

Communication from Public

Name: Kim de deaux

Date Submitted: 04/16/2024 10:24 AM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: It is imperative that the city continue to allow extended permits for short term rentals and improve its processing of permits and renewals. We depend on this income as well as our cleaners and maintenance people. Thanks for your attention to this matter.

Communication from Public

Name: Krista Carlson

Date Submitted: 04/16/2024 01:30 PM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: I just concluded reviewing the 10/04/23 enforcement analysis of the home-sharing ordinance, and I believe it includes a discussion that shows the City, in some situations, misunderstands the nature of "entire home" listings on Airbnb. The report contains this language: As discussed earlier in this report, extended Home-Sharing registration allows registered Home-Sharing units to be booked for an essentially unlimited number of nights, including for whole-home rentals in which the host is absent from the unit and presumably occupying a different unit - despite claiming the registered Home-Sharing unit as their primary residence. Disallowing extended Home-Sharing registrations would help fortify the intent of the HSO to preserve existing housing for traditional long-term rental periods. Airbnb defines "entire home" as follows: Entire places are best if you're seeking a home away from home. With an entire place, you'll have the whole space to yourself. This usually includes a bedroom, a bathroom, a kitchen, and a separate, dedicated entrance. Hosts should note in the description if they'll be on the property or not (ex: "Host occupies first floor of the home"), and provide further details on the listing. While I'm quite sure many homeowners are abusing this and listing their entire homes for rent when they are not using the homes as their primary residence, there are many others who, like my husband and me, are renting a portion of the home to guests and residing in another portion, which we disclose on the listing, and which allows us to state "entire home" even though it is not truly an entire home, and we are still residing there. Essentially, as long as you have the home locked off in a manner so that guests will never have to be indoors with hosts, you have an "entire home" listing on Airbnb. Similarly, my brother hosts guests in a basement apartment. There is a separate entrance, and the guests have a kitchenette and their own bathroom, as well as a common area and sleeping areas. It is his "primary home," and he occupies the first and second floors. Only the basement is being rented. Neither my home nor my brother's home, though meeting the Airbnb definition of "entire home," would be a suitable home for rental on the landlord-tenant market. This is so both because of the nature of the spaces being rented, and also because the spaces are used for friends and family visiting from out of town many weeks of the year. Removing the

extended home sharing permit option would create a serious hardship for my family. We relied on the option when purchasing our home, and did so in good faith. Please do not remove the extended permit option going forward. There are much better ways to address the problem, including finding hosts who are NOT living on the property (this is easy to do, because the listings are required, per Airbnb policy, quoted above, to disclose when the host lives on the property, so if there's no mention of a host living there, the listing should be targeted for removal) and enforcing the current policy.

Communication from Public

Name: Justin Hill

Date Submitted: 04/16/2024 03:43 PM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: I encourage the City Council to continue to allow short term rentals and the extended home sharing permit. Los Angeles is a wonderful and inclusive city. People from all over the world are encouraged to come here to explore, vacation, do business, and possibly some of them become future citizens of our wonderful city. Homelessness is a serious concern. So are the quality of our public schools and our critical infrastructure like water and electricity. LA has many challenges, many of which can be overcome with well applied, future-looking policies and sufficient tax revenue to cover them. There are many hardworking families who can only exist in LA with the flexibility of the extended home sharing permit. My family depends upon it. We also are extremely vigilant about the quality and experience our guests and neighbors have. This allows us to stay in LA and continue to invest in our community both personally and financially through taxes. We believe in turn we help support economic activity and a broad wake of happiness for those that stay in our home and later tell others of their wonderful experience in LA. This helps in a tiny way to help spread the word about our great city. I want very much for transient occupancy taxes to go toward helping solve homelessness. These two items should be related, not mutually exclusive.

