



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**Margi De Ley** <mcdeley@sbcglobal.net>  
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 1:56 PM

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.
- The people who stay in my two bedroom apartment for \$70 a night for a few days or weeks could not afford a hotel. They spend a lot on food, car rental, and tourist activities and thus bring a lot to our city economy. They are people who would simply not come to LA if they had to stay in a hotel.
- They also plan their trips from their home countries four or five months in advance. Any abrupt change will affect people who have purchased plane tickets and secured approval from their employers to fly here.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Margi De Ley



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**Deanne Morris** <deanneorama@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 2:55 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Deanne Morris



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**Carolyn Van Eyssen** <Laurelcanyon.retreat@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 2:56 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Carolyn Van Eyssen



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

---

**Nicole A** <Xoxonikklinik@gmail.com>  
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 5:04 PM

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

– A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

– I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

– I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

– Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Nicole A



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

**Rocio Villalobos** <design@vidromedia.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 4:51 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Rocio Villalobos



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**Unacceptable!**

1 message

---

**Jerry Hannan** <jerry@igtbm.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 4:38 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

The proposed ordinance regarding short-term rentals is completely arbitrary, unfair, un-American and will do far more damage to the citizens of Los Angeles (and the visiting world!) than I think you realize.

I depend on the income provided to me as my main source, and your proposal, if enforced, would force me to give up my home.

We provide a beautiful sharing service to many people who would not ordinarily be able to afford a visit to our area, and by doing so enrich our communities and city. We are ambassadors for the city of LA, and I'm proud to show off and promote our year-round "destination" in a way that is a win-win-win.

Thank you for your consideration,

Jerry Hannan

Sincerely,

Jerry Hannan



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

**Amanda Cowan** <Amcowanla@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 4:37 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Amanda Cowan



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

---

**Aaron Mood** <magnificentmood@gmail.com>  
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 4:31 PM

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Aaron Mood



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

**David Ly** <davidly2012@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 4:25 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

David Ly



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

---

**J Smith** <travelsecrets@mac.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 4:19 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

– A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental. I'm a senior citizen on a fixed income and this limit will mean I lose my home and I will be forced to move out of California. This will also cause a financial loss to all the people that I hire to help me handle my short-term rent. I will not have a long term tenant due to bad experiences and the city only cares about the votes of renters not the homeowner so regardless of the renters guilt even in court we can not get our back owed rent or damages.

– I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing, and my constitutional right as a citizen of a country that promises freedom and liberty.

– I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

– Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

J Smith



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**Jeff Cowan** <jjcowan82@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 4:18 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Jeff Cowan



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

## Think about who you are hurting with this proposal

1 message

---

**Geoff Regan** <purchases@24frameguy.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 4:10 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

I must start by saying, I do not have a listing on Airbnb or any other home sharing website. I am a new first time single family home owner. I found comfort that airbnb, vrbo, etc was an option for me.

Are you open to talking to average joes about how they feel? Feel free to call me or set up a lunch ... I will take you to lunch and be open to any questions thrown my way.

My questions are ..

Why shouldn't I have the flexibility to rent out my property when and how I choose?

Do I not already pay property taxes ? Hotels get huge tax breaks and incentives. Are you going to pass those on to me ?

Who really gets hurt by passing the proposed ordinance ?

Where is the data out there backing this proposal ?

What I have read so far tells me this isn't about all the little guys out there. There are thousands of new fancy apartments going up in every neighborhood right now. I know me renting my house out for 7 months while I explore the rest of the U.S. isn't what is changing the housing market. Make it easier for someone like me to keep/own a home and do with it as I please. Don't take away that ability. Please !!!

If this is the way the current Democratic administration wants to run things, then I will strongly consider voting another way in the future. Help the middle class don't hurt them.

That isn't me making a threat . It just seems glaringly obvious that this is not the desire of the people that have voted for you. I can add 100's of people that I have talked to recently that feel the same way.

