

Fwd: City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

12 messages

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>
To: Clerk - Public Use - Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 7:54 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Trinidad Centeno** <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Date: Fri, Mar 1, 2019 at 11:03 PM

Subject: City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Los Angeles City Clerk's Office,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on March 6, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Trinidad Centeno

lkrfant81@hotmail.com

3770 Beethoven Street

[Los Angeles CA., California 90066](#)

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>
To: Clerk - Public Use - Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 7:54 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Matthew Pennington** <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Date: Sat, Mar 2, 2019 at 6:45 AM

Subject: City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Los Angeles City Clerk's Office,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Matthew Pennington
mpennington7@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90066

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>
To: Clerk - Public Use - Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 7:55 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Robert Gallion** <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Date: Sat, Mar 2, 2019 at 9:11 AM

Subject: City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Los Angeles City Clerk's Office,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Robert Gallion
gallion1@earthlink.net
Colonial Ave
Los Angeles, California 90066

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>
To: Clerk - Public Use - Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 7:56 AM

----- Forwarded message -----
From: **LEOCRICIA Olmedo** <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Date: Fri, Mar 1, 2019 at 11:15 PM
Subject: City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements
To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Los Angeles City Clerk's Office,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on March 6, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

This project has not only increased safety concerns for the residents directly affected, but also for the thousands of vehicles that pass through this section of Mar Vista on a daily basis; not to mention the great risk bikers and pedestrians are subjected to due to this ludicrous street layout. Finally, emergency and police vehicles come to a halt in this area due to traffic congestion. We ask that you seriously consider Restoring Venice Blvd.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

LEOCRICIA Olmedo
q.olmedo@yahoo.com
12657 1/2 Venice Blvd.
Los Angeles, California 90066

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>
To: Clerk - Public Use - Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 7:57 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Sheri Odere** <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Date: Sat, Mar 2, 2019 at 6:47 AM
Subject: City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements
To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Los Angeles City Clerk's Office,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Sheri Odere
ssdmogroup@hotmail.com
Wade st
Mar vista, California 90066

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>
To: Clerk - Public Use - Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 7:59 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **vicki halliday** <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Date: Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 7:39 AM
Subject: City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements
To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Los Angeles City Clerk's Office,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

vicki halliday
vickihlldy@aol.com
114 Paloma Ave
Venice, California 90291

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>
To: Clerk - Public Use - Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 7:59 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Steve BEvilacqua** <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Date: Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 7:43 AM
Subject: City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements
To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Los Angeles City Clerk's Office,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that

have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Sincerely,
Steve Bevilacqua

Steve BEvilacqua
emb233@yahoo.com
248 Market Street
Venice, California 90291

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>
To: Clerk - Public Use - Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 7:59 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **angela mchenry** <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Date: Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 8:01 AM
Subject: City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements
To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Los Angeles City Clerk's Office,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

angela mchenry
tamchenry@aol.com
12407 Victoria Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90066

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>
To: Clerk - Public Use - Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 11:18 AM

----- Forwarded message -----
From: **Andrew Clark** <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Date: Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 10:42 AM
Subject: City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements
To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Los Angeles City Clerk's Office,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Since its inception, Venice blvd has become a bottle neck for traffic. The traffic is alleviated as soon as the third lanes are restored on either side. I appreciate your attempt at creating a bike lane, but it isn't working and negatively impacts the majority of users. Please restore our streets

Andrew Clark
andrew.clark.1727@gmail.com
2409 Grand Canal
Venice, California 90291

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>
To: Clerk - Public Use - Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 11:25 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **mary boehle** <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Date: Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 11:21 AM
Subject: City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements
To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Los Angeles City Clerk's Office,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

mary boehle
itstnab@ca.rr.com

3282 grand view blvd
los angeles, California 90066

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>
To: Clerk - Public Use - Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 11:30 AM

[Quoted text hidden]

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>
To: Clerk - Public Use - Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 4:08 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Robin PATTON** <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Date: Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 4:09 PM
Subject: City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements
To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Los Angeles City Clerk's Office,

No I do not support any road diets or so called traffic calming measures as ben implemented by the LADOT. Which has turned into a anti car and anti mobility organization. You need only to look at the Rowena ave road diet lane reduction to see what a disaster it is. and they wont reverse it because they wont admit to it being a mistake.

