



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Margaret Shearer <Margaret.Shearer.216660676@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 10:23 PM

Reply-To: mrshearer5@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Margaret Shearer

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Margaret Shearer



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Adine Bonitz <Adine.Bonitz.245248942@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 4:53 PM

Reply-To: adinebonitz@hotmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Adine Bonitz

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Adine Bonitz



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Mary Espinoza <Mary.Espinoza.245249455@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 4:58 PM

Reply-To: avonlulu@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Mary Espinoza

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Mary Espinoza



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Silas Hong <Silas.Hong.245251236@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 5:11 PM

Reply-To: silashong@rocketmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Silas Hong

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Silas Hong



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Blaine Snider <Blaine.Snider.216803479@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 5:41 PM

Reply-To: radfbrd@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Blaine Snider

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Blaine Snider



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Judith Palmquist <Judith.Palmquist.245255412@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 5:45 PM

Reply-To: jpalmquist@earthlink.net

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Judith Palmquist

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Judith Palmquist



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Sharon Zoetewey <Sharon.Zoetewey.245258590@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 6:15 PM

Reply-To: sjz@zoetewey.net

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Sharon Zoetewey

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Sharon Zoetewey



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Alexis Phillips <Alexis.Phillips.244369002@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 6:20 PM

Reply-To: lexi314@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Alexis Phillips

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Alexis Phillips



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

VANDALYN WILKERSON <VANDALYN.WILKERSON.245260678@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 6:34 PM

Reply-To: vandalyn.1943@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
VANDALYN WILKERSON

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
VANDALYN WILKERSON



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Robert Bailey <Robert.Bailey.245260795@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 6:35 PM

Reply-To: n6yvy@roadrunner.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Robert Bailey

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Robert Bailey



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Caroline Martin <Caroline.Martin.245265448@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 7:24 PM

Reply-To: carolinemartinmk@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Caroline Martin

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Caroline Martin



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Steven Smith <Steven.Smith.245272279@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 8:31 PM

Reply-To: estabon2@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Steven Smith

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Steven Smith



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Diana Hanshaw <Diana.Hanshaw.245272620@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 8:37 PM

Reply-To: diana.hanshaw@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Diana Hanshaw

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Diana Hanshaw



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Vickie Harrison <Vickie.Harrison.245273304@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 8:48 PM

Reply-To: vickiehrsn@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Vickie Harrison

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Vickie Harrison



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Michael Taix <Michael.Taix.245277643@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 9:49 PM

Reply-To: mikeatrestaurant@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Michael Taix

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Michael Taix



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Edward Wouters <Edward.Wouters.245279137@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 10:14 PM

Reply-To: woutersefam@msn.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Edward Wouters

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Edward Wouters



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Miguel Enriquez <Miguel.Enriquez.157040580@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 2:25 PM

Reply-To: m_enriquez5@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Miguel Enriquez

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Miguel Enriquez



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Dennis Matsunami <Dennis.Matsunami.245240751@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 3:37 PM

Reply-To: medzddf3@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Dennis Matsunami

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Dennis Matsunami



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Frederick Schanz <Frederick.Schanz.245241598@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 3:45 PM

Reply-To: ifredsky1@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Frederick Schanz

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Frederick Schanz



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Mark Ramirez <Mark.Ramirez.245241877@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 3:48 PM

Reply-To: eslchess@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Mark Ramirez

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Mark Ramirez



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Juan Tarin <Juan.Tarin.245247493@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 4:39 PM

Reply-To: jtarin46@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Juan Tarin

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Juan Tarin



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Kyle Bracken <Kyle.Bracken.245226045@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 1:52 PM

Reply-To: kylebracken@uahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Kyle Bracken

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Kyle Bracken



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Kenneth Ouellette <Kenneth.Ouellette.245230121@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 2:20 PM

Reply-To: klmkao@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Kenneth Ouellette

