

Council 3/5/19 - Item 23 - File# 19-0092

The SEO Doctors <theseodoctors@gmail.com>

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 7:38 AM

To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Venice Blvd. Road Diet

As I mentioned before, I don't think the road diet is terrible. We needed a safe lane for bikes. However, I think the design of the road diet is weird, and I oppose any motions that keep this same design. It is too bubbly, takes up space unnecessarily, and puts drivers on an island between two different modes of transportation. The bike lane needs to be designated with green paint and plenty of space on the lateral side of parked vehicles.

Korie Schmidt

(310)600-2278

TheSEODOctors@gmail.com

Fwd: Objection to Lack of Notice and Failure to Hold Hearing Before City Council as Required by Law; CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project (Council File 19-0092)

John White <john.white@lacity.org>
To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 9:05 AM

please attach this email and attached document to CF 19-0092 as a communication from the public

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Jamie Hall** <jamie.hall@channellawgroup.com>
Date: Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 9:03 AM
Subject: Objection to Lack of Notice and Failure to Hold Hearing Before City Council as Required by Law; CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project (Council File 19-0092)
To: Holly Wolcott <holly.wolcott@lacity.org>, <john.white@lacity.org>

Please see the attached letter. Please upload to Council File 19-0092.

Jamie T. Hall
Channel Law Group, LLP
8200 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 300
Beverly Hills, CA 90211
Main Number: (310) 347-0050
Direct: (310) 982-1760
Fax: (323) 723-3960
Email: jamie.hall@channellawgroup.com
Website: www.channellawgroup.com

****CONFIDENTIAL & PRIVILEGED TRANSMISSION****

The information contained within this e-mail and any attached document(s) is confidential and/or privileged. It is intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) named above. Unauthorized disclosure, photocopying, distribution or use of the information contained herein is prohibited. If you believe that you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender by reply transmission and delete the message without copying or disclosing it.



--
John A. White
Legislative Assistant
Transportation Committee
Trade, Travel, and Tourism Committee
(213) 978-1072



WLANN Public Heaing Objection Letter .pdf
119K

Channel Law Group, LLP

8200 Wilshire Blvd.
Suite 300
Beverly Hills, CA 90211

Phone: (310) 347-0050
Fax: (323) 723-3960
www.channellawgroup.com

JULIAN K. QUATTLEBAUM, III *
JAMIE T. HALL **
CHARLES J. McLURKIN

Writer's Direct Line: (310) 982-1760
jamie.hall@channellawgroup.com

*ALSO Admitted in Colorado
**ALSO Admitted in Texas

March 5, 2019

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND FACSIMILE

Honorable City Council
Los Angeles City Council
c/o Los Angeles City Clerk
200 N. Spring Street, Room 395
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Fax: (213) 978-1027
holly.wolcott@lacity.org
john.white@lacity.org

Re: Objection to Lack of Notice and Failure to Hold Hearing Before City Council as Required by Law; CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project (Council File 19-0092)

Dear President Wesson and Los Angeles City Council Members:

This firm represents Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network, Inc. ("WLANN" or "Appellant"). On or about December 20, 2018 the City of Los Angeles Department of Transportation ("Department" or "City") determined that the project entitled "Venice Boulevard Great Street Project – Finalized Project and Additional Improvements" ("Project") was exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"). On or about January 25, 2019 WLANN submitted an appeal pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21151(c).¹ The City scheduled a public hearing for the appeal on February 27, 2019 before the Transportation Committee of the City Council. This letter explain both that the City is required to provide required notice to Appellant of the City Council

¹ PRA section 21151(c) states as follows: "If a nonelected decisionmaking body of a local lead agency certifies an environmental impact report, approves a negative declaration or mitigated negative declaration, or determines that a project is not subject to this division, that certification, approval, or determination may be appealed to the agency's elected decisionmaking body, if any."

hearing scheduled for March 5, 2019 and that referral of the hearing to the Transportation Committee violated CEQA.