Sincerely,

Geoff Regan



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**Paula Brown** <Patlabrit@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 4:08 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Paula Brown



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**del griffith** <thedelgriffith@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 4:07 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

del griffith



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

---

**SHARMILA BRUNJES** <smilari@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 4:01 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. I am not interested in renting out my house as a long term rental or in being a landlord.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property for short term as well as long term. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

-- I do not want information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing to ever be available to anyone who asks without my express permission in advance of each individual request. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Home sharing, like any internet business is the wave of the future. Please do not leave Los Angeles trailing behind the rest of the world in this. I hope we can embrace these new, innovative and exciting ideas. Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

SHARMILA BRUNJES



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

**Robert Harkness** <rob@robharkness.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 3:56 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Robert Harkness



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**Re-Think This - Urban Economies Are Transforming**

1 message

**David VE** <dve@thebrandcor.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 3:52 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

Los Angeles!

What we love about you is that you're progressive, eternally optimistic and forward-thinking. What's new starts in LA and travels the world.

This is a new economy. Think new. Take a step into the future and recognize that millions of your residents are adapting to a new economic order while local government sits idly by, staring gloomily into the past.

If London and New York can offer premium house-sharing services that magnetize new visitors to those cultural capitals, LA should do the same.

Step forward, Los Angeles. The future welcomes you.

Sincerely,

David VE



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**AGAINST PROPERTY RIGHTS! The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**Kathy Junge** <kathyjunge@gmail.com>  
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 3:37 PM

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

– A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

– I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

– I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

– Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Kathy Junge



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

---

**Darien Taylor** <dariantaylor13@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 3:26 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental. I'm sharing my home that I OWN. I'm not a commercial property "unit" and should not be lumped in with commercial property owners and rental properties.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing. I am not a multi unit property owner. I'm a home owner / self employed person. The extra money from home sharing allows me to hire painters for the upkeep of my home, hire gardeners, hire a handy man for minor things that I do not know how to fix and to generally keep my home in good condition. By home sharing I am helping the economy.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Darien Taylor



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

---

**Kat Y** <K\_312@mac.com>  
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 3:08 PM

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Additional note: I understand you are targeting landlords and management companies who are taking available rental markets off the market and using Airbnb instead but what you are also doing is hurting the average person who lives in their place and is just trying to make ends meet and supplement their income. It's expensive in LA and it's getting harder and harder everyday to keep our heads above water.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Kat Y



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

**Yehudah Younessian** <Yehudahy@hotmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 3:07 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home!!#####!!!!!!
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Yehudah Younessian



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

---

**simone wallace** <simone.wallace64@verizon.net>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 3:05 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

I have lived in my home in Venice since 1978. I love my home and care about my neighborhood. There is no reason to restrict responsible home sharing. I choose to live in less space in order to rent out one room in my home so that I can afford to continue to live there. I am retired and have a low income.

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you  
Simone Wallace

Sincerely,

simone wallace



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

---

**Samantha Besser** <Samanthabesser@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 3:04 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

– A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

– I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

– I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

– Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Samantha Besser



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**Proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

**Cheryl Murfin** <cherylmurfin@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 3:04 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

I want Los Angeles to be a city that supports homeowners, businesses and visitors to our city – including FAIR homesharing regulations. However, the current draft ordinance now being considered by the LA Planning Commission to regulate homesharing goes too far and will have terrible negative consequences. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Cheryl Murfin



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**Anthea Brown** <antheart@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 5:07 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Anthea Brown



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

---

**Gabriela Santamaria** <Gaby.b.sant@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 5:14 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

– A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

– I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

– I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

– Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Gabriela Santamaria



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

**Gaby Herbst** <Gabrielle.sara@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 5:37 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Gaby Herbst



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance is just about perfect☺!

1 message

---

**Karen Lehman** <lehman\_karen@smc.edu>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 5:43 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance needs to KEEP the language about Rent Stabilized Units being COMPLETELY OFF THE TABLE, AND, only primary residences with the owner IN RESIDENCY being able to LEGALLY rent out for less than 30 days.

As a tenant in the RAPED AND PILLAGED neighborhood of Venice Beach, I support this ordinance!

Sincerely,

Karen Lehman



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

---

**Toni Padgett** <tdelliq@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 8:22 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, this draft ordinance goes too far and is onerous for responsible hosts. As such a host, I believe the following:

– A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

– I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my owner -occupied property. Our home is unique and being able to adjust our listing to our needs as is one of the most important features of home sharing. As seniors trying to remain in our home, home sharing provides needed income in our retirement years to maintain our property and meet rising costs on our retirement income.

