed ICO will stifle economic development.
49 Donna Duggan	3/2/2015 The proposed ICO will lower property values and force new development in other areas. The City needs the fee revenue associated with new development and higher property tax revenue.
50 Serge Brantman	This remind me a Soviet Union where I immigrated from, where one person was allowed to have 3/2/2015 only certain square footage of the leaving space. I immigrated from this and do not want to see a move in same direction in the so far a free country.
51 Scott Carmody	3/3/2015 I think this is a terrible idea and it restricts personal choice.
52 Alexis Rappaport	I am not opposed to removing the 20% bonus options, BUT changing garages, covered porches, etc. to count as part of residential floor area is excessive and will stop even responsible, contextually appropriate projects from being built. It will also unfairly penalize homeowners in these zip codes by lowering their property values in comparison to areas with less restrictive square footage limitations under the ordinance. This ordinance should not be passed as it is currently written.
53 Jonathan Christie	3/4/2015 I'm signing because this is an absolutely outrageous restriction of liberty
54 Fred Larian	3/5/2015 We don't need more restrictions and disincentives for modernizing our housing stock.

I agree with the sentiments of Michelle Nissani and I am a homeowner in the proposed area - While I am a supporter of the No More McMansions movement in our area and I do not want to see any more period properties torn down to make way for these hideous 3000sqft shoe boxes crammed on to a 6000sqft lot, this legislation does not make sense in it's current form.

The part I take issue with is that it makes garages, covered balconies, porches, and patios part of your living space allowance, and that is ludicrous;

For instance, a single family home is REQUIRED by the city of LA panning to include a two car garage.

55 Tom R

3/5/2015 That means your house is automatically limited to only 2000sqft due to the 400sqft or so that has to go to the garage, and that remaining space may have to include patios, breezeways and porches. You could end up only being able to have 1500sqft of usable living space and that is not only a poor use of available land, but will mean only relatively small houses - too small for families.

This will in turn have a massive economic impact on property values as no one will buy a 6000sqft lot that you can only ever put a 2 bedroom house on.

Unless this part of the bill is dropped, we will see our property values plummet and families move away from the area.

56 Laurie Woolner

3/5/2015 This is ridiculous. It would crush the economy. You guys sent the film business out of LA and now you want to ruin real estate and construction.

57 Jane Gavens

3/5/2015 Further restriction on building size is not needed or desired and will result in a decrease in value of my and my neighbors property value

58 Galina Blackman

3/5/2015 These restrictions are going to negatively affect home values.

59 David Rosenfeld

3/6/2015 I am a Venice resident and I am opposed to the ICO. It is restrictive and counter productive.

THIS IS GROSSLY UNFAIR & IS AFFECTING ALL AROUND THE RECODE.D EFFORT.

60 Diana Pollard

3/6/2015

The whole point of this ICO is to shut down growth & change its that simple. Let the Planning

61 Maileen Phillips

3/6/2015 it is the right thing to do,

62 Scott Tamkin

3/6/2015 I believe in limited restrictions, but not such extreme restrictions.

63 Cecilia Glorious

3/6/2015 I fear that we are losing the integrity of the original design of our neighborhoods.

64 Richard Nelson

3/6/2015 I strongly oppose this measure.

65 Karen Joseph

3/6/2015 Large residences are destroying the charm of our area.

66 Roger Dauer

3/6/2015 I agree

67 Jan Hatcher

I am shocked that a proposed change of this magnitude--and economic consequences--could get this far in the political process without the neighborhood homeowners being included in the discussion.

How did this happen?

68 mina solomon

I am signing this petition because you are picking on areas that are beautifying and improving, are 3/7/2015 wonderful family areas and are not building the megamansions that you find in Bel Air and Beverly Hills, areas that you know you cannot bully and have not done anything about (Bel Air).

69 Sonja Roth

3/7/2015 This is my home.

70 Mercedes Coronel

3/7/2015 I oppose the restriction. I do not want property values lowered in my area

71 Faithe Haimer

3/7/2015 I'm Opposed to this ordinance.

72 Kamran Nahid

3/7/2015 Reactive policy decisions are always short sighted.

73 Dan Braum

3/8/2015 I own property in the 90025 zip code and don't want additional restrictions placed on it.

