



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

---

## Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 15-0989-S47

1 message

**LA City SNow** <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>  
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>  
To: administration@losfeliznc.org, Clerk.CIS@lacity.org

Wed, May 28, 2025 at 8:28 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at [empowerla@lacity.org](mailto:empowerla@lacity.org).

\*\*\*\*\* This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. \*\*\*\*\*

### Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Los Feliz

Name: Misty LeGrande

Email: [administration@losfeliznc.org](mailto:administration@losfeliznc.org)

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(19) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 05/20/2025

Type of NC Board Action: Against

### Impact Information

Date: 05/29/2025

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 15-0989-S47

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: The Los Feliz Neighborhood Council opposes this Motion that calls for blanket exemptions from land use, planning, or public oversight processes for projects related to the 2028 Olympics. The Olympics were initially presented to the public as a "no-build" event, utilizing existing venues and infrastructure. Creating a blanket exemption from oversight not only contradicts that commitment, but it also demonstrates a problem with priorities at City Council when similar urgency has not been applied to address Los Angeles' ongoing housing crisis. Additionally, this Motion also raises concerns about transparency and accountability. The language of the motion is broad and undefined, with no clear criteria

for what counts as an “Olympics-related” project. This opens the door to misuse and removes any mechanism for accountability. If these projects are exempt from review, there is no way for the public to determine their impacts or ensure they align with community needs. We, as constituents, must make sure that our City leaders are accountable, and that there are opportunities for the public to speak on projects. If necessary, projects can be assessed individually for exemptions, a blanket exemption is not necessary. Moreover, exempting Olympic-related projects from oversight creates a risk of corruption and nepotism. As well, it prevents the public from examining whether the project plans will go towards car-free infrastructure, rather than car-centric infrastructure that could lead to widened roads and additional parking facilities, that would contradict the city’s climate and mobility goals. The Olympics are a short-term, spectator event, and infrastructure that serves the public good, the city as a whole, should be prioritized over the Olympics. We urge the City Council to maintain standard review processes and reject blanket exemptions that threaten transparency, public trust, and the city’s long-term planning priorities.



**25 0520 LFNC CIS 15-0989-S47 2028 Olympic and Paralympic Games.pdf**

343K



**TREASURER**  
Erica Vilardi-Espinosa

**VICE PRESIDENT**  
Celine Vacher - Communications

**PRESIDENT**  
Misty LeGrande

**VICE PRESIDENT**  
Amanda Blide - Administration

**SECRETARY**  
Meggan Ellingboe

## - COMMUNITY IMPACT STATEMENT -

Council File: [15-0989-S47](#)

Title: 2028 Olympic and Paralympic Games / Venues, Facilities, Structures, Sites  
Exemptions / Planning Approvals / Zoning Regulations / Conditions.

Position: Oppose

Summary:

The Los Feliz Neighborhood Council opposes this Motion that calls for blanket exemptions from land use, planning, or public oversight processes for projects related to the 2028 Olympics.

The Olympics were initially presented to the public as a “no-build” event, utilizing existing venues and infrastructure. Creating a blanket exemption from oversight not only contradicts that commitment, but it also demonstrates a problem with priorities at City Council when similar urgency has not been applied to address Los Angeles’ ongoing housing crisis. Additionally, this Motion also raises concerns about transparency and accountability.

The language of the motion is broad and undefined, with no clear criteria for what counts as an “Olympics-related” project. This opens the door to misuse and removes any mechanism for accountability. If these projects are exempt from review, there is no way for the public to determine their impacts or ensure they align with community needs.

We, as constituents, must make sure that our City leaders are accountable, and that there are opportunities for the public to speak on projects. If necessary, projects can be assessed individually for exemptions, a blanket exemption is not necessary.

Moreover, exempting Olympic-related projects from oversight creates a risk of

corruption and nepotism. As well, it prevents the public from examining whether the project plans will go towards car-free infrastructure, rather than car-centric infrastructure that could lead to widened roads and additional parking facilities, that would contradict the city's climate and mobility goals.

The Olympics are a short-term, spectator event, and infrastructure that serves the public good, the city as a whole, should be prioritized over the Olympics.

We urge the City Council to maintain standard review processes and reject blanket exemptions that threaten transparency, public trust, and the city's long-term planning priorities.

**PASSED 19 - 0 - 0**