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Robin PATTON

kornholeieo@hotmail.com

4368 sunset blvd

los angeles, ca 90029

City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

52 messages

Jesse Garcia <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: laxjesse@aol.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 3:03 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Jesse Garcia
laxjesse@aol.com
Louella ave
Venice , California 90291

Chuck Erwin <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: erwinddd@yahoo.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 3:32 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I thought you might want a tourist perspective. I come to LA, quite often (about once a month)I to visit family and friends. I always enjoyed going to the beach, getting a bite to eat, doing some shopping at local businesses, and walk along the ocean. No longer. After the needless lane diet on Venice, the areas already horrendous congestion is now unbearable.

I no longer visit the beach much.

LA is the most congested city in the country. Your transportation arteries are clogged. You want to promote alternate modes, but instead you are committing malpractice with our transportation dollars. To illustrate, what would happen if a doctor refused to perform surgery, and instead prescribed cholesterol shots to a patient needing quadruple bypass? When asked about his action, the doctor replied "If I performed the surgery, the patient would just need it again. Therefore, I refused the surgery, to encourage better eating habits." That's malpractice, but isn't that what we are doing to our transportation arteries? We as a nation love our cars and are not getting out of them. Facilitating the efficient movement of vehicles is the best way to improve air quality, and help the environment. Increasing congestion, only means of more people stuck in traffic, as their cars belch out a toxic blend of fumes, going nowhere. That doesn't help the environment.

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Chuck Erwin
erwinddd@yahoo.com
2764 Oro Blanco Dr
Colorado Springs, Colorado 80917

Georgi Slavchev <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: geoslav@yahoo.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 3:35 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

People hate this for many reasons - safety, gridlock, cats getting run over, dangerous biking situations (I am a biker) just to name a few. If you think that you can force people to do such things and that you will be forgiven, think again. Bonin and his circle will be held responsible for arrogant and poor project being shoved down people's throats.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Georgi Slavchev
geoslav@yahoo.com
3714 Barry
Los Angeles, California 90066

Carol Hile <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: carol@santamonicaescrow.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 3:35 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Carol Hile
carol@santamonicaescrow.com
4051 Marcasel ave.
Los Angeles, California 90066

Kraig Metzinger <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: kmetzi01@yahoo.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 3:53 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Kraig Metzinger
kmetzi01@yahoo.com
8114 Manitoba St. Apt 202
Playa del Rey, California 90293

Denise Horn <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: deniseehorn@yahoo.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 4:01 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Denise Horn
denisehorn@yahoo.com
4065 McLaughlin Ave
Los Angeles, California 90066

Eg Mahan <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: egmahan@gmail.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 4:18 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets

Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Eg Mahan
egmahan@gmail.com
Rialto
Venice, California 90291

Omer Salik <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: osalik@gmail.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 4:25 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

The city's ongoing insistence on transforming major traffic arteries into small town streets is simply misguided, particularly where our leaders have failed to provide viable alternatives to cars. "Road Diets" in the absence of viable public transportation options is nothing more than punishment for common commuters. Give us the public transportation options first, before cutting off the roads to get people out of their cars.

The fact that Measure M dollars (which I voted for) are being used for this is deeply offensive. The measure was intended to build public transportation options and not make driving more miserable than it already is. Your misuse if these funds will make me reluctant to vote in favor of any future funding measures brought by the county.

At a minimum, there are reasonable concerns that the road diet has had significant environmental impacts upon the environment. These impacts must undergo a review before making these changes permanent.

Omer Salik
osalik@gmail.com
575 19th St.
[Hermosa Beach, California 90254](https://www.google.com/maps/place/Hermosa+Beach,+California+90254)

Cooper Olson <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: underdogco@aol.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 4:26 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

Respectfully, I want Venice Blvd back.

I have written to Mike Bonin three times, and just receive no answer about when the public can weigh in on this one year "study."