Communication from Public

Name: Stephen Lillis

Date Submitted: 04/16/2024 04:51 PM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: To the City Council: I believe that it is a fallacy that primary residence homeowners who rent their home or rooms are creating homelessness. In the United States, there is a long history of people renting out rooms in their home during hard economic times. My grandparents did it during the depression just to get by, and provided a place to live for many. When I retired, I established a short term rental business. I do not have multiple properties. I have been hosting on the Airbnb platform for 4 years now. I have a 3 day minimum stay, which significantly reduces the number of party requests I get. Without the income I receive from Extended Home Sharing, I will loose my home. I have no violations. I follow the rules...and the income from my extended home sharing business is the only way I am able to pay my mortgage, pay my property tax and pay my utilities. The Counsel should make efforts to reduce costs to live in Los Angeles and not target home sharing. It should not take away my income. In considering these new restrictions on Airbnb short term rentals, I request that the Council use caution, as they will be creating MORE homelessness in the process, opening Los Angeles to numerous lawsuits and invasion of privacy claims. I do however support strict regulations and fines for people who are renting non-registered properties, who are renting out second homes or operating without a permit. Or speculator groups that are aggressively accumulating rental properties for short term and long term use. These are often Property Managers who are drivers of the home sharing phenomenon. The solution is better enforcement, instituting a 2 day minimum and a limit on multiple property rentals. There is a system in place as we speak, but it is not enforced. I have found it very difficult to get someone on the phone at the Planning Departmen, just to get a response about my permit. But if rentals are restricted unreasonably, it will discourage registration and force people to ignore the rules. It is an invasion of privacy to restrict Homeowners' use of their property as long as they follow the rules, pay a permit fee and pay their taxes. Everyone wants to find a solution for homelessness. But blaming the single family homeowner that needs their home-sharing income is a convenient but false target. The only people that should have to go to the Police Department are the people that get complaints, that have a party, or that get caught

doing something illegal. Please do not remove our rights to sensible Extended Home Sharing. Do not punish the Hosts that are following the rules and have established home sharing businesses that pay the bills, encourage tourism and contribute to the City economy. Thank you, Stephen Lillis Sherman Oaks
shlcloud@icloud.com

Communication from Public

Name: Sandra Peterson
Date Submitted: 04/16/2024 05:24 PM
Council File No: 14-1635-S10
Comments for Public Posting: I'm a homeowner who rents a room in my house. I follow all the short term rental rules and pay all fees. This has afforded me to stay in Los Angeles as I am now a retiree..

Communication from Public

Name: Beverly lafia

Date Submitted: 04/15/2024 01:32 PM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: I am a homeowner, who pays my mortgage and run a quiet, regulation abiding extended STR. I have seen the city implement more and more laws and regulations to keep a private citizen that owns their own home, who pays property taxes, pays their city taxes for the STR, pays their permit license fees for extended rentals past 120 days, and STR annual fee. I am confused why we are constantly being targeted for renting out a room in our own home. This room would not be rented out to the public as a LTR and has nothing to do with the housing shortage or the unhoused. The unhoused and affordable housing situation is in dire state, and I agree, but how does a private homeowner trying to make an honest living help in this situation. We are NOT the problem we are just trying to support ourselves. We are not rich landlords. If i were, I would not be renting out a room. My guests are quiet, polite and are only here to enjoy the city of Los Angeles for vacation or work. I do not host or want to host individuals that do not abide by the rules of being quality guests. I think all these special interests groups are barking up the wrong tree and need to understand the economics of the STR industry and how we are helping our city instead of being a problem (which is how we are being treated). I personally pay over \$25,000 in property taxes, STR fees, and STR hotel taxes to the city. I don't understand how taking our right as homeowners to rent out a room in our home will help the city with its housing crisis. Please understand the nuances of how we make a living and how the city is causing its own problems with the housing shortage and mental health issues. The city needs to redirect their attentions to their own agencies and stop using STR hosts as scapegoats. Additionally go after the corporations that purchase whole buildings and remove them from the rental market and readdress and create different rules for homeowners. Implementing more and more laws will only impede our ability to make a living and even cause us to sell our homes and create even more problems.

Communication from Public

Name: Lauren Way

Date Submitted: 04/15/2024 07:16 PM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: My husband and I both work in the entertainment industry. Last year, because of the strikes (and general state of our business) we were out of work for most of the year. Our Airbnb was our sole income. We have two children (a 3 year old in preschool, and a 5 year old now in Kindergarten). Even though we have one less school tuition, his public school relies on parent donations to fund its arts program. My point is, our Airbnb is not only funding an underfunded LAUSD public school, it was also the only source of revenue that we had to continue to live and pay our bills (property taxes and all) to the city of LA. Our Airbnb guests are constantly ordering food and going out to local restaurants, bars and shops. It is simply baffling to me that the city is fighting so hard to diminish this source of revenue and taxes, while simultaneously driving out families who can no longer afford to live here without additional income. Please consider the impact on your residents, schools, and small businesses when you're making this decision. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Doron Baruch

Date Submitted: 04/15/2024 04:44 PM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: I am a LA Native and have been hosting on airbnb for over 8 years now. The platform has been amazing and providing me and my family supplemental income and allowing me to meet people from all over the world. i have been a super-host for years now and that proves my commitment to the platform and how important this extra income is for me and my famiy. I do not advertise or post my listing on any other platform. I believe airbnb has the greatest amount of oversight and protection for both host and guest. Please allow the few numbers of short term rentals to remain. Thank you so much.

