



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Mary Waddell <Mary.Waddell.245388127@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 10:39 PM

Reply-To: mwaddell@bvmsisters.org

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Mary Waddell

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Mary Waddell



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Lou Fuentes <Lou.Fuentes.245380738@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 8:29 PM

Reply-To: louann.fuentes@ca.rr.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Lou Fuentes

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Lou Fuentes



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Judith Davidson <Judith.Davidson.207040449@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 8:41 PM

Reply-To: judith5948@att.net

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Judith Davidson

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Judith Davidson



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Margaret Shearer <Margaret.Shearer.216660676@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 9:06 PM

Reply-To: mrshearer5@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Margaret Shearer

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Margaret Shearer



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Cheryl Odom <Cheryl.Odom.245383807@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 9:09 PM

Reply-To: c.odom124u@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Cheryl Odom

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Cheryl Odom



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Emilia Alvarado <Emilia.Alvarado.245418132@p2a.co>

Mon, Oct 14, 2019 at 8:42 AM

Reply-To: emialvar98@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Emilia Alvarado

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Emilia Alvarado



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Nancy Valentine <Nancy.Valentine.245384725@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 9:25 PM

Reply-To: ncjvalentine@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Nancy Valentine

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Nancy Valentine



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Gaudencia Policarpo <Gaudencia.Policarpo.245384464@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 9:27 PM

Reply-To: g_policarpo@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Gaudencia Policarpo

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Gaudencia Policarpo



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Barbara Kaye <Barbara.Kaye.245385742@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 9:44 PM

Reply-To: barbarakaye@mac.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Barbara Kaye

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Barbara Kaye



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Maria Caro <Maria.Caro.245387137@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 10:16 PM

Reply-To: muycarro@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Maria Caro

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Maria Caro



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Kevin Hoff <Kevin.Hoff.245379569@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 8:12 PM

Reply-To: hoffnagel@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Kevin Hoff

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Kevin Hoff



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Cheryl Kelly <Cheryl.Kelly.179396023@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 8:26 PM

Reply-To: cheryl196069@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Cheryl Kelly

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Cheryl Kelly



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Ed Alvarez <Ed.Alvarez.245380684@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 8:27 PM

Reply-To: edalvarez@dslextreme.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Ed Alvarez

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Ed Alvarez



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Louis McCarten <Louis.McCarten.217027173@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 6:36 PM

Reply-To: lamccartenjr@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Louis McCarten

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Louis McCarten



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Gina Taylor <Gina.Taylor.245371710@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 6:56 PM

Reply-To: jc4life.gt@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Gina Taylor

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Gina Taylor



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Judy Barofsky <Judy.Barofsky.245373772@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 7:13 PM

Reply-To: missjudyb@msn.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Judy Barofsky

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Judy Barofsky



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Valerie McDonald <Valerie.McDonald.245374168@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 7:17 PM

Reply-To: agentvalerie@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Valerie McDonald

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Valerie McDonald



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Jennifer Champagne <Jennifer.Champagne.245376508@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 7:40 PM

Reply-To: rdklcollectable@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Jennifer Champagne

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Jennifer Champagne



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Pamela D'Amico <Pamela.DAmico.245377877@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 7:56 PM

Reply-To: deekdamico@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Pamela D'Amico

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Pamela D'Amico



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Aaron Huben <Aaron.Huben.245377868@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 7:56 PM

Reply-To: aaron.huben@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Aaron Huben

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Aaron Huben



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Letitia Boas <Letitia.Boas.244913331@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 7:59 PM

Reply-To: tishob920@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Letitia Boas

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Letitia Boas



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Sandy Needs-Ramirez <Sandy.NeedsRamirez.245360587@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 5:04 PM

Reply-To: sandra.needs24@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Sandy Needs-Ramirez

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Sandy Needs-Ramirez



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

John P.Harber <John.PHarber.179180068@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 5:07 PM

Reply-To: jph2016@twc.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
John P.Harber

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
John P.Harber



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Suzanne Kelly <Suzanne.Kelly.245361982@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 5:21 PM

Reply-To: creakingalong3641@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Suzanne Kelly

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Suzanne Kelly



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Tina Klein <Tina.Klein.245363269@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 5:30 PM

Reply-To: tinadklein43@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Tina Klein

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Tina Klein



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Mooney Catherine <Mooney.Catherine.245364295@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 5:43 PM

Reply-To: snopanda@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Mooney Catherine

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Mooney Catherine



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

2 messages

Gerald Farley <Gerald.Farley.245368309@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 6:22 PM

Reply-To: jerryfarley12@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Gerald Farley

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Gerald Farley

Gerald Farley <Gerald.Farley.245368309@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 6:23 PM

Reply-To: jerryfarley12@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

[Quoted text hidden]



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Sharie Clasen <Sharie.Clasen.245358076@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 4:38 PM