Under Public Resources Code Section 21151(c), the City Council sits as the appellate body. Neither the Los Angeles Municipal Code nor CEQA authorize or direct the City Council to delegate any portion of the public hearing to a subordinate hearing officer or committee. Just like countless provisions of the City's municipal and administrative code, when the City Council is required to conduct a hearing, it does so by scheduling a public hearing on the City Council's regular meeting agenda. Yet for some unknown reason, the Council President has and did make a referral of the land use appeals in this case to the Transportation Committee ("Committee").

The referral in this case was inconsistent with City Council Rule Nos. 8 and 16. Rule No. 16 provides in part: "The Presiding Officer shall cause all matters filed with, or presented to the Council to be referred to the appropriate Council Committee, except as otherwise provided by the Rules **or where required by law to be first presented to the Council.**"(Emphasis added.)

Council Rule No. 8 provides in part: "**Public hearing items scheduled for regular meetings are afforded special notice for a specific Council meeting date and are intended to receive separate public input or a specific matter. Interested persons (for example applicants, appellants and property owners) shall be given reasonable opportunity to present oral arguments for or against any proposed action.**"

In this case, a public hearing is required **by law** to be conducted **by the City Council** to conduct the quasi-judicial hearing of the pending CEQA appeal. Under Council Rule No. 16 such hearing was required to be placed directly on the full City Council's regular meeting agenda, just like a public hearing on imposition of nuisance liens or placement of lighting district assessments whose governing laws or regulations require the City Council to conduct a hearing. Simply having a hearing before the Transportation Committee does not suffice.²

² We note that only a fraction of the City Council members heard the improperly referred land use appeals at the PLUM Committee. To the extent that the City's practice fails to list these land use hearings on the portion of the City Council meeting agenda reserved for "Items Noticed for Public Hearing" and that less than a quorum of the full Los Angeles City Council hears these appeals, the land use appellants have been denied constitutional due process, a fair hearing, and a hearing set in accordance with City Council's own rules and its practices related to other matters where the law requires the City Council to conduct a hearing.

Conclusion

In sum, the Los Angeles Municipal Code, the City Council rules, and CEQA impose a duty on the City Council to conduct a quasi-judicial hearing. Moreover, the law requires a public hearing of the City Council. Because the City has violated the above-cited provisions, we demand that the City cancel and reschedule the March 5, 2019 hearing in accordance with law.

Please include this letter in the City Council File administrative record for this matter. Also, included in the footnote³ below please find a hyperlink with reference documents supporting Appellant's position that the Project is not exempt from CEQA. Please ensure these documents are made part of the administrative record. *See Consolidated Irrigation Dist. v. Superior Court* (2012) 205 Cal.App.4th 697, 723 [(documents referenced in comment letter that are accessible via website are properly part of the record).

I may be contacted at 310-982-1760 or at jamie.hall@channellawgroup.com if you have any questions, comments or concerns.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jamie T. Hall", written in a cursive style.

Jamie T. Hall

³ These documents may be downloaded at <https://www.dropbox.com/sh/5je9i276gf3uafc/AACGI-bBWmSRxP3mLUXCswHQa?dl=0>

Council File 19-0092 and CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

Robin Berger <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: rmpartner17@gmail.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 10:43 AM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Robin Berger
rmpartner17@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90034

Fwd: Council File 19-0092 and CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>
To: Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 10:45 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Robin Berger** <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Date: Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 10:43 AM

Subject: Council File 19-0092 and CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Los Angeles City Clerk's Office,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Robin Berger
rmpartner17@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90034

John.white@lacity.org

Please add this email to CF 19-0092 as a communication from the public.

I strongly support the Westside LA Neighbors Network's CEQU appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

Dear City Council Members,

This is not how the city should do business. It's SHAMEFUL!

I am Mr. Bonin's constituent and he is mine. I am the MVCC Z6 Director and Mr. Bonin lives within my zone making this letter somewhat awkward for me. I was elected to the Mar Vista Community Council in 2016. Three months into my new "job" was the first I heard of the road diet coming to VB. I can guarantee Mr. Bonin would not have been elected had he installed this road diet before his last election rather than after. He claimed overwhelming support for the project-420 people, of which 100 were high school students.

He claims extensive outreach, he and Mr. Garcetti went door to door. Not a lie they did knock on about 5 doors enough for a photo op.