Communication from Public

Name: LYNDALL

Date Submitted: 04/15/2024 05:46 PM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: to whom it may concern I am a single mother with two children -one a special needs and the other is quite sick and I've just been through cancer twice and if I couldn't rent out my house that I have owned for 21 years at the top of Laurel Canyon, I would be broke and penniless, and life would be even more challenging than it is now... I absolutely rely on being able to rent it out through Airbnb since I'm now 72 and unable to work at a full-time job and this is a complete lifesaver... I am so so grateful to airbnb and in the 13 years I've been renting through Airbnb. I've never had any complaints or problems and I have found the whole system to run very smoothly! I truly hope nothing will come in the way of my ability to rent out my house otherwise I will be forced to sell it and incur a serious loss thank you for listening. Best wishes , Lyndall Hobbs.

Communication from Public

Name: Charles Clydesdale

Date Submitted: 04/16/2024 08:47 AM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: Airbnb and short term rental is the only living I am able to make, over the years the city has continually taken my rental rights away to the point where I am terrified to rent my property long term. Myself and numerous people I have met have had non-paying tenants and other serious issues pertaining to traditional renting. I just about worked myself to death buying property in California and my rights and my worth are continually eroded exclusively to the benefit of people that take advantage. I am happy to pay your city tax so it can be wasted on the homeless industrial complex. Please just leave the citizens that worked hard to elevate themselves out of poverty alone.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 04/17/2024 10:37 AM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: As a lifelong actress with a very undependable income stream, I rely on the income I receive from renting my small home and studio in Venice. I do short term and longer term stays. It's clearly stated on my listing that parties are forbidden! I try my best to vet guests via their reviews or my instinct to care for my neighbors (and my property) by avoiding noise or disrespect for the neighborhood. I look at my situation as the classic home sharing model- renting my home when I travel (or stay with a friend) to raise the income needed for me to support myself and care for my property. A ban on short term rentals would make it far more difficult for me to live in my home. I agree that entire apartment buildings used for short term rentals and full time "dedicated rentals" are not good for our community...however, I hope that my city doesn't "throw out the baby with the bathwater" by eliminating short term rentals for everyone. We need it!!! Thanks in advance for your consideration.

Communication from Public

Name: Lisa A Lewis

Date Submitted: 04/17/2024 09:13 AM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: April 17, 2024, Council File Number 14-1635-S10 Lisa A Lewis HSR20-001409 4129 Marmion Way, LA, CA 90065 Lisa@LisaALewis.com 323-384-2792 I.PRESENTATION Close your eyes for a minute. Picture a happy home in NELA called "THE COZY." Officially, The Cozy Mt Washington Bungalow. Notice its large tended garden, clean and comfortable interiors, where travelers and neighbors alike are welcomed. My short-term rental home, ever compliant and well-managed since Day One of the Founding Ordinance, has become a magnet for community. There are no neighbor complaints. In fact, three of my next door neighbors revel in placing parents and in-laws at THE COZY. They are young millennial families with pandemic children and no space for visiting grandparents. Travelers, some who come looking to move to LA are briefed on the new eateries and shops coming back to Highland Park. They are given TAP cards to use the Metro, a 2 block walk away. They are told it is possibly to NOT rent a car to enjoy LA! I tell them about the Fly-away and how to arrive without a rental car. And when this host needs a little vacation. Who benefits? I train and pay neighbors during my absence to keep up the garden, to maintain the house, to feed the cat. But you scream: "What about Affordable Housing!?" Yes! It's mine! 24 years of sweat and tears. It's now the site of that proverbial fixed income, Social Security-reliant senior citizen. Council members: Instituting a 120 day limit won't be enough for me to continue. The caring and sharing on my corner will necessarily cease. Tampering with extended registration punishes hosts who have made it this far totally compliant. And at a time, according to your report, when compliance and enforcement is finally getting sorted out. 2.ADDENDUM With a Report that touts Home Sharing Office "accomplishments" and it's "response to 40 thousand email inquiries," I would rather have used my time today to describe the lengths I have gone through every year since the Ordinance's passing, to get a simple renewal to operate. This level of difficulty undoubtedly has fed into the non-compliant hosting the city is addressing now. * NO! Email inquiries are not responded to. * NO! No one answers the phone. * NO! No one manages an office where hosts can seek legitimate counsel or ask a question. There is an online portal but it misguides hosts, gives incorrect instructions, or contradicts its own directives. In my