74 Marc Morrison	3/8/2015	I want to hear from both "camps" in an open forum and then decide on which side is correct for me.
75 channa wintner	3/8/2015	I'm signing because I disagree with limiting the size of buildable area in 90036, 90048,90035,90004,90020. As a realtor, my buyers are running away from buying in the restricted areas and my sellers are having a harder time selling in the restricted zones. The newer expanded properties raise everyone's values, they are doing everyone a service. It's win win for all.
76 Thomas Stelmach	3/8/2015	I'm a property owner that bought my investment for future expansion. Why should my property rights be diminished by the vocal few?
77 Fred Schwartz	3/9/2015	i am against political stuff that is snuck in without anyones knowledge as to what an ICO is. Stop the sneaky in reality dishonesty
78 Bruce Nahid	3/11/2015	Devaluation of residential properties is not to anybody's benefit except for the activists who don't care about the economy.
79 Michael Townsend	3/12/2015	My lot is 6000 sq. feet. 2600 sq feet of living space is barely suitable for a family of five. We bought this lot with the idea of building a family home for our kids to grow up in. THIS IS NOT A REASONABLE ORDINANCE AND WILL RESULT IN MOST HOME OWNERS AND INVESTORS TO LOSE MONEY BUILT IN THE ZONE THAT HAVE MOLD AND STRUCTURAL DAMAGE
80 Ted Rouhani	3/12/2015	when the ordinance should focus on zip codes that contain historic buildings. Not run down homes built in the 30s that have mold and structural damage
81 Audrey Peters	3/12/2015	I concur with Michelle Nissani and I would also add that the reduction of home values by this policy will have consequential reduction in the City of LA's property tax revenue. Did they consider that?
82 Sean Constine	3/12/2015	I'm signing as both a concerned citizen and an angry property tax payer. The socialist violation of property rights is unacceptable...
83 Erik Penn	3/12/2015	I would like to continue seeing my property value to increase and limiting new home size will create a limit on my appreciation.
84 Laura Van Loh	3/12/2015	This ordinance will decrease the value of my property. And while an 80 to 90 year old house could be charming, the old ones in this neighborhood are tiny and dilapidate. As the saying goes: trash attracts trash. Let's let this neighborhood grow with the times.
85 Erik Penn	3/12/2015	I would like to continue seeing my property value to increase and limiting new home size will create a limit on my appreciation.
86 Laura Van Loh	3/12/2015	This ordinance will decrease the value of my property. And while an 80 to 90 year old house could be charming, the old ones in this neighborhood are tiny and dilapidate. As the saying goes: trash attracts trash. Let's let this neighborhood grow with the times.
87 Erik Penn	3/12/2015	I would like to continue seeing my property value to increase and limiting new home size will create a limit on my appreciation.
88 Michelle Beiley	3/12/2015	This is ridiculous that they can change a law without public debate.
89 Chris Yamashita	3/12/2015	Teds the man
90 Sam Shakerchi	3/12/2015	I am a home owner in the 90024 zip code and also a real estate professional who does business in that neighborhood. This benefits NO ONE other than a few bored and angry yentas!
91 John Nicoll	3/13/2015	I am signing this because this action by the politicians and supporters of this ordinance was never opened to public debate. We live in a democracy not an autocracy or dictatorship. We all have to live with the laws that are created so therefore we all have a right to discuss and dispute legislation prior to it becoming law. As a property owner I find this restrictions to my freedom unconscionable and offensive. Please do not allow this ordinance to be come law.

92 This Is Dumb	3/13/2015	I am signing this to leave a comment that I think this petition is bogus and is used for the financial gain of a few individuals who run a business of constructing poor quality large houses for a profit. They are not worried about the community or market prices of current properties. They are only worried about their new construction values which will be hindered. If you read the ordinance and think it through, this will not lower your property value. In fact, with the requirement of new constructions to be smaller, it may actually raise your property value as these are sought after areas that are now going to have less inventory on the market. Do not be fooled by a few smooth words of individuals in it for themselves. Please think and use your brain people.
93 AJ Teppy	3/13/2015	I don't want this law to pass. You are limiting my property rights!
94 emmanuel labranche	3/13/2015	I don't agree with the extent of the ICO for Cheviot Hills, I would suggest having it reflect Beverlywood
95 Francie Bernstein	3/13/2015	I am opposed to this restriction especially in the Westchesyer area where homes from the 40s have detached garages which should not be counted as living space.
96 Daniel mense	3/13/2015	i think this has a long term negative impact on neighborhoods and property values
97 elisabeth abarbanel	3/14/2015	I think the proposal is too restrictive. I understand restricting mc mansions, but this goes too far and will ultimately hurt our community.
98 patricia cross	3/14/2015	Construction needs careful over seeing by the community.
99 Jason Pollack	3/14/2015	this ordinance is a bad idea and is too restrictive
100 Erin Mays	3/14/2015	i want to build a home there one day
101 Charlene Hang	3/16/2015	I want to keep the quality and value of my neighborhood homes acceptable
102 Louis Leal	3/16/2015	I'm a property owner. I do not want this to pass. This effects my property value. This effects what I can do to my property. This effects me and I didn't get to vote!
103 Samantha Kinnon	3/16/2015	I think it is ridiculous
104 Kirk Wickstrom	3/16/2015	This is completely ridiculous.
105 Emma Petersen	3/16/2015	Because it will be effecting my friends houses!
106 Tami Pardee	3/16/2015	This is just simply unfair to homeowners, it is sad that our government official think that they have the power to do this.
107 Jessica Pfeiffer	3/16/2015	News Flash! Please sign!
108 Sherlyn Zerbey	3/16/2015	I'm signing because I work in real estate and this is going to effect all of my clients in a negative way. My livelihood will suffer because of this ordinance.
109 aline wurman	3/16/2015	Part of the Ordinance is too overreaching and will lower value of land.
110 Sharon Beam	3/16/2015	Further restrictions are not necessary -
111 Emily Emerson	3/16/2015	I live in Venice & I think ordinances like this should be voted on.
112 Kerry Ann Sullivan	3/16/2015	I am adamantly opposed to this. It is a violation of our rights as land owners as well as an attempt to change tax codes.
113 Alexandra Malloy	3/16/2015	The public should have a say in this before anything is decided as it affects the community greatly. we need to discuss this as a community and not simply listen to a louder group of people!!
114 kim gordon	3/16/2015	And what is wrong with the well thought out for Monciorization already in place?
115 Derek Leavitt	3/16/2015	I don't feel we should further restrict housing opportunities in Los Angeles.