He claims the traffic improvements have made Venice Blvd safe. VB was safe before, but it is safer now? The traffic that has self-diverted to residential streets has made them less safe and has gone unmitigated. Waze is blamed but Waze wouldn't need to divert cars off of VB if it wasn't at grid lock during peak hours.

Traffic improvements that have been made like the L turn lanes and arrows, I noticed the city lacked these when I moved to this great city in 1975. I lived near Korea town at the time and commuted to UCLA by bus or car. I would drive down 6th street and all traffic would come to a halt whenever someone made a left turn, from Normandie to San Vincente. The same would happen heading downtown. Do we really need road diets to prompt traffic lights and arrows to reduce accidents??

Mr. Bonin claims the traffic speed needs to be reduced to save lives-meaning during peak hours we will congest traffic to reduce speed. LADOT

states the AVERAGE speed on VB is the same. I asked why, if this is a business district, can't the speed limit just be reduce to 25 mph like other cities all over the country. I was told that's not how speed limits are arrived at here in California. I wrote state legislators to see if the traffic speed laws could be changed. I was told no need it's already on the books VC 23352 allows 25mph through business districts. It seems making and posting new speed limit signs would be more cost effective and less divisive.

If 25 mph is the city's goal, why not just reduce the speed throughout the city. If I'm wrong and the laws don't permit it, we are a one party city and state and this could be changed overnight.

I do not understand how 2 seasoned elected officials, Mr. Garcetti and Mr. Bonin, could forget about and lose the public trust over something like "traffic improvements". Once lost this is nearly impossible to regain. Isn't the city striving for transparency? This has not happened here on VB.

The MVCC has repletely asked Mr. Bonin privately and publicly to come to our meetings/hold a town hall and talk to us and the public about finalizing this project to no avail. ADA issues have not been addressed or has there even been a review of the project by the city's own ADA Director.

The MVCC received the same notice as the public about Mr. Bonin declaration that the road diet is permanent via a U-tube video. This was sold as a beautification project with zero transparency or accountability.

Please support the CEQU appeal and make this area safe for everyone, residents and commuters (all modes) alike.

Sincerely,
Holly Tilson
Holly.tilson@marvista.org

--
John A. White
Legislative Assistant
Transportation Committee
Trade, Travel, and Tourism Committee
(213) 978-1072



John White <john.white@lacity.org>
To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 8:16 AM

Please attach this email to CF 19-0092 as a communication from the public

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Dennis Moger** <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Date: Fri, Mar 1, 2019 at 9:01 PM

Subject: Council File 19-0092 and CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

To: <john.white@lacity.org>

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Dennis Mogerman
dmogerman@hotmail.com
12405 Venice Blvd. #169
Los Angeles, CA , California 90066

--
John A. White
Legislative Assistant
Transportation Committee
Trade, Travel, and Tourism Committee
(213) 978-1072



John White <john.white@lacity.org>
To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 8:17 AM

Please attach this email to CF 19-0092 as a communication from the public

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Judy Accardi** <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Date: Sun, Mar 3, 2019 at 11:14 AM
Subject: Council File 19-0092 and CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements
To: <john.white@lacity.org>

John White,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Judy Accardi
jaccardi@ymail.com
452 Rialto Avenue
Venice , California 90291

--
John A. White
Legislative Assistant
Transportation Committee
Trade, Travel, and Tourism Committee
(213) 978-1072



John White <john.white@lacity.org>
To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 10:48 AM

please attach this email to CF 19-0092 as a communication from the public

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Robin Berger** <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Date: Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 10:43 AM
Subject: Council File 19-0092 and CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional

Improvements

To: <john.white@lacity.org>

John White,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Robin Berger

rmpartner17@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90034

--

John A. White
Legislative Assistant
Transportation Committee
Trade, Travel, and Tourism Committee
(213) 978-1072



Fwd: Council File 19-0092

8 messages

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>
To: Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Mon, Feb 25, 2019 at 8:25 AM

--
Anna Martinez
Office of the City Clerk
200 N. Spring St., Rm. 360
Los Angeles, CA 90012
213-978-1025
213-978-1027 - FAX
Mail Stop 160-01



----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Zachary Rynew** <zrynew@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, Feb 22, 2019 at 9:16 PM
Subject: Council File 19-0092
To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>
Cc: <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

As someone who drives, walks and bikes on Venice Blvd., I have seen the significant improvement in safety since the upgrades have been put into place. Please keep these improvements and continue to implement these types of safety features across the city.