case, I can prove it. Every year, for the past 5 years I have failed to have my rego renewed through NO FAULT OF MY OWN. I have had to track down assistance from different CD 1 representatives, some of whom have not been up to the task. Twice, I managed to get connected to an actual HSO rep who eventually helped me, only to find they had quit or “retired” the following year when I needed the same help again. On one occasion, I was delisted by Airbnb, who runs on auto-pilot to do the bidding of LA City and just like the city, cannot be contacted by hosts. I lost all of my many positive reviews and had to start over. Again, through no fault of my own! It’s been infuriating and stress-producing but I persevered. I’m not surprised others have quit or gone rogue. My “Host Report” on issues of registration, compliance, and enforcement would include insights about ways to improve the system and encourage compliance from hosts, but, sadly, it cannot be offered within today’s time limitation. However, I am at the service of LACity should it want my host experience to become part of the record.

Communication from Public

Name: Frederick Frazier

Date Submitted: 04/16/2024 06:54 PM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: My husband and I are writing to encourage the council not to restrict the number of days which owner's can rent out extra space via home sharing applications. We understand there are enforcement concerns, but given the amount the money the city is taking in from taxes on Home Sharing activities, we feel that department should be adequately funded to administer enforcement of proper permitting and adherence to the Home Sharing Ordinance which my family strictly follows. We are really reliant on the additional money that home sharing gives us for property upkeep, paying our property taxes, etc. Our 225 square foot guesthouse is not suitable for a long-term tenant but is great for short term guests. Again, we implore you not to restrict the number of days we can rent per year, but if you feel a reduction must be made then please consider only dropping it to 240 days versus 120. Thank you for listening to our concerns here in North Hollywood.

Communication from Public

Name: Christopher M. Allport

Date Submitted: 04/16/2024 08:31 PM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: Controlling what guests I can host on my own property is onerous, un-american and unconstitutional. I am a lifelong resident of the City of LA. I have a mortgage to pay, and I am already working three jobs, yet it is still not enough to cover mortgage, insurance, property taxes and repairs. With the situation of not enough housing in Los Angeles, why in the world would the City restrict me from providing AFFORDABLE housing to those who need it. STRs bring economic growth into our city! I have folks coming from all over the country and world to participate in entertainment industry events. How foolish to make it so that they cannot connect with me an entertainment industry professional who has extra rooms that they can use, while bringing dollars into our city. This onerous control of my own property needs to stop. My address belongs to me. Not the City of Los Angeles. I can understand limiting the number of guests to the number of bedrooms, NO MORE. That makes sense under CURRENT zoning. Please stop making it harder and harder for me to make ends meet. -Christopher M. Allport 91606

Communication from Public

Name: ZF

Date Submitted: 04/17/2024 01:09 PM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: Regarding the possible amendment, prohibit Home- Sharing use in all units on properties with any RSO units. This is very misguided as it's a blanket solution. There are many homeowners that abide by the Home-Sharing guidelines. This would severely impact homeowner's livelihood and will make it unaffordable to stay in their homes. By prohibiting Home-Sharing use in all units on properties with any RSO unit- assuming this includes prohibiting long-term of 30 plus days via home sharing platforms (Airbnb)- it would reduce the pool of qualified tenants available to homeowner. Thank you for your consideration.

Communication from Public

Name: Brandi D'Amore

Date Submitted: 04/17/2024 03:26 PM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: My name is Brandi D'Amore, I support affirmative voting on mandatory data sharing and right to sue to make whole when City enforcement cannot. To that point, I have seen lack of enforcement and illegal use decimates housing availability and R zoning. I urge to keep and strengthen prohibition of HSO in RSO and ADUs and maintain maximum 120 days. Homeshare is an augmentation of residential benefit, not a main revenue source. We have a housing availability shortage. Complaints of revenue loss by those who constructed ADUs is easily remedied: ADUs Owners can become landlords. If they wish to operate primarily as hospitality, then require rezoning review B&S review, ADA compliance and hospitality taxes. Complaining of loss of income for dependency on Homeshare commercial revenue for is like complaining that someone stole something you stole first. ADUs are not primarily residences which is a fundamental requirement to Homeshare. While I am sympathetic to revenue loss, one was not entitled to use ADUS to start. by default, creating commercial zones in areas that are prohibited in community plans especially if solely for hospitality purpose. By-right residential building privileges are for residences, not as a bypass of the B&S and Planning, parking and Public Health requirements to be necessary to be a hospitality business.