– I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential. Privacy rights should be protected.

– Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect us and our family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Toni Padgett



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**Monica Webb** <Monicahwebb@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 8:19 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Monica Webb



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

---

**Adam Ward** <theAdamward@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 8:01 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

– A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

– I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

– I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

– Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Adam Ward



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

Elijhio Recendez <rmz59@sbcglobal.net>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 7:55 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Elijhio Recendez



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

---

**Vince Tempongko** <Vincentbryan@me.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 7:50 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Vince Tempongko



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**Estella Wu** <estella.wu@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 7:46 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Estella Wu



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**Estella Wu** <estella.wu@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 7:46 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Estella Wu



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

**Victor Vargas** <vavsatinc@hotmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 7:40 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel it is legal nor conducive to good business sense to be limited to how I should use my property and be told how much or to whom I should allow to use my property while I have been restricted with rent control rules that go beyond any reasonable business practices. Frankly, for years we have had to put up with choking rent control rules that dictate how and for how much I can rent my property with most if not all rights given to less than stellar tenants and being forced to pay outrageous settlements to get bad tenants out with no recourse to the benefit of the bureaucracy set by the city of Los Angeles to the detriment to owners and business in Los Angeles. In addition, I am not comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Victor Vargas



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**Lisa Gallagher** <redexecgal@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 7:13 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. It's not clear to me why anyone should have a say in whether I rent a room, my entire home or not any part of my home, so long as I pay my taxes and my guests don't have a noticeable impact on any neighbors.

--If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. At times, I travel and what better use of space than renting my entire home when I am away.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Lisa Gallagher



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

---

**Mark Frazin** <mark91324@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 7:05 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, or entire home, My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing. For instance, being retired, I depend on the income to allow me to enjoy my retirement, including occasional traveling, where I rent out my entire home, except for my bedroom, which I lock up.

Of note, is that in my area of North Hollywood, there are dance studios which attract young men and women from all over the world who can only afford to attend the dance studio programs by saving the money that staying in an Airbnb allows. I have been told this by many of my guests. These guests also spend money in my neighborhood and all of Los Angeles; they tell me they cannot afford the hotel rates.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Mark Frazin

Sincerely,

Mark Frazin



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**donald burke** <donburke28@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 7:04 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you  
Don Burke

Sincerely,

donald burke

June 4, 2016

Dear Planning Department,

By now you've heard many arguments against proposal (CF#14-1635-S2, CPC-2016-1243-CA).

I host out of my home in Hollywood where I've owned for 21 years. I am a single-dad with a 13-year old daughter and home-sharing allowed us to avoid foreclosure. I support my family with income from short term income, and it is included as part of my court-ordered spousal support. (Apparently the judge didn't know short term rentals were illegal, either). Below I've outlined my main issues with this draft of the short-term rental ordinance.

You know that Short Term Rentals are an LA tradition, and have been around for decades, even as early as 1904. You've heard that home loans have been funded as a condition of STR income, both in the past, and since the housing crisis. You've heard that hundreds, if **not thousands of homes have been saved from foreclosure, speeding the recovery from the housing crisis and the 2008 Recession**. You've heard that banks who underwrite these loans, give 100% credit for the income, not the conventional 75% for rental income. As sole propriety, they are not considered rentals on Federal Tax forms. **STRs have become an integral component of our economy's health**.

But if you're not moved by the inspiring recovery stories of hosts who fought to save their homes and won, consider the stark economic reality moving forward:

If you knew of a business, that within 6 years became a multi-billion-dollar success, not from something they created, but by simply tapping into something that already existed for decades, would that be considered exceptional? Now, consider that success was only based on 3% of income generated, and that that business was only about 15% of a much larger trend, would you stop and consider what was at stake if you ended it, if you even could? That, **the individuals who participate in this have not only become competent, but refined and successful at with their little businesses, enough to gain the approval of traveling dignitaries, city officials, celebrities, and even Gov. Jerry Brown?** If you knew this income benefited local economies to the tune of millions of dollars, creating jobs and a thriving economy, would you want to be the one who brings it all to an end?

