



clerk CIS &lt;clerk.cis@lacity.org&gt;

## Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 16-1472-S7

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>  
 Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>  
 To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org

Thu, Apr 11, 2024 at 7:15 AM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enable by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website.Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Councils rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at [empowerla@lacity.org](mailto:empowerla@lacity.org).

\*\*\*\*\* This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. \*\*\*\*\*

### Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Eagle Rock

Name: Michael Sweeney

Email: [michael.sweeney@ernc.la](mailto:michael.sweeney@ernc.la)

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(11) Nay(0) Abstain(1) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 04/02/2024

Type of NC Board Action: Against

### Impact Information

Date: 04/11/2024

Update to a Previous Input: Yes

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 16-1472-S7

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: By a unanimous vote on 4/2/24, the ERNC adopted the following Community Impact Statement concerning Council File #23-1019 and #16-1472-S7: The ERNC strongly objects to the proposed ICO which would prevent homeowners from pulling building permits for their legal, conforming homes without going through a lengthy, expensive, and discretionary site plan review. The Code Amendments included in CF 16-1472-S7 & and the Interim Control Ordinance (ICO) in CF 23-1019 would down-zone our existing hillside communities and will prevent home-owners from getting building permits to renovate or improve their existing homes while also reducing the number of new ADUs constructed in Eagle Rock. For these reasons, we believe that the HCR code change as well as the ICO are fatally flawed

and Council must vote to formally rescind their approval: 1) Lack of any outreach to the affected communities and homeowners regarding the 85% reduction in the threshold for Site Plan Review. 2) Existing residential properties are down-zoned through the inclusion of non-assessable and excluded RFAR area as part of the "2,500 sf [...] inclusive of accessory structures" threshold articulated in the ICO 3) Unduly impacts the permitting and construction of ADUs that meet the current zoning requirements and current State Law. 4) The sunset of the ICO is tied to a different threshold than what is included in the HCR code-change ordinance. We request that Council rescind the ICO and instruct the City Attorney to take no further action on the underlying HCR code-changes (approved as part of CF# 16-1472-S7) until outreach to all of the NELA Neighborhood Councils can be conducted as part of our long-overdue Community Plan Update where all of the impacts of proposed changes such as this are analyzed for their impact on housing production and potential negative impacts on the City's adopted Housing Element.

Ref:MSG10153994



**ERNC CIS in OPPOSITION to CFs 23-1019 16-1472-S7 - HCR down-zoning and ADU restrictions.pdf**  
208K