Communication from Public

Name: Natalie Weiss

Date Submitted: 04/17/2024 05:48 PM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: My understanding is that the committee is considering disallowing extended use permits. As an Airbnb Superhost who lists a single bedroom in my home, I would ask this committee to reconsider the proposal to disallow extended use permits and instead adopt the San Diego Tier 2 policies and fees (more affordable than LA). I follow all the rules and I've never been fined. The extra income generated from home sharing has been an invaluable supplement to our overall income. Also, the cultural exchange generated by our hosting tourists visiting Los Angeles and our serving as ambassadors cannot be overlooked. Thank you for your consideration. San Diego Tier 2 ("Home Sharing") allows a host to rent a part of their STRO unit (i.e. "home sharing" a room or multiple rooms) for more than 20 days per calendar year as long as the host resides onsite for at least 275 days per calendar year. The host can be absent from the STRO unit for up to 90 days. The application fee is \$25, and the license fee is \$225.

Communication from Public

Name: Mary Morano

Date Submitted: 04/17/2024 07:38 PM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: Hello... I was at today's council meeting, but I was never called up to speak, although I had signed up. So here are the comments I planned to share with you today.: I'm a widow who owns her own home in Eagle Rock. I've been able to stay in that house with my son since my husband died because of short term renting with Airbnb. I am one of the hosts who plays by the rules. I pay everything, I register, I'm at home when the guest is here, and the unit is part of my house. If I go on vacation, I never rent it out. Switching to a 120 day limit for short term rentals would be a nightmare for me and many other people. I would basically have to move. The unit I rent is just a bedroom in my house with a coffee maker and a microwave. It could never be a long-term rental anyway, so I am not contributing to the lack of housing in Los Angeles. There have never been any complaints from any neighbors regarding my Airbnb. Many of them use it for relatives that visit and they all love it. They also love to walk to restaurants around here. I get the concern about illegal rentals. Especially those who claim to live at the same property where guests are staying. That's a tricky one for sure, but there must be a way to catch the people doing that. One suggestion I have is, when someone is caught, make the penalty very severe. A big number. That's the only way to deter others from doing the same thing. That way you may scare many people straight without having to actually bust them. There must be documentation when people own more than one property, and that would make them suspect right away. Well, I'm not sure Sherlock Holmes and this is not my department, but I think the city can figure it out. It's not a good idea to punish people who play by the rules and pay taxes and fees to the city. One thing I know for sure is the city needs all the money it can get. Yes, rents are too high, but as we all know the solution for that is more inventory. ADU's are a good start. Making it more attractive to be a landlord would encourage others to be landlords and rent out property. I would never do it after hearing the horror stories. I have 2 acquaintances who are selling their rental properties to developers cause they can't bear the red tape, and fighting with the city anymore. Anyway, that's another mess. Thank you for your time...please be kind to the citizens who follow the rules...Mary Morano

Communication from Public

Name: Steve Hirsh

Date Submitted: 04/17/2024 09:03 PM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: Short-term rentals are good for Los Angeles. Good for income to the City; good for homeowners like me to help keep my home; good for the people we hire to maintain the rentals, and good for the visitors who spend money on food and touristy things. I'm not unique but, I have health issues that keep me from working, my wife was laid off in January and we absolutely depend on the income short-term rentals brings in to keep our home. Why would anyone want to kill the most valuable income source we have? The City has made many millions of dollars from short-term rentals... has the need for that money disappeared? We are in business just like all the other businesses in LA. We provide a valuable service to our guests as well as the City. Please don't kill our business. Making it difficult to register for permits, shortening our available rental time and other impediments is short sighted and cruel. The letter summarizing the accomplishments of "the committee" stated they were able to reduce the number of STRs over the last year or so is shameful. The letter ignores the various needs of property owners who depend on that income and is as anti-business as you can get. It seems that the 14% occupancy tax and the \$3.10/night tax and the excessive registration fees don't warrant the time and effort of the Planning Department. If you're in over your heads then hire people who can handle the job. My wife is available to coordinate, analyze the data and solve whatever issues that seem overwhelming to make the department run efficiently and effectively for both the City and the STR hosts. Of course there are problems but, I know these can be dealt with fairly and intelligently handling them so the system works (profitably), for hosts and the City. Currently, the problems are being tackled by eliminating the whole situation. This is like saying that because we experience bad traffic accidents and drunk drivers we should just ban driving altogether! Please don't kill a viable business that can be great for everyone. Consider hiring my wife or anyone who is quite experienced with tackling the myriad issues of a department in a way that works for everyone.