Zachary Rynew
Valley Village, CA

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>
To: Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Mon, Feb 25, 2019 at 8:26 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Damien Newton** <thedaymen@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, Feb 22, 2019 at 8:12 PM
Subject: Council File 19-0092
To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>, Hannah Levien <Hannah.Levien@lacity.org>

Hello,

As a former member of the Mar Vista Community Council and a decade-long resident of Mar Vista, I would like to formally register my support for the changes done to Venice Boulevard in Mar Vista over the past couple of years. There are many reasons for my support, most of which can be found in the document prepared by LADOT.

Thank you for your consideration,
Damien

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>
To: Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Mon, Feb 25, 2019 at 12:41 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **fred davis** <fdavis17@earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, Feb 25, 2019 at 12:38 PM
Subject: Council File 19-0092
To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>
Cc: <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern,

I understand the transportation committee will be discussing the issue of making the Mar Vista/Venice Blvd. Great Street Project permanent. As a resident and business owner of the immediate area I would like to go on record as being strongly in favor of making this a permanent fixture.

The project has indeed been a benefit to the neighborhood. While there's much more work to be done on the issue of traffic safety citywide, I feel much safer when walking and riding my bicycle in this area. This should be considered a model to build on throughout the city. I'm hoping this will become one of many of projects that in turn form the backbone of a comprehensive bicycle network.

Thank you,
Fred Davis

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>
To: Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 9:17 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Zachary Rynew** <zrynew@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, Feb 22, 2019 at 9:16 PM
Subject: Council File 19-0092
To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>
Cc: <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

As someone who drives, walks and bikes on Venice Blvd., I have seen the significant improvement in safety since the upgrades have been put into place. Please keep these improvements and continue to implement these types of safety features across the city.

Zachary Rynew
Valley Village, CA

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>
To: Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 9:56 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Christen Hebrard** <christenhebrard@hotmail.com>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 9:54 AM
Subject: Council File 19-0092
To: cityclerk@lacity.org <cityclerk@lacity.org>
Cc: Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Hello,

As an parent, community member and environmentalist living in Mar Vista, I would like to formally register my support for the changes done to Venice Boulevard in Mar Vista as part of the Great Streets initiative. My

support is on behalf of the community members, pedestrians and transit commuters who are both better served and safer in light of these changes. The project reflects the modernization of the community and the opportunity for all residents, not just those in cars to partake in commerce and lend to the multi-layered diversity of our community.

Thank you for your consideration,

Christen Hebrard
[3516 Sawtelle Blvd #215](#)
Los Angeles, CA 90066

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>
To: Clerk - Public Use - Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 9:54 AM

[Quoted text hidden]

Gloria Pinon <gloria.pinon@lacity.org>
To: Clerk - Public Use - Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 1:21 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: 'laura' via Clerk - CityClerk <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Date: Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 1:18 PM

Subject: RE: Council File 19-0092

To: councilmember.bonin@lacity.org <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, john.white@lacity.org <john.white@lacity.org>, cityclerk@lacity.org <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Councilmember Bonin,

First of all: Ciclavia was great yesterday. It filled my heart with joy and hope. Thank you for supporting this beautiful event.

I am a community member who daily walks and bikes on Venice Blvd, and I am writing to support making the Mar Vista street safety improvements permanent and ask the committee to deny the CEQA appeal.

The Great Streets improvements have made Venice Blvd. a more vibrant corridor by increasing walking, biking, and scooting by 11%. At the same time, it has significantly increased the number of new storefronts and added \$3 million in business revenue to the sector. Most importantly, Venice Blvd. is undeniably safer: no fatalities or serious injuries have occurred in its first year of implementation.

Traffic violence is the number one killer of children aged 14 and younger in America. In 2017 alone, 270 people died walking and biking in the streets of Los Angeles. This is part of why I'm working with an active community of neighbors pushing to make our streets safer.

