



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

## Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 15-0989-S47

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

Fri, Oct 17, 2025 at 1:21 PM

Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>

To: BoardClerk@metro.net, Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, ash.gcpnc@gmail.com

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at [empowerla@lacity.org](mailto:empowerla@lacity.org).

\*\*\*\*\* This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. \*\*\*\*\*

### Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Greater Cypress Park

Name: Ash Kramer

Email: [ash.gcpnc@gmail.com](mailto:ash.gcpnc@gmail.com)

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(5) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 10/14/2025

Type of NC Board Action: Against

### Impact Information

Date: 10/17/2025

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: Ad Hoc 2028 Olympic & Paralympic Games Committee, City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 15-0989-S47

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: Greater Cypress Park Neighborhood Council opposes Council File 15-0989-S47 which aims to exempt Olympic and Paralympic temporary and permanent venues, training facilities, security perimeters, broadcast and media centers, transit infrastructure, live sites and fan zones, and associated structures from City Planning approvals, zoning regulations, and conditions. The Olympics were presented as a "no-build" event yet this motion contradicts that sentiment by not only encouraging development, but also disregarding previously upheld regulations and guidelines. The motion fails to depict criteria for what certifies an "Olympic-related" development and the motion is void of accountability inviting

developers to work without oversight. This motion highlights the priorities of City Council, prioritizing development towards a two-week event over infrastructure that benefits Los Angeles residents. The progression of this motion emphasizes City Council's failure to prioritize fundamental needs in our communities such as affordable housing, public transit, and community safety.. We urge L.A. City Council to reject Motion 15-0989-S47, and uphold values of transparency.