Now, if you were a smart business man, and you saw a demand and market of this magnitude, would you try to kill it...or profit from it? While some say it will go underground, I tell you it will go super-mainstream. It will be bigger than ever. It's already started to happen in anticipation of such laws. The only thing that will change will be the face, not the gears. Consider that Expedia bought VRBO last year for 3.9 billion dollars, even after STRs have been banned in cities like New York City, Chicago, and Santa Monica. Expedia did not make that purchase to lose money. They know it will continue to thrive, even in those cities, under a different legal model, outside of city regulation.

A home share, or short term rental, is not technically a home rental, but part of a larger personal property rental experience. I am not commercial and I am not a hotel. When I share my home I pay all utilities, including extras like Wi-Fi and cable. There is no landlord or tenant, rather, they are hosts and guests. It is the owner's furniture, towels, linens, soap, silverware, utensils, pots and pans, and appliances, and even toilet paper. Guests sleep in the same sacred beds where families were started and children were raised. It is still considered owner occupied. The guest does not rent the rooms as much as

they rent what is inside of it, the use of the electric, gas and water, not to mention “the experience”. This is nothing new. My grandmother rented her home in the same way during the Great Depression. It saved her estate for future generations. It’s about as American apple pie as it gets.

The City of LA, while stating that rentals under 30 days is currently illegal, inconsistently insist that those rentals pay a 14% lodging tax, creating a conflict of enforcement and priorities. By doing so, they admit that they can not enforce a current law, let alone understand a situation enough create a new one. They also admit that the positive economic influence for the City is something that should be taken into consideration. The City of LA, while claiming to care about affordable housing and Systematic Code Enforcement and Rent Stabilization, exempts luxury apartments and single family homes who raise rents at will, without any inspection. These same exemptions are the only ones the City of LA thinks should be able to rent their properties short term for an arbitrary 90 days out of the year. The idea that all other rentals should be told how to manage their property creates an enormous amount of contention and resentment between landlords and the City.

LA wants to be a world-class city that attracts events such as the Olympics and yet we don’t have the capacity with hotels alone to even host a decent amount of conferences. Also consider the unexpected circumstances that residents face and the necessity for short-term housing *for Angelinos*:

**In October 2015, the Aliso Creek Natural Gas Facility at Porter Ranch had a well breach that resulted in 8,000 households, (that’s households, not individual persons), being relocated to short term rentals across the LA area. Although many were placed in hotels, many were transferred to short term rentals as they became available. The rents were paid by the SoCal Gas Company. The sudden demand created a spike in rental rates that, at this writing, have not seen a drop, even though some victims have started to return to their homes. (What you won’t read in the news is that, as of this writing, many have not returned home because of health and safety concerns that continue to be an issue). Had it not been for the availability of short term rentals, managing the disaster would have been far worse. STR’s serve as a public service.**

**The request for personal information from either guests or hosts, is overreaching, and violates personal agreements between site and guest.** It seems odd to me that the City isn’t asking to do that for prostitutes and escorts on adult entertainment sites, but they feel a need when renting one’s home. Should this happen, a myriad of alternative marketing options will take the place of sites like Airbnb. I wonder, does the City request this for Expedia, owner of VRBO?

**I strongly oppose the RSO restriction.**

Thousands of properties with guest houses, multiple units, were built before 1978. Why should those with guest homes built before 1978 not be allowed to home-share? The properties continue to receive rave 5-star reviews on their cleanliness and competence, and value, making the job of a Code Enforcement inspector obsolete in these circumstances. A certificate means nothing when the standards of the city are below those of hundreds of guests who have inspected the property by living in it, and placing their comments in public view. The City of LA, whether disingenuous or misguided, can not pretend to care about affordable housing when they have incongruous policies that create astronomically high long term rents.

**I oppose the 90-day hosting cap. My home loan was underwritten based on 100%, not 25% of my**

**short term income. To think that I will release my home for full-time rental for the other 75% of the year is not realistic.**

**Home sharing is not “easy money”.** There are deep cleanings when a guest leaves, repairs, maintenance, and attending to the needs of guests before, during, and after their stay. Some have physical disabilities that need to be considered. These people would never opt for a hotel, because they simply are not appropriate for their conditions. Some are high profile cases that wish to remain anonymous. The argument that STR's are party houses is not only an exaggeration, it's a complete misrepresentation and insult to the majority of upstanding guests. The City of LA currently has noise ordinances for those cases. **Please note that under the current proposal, single family party houses still have 90 days, or 45 two-day weekends that they can still legally function.** Although many accuse party houses as the culprit of short term rentals, I've yet to have one critic provide a link on a STR website to substantiate their claim.