Businesses have closed, accidents have happened--for many, many reasons, this should be called a semi-noble try, and a failure.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Cooper Olson
underdogco@aol.com
4253 Campbell Dr
Los Angeles, California 90066

Judy Esposito <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: judy.esposito@msn.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 4:33 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council

agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

judy esposito
judy.esposito@msn.com

Venice, California 90291

Mina Friedler <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: elimina@ca.rr.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 4:54 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Mina Friedler
elimina@ca.rr.com
2342 Clark Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90292

Kam Shishido <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: kamshishido@aol.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 5:13 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Kam Shishido
kamshishido@aol.com
7917 Denrock Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90045

Shura Reininger <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: shurakie@hotmail.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 5:23 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Shura Reininger
shurakie@hotmail.com
3645 Redwood Ave
Los Angeles, California 90066

Martha Santana <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: ms106@aol.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 5:24 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Martha Santana
ms106@aol.com
12426 Mitchell Ave
Los Angeles, California 90066

Bruce Warren <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: bruce@sendlgm.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 5:36 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

Venice Blvd. should be widened, not narrowed.

First, it is a tsunami evacuation route and the City could incur huge liability in the event of a tsunami if people are unable to evacuate.

Second, the "road diet" induces drivers to go through residential streets to avoid the congestion the "road diet" has caused. A better plan is to get bicyclists to ride on residential streets.

Respectfully
Bruce M. Warren

Bruce Warren
bruce@sendlgm.com
12919 Gilmore AVE.
Los Angeles, California 90066

Kalani Whittington <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: kwhittington78@msn.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 5:39 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

I ask, how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Also note: I like many others, have learned to avoid Venice Blvd. bottleneck by finding our way to Washington Place. I travel Venice Blvd. nearly everyday, I have NOT seen an increase in bike usage of Venice Blvd. With cutbacks in Physical Education programs in schools and increase in internet gaming, our youth are not attracted to physical task of cycling, as in the past.

It appears, people are opting to use electric motorized scooters/cycles that unleashes another host of issues with regard to safety. I do hope council will treat electric scooters/cycles with similar regulation as a motorcycle.

I do hope council will reverse this Great Streets experiment and return Venice Blvd. back to original state, as swiftly as they allotted funds to sand blast and remove traffic marking in the southbay when Playa Vista/Manhattan Beach residents threatened to sue after City's/LADOT attempt to IMPOSE their FAILED "Great Streets/Vision Zero/Road Diet in Playa del Rey/Vista. <https://www.latimes.com/local/lanow/la-me-ln-mike-bonin-road-diet-20171003-story.html>

I do hope you will vote to end the divisiveness in Mar Vista and westside by restoring Venice Blvd. (A TIDAL EVACUATION CORRIDOR) back to original state.

Aloha,
Kalani Whittington
Phone: 310.839.5509 (Doesn't accept text)

Kalani Whittington
kwhittington78@msn.com
3671 Veteran Ave.
Los Angeles, California 90034

Richard Hunter <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: rehunterjr80@gmail.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 5:45 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Richard Hunter
rehunterjr80@gmail.com
2334 Wilson Ave.
Venice, California 90291-4739

Deborah Galambos <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: dgalambos@hotmail.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 6:28 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Deborah Galambos
dgalambos@hotmail.com
3567 Ocean View Ave.
Los Angeles, California 90066

Joan Weamer <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: bayley.weamer@gmail.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 6:32 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Joan Weamer
bayley.weamer@gmail.com
3570 Ocean View Ave.
Los Angeles, California 90066

Ivan Galambos <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: igalambos@aol.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 6:34 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Ivan Galambos
igalambos@aol.com
3567 Ocean View Ave.
Los Angeles, California 90066

Heidi Barnes <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: heidibarnesrealty.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 6:38 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that

have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Heidi Barnes
heidi@heidibarnesrealty.com
2424 Frey Avenue
Venice, California 90291

Chris Stevens <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: chris@pwned.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 6:58 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Chris Stevens
chris@pwned.com
12625 Pacific Ave, Apt 9
Los Angeles, California 90066

Tia Nishida <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: tiasnishida@yahoo.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 7:03 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Tia Nishida
tiasnishida@yahoo.com
7515 W 80th street
[Los Angeles , California 90045](#)

Sharyl Beebe <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: sbeebe@prismpermits.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 7:35 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Sharyl Beebe
sbeebe@prismpermits.com
1421 Venice Blvd. #10
Venice, California 90291

Katherine Ryan <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: kayoryan392@gmail.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 8:32 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