Thank you for supporting this project and denying the CEQA appeal. The new Venice Blvd. increases our safety, decreases our environmental impacts, and makes my neighborhood easier to travel and a more people-centered place.

Your neighbor, Laura Van Bouchout

John White <john.white@lacity.org>
To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 7:21 AM

please attach this email to CF 19-0092 as a communication from the public

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **laura** <lauravanbouchout@yahoo.com>

Date: Mon, Mar 4, 2019 at 1:18 PM

Subject: RE: Council File 19-0092

To: councilmember.bonin@lacity.org <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, john.white@lacity.org <john.white@lacity.org>, cityclerk@lacity.org <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Dear Councilmember Bonin,

First of all: Ciclavia was great yesterday. It filled my heart with joy and hope. Thank you for supporting this beautiful event.

I am a community member who daily walks and bikes on Venice Blvd, and I am writing to support making the Mar Vista street safety improvements permanent and ask the committee to deny the CEQA appeal.

The Great Streets improvements have made Venice Blvd. a more vibrant corridor by increasing walking, biking, and scooting by 11%. At the same time, it has significantly increased the number of new storefronts and added \$3 million in business revenue to the sector. Most importantly, Venice Blvd. is undeniably safer: no fatalities or serious injuries have occurred in its first year of implementation.

Traffic violence is the number one killer of children aged 14 and younger in America. In 2017 alone, 270 people died walking and biking in the streets of Los Angeles. This is part of why I'm working with an active community of neighbors pushing to make our streets safer.

Thank you for supporting this project and denying the CEQA appeal. The new Venice Blvd. increases our safety, decreases our environmental impacts, and makes my neighborhood easier to travel and a more people-centered place.

Your neighbor, Laura Van Bouchout

--

John A. White
Legislative Assistant
Transportation Committee
Trade, Travel, and Tourism Committee
(213) 978-1072



Fwd: City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>
To: Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 11:15 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Katherine Wafer** <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Date: Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 11:10 AM

Subject: City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Los Angeles City Clerk's Office,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Katherine Wafer

katewafer@me.com

3635 Mountain View Ave

[Los Angeles, California 90066](#)

Fwd: CF 19-0092 - all public comments

1 message

John White <john.white@lacity.org>
To: City Clerk Council and Public Services <clerk.cps@lacity.org>

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 11:24 AM

melinda/isabel;

please attach this email and pdf attachment to Council file No. 19-0092 as a communication from the public.

please let me know when it posts.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Eric Bruins** <eric.bruins@lacity.org>
Date: Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 11:18 AM
Subject: CF 19-0092 - all public comments
To: John White <john.white@lacity.org>

Hi John,

Please find attached all public comments relating to the public hearing held for item CF 19-0092 received by our office. Please ensure that the CF record is complete by including this.

Thank you,
-Eric

 [Council File 19-0092 - all public comments as o...](#)

--

Eric Bruins
Transportation Policy Director
Councilmember Mike Bonin
City of Los Angeles
213-473-7011 | www.11thdistrict.com



Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates

Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!



MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.

--
John A. White
Legislative Assistant
Transportation Committee
Trade, Travel, and Tourism Committee
(213) 978-1072





Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Council File 19-0092 and CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

Robin Berger <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: rmpartner17@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 10:43 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Robin Berger
rmpartner17@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90034



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Venice Great Streets, CF 19-0092

1 message

Kent Strumpell <kentstrum@aol.com>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 11:01 AM

To: councilmember.bonin@lacity.org, Koretz Paul <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, councilmember.martinez@lacity.org, john.white@lacity.org

Cc: Bruins Eric <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Dear Council Memembers,

I urge you to support making the Venice Great Streets improvements permanent.

As a cyclist and a driver, my regular experience of the protected bike lanes on Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista is that the improvements have made this intimidating, high-speed road a safer, more inviting corridor to travel through and visit. The minimal delays that I have encountered during peak hours in the project area are consistent with the findings of the city's recent report. I find them a minor inconvenience well worth the benefits that the project has brought to the area.

