

HOLLY L. WOLCOTT
CITY CLERK

City of Los Angeles
CALIFORNIA

OFFICE OF THE
CITY CLERK

GREGORY R. ALLISON
EXECUTIVE OFFICER

ELECTION DIVISION
555 RAMIREZ STREET, SPACE 300
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
(213) 978-0444
FAX: (213) 978-0376



JINNY PAK
DIVISION MANAGER

clerk.lacity.org

ERIC GARCETTI
MAYOR

June 26, 2015

The Los Angeles City Council
City Hall, Los Angeles, California

REGARDING: ASSESSMENT BALLOT PROCEEDING FOR
CRENSHAW BOULEVARD AND 9TH STREET LIGHTING DISTRICT
COUNCIL FILE NUMBER 15-0347

Honorable Councilmembers:

In accordance with Proposition 218, the City of Los Angeles provided notice to the property owners of the parcels in the proposed Crenshaw Boulevard and 9th Street Lighting District, as specified in the subject Council File. Along with that notice, each property owner received an assessment ballot weighted by the amount of the parcel's proposed assessment. I have tabulated the assessment ballot delivered to my office by the final hearing date of June 17, 2015.


The proposed assessment district failed because it received a weighted majority of "no" ballots as defined in Article XIII D (Proposition 218) of the State Constitution. As a result, the City may not impose an assessment for the improvement and maintenance of lighting in the proposed district. The certified results of the tabulations are attached. I have also forwarded a copy of these results to the Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting, for their information.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR COUNCIL ACTION:

In accordance with Council policy adopted on December 19, 1997 (CF 97-0843) and the report of the Board of Public Works adopted by the Council on May 9, 1998:

1. FIND that a majority protest exists in the Street Lighting Maintenance Assessment District and therefore the proposed assessment cannot be enacted.
2. ADOPT the abandoning Ordinance attached to the Council File.
3. INSTRUCT the Director, Bureau of Street Lighting, to assure that the streetlights are not installed or are removed from service if previously installed, in accordance with Council policy.

Sincerely,


HOLLY L. WOLCOTT
City Clerk

Attachments

cc: Department of Public Works, Bureau of Street Lighting

**Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for
Crenshaw Boulevard and 9th Street Lighting District**

**Election # 4230
Council File # 15-0347**

Final Public Hearing June 17, 2015

Ballot Tally

Assmnt No.	County APN	Owner	Assessment Weight	Ballot Vote Y/N	Weighted Vote		No Vote/ Challenged
					Yes	No	
1	5092-003-027	LTSC COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORP	\$897.00	N		\$ 897.00	
2	5092-002-041	BRUCE A SNYDER	\$134.55		Not Cast	Not Cast	
		TOTAL	\$1,031.55			\$0.00	\$897.00
	2						
		TOTAL VOTES		1	0	1	0

**Proposition 218 Assessment Ballot Tabulation for
Crenshaw Boulevard and 9th Street Lighting District**

Election # 4230

Final Public Hearing June 17, 2015

Council File # 15-0347

Voting/Ballot Summary			
Vote	Weighted Total	Assessment Percent of Votes Cast	Number of Ballots
Yes	\$0.00	0.00%	0
No	\$897.00	100.00%	1
No Vote			0
Challenged			0

Assessment District Summary			
	Total	Voted	Percent
Parcels	2	1	50%
Assessment	\$1,031.55	\$897.00	87%