Communication from Public

Name: Ed Colman

Date Submitted: 04/18/2024 02:36 PM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: April 18, 2024 TO THE LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL AND CITY PLANNING RE COUNCIL FILE 14-1635-S10
Greetings I read the recent report summary from City Planning about the enforcement and administration of the Home Sharing Ordinance. (HSO). It recommends that the Council eliminate the extended home-sharing permit and prohibit any home-sharing in ADUs even if they are the Host's primary residence as mandated by the HSO. Nowhere in the report does it explain WHY these two draconian revisions to the law would improve enforcement. In fact, the only thing eliminating the ability of legitimate home-sharing Hosts to earn income year-round would be to impose massive economic hardship on thousands of Hosts who depend on the income from home-sharing to make ends meet all year long. Our bills don't stop after 120 days.. When the HSO was being formulated 7 years ago, after many public hearings and correspondence Hosts secured the ability to get an extended permit. We explained how capping home-sharing at 120 days would negatively impact thousands of legitimate Hosts. Now, you are threatening to remove that ability. Again, how will that improve enforcement? The short answer is IT WON'T. The City needs to enforce the robust law already on the books rather than impose nonsensical restrictions that won't do anything to help with enforcement or administration. Since the inception of this discussion many years ago, there has been a sustained, relentless attack on legitimate Home-sharing from many quarters that continues to this day. Multiple false assertions regarding the negative impact of home-sharing abound. What you fail to grasp is that Home Sharing is a valuable resource for the City that should be encouraged and supported rather than treated as a scourge that must be eliminated. Many hundreds of Hosts have explained why this is so through hours of comments and letters, emails, and phone calls. Briefly, in addition to the millions of dollars in TOT home sharing generates annually, Hosts function as ambassadors for this great city, welcome people from around the world who might not otherwise be able to afford to visit, and pump money directly into local communities, businesses, and restaurants. Putting money directly into the pockets of local small businesspeople is a worthy goal, one to be facilitated rather than suppressed. I know I speak for thousands of Hosts when I URGE

you in the strongest possible way to reject these two measures and focus on the other recommendations in the report such as increasing staffing and creating a centralized agency to oversee enforcement instead of the piecemeal system currently in place. Every Host I know is in favor of and supports FAIR regulations to rein in bad actors and people flagrantly violating the current law with impunity. The proposed changes are immeasurably far from fair. Personally speaking, I am a Host who depends on the income from our guest room to make ends meet. I am retired and live on a fixed income. Removing more than half the income we rely on will have disastrous effects on me and my wife. We all fought for the ability to host 365 days and it was approved by the City Council 5 years ago. Please honor that commitment and allow us to earn a living without fear our livelihood could be threatened for no good reason. Sincerely, Ed and Terry Colman CD11, Venice

Communication from Public

Name: Pamelatinsley2@gmail.com

Date Submitted: 04/22/2024 08:36 AM

Council File No: 14-1635-S10

Comments for Public Posting: You have restricted STR to Homesharing. Which means I must be using my own home that I live in. You have also only allowed extended (365 day) Homesharing to those who qualify with a certain set of criteria AND pay over \$1000 for the extended. That is sufficient oversight. You have also restricted the number of groups of guests. There is absolutely NO NEED for further restrictions or taxes or fees that should be exacted from us. We are taxpayers and you are now taking advantage. For those council persons that think that this would improve the homeless situation by restricting or further restricting home sharing it's quite ridiculous. I'm not going to be opening up my home to homeless people so if you need or need of more revenue go somewhere else why don't you go to the county and have the county tax assessor charge, everybody for the use of the roads in the schools and everything else instead of just a homeowners so sick and tired of city council members that we elect making our lives more difficult there is a reason why there is a mass exodus from California in Los Angeles in particular, the way things are run Leave sharing alone