



---

**Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 15-1207-s1**

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>  
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>  
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, jamiey@resedacouncil.org

Tue, Oct 28, 2025 at 1:58 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or Resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at [empowerla@lacity.org](mailto:empowerla@lacity.org).

\*\*\*\*\* This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. \*\*\*\*\*

**Contact Information**

Neighborhood Council: Reseda

Name: Jamie York

Email: [jamiey@resedacouncil.org](mailto:jamiey@resedacouncil.org)

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(13) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 10/20/2025

Type of NC Board Action: Against

**Impact Information**

Date: 10/28/2025

Update to a Previous Input: Yes

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 15-1207-s1

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: The Reseda Neighborhood Council would like to reaffirm that it strongly opposes the Los Angeles Convention Center expansion as recently approved by an 11-2 City Council vote. Despite public comments and community opposition, it is clear that many voices have been overlooked. This project does not reflect the priorities of our neighborhoods, which are currently facing a range of underfunded essential services. Even with a revised budget of \$2.6 billion, the expansion will impose over \$100 million in annual costs for decades, diverting funds from critical areas. In a time of budget crisis, this is deeply concerning. Our libraries, schools, and broader education system are underfunded. Shelters for unhoused individuals, animal shelters, and basic infrastructure like city streets are all in dire need of support. It is hypocritical to create massive debt for a luxury project while these essential services remain neglected. Another concern is the rushed timeline to break ground within weeks and complete the project by 2028, which we find is unrealistic. Historical patterns of Olympic spending and the slow pace of other local projects show that this is out of touch with community realities. Instead of pursuing uncertain ventures, the city should invest in public transportation, education, and infrastructure that will benefit both the community and any future events like the Olympics. We also want to express our strong support for Councilmember Nithya Raman, who has listened to our community's concerns and advocated for prioritizing neighborhood needs. Her stance reflects a commitment to fiscal responsibility and genuine community well-being. We urge the City Council to realign its priorities with the real needs of Angelenos. Our communities need funding for schools, libraries, shelters, and infrastructure—not another costly project that may never deliver on its promises. Let's not wait for a crisis to force us to act. It's time to invest proactively in what truly matters.

---

 **Opposed 15-1207-S1 letter 2.pdf**

261K



Comprehensive CIS Draft: Opposition to Los Angeles Convention Center Expansion  
(2025 Update)

To: Los Angeles City Council  
Position: Opposed

[Re: Council File 15-1207-S1](#) - Los Angeles Convention Center Expansion Project

---

The Reseda Neighborhood Council would like to reaffirm that it strongly opposes the Los Angeles Convention Center expansion as recently approved by an 11-2 City Council vote. Despite public comments and community opposition, it is clear that many voices have been overlooked. This project does not reflect the priorities of our neighborhoods, which are currently facing a range of underfunded essential services.

Even with a revised budget of \$2.6 billion, the expansion will impose over \$100 million in annual costs for decades, diverting funds from critical areas. In a time of budget crisis, this is deeply concerning. Our libraries, schools, and broader education system are underfunded. Shelters for unhoused individuals, animal shelters, and basic infrastructure like city streets are all in dire need of support. It is hypocritical to create massive debt for a luxury project while these essential services remain neglected.

Another concern is the rushed timeline to break ground within weeks and complete the project by 2028, which we find is unrealistic. Historical patterns of Olympic spending and the slow pace of other local projects show that this is out of touch with community realities. Instead of pursuing uncertain ventures, the city should invest in public transportation, education, and infrastructure that will benefit both the community and any future events like the Olympics.

We also want to express our strong support for Councilmember Nithya Raman, who has listened to our community's concerns and advocated for prioritizing neighborhood needs. Her stance reflects a commitment to fiscal responsibility and genuine community well-being.

We urge the City Council to realign its priorities with the real needs of Angelenos. Our communities need funding for schools, libraries, shelters, and infrastructure—not another costly project that may never deliver on its promises. Let's not wait for a crisis to force us to act. It's time to invest proactively in what truly matters.

This community impact statement passed in a meeting held in accordance with the Brown Act on October 20, 2025 with a vote of 13 yes, 0 no, 0 abstain, 0 recused, 0 ineligible.