I lived through the ridiculous, ignorant, clueless, dangerous changes to Playa Del Rey. The only roads out of the South Bay peninsula were blocked, we were trapped. The only iAds around LAX and the Edison plant were blocked- what if an emergency? I saw a paramedic with lights and

siren slowed to 5 mph on traffic on Cukver Blvd rushing to Dockweiler and trapped. Poor victim. The egrets are gone from Ballona Wetlands after cones put up on Culver. The official tsunami escape route eastbound on Culver Blvd went from 4 lanes to 2. I have lived in Area and Westchester for 72 years. I have driven these roads weekly if not daily my entire life. NEVER saw an accident in my entire life until changed by Bonin. (Overnight with no hearing). And all the roads involved were NOT NEIGHBORHOODS- no kids have ever been seen playing on any of these roads. No housing on any but maybe 1/4 mile on Pershing. The biggest waste of money to create a very dangerous and harmful situation. And- have seen maybe ONE biker a week on the path. There are parallel bike paths about 50 yards off road, along beach and ballona creek that are far from roads. Why build a dangerous one in the middle of nowhere? This area is largely uninhabited- LAX and Ballona Wetlands are desolate and dark at night. Not safe. Cannot stand to think Venice Blvd citizens now have to endure this. Put public transportation in place, then talk about it.

Katherine Ryan
kayoryan392@gmail.com
700 33rd St
Manhattan Beach, California 90266

Gary Brown <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: g.brown1511@sbcglobal.net
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 8:51 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

The Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project (scheduled for the City Council agenda on, March 5, 2019) has merit!!

Here's an applicable (close to the original...) quote: "I could swallow a handful of iron filings and puke out a better system than this!" Spit out by Conway Costigan, the hero of E.E. "Doc" Smith's "Triplanetary". At the time, the lives of his fellows and himself were in imminent jeopardy in the depths of a mine due to poorly-predictive engineering.

When I first encountered (it's just "around the corner" from me) this boondoggle, I diagnosed that any competent analysis would have predicted the outcome for individuals, motorists and businesses. Even the "lights" and "crosswalk" across Venice Blvd. at Mountain View Ave. are a freaking JOKE! Here, the optimum solution for both cars and pedestrians is to NOT press and wait for the "green light". To do so hinders both pedestrian and vehicle traffic. Which makes me a "criminal" for doing the right thing! Morons!

My search for "intelligence in this particular universe" leads me to 2 choices: (1) either the originators of this "ideal solution" are STUPID or (2) the originators are not operating on an

"engineering" program for "behavior" into the future.

If you want to replace cars in the equation, SAY SO!!! The automotive industry fracked the public transport system in L.A. an eon ago. GROW SOME BALLS and get Detroit, Japan and Germany to fund their demise!!! DON'T frack the consumer who has a job to do or a service to deliver to the public.

The solution is NOT everyone on bicycles! LOOK at the 405!!! SCREW "Social Engineering"! I am my very best "cop", my very best "friend" and my very best "mediator". YOUR job is doing the right thing! If you do the right thing then "behavior modification" is NOT an issue!

Gary Brown
g.brown1511@sbcglobal.net
12135 Mitchell Ave., Apt. 227
Los Angeles, California 90066

Lisa Hiley <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: lahiley@yahoo.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 9:02 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Lisa Hiley
lahiley@yahoo.com
12586 rosy circle
Los angeles, California 90066

Brooke Eaton <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: brooke_eaton@spe.sony.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 10:08 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Brooke Eaton
brooke_eaton@spe.sony.com
Pacific Promenade
Playa Vista , California 90094

brian bodndar <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: somanycars@californiamail.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 10:14 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I grew up in Mar vista and moved away years ago. I miss it dearly, and want to go back and still go there every week, But now I avoid all businesses along the congested areas due to the road diet.

traffics is bad enough in LA but this is insanity. I will walk door to door to recall Bonin if this is not changed!.

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

brian bodndar
somanycars@californiamail.com

lakeview terrace, California 91342

Kathleen LeBrun <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: klebrun@ca.rr.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 10:14 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Kathleen LeBrun
klebrun@ca.rr.com
Alla Road
Marina del Rey, California 90292

Barbara Gibson <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: bgrealestatebroker@gmail.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 10:26 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Barbara Gibson
bgrealestatebroker@gmail.com
4426 Alla Road
Los Angeles, California 90066

Cesar Villanueva <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: carpdm@hotmail.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 10:54 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Please consider that most of us that are negatively affected by these changes are to busy working hard or do know how to make their voices heard to the city council. There should be consideration for all Angelenos not just special interests. Thank you for your time.

Cesar Villanueva

9 at 2:02 AM

|