Reply-To: saclasen@verizon.net

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Sharie Clasen

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Sharie Clasen



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Richard Lagowski <Richard.Lagowski.216891959@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 3:12 PM

Reply-To: rwl@sbglobal.net

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Richard Lagowski

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Richard Lagowski



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Ronald Rentfrow <Ronald.Rentfrow.245351163@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 3:28 PM

Reply-To: rrent6@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Ronald Rentfrow

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Ronald Rentfrow



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

CONNIE MAROSHEK <CONNIE.MAROSHEK.245352757@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 3:42 PM

Reply-To: cmaroshek@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
CONNIE MAROSHEK

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
CONNIE MAROSHEK



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

William Ruiz <William.Ruiz.245354700@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 4:01 PM

Reply-To: whruiz47@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
William Ruiz

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
William Ruiz



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Carol Wilber <Carol.Wilber.245354881@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 4:03 PM

Reply-To: carolyenowilber@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Carol Wilber

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Carol Wilber



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Dianne Betts <Dianne.Betts.245356474@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 4:24 PM

Reply-To: diannecagirl@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Dianne Betts

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Dianne Betts



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Maria Banian <Maria.Banian.245356924@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 4:30 PM

Reply-To: baniancarmen@ymail.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Maria Banian

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Maria Banian



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Linda Bitto <Linda.Bitto.245357455@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 4:32 PM

Reply-To: lmbitto1@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Linda Bitto

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Linda Bitto



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Sleight Hammond <Sleight.Hammond.245347960@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 2:48 PM

Reply-To: pintablueskyr@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Sleight Hammond

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Sleight Hammond



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Charles Sanford <Charles.Sanford.216555411@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 3:01 PM

Reply-To: chsanford@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Charles Sanford

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Charles Sanford



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Walter McClure <Walter.McClure.245324433@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 11:33 AM

Reply-To: w.mitty@live.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Walter McClure

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Walter McClure



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

David Hernandez <David.Hernandez.245327269@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 12:04 PM

Reply-To: davidhernandez959@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
David Hernandez

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
David Hernandez



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Donna-Marie Lynch <DonnaMarie.Lynch.245327502@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 12:07 PM

Reply-To: grammito05@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Donna-Marie Lynch

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Donna-Marie Lynch



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

David Koos <David.Koos.245327737@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 12:10 PM

Reply-To: dave.koos@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
David Koos

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
David Koos



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

LeeAnne Clokey <LeeAnne.Clokey.245328673@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 12:21 PM

Reply-To: okeydokeylcclokey@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
LeeAnne Clokey

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
LeeAnne Clokey



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Ramon Espinosa <Ramon.Espinosa.245329221@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 12:26 PM

Reply-To: ramonespinosa@me.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Ramon Espinosa

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Ramon Espinosa



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Gloriane Harris <Gloriane.Harris.245334450@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 1:09 PM

Reply-To: gharris@artistsandarts.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Gloriane Harris

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Gloriane Harris



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Patricia Raduziner <Patricia.Raduziner.245318467@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 10:35 AM

Reply-To: gonanapat@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Patricia Raduziner

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Patricia Raduziner



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Layne Uyeno <Layne.Uyeno.245319295@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 10:46 AM

Reply-To: luyeno@sbcglobal.net

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Layne Uyeno

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Layne Uyeno



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

DEBORAH BOWLING <DEBORAH.BOWLING.245323263@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 11:25 AM

Reply-To: dbowl777@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
DEBORAH BOWLING

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
DEBORAH BOWLING



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Martin GoldStein <Martin.GoldStein.245021583@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 8:06 AM

Reply-To: nativespiritcln@hotmail.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Martin GoldStein

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Martin GoldStein



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Dorothea Deane <Dorothea.Deane.244302087@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 8:23 AM

Reply-To: dorotheavdeane@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Dorothea Deane

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Dorothea Deane



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Ada Nobriga <Ada.Nobriga.245307946@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 8:52 AM

Reply-To: capricnob0046@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Ada Nobriga

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Ada Nobriga



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Thomas Jordan <Thomas.Jordan.217058944@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 8:53 AM

Reply-To: madrider317@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Thomas Jordan

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Thomas Jordan



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Brandon walline <Brandon.walline.245310375@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 9:13 AM

Reply-To: b1walline@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Brandon walline

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Brandon walline



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Leopoldo Arcol <Leopoldo.Arcol.245310717@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 9:21 AM

Reply-To: ldmar3562@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Leopoldo Arcol

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Leopoldo Arcol



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Suzanne Pelayo <Suzanne.Pelayo.245312283@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 9:33 AM

Reply-To: suzan7733@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Suzanne Pelayo

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Suzanne Pelayo



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Pam Vilbrandt <Pam.Vilbrandt.245301104@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 7:31 AM

Reply-To: vilbrandts@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Pam Vilbrandt

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Pam Vilbrandt



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Janis Robinson <Janis.Robinson.245301122@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 7:33 AM