### **I support a stream-lined online registration process**

The City of LA should treat responsible residents as partners, not adversaries. Please don't make it unnecessarily difficult for hosts to comply with city laws. As a main American city Los Angeles should simplify and upgrade its city processes to be more user-friendly. Let us register online and with one city agency.

Finally, if the City is concerned about affordable housing, then the development of luxury apartments that most Angelinos can't afford should be under question. It's ironic that people living in properties built before 1978 won't be allowed to support themselves or the economy because of a concern for affordable housing.

**Home sharers should be rewarded, not punished for their resourcefulness, success, and enterprise.** It's from necessity that this market exists, let alone successful, and by necessity it will continue. Close one door, and another will open, which will create another opportunity for legislation in another 6 years. Who ever thought that we'd be looking at the prospect of growing marijuana would be legal, but renting your home would be an offense?

There's a lot more I can say, but that's all for now. This is only the tip of the iceberg.

This Summer of 2016 is expected to be a record year for tourism in Los Angeles. You can thank STRs for the income the city will be enjoying during that time.

Thank you for your time and consideration in reading my letter.

Sincerely,

Robert,  
A constituent.

June 4, 2016

Dear Planning Department,

By now you've heard many arguments against proposal (CF#14-1635-S2, CPC-2016-1243-CA).

I host out of my home in Hollywood where I've owned for 21 years. I am a single-dad with a 13-year old daughter and home-sharing allowed us to avoid foreclosure. I support my family with income from short term income, and it is included as part of my court-ordered spousal support. (Apparently the judge didn't know short term rentals were illegal, either). Below I've outlined my main issues with this draft of the short-term rental ordinance.

You know that Short Term Rentals are an LA tradition, and have been around for decades, even as early as 1904. You've heard that home loans have been funded as a condition of STR income, both in the past, and since the housing crisis. You've heard that hundreds, if **not thousands of homes have been saved from foreclosure, speeding the recovery from the housing crisis and the 2008 Recession**. You've heard that banks who underwrite these loans, give 100% credit for the income, not the conventional 75% for rental income. As sole propriety, they are not considered rentals on Federal Tax forms. **STRs have become an integral component of our economy's health**.

But if you're not moved by the inspiring recovery stories of hosts who fought to save their homes and won, consider the stark economic reality moving forward:

If you knew of a business, that within 6 years became a multi-billion-dollar success, not from something they created, but by simply tapping into something that already existed for decades, would that be considered exceptional? Now, consider that success was only based on 3% of income generated, and that that business was only about 15% of a much larger trend, would you stop and consider what was at stake if you ended it, if you even could? That, **the individuals who participate in this have not only become competent, but refined and successful at with their little businesses, enough to gain the approval of traveling dignitaries, city officials, celebrities, and even Gov. Jerry Brown?** If you knew this income benefited local economies to the tune of millions of dollars, creating jobs and a thriving economy, would you want to be the one who brings it all to an end?

Now, if you were a smart business man, and you saw a demand and market of this magnitude, would you try to kill it...or profit from it? While some say it will go underground, I tell you it will go super-mainstream. It will be bigger than ever. It's already started to happen in anticipation of such laws. The only thing that will change will be the face, not the gears. Consider that Expedia bought VRBO last year for 3.9 billion dollars, even after STRs have been banned in cities like New York City, Chicago, and Santa Monica. Expedia did not make that purchase to lose money. They know it will continue to thrive, even in those cities, under a different legal model, outside of city regulation.

A home share, or short term rental, is not technically a home rental, but part of a larger personal property rental experience. I am not commercial and I am not a hotel. When I share my home I pay all utilities, including extras like Wi-Fi and cable. There is no landlord or tenant, rather, they are hosts and guests. It is the owner's furniture, towels, linens, soap, silverware, utensils, pots and pans, and appliances, and even toilet paper. Guests sleep in the same sacred beds where families were started and children were raised. It is still considered owner occupied. The guest does not rent the rooms as much as

they rent what is inside of it, the use of the electric, gas and water, not to mention “the experience”. This is nothing new. My grandmother rented her home in the same way during the Great Depression. It saved her estate for future generations. It’s about as American apple pie as it gets.