But there is an important climate change dimension to projects like this as well, Providing travelers with viable non-automobile mobility options is a crucial part of what we must do to reduce energy consumption. Because even if every car on the road were to be electric, they would still be consuming huge quantities of power, power that is needed to fulfill numerous critical uses that must be fueled with the limited renewable electricity that we are trying to convert to.

And fully developing a citywide network of safe lanes for low-speed mobility will also give us a way to avoid congestion for many trips. When I travel by bike or scooter I am mostly immune to the maddening congestion that plagues me when I drive. Using a bike for transportation is something that many more people wish they were able to do comfortably. According to surveys done in our city, not having a safe, pervasive bikeway network available is the primary reason given for not cycling for everyday transportation. Projects like the Venice Great Street improvements are how we will give people this choice.

Finally, I want to acknowledge that change is difficult. Certainly there has been some loud opposition to reconfiguring our roads to serve more travel modes. But in this day of climate change, worsening congestion from a flawed mobility paradigm and safety hazards unfairly imposed on vulnerable road users, we must change. And it is your leadership that is needed to point the way that will bring our city into the future.

Thank you for all you do. Sincerely,

Kent Strumpell
City of LA Bicycle Advisory Committee
CD 11 representative
310-527-1618
6483 Nancy St.
Los Angeles, CA 90045
kentstrum@aol.com



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Re: Council File 19-0092

10 messages

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:22 AM

On Feb 22, 2019 8:12 PM, "Damien Newton" <thedaymen@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello,

As a former member of the Mar Vista Community Council and a decade-long resident of Mar Vista, I would like to formally register my support for the changes done to Venice Boulevard in Mar Vista over the past couple of years. There are many reasons for my support, most of which can be found in the document prepared by LADOT.

Thank you for your consideration,
Damien

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:23 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Zachary Rynew** <zrynew@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, Feb 22, 2019, 9:16 PM
Subject: Council File 19-0092
To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>
Cc: <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

As someone who drives, walks and bikes on Venice Blvd., I have seen the significant improvement in safety since the upgrades have been put into place. Please keep these improvements and continue to implement these types of safety features across the city.

Zachary Rynew
Valley Village, CA

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:23 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **fred davis** <fdavis17@earthlink.net>
Date: Mon, Feb 25, 2019, 12:38 PM
Subject: Council File 19-0092
To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>
Cc: <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

To whom it may concern,

I understand the transportation committee will be discussing the issue of making the Mar Vista/Venice Blvd. Great Street Project permanent. As a resident and business owner of the immediate area I would like to go on record as being strongly in favor of making this a permanent fixture.

The project has indeed been a benefit to the neighborhood. While there's much more work to be done on the issue of traffic safety citywide, I feel much safer when walking and riding my bicycle in this area. This should be considered a model to build on throughout the city. I'm hoping this will become one of many of projects that in turn form the backbone of a comprehensive bicycle network.

Thank you,
Fred Davis

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:52 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Galen Graham** <galen.graham@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:41 PM

Subject: Council File 19-0092

To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>, Hannah Levien <Hannah.Levien@lacity.org>

Hi,

I'm a resident of Mar Vista and co-chair of the MVCC subcommittee for Mobility. I am equal parts a driver, cyclist and pedestrian in the neighborhood and would like to register my support for the improvements along Venice Blvd and the desire to make the neighborhood safer and more conducive to exploration by all means of transportation, rather than biased toward automobile. I specifically endorse the buffered solution found along the western section of the great street design, where cyclists are in plain view of drivers and parking for cars is found in the traditional curbside location. I encourage continued implementation of future-facing road traffic-management solutions.

Thank you for taking the time to consider my opinion,
Galen Graham

--

Hannah Levien

Field Deputy - Del Rey/Mar Vista

Councilmember Mike Bonin

City of Los Angeles

213-265-5384 | www.11thdistrict.com



Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates

Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!



MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:39 PM

Pay attention to the last message

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **JOSHUA GILBERT BAUM** <joshuabaum93@ucla.edu>
Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019, 3:33 PM
Subject: Council File 19-0092
To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>, Hannah Levien <Hannah.Levien@lacity.org>

Hi Hannah,

As a resident of the Mar Vista neighborhood and as an Urban Planner, I would like to strongly voice my support for the street safety improvements that have occurred on Venice Blvd. According to LADOT's findings, the projects had undoubtedly been working well and would be a real let down for the residents, workers, and business owners in the neighborhood that are reaping the benefits of it. I regret that as a young professional who lives and works in CD 11 that I can't make the meeting to give my public comment in person at City Hall, but hope that this email finds you and others at City Hall, who will be making the decision on whether or not to continue with the project.

Best,

Joshua Baum

Master of Urban and Regional Planning '18 | UCLA Luskin School of Public Affairs
BA Political Science '15 | UCLA
Cell: 949-683-6201 | In: <https://www.linkedin.com/in/joshuabaum93/>

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 4:26 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Peter Flax** <peteraflax@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019, 4:20 PM

Subject: Council File 19-0092

To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>, <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Hi,

My name is Peter Flax. I'm a Manhattan Beach resident who works on the Westside. I commute to work and get around LA almost exclusively by bike—I ride about 8000 miles per year. I have ridden on the modified stretch of Venice Blvd hundreds of times. In short, I love it.

If you look at a map of bicycling infrastructure in LA, you'll see that the safe places cyclists have to get around are a disconnected patchwork at best. That's what makes Venice Blvd such an important East-West corridor for riders. But the truth is that many portions of Venice Blvd are chaotic and less safe than they should be. I can tell you that every time I enter the stretch on Mar Vista I feel a wave of calm come over me. I have a wife and two young children at home, and though I love to ride, my number one priority is to remain safe. The protected lanes on Venice are a huge step in the right direction. Honestly, rather than having more conversation about whether to make those changes permanent, I think we should be talking about how to get more protected lanes in Los Angeles. The debate really comes down to safety vs. convenience. Only three US states see more cyclists get killed than in LA county; it's on you to do something about it.

Thank you for your time, and please make these changes permanent.

Best,
Peter Flax

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 4:26 PM

To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Joseph Treves** <joseph.treves@thepartnerstrust.com>

Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019, 4:18 PM

Subject: Council File 19-0092

To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>, <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Cc: Sherri Akers <sherriakers@ca.rr.com>

Dear Concilmembers Bonin, Koretz, and Martinez,

As a resident of Mar Vista since 1989 I have seen our community blossom into a vibrant attractive place to live. Our commercial corridor along Venice boulevard now includes quality coffee houses and restaurants, as well as a great Sunday Farmers Market. In addition our Art Walk event attracts many from our community and elsewhere as we get out and walk the event, dine out at the restaurants, and meet our neighbors.

Aside from the entrepreneurship of the retail proprietors, the City of Los Angeles has helped shape this growth via the improvements along Venice Blvd. The slowing traffic at first had taken some getting use to, but during the months that followed improvements were implemented that have made a substantial difference making

the streets safer for both pedestrian and bicyclists while simultaneously improving traffic flow.

I would be grateful if, in considering the long term continued implementation of these improvements, you were to consider my voice alongside my neighbors as well as the statistics your DOT officials have provided that seem to support this program.

There are some in our community that are quite vocal in opposition of this program, disregarding the benefits these improvements and the Great Streets Initiative have provided, and who consider the changes as unwelcome, ill conceived, and an intrusion, no matter what the statistics and quality of life improvements may otherwise represent. Some want their travel along Venice Blvd to be faster while others have difficulty adjusting to change.

Please keep the program, tweak it where you must, but do not reverse course and return our boulevard and community to the conditions that existed pre-Great Streets.

Thank you.

Joseph Treves

12737 Westminster Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90066

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

Electronic Communications cannot be guaranteed to be timely, secure, error or virus-free. The sender does not accept liability for any errors or omissions or differences between the original and the transmission copy.

This transmission and any attachments are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to which they are addressed. It may also be legally privileged and protected under any and all local, state and federal laws. If you are not the addressee, you may not copy, forward, disclose or use any part of it. If you received this message in error, please delete it and all copies from your system and notify the sender immediately by return E-mail, fax or phone.

