

## Communication from Public

**Name:** William R

**Date Submitted:** 02/27/2025 12:42 AM

**Council File No:** 15-0989-S47

**Comments for Public Posting:** I am completely opposed to the Council Motion 15-0989-S47, and strongly urge the Council to vote against it. The fact that the City Council is attempting to push this motion through in secrecy without advance public notice hints at the Council's ulterior motives (i.e. backdoor payouts from developers, etc.). The Council's recent history makes it clear that the Council is rife with corruption and self-interest; approving this measure does nothing to dispel that image. LA28's bid was advertised to residents as a "no build" Olympics. The constituents of LA's unique and diverse communities should have influence in land-use matters that impact their neighborhoods—not developers. This motion, which creates a loophole for developers and urban planners, is unhinged, irresponsible, and unfair to the voters. Please oppose this measure. Thank you.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 02/27/2025 07:11 AM

**Council File No:** 15-0989-S47

**Comments for Public Posting:** We are opposed to this measure and request the item being continued until further notification can be made This action is not in the best interest of Los Angeles.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Roy Nwaisser

**Date Submitted:** 02/27/2025 07:41 AM

**Council File No:** 15-0989-S47

**Comments for Public Posting:** I am vehemently opposed to this. The City has had since 2017 to properly and legally build whatever they need. But maybe the reason they haven't is because no new facilities are needed. Less than one year ago, Casey Wasserman, the President of the 2028 Los Angeles Olympic organizing committee said "We are not building a single permanent facility for the entire delivery of the Olympic games." If the leader of the Olympics says no new permanent facilities are needed then this motion is not needed either. The grossly over-broad exemptions will be abused in unexpected ways. And also in expected ways, with a wink to the City Council's biggest campaign contributors. And it will enable council members to push pet projects through which the community opposes. It's basically a bright green light for all kinds of development that would not ordinarily be approved no matter how much time they had. It's hard to believe something like this is even being considered. This is bad for L.A.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 02/27/2025 08:12 AM

**Council File No:** 15-0989-S47

**Comments for Public Posting:** As Encino homeowners, we oppose the motion before the Los Angeles City Council that would essentially allow poorly regulated and rapid development of high density housing in residential neighborhoods. Moreover, there would be a lack of transparency and opportunity for public comment on these issues. please vote no on this motion!