The City of LA, while stating that rentals under 30 days is currently illegal, inconsistently insist that those rentals pay a 14% lodging tax, creating a conflict of enforcement and priorities. By doing so, they admit that they can not enforce a current law, let alone understand a situation enough create a new one. They also admit that the positive economic influence for the City is something that should be taken into consideration. The City of LA, while claiming to care about affordable housing and Systematic Code Enforcement and Rent Stabilization, exempts luxury apartments and single family homes who raise rents at will, without any inspection. These same exemptions are the only ones the City of LA thinks should be able to rent their properties short term for an arbitrary 90 days out of the year. The idea that all other rentals should be told how to manage their property creates an enormous amount of contention and resentment between landlords and the City.

LA wants to be a world-class city that attracts events such as the Olympics and yet we don’t have the capacity with hotels alone to even host a decent amount of conferences. Also consider the unexpected circumstances that residents face and the necessity for short-term housing *for Angelinos*:

**In October 2015, the Aliso Creek Natural Gas Facility at Porter Ranch had a well breach that resulted in 8,000 households, (that’s households, not individual persons), being relocated to short term rentals across the LA area. Although many were placed in hotels, many were transferred to short term rentals as they became available. The rents were paid by the SoCal Gas Company. The sudden demand created a spike in rental rates that, at this writing, have not seen a drop, even though some victims have started to return to their homes. (What you won’t read in the news is that, as of this writing, many have not returned home because of health and safety concerns that continue to be an issue). Had it not been for the availability of short term rentals, managing the disaster would have been far worse. STR’s serve as a public service.**

**The request for personal information from either guests or hosts, is overreaching, and violates personal agreements between site and guest.** It seems odd to me that the City isn’t asking to do that for prostitutes and escorts on adult entertainment sites, but they feel a need when renting one’s home. Should this happen, a myriad of alternative marketing options will take the place of sites like Airbnb. I wonder, does the City request this for Expedia, owner of VRBO?

**I strongly oppose the RSO restriction.**

Thousands of properties with guest houses, multiple units, were built before 1978. Why should those with guest homes built before 1978 not be allowed to home-share? The properties continue to receive rave 5-star reviews on their cleanliness and competence, and value, making the job of a Code Enforcement inspector obsolete in these circumstances. A certificate means nothing when the standards of the city are below those of hundreds of guests who have inspected the property by living in it, and placing their comments in public view. The City of LA, whether disingenuous or misguided, can not pretend to care about affordable housing when they have incongruous policies that create astronomically high long term rents.

**I oppose the 90-day hosting cap. My home loan was underwritten based on 100%, not 25% of my**

**short term income. To think that I will release my home for full-time rental for the other 75% of the year is not realistic.**

**Home sharing is not “easy money”.** There are deep cleanings when a guest leaves, repairs, maintenance, and attending to the needs of guests before, during, and after their stay. Some have physical disabilities that need to be considered. These people would never opt for a hotel, because they simply are not appropriate for their conditions. Some are high profile cases that wish to remain anonymous. The argument that STR's are party houses is not only an exaggeration, it's a complete misrepresentation and insult to the majority of upstanding guests. The City of LA currently has noise ordinances for those cases. **Please note that under the current proposal, single family party houses still have 90 days, or 45 two-day weekends that they can still legally function.** Although many accuse party houses as the culprit of short term rentals, I've yet to have one critic provide a link on a STR website to substantiate their claim.

### **I support a stream-lined online registration process**

The City of LA should treat responsible residents as partners, not adversaries. Please don't make it unnecessarily difficult for hosts to comply with city laws. As a main American city Los Angeles should simplify and upgrade its city processes to be more user-friendly. Let us register online and with one city agency.

Finally, if the City is concerned about affordable housing, then the development of luxury apartments that most Angelinos can't afford should be under question. It's ironic that people living in properties built before 1978 won't be allowed to support themselves or the economy because of a concern for affordable housing.

**Home sharers should be rewarded, not punished for their resourcefulness, success, and enterprise.** It's from necessity that this market exists, let alone successful, and by necessity it will continue. Close one door, and another will open, which will create another opportunity for legislation in another 6 years. Who ever thought that we'd be looking at the prospect of growing marijuana would be legal, but renting your home would be an offense?