Reply-To: janisrobinson50@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Janis Robinson

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Janis Robinson



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Elizabeth Hines <Elizabeth.Hines.245301159@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 7:33 AM

Reply-To: roo217@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Elizabeth Hines

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Elizabeth Hines



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Jonathan Ting <Jonathan.Ting.245301221@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 7:35 AM

Reply-To: jonathan.ting@att.net

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Jonathan Ting

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Jonathan Ting



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Namcy Allen <Namcy.Allen.245302077@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 7:48 AM

Reply-To: sookersook@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Namcy Allen

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Namcy Allen



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

robert mardian <robert.mardian.244932042@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 6:34 AM

Reply-To: robert6641@msn.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
robert mardian

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
robert mardian



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Lorraine Coconato <Lorraine.Coconato.245296598@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 6:38 AM

Reply-To: lohealing@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Lorraine Coconato

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Lorraine Coconato



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Carmen Reyes <Carmen.Reyes.245297984@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 7:00 AM

Reply-To: greiton85@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Carmen Reyes

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Carmen Reyes



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

daniel page <daniel.page.245298983@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 7:05 AM

Reply-To: jjw114@sbcglobal.net

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
daniel page

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
daniel page



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Mary Stevens <Mary.Stevens.245299946@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 7:21 AM

Reply-To: mastevens@roadrunner.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Mary Stevens

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Mary Stevens



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Pattie Posner <Pattie.Posner.244241814@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 7:23 AM

Reply-To: pattieposner@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Pattie Posner

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Pattie Posner



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Jesus Obal <Jesus.Obal.245300321@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 7:26 AM

Reply-To: jacob.usa@gmail.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Jesus Obal

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Jesus Obal



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

SHELLEY HERMAN <SHELLEY.HERMAN.245283899@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 12:41 AM

Reply-To: saherman@pacbell.net

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
SHELLEY HERMAN

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
SHELLEY HERMAN



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Patrick Laughlin <Patrick.Laughlin.245284609@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 1:15 AM

Reply-To: laughlinpatrick81@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Patrick Laughlin

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Patrick Laughlin



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Vera DuVall <Vera.DuVall.245290945@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 5:12 AM

Reply-To: rntobe4mykids@yahoo.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Vera DuVall

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Vera DuVall



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Elias Tirado <Elias.Tirado.216667075@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 5:26 AM

Reply-To: etirado@verizon.net

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Elias Tirado

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Elias Tirado



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Robert Charet <Robert.Charet.245294301@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 6:04 AM

Reply-To: rlcharet@aol.com

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Robert Charet

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Robert Charet



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Ligia Anderson <Ligia.Anderson.245294653@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 6:11 AM

Reply-To: landerson23@student.mtsac.edu

To: City <Clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Ligia Anderson

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Ligia Anderson



City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

1 message

Debra terrazas <Debra.terrazas.245137350@p2a.co>

Sun, Oct 13, 2019 at 6:31 AM

Reply-To: debbie_terrazas@hotmail.com

To: City <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Letter for Council File #17-0447

I am writing to express my opposition to any proposed oil and gas production setback in the City of Los Angeles. Please submit the below letter to Council File #17-0447.

Thank You,
Debra terrazas

Re: I oppose new proposed oil & gas setbacks

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the regressive recommendations made by the Petroleum Administrator to increase setback distances and impose additional restrictions on local oil and gas production. If implemented, the proposed distances and restrictions would threaten the local economy, eliminate good-paying, middle-class jobs for thousands of Angelenos from diverse communities and also impose exorbitant costs on Los Angeles' residents and businesses.

Increasing setbacks to 600 feet from the nearest residence to existing oil and gas wells and to 1,500 feet for future oil and gas development could cost the City upwards of \$90 billion it doesn't have. At a time when City leaders should be focused on making Los Angeles more affordable, ending the homelessness crisis and creating more jobs, it seems contradictory to pursue the setback recommendations and additional restrictions made in the report. These proposed policies would also have the unintended consequence of pushing our diverse and disadvantaged communities out of their neighborhoods, as more residents living on the financial brink would be forced to leave the Los Angeles region for areas where their families can afford to thrive.

It is essential we embrace an "all of the above" energy strategy which incorporates all forms of energy. Shutting down oil and gas production will make us more dependent on foreign oil and imports. More than 70% of oil is currently imported from other sources, mainly foreign countries, and this percentage will drastically increase with an expanded setback distance, disadvantaging local residents. If we don't produce energy locally, we are forced to import more of it from countries that don't hire Californians, pay California taxes or apply our stringent safety, labor, and environmental standards.

These policy proposals will threaten the livelihoods of thousands of working families and the businesses that employ them in Southern California. I urge you to instead pursue policies that favor an all of the above energy strategy that does not eliminate thousands of jobs and devastate Los Angeles' working families' budgets or the local economy.

Regards,
Debra terrazas