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Kenneth Ouellette



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Denis Raymond <Denis.Raymond.245158852@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 6:54 AM

Reply-To: mrhollio850@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Denis Raymond

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Denis Raymond



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Christianna Schreifels <Christianna.Schreifels.245161164@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 7:15 AM

Reply-To: oilsofabundance@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Christianna Schreifels

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Christianna Schreifels



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Nune Laloyan <Nune.Laloyan.245165566@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 7:52 AM

Reply-To: laloyanjan@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Nune Laloyan

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Nune Laloyan



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Kitty McDonald <Kitty.McDonald.245166169@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 7:58 AM

Reply-To: kittyleigh7958@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Kitty McDonald

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Kitty McDonald



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Rita Kurth <Rita.Kurth.245168365@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 8:09 AM

Reply-To: rkurth4945@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Rita Kurth

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Rita Kurth



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Jacob Wolfe <Jacob.Wolfe.245174467@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 8:36 AM

Reply-To: thcrzywolf@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Jacob Wolfe

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Jacob Wolfe



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Robert steele <Robert.steele.216588569@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 8:45 AM

Reply-To: stlrbrt@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Robert steele

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Robert steele



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Cynthia Hibbard <Cynthia.Hibbard.245199587@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 10:42 AM

Reply-To: chibbard53@sbcglobal.net

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Cynthia Hibbard

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Cynthia Hibbard



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Nahid Houman <Nahid.Houman.245201736@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 10:56 AM

Reply-To: houseofhelio@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Nahid Houman

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Nahid Houman



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Gemini Bowman <Gemini.Bowman.245211195@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 12:01 PM

Reply-To: geminibowman2018@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Gemini Bowman

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Gemini Bowman



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Patricia Mullen <Patricia.Mullen.245211294@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 12:04 PM

Reply-To: pmullen325@verizon.net

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Patricia Mullen

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Patricia Mullen



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Michael McLoughlin <Michael.McLoughlin.245214048@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 12:25 PM

Reply-To: mpmcloughlin@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Michael McLoughlin

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Michael McLoughlin



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Ron Stearns <Ron.Stearns.245214318@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 12:29 PM

Reply-To: gofastron@sbcglobal.net

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Ron Stearns

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Ron Stearns



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Charles Hubley <Charles.Hubley.245222274@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 1:26 PM

Reply-To: chubley61@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Charles Hubley

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Charles Hubley



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Gabor Klein <Gabor.Klein.245222166@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 1:28 PM

Reply-To: gabesagate@sbcglobal.net

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Gabor Klein

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Gabor Klein



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Mariana Lazar <Mariana.Lazar.245224029@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 1:37 PM

Reply-To: mlazar150@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Mariana Lazar

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Mariana Lazar



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Debra Terrazad <Debra.Terrazad.245137350@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 12:22 AM

Reply-To: debbie_terradas@hotmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Debra Terrazad

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Debra Terrazad



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Nune Laloyan <Nune.Laloyan.245137666@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 12:31 AM

Reply-To: laloyanjan@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Nune Laloyan

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Nune Laloyan



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Charles White <Charles.White.245139141@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 1:10 AM

Reply-To: hawgz99white@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Charles White

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Charles White



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Lyn Bradley <Lyn.Bradley.245144000@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 4:01 AM

Reply-To: lynbennett@earthlink.net

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Lyn Bradley

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Lyn Bradley



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Tony Salito <Tony.Salito.245152001@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 5:47 AM

Reply-To: chey3249@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Tony Salito

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Tony Salito



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Stanley Scott <Stanley.Scott.245152010@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 5:49 AM

Reply-To: psalighting@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Stanley Scott

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Stanley Scott



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Armando del Rosario <Armando.delRosario.209619625@p2a.co>

Sat, Oct 12, 2019 at 6:53 AM

Reply-To: abdelrosa@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Armando del Rosario

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Armando del Rosario