There's a lot more I can say, but that's all for now. This is only the tip of the iceberg.

This Summer of 2016 is expected to be a record year for tourism in Los Angeles. You can thank STRs for the income the city will be enjoying during that time.

Thank you for your time and consideration in reading my letter.

Sincerely,

Robert,  
A constituent.



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

**Christopher Webb** <cjwebb@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 6:56 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Christopher Webb



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

**Vishal Agarwala** <agarwala@me.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 6:50 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Vishal Agarwala



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**Home sharing is putting me through grad school. Please.**

1 message

---

**Erica Goldman** <Ericagoldman@brandeis.edu>  
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 6:46 PM

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Erica Goldman



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

---

**Alberto Martínez** <amartinez.val@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 6:44 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Alberto Martínez



Etta Armstrong <etta.armstrong@lacity.org>

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

---

**Ann Keniston** <annkeniston@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 6:32 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

Have unit occupancy limits. This will help control the "party houses". Occupancy limits would be based on the number of bedrooms at property.

No limits on the amount of days for rental. 90 days is TOO restrictive

Allow R.S.O.'s, but limit to a portion of a building, one third would be a good starting point. Example - a 9 unit building could have 3 short-term rentals, a triplex could have 1 short-term unit, a duplex 1.

To improve communications between neighbors, the City should establish a call line, or 'hotline', so if one needs to register a complaint about a vacation rental home or event house in their neighborhood they can call a central number.

All registered units should have a point person who can deal with situations that arise, such as noise. No more anonymous landlords.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Ann Keniston



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

---

**Kathy Garcia** <evazsa@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 6:31 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- Allow R.S.O.'s, but limit to a portion of a building, one third would be a good starting point. Example - a 9 unit building could have 3 short-term rentals, a triplex could have 1 short-term unit, a duplex 1.
- Have unit occupancy limits. This will help control the "party houses". Occupancy limits would be based on the number of bedrooms at property.
- To improve communications between neighbors, the City should establish a call line, or 'hotline', so if one needs to register a complaint about a vacation rental home or event house in their neighborhood they can call a central number.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Kathy Garcia



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**R Mittleman** <59pinkcaddy@cox.net>  
To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 6:26 PM

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

R Mittleman



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

## The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.

1 message

---

**Rob Zadeh** <Robzadeh@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 6:26 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Rob Zadeh



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

**Michael Baron** <spike2me@att.net>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 6:13 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Michael Baron



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**Stacey Storey** <staceystorey@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 5:52 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Stacey Storey



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**Addy Tesfai** <Tesfai37@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 8:22 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Addy Tesfai



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**marcy vaj** <marcy.vaj@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 8:25 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary.

-- I should have the flexibility and right to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

marcy vaj



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**Ethan Gold** <ethan@ethangold.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 8:43 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

-- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.

-- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential.

-- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Ethan Gold



Etta Armstrong &lt;etta.armstrong@lacity.org&gt;

---

**The proposed home sharing ordinance goes too far.**

1 message

---

**nathalie Berlin** <natmat17@gmail.com>

Sat, Jun 4, 2016 at 8:55 PM

To: etta.armstrong@lacity.org

Dear Etta Armstrong, LA City Clerk Office,

While I support fair regulations for home sharing in Los Angeles, the draft ordinance goes too far. As a responsible host, I believe the following:

- A 90 day cap is overly restrictive and arbitrary. If the City is trying to limit impacts on housing then the cap should be based on actual data. For example, a recent study found that a full unit would need to be rented on a short-term basis over 177 days in order to financially break even with its use as a long term rental.
- I should have the flexibility to rent out a single bedroom, my entire home, or guest house on my property. My home is unique and being able to adjust my listing to my needs is one of the most important features of home sharing.
- I don't feel comfortable knowing that information about how often and how much income I earn from home sharing could be available to anyone who asks. I want to comply with the law, but I need to be reassured that this personal information remains confidential or I won't feel comfortable sharing my home.
- Any registration or permitting process needs to be simple, online, and efficient.

Please take these points into consideration when drafting laws that will affect me and my family.

Thank you

Sincerely,

nathalie Berlin