

Fwd: LA Vaccine Passports

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>
To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fri, Aug 20, 2021 at 3:45 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Evan Wecksell (L)** <wecksellmania@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, Aug 20, 2021 at 3:33 PM
Subject: LA Vaccine Passports
To: Cityclerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Ms Wolcott,

I am "unsettled" about the impending vaccine passport / verification system you are planning to implement throughout the city. This seems to strike at a lot of basic civil liberties and logical reasoning that could more rapidly put this pandemic behind us.

The purpose of a vaccine has never been to segregate a population. It was always a purposeful, individual medical decision that protected the recipient. I am confused why vaccinated people would only be allowed around other vaccinated individuals. One's vaccine does not work better because the person next to him got one too.

Also, isn't the purpose of a vaccine to aspire to provide the same type of protection as a natural infection? Why is natural immunity being cast aside? Having a pharma-centric outlook is only limiting your options to defeat covid. There are so many additional cost-effective and therapeutic options (once you get past them being censored on social media).

There also seems to be no attention being paid to personal health & wellness. The idea of blackballing unvaccinated gym members for the sake of 'safety' is counterproductive for those who want to improve their health preventatively. From experience, my gym is one of the most sanitary locations I travel to. Masks are mandated, though while running/biking is suspect, and there are numerous cleaning stations throughout while the gym plays PSA's that encourage proper hygiene. Every member disinfects every machine after each use and there are regular staff cleanings.

I understand the optics may look better to cast a large umbrella over an entire city, but optics are not reality. Angelenos enjoy taking responsibility for their own health decisions and can continue to be trusted with those freedoms. Those who endorse more control, more lockdowns and more restraints will never be satiated.

Please trust your constituents, trust unbiased statistics and let Los Angeles set the example to other metropolises in the country and how to balance prevention with personal freedom.

Do not New York City our Los Angeles.

Thank you,

Evan Wecksell
Sunland, CA

***Evan Wecksell***

State Senate Candidate, District 25

email: evan4senate@protonmail.com

phone: 818-495-5980

8/30/2021

City of Los Angeles Mail - Fwd: LA Vaccine Passports



@evan4california

Fwd: Disparaging comments against other persons

1 message

Office of the City Clerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>
To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Fri, Aug 20, 2021 at 4:09 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: ewalop2@juno.com <ewalop2@juno.com>

Date: Fri, Aug 20, 2021 at 4:01 PM

Subject: Disparaging comments against other persons

To: <Gilbert.Cedillo@lacity.org>, <councilmember.Krekorian@lacity.org>, <councilmember.blumenfield@lacity.org>, <contactCD4@lacity.org>, <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, <councilmember.martinez@lacity.org>, <councilmember.rodriquez@lacity.org>, <councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org>, <councilmember.price@lacity.org>, <councilmember.ridley-thomas@lacity.org>, <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, <councilmember.Lee@lacity.org>, <councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org>, <councilmember.kevindeleon@lacity.org>, <councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org>, <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Regarding: Against disparaging language/comments of the City Council Members and the City Attorney, which were quoted in the online news 8/11/2021 article and against mandated Covid-19 immunization:

Examples:

<...but what is *immoral* is choosing not to get vaccinated, choosing to listen to some *delusional rant* on Twitter," >

<...City Attorney Mike Feuer has already endorsed the idea he calls "No shots, no admission." In an article on Medium this week he wrote, "Those who reject that responsibility should temporarily lose some of society's privileges until their *reckless behavior* no longer endangers the rest of us.">

I am against disparaging comments and using a harsh language against other persons because of their opinions and their concerns about their own health. It fuels divisions, hatred, everything based on emotions.

As we all know, the Covid-19 vaccine is still undergoing testing and is not fully authorized by the FDA. It is a **new** technology, with limited experience in humans. Nobody knows what the long-term side effects will be. Based on the past experience, we know that the science and knowledge are changing over time, as new facts arrive.

The Councilmembers, without knowledge of adverse long-term side effects of the vaccine, are forcing persons of reproductive age to take the new Covid-19 vaccines.

Was there any **fund** created to help persons experiencing adverse side effects, like heart inflammation or thrombosis, or other still unknown side effects? Or are these individuals going to be left to fend alone for themselves?

Under the PREP Act, vaccine companies have total immunity from liability if something unintentionally goes wrong with their vaccines.

P.S. Vaccinated people are also spreading the virus.

Sincerely,

Ms. Eve

Top News - Sponsored By Newser

- **New England Prepares for a Rarity: a Hurricane**
- **FBI Sees No 'Grand Scheme' Behind Attack on Capitol**
- **Biden's Message: 'We Will Get You Home'**