116 Cassandra Monnastes	3/16/2015 The economic consequences of this proposed ordinance are far reaching and unacceptable.
117 joanne wilson	3/16/2015 it is an absolutely ridiculous petition I hate that you would limit me. This is a free country and that doesn't mean only free to build a
118 ashton ramsey	3/16/2015 house the size or the old house.
119 Travis Hollman	3/16/2015 I own 2 houses that this area that are affected by this bad legislation.
120 Heidi Bright	3/16/2015 They are trying to pass this ordinance without public knowledge & without a vote!

As an architect and longtime resident of this community, I have opposed over-scaled development and I hate boxy mcmansions as well. However, the proposed ICO for this area is misguided for many reasons.

Removing incentives to step back and vary facades will create MORE mass and bulk at the front of a site, not less. Reduced allowable floor area translates into lower property value for us homeowners, who will look much more closely at construction costs, especially if property values drop. Nicer features such as overhangs, windows, doors, and details will give way to Home Depot quality as homeowners will be averse to spending more on their properties than they may be worth. Requiring the garage to be counted towards area calculations similarly impacts livable area.

121 Steven Fernandez	3/16/2015 Requiring covered exterior areas to be counted as interior area will remove any incentive to enjoy our fabulous weather under shade from the sun if the trade-off may be the loss of a whole bedroom! Can you imagine not having a nice covered entrance or a nice extended eave to protect against rain or solar heat gain?
----------------------	--

Finally, by the City's own calculations, the number of properties in this area that can be expected to undergo substantial construction during the proposed ICO period is only 0.33% of current housing stock. That's right, LESS THAN 1%! This is clearly not a public emergency to health or otherwise, that necessitates an ICO without CEQA findings to be implemented. This issue should be given adequate time for the professionals in the City Planning department to work with the public to craft an ordinance that makes sense. Please remove Mar Vista, East Venice, Lower Council District 5 and Kentwood from the ICO.

122 David Hertz	This should not be a decision made by a single councilman's vote without a free and open community process! Venice is already restricted by substandard lots in size and area and has additional restrictions within the coastal zone. Since the LCP is up for renewal there should be a discussion about land use and planning issues at the same time. Venice is different from the other areas in District 11 and in the City and should be excluded from any Interim Control Ordinance.
	3/17/2015 There is already an incredibly difficult process that takes into consideration important land use and planning issues that were determined by a democratic public process when the Venice Specific Plan was enacted, lets not usurp this public process in a knee jerk reaction in response to a vocal minority while creating significant restrictions to the Cities, tax base and limited economic growth in the area which can be used to fund beneficial needs in the City! I urge you TO EXCLUDE VENICE FROM THE ICO until a fair and public rational process can take place. Thank you

123 Julie Smith-Clementi	I am signing this because I live on a very small Venice lot that under this ordinance would allow us to 3/17/2015 put an 892 sq ft home instead of the still modest 2,200 sq. ft. home we have. This is not a one size fits all ordinance!
124 Eric Lindeman	3/17/2015 I vehemently object to this ICO (Council File No. 14-0656)
125 Kristopher Moller	3/17/2015 I don't believe in the Ordinance.
126 Dan Brunn	3/17/2015 I am an architect representing my clients' best interest. This strongly affects profession