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 4:27 PM

----- Forwarded message -----
From: Peter Kolstad <peterks@verizon.net>
Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019, 3:47 PM

Subject: FW: Council File 19-0092

To: cityclerk@lacity.org <cityclerk@lacity.org>, Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

FYI

From: peterks <peterks@verizon.net>

Date: Tuesday, February 26, 2019 at 2:16 PM

To: <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, <councilmember.martinez@lacity.org>, <john.white@lacity.org>

Subject: Council File 19-0092

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Koretz, and Martinez,

I am a community member who bikes, walks, drives and shops on Venice Blvd, and I am writing to support making the Mar Vista street safety improvements permanent and ask the committee to deny the CEQA appeal.

The Great Streets improvements have made Venice Blvd. a more vibrant corridor by increasing walking, biking, and scooting by 11%. At the same time, it has significantly increased the number of new storefronts and added \$3 million in business revenue to the sector. Most importantly, Venice Blvd. is undeniably safer: no fatalities or serious injuries have occurred in its first year of implementation.

Traffic violence is the number one killer of children aged 14 and younger in America. In 2017 alone, 270 people died walking and biking in the streets of Los Angeles. This is part of why I'm working with an active community of neighbors pushing to make our streets safer.

Thank you for supporting this project and denying the CEQA appeal. The new Venice Blvd. increases our safety, decreases our environmental impacts, and makes my neighborhood more easy to travel and a more people-centered place.

Your neighbor,

Peter Kolstad
Mar Vista
Homeowner

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:16 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Cary Gordon** <cgordon@chillco.com>

Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 7:45 PM

Subject: RE: Council File 19-0092

To: <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, <councilmember.martinez@lacity.org>, <john.white@lacity.org>

Cc: Judy Kann <jkann@chillco.com>, <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Koretz, and Martinez,

I live and work in Mar Vista, just 2 blocks north of Venice Blvd.

As a cyclist, driver and walker, I support the improvements made to Venice Blvd. in conjunction with the Great Streets program. While this project is not perfect, it is a big step in the right direction, and for me, that direction will lead to a more vibrant, attractive, and desirable center for Mar Vista.

Please deny the CEQA request to reverse these improvements.

--
Cary Gordon
818-694-1626 (mobile)

--

Hannah Levien*Field Deputy - Del Rey/Mar Vista*

Councilmember Mike Bonin

City of Los Angeles

213-265-5384 | www.11thdistrict.com**Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates****Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!**

MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 11:55 AM

To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Lenore French** <lenore@gcinitiative.org>

Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 11:53 AM

Subject: RE: Council File 19-0092

To: councilmember.bonin@lacity.org <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, paul.koretz@lacity.org <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, councilmember.martinez@lacity.org <councilmember.martinez@lacity.org>, john.white@lacity.org <john.white@lacity.org>

Cc: jesi@la-bike.org <jesi@la-bike.org>, Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Koretz, and Martinez,

As the organizer of the Mar Vista Art Walk I am a community member who works, bikes, walks, drives and shops on Venice Blvd. The Mar Vista Art Walk takes place along the 1-mile stretch of Venice Blvd. that coincides with the CD-11 Great Street. Today I am writing from my personal experience to support making the Mar Vista street safety improvements permanent.

An art walk is an event that specifically encourages people to walk in order to enjoy local art, music and culture. While I understand the Great Streets improvements have made Venice Blvd. a more vibrant corridor by increasing walking, biking, and scooting by 11%; while I also understand they have added \$3 million in business revenue to the sector and that, most importantly, no fatalities or serious injuries have occurred in its first year of implementation; the March 7, 2019 Mar Vista Art Walk will be our 14th consecutive quarterly art walk, and I can also personally attest to the positive effects the Venice Blvd. street changes have wrought. The changes allow folks to slow down or stop and appreciate the many colorful additions to our community – the attractive new storefronts, the bus shelter art, the pedestrian haiku signs, the art on the new solar-powered Big Belly trash cans and the soon-to-come art in the pedestrian crosswalk medians.

Traffic violence is the number one killer of children aged 14 and younger in America. In 2017 alone, 270 people died walking and biking in the streets of Los Angeles. This is part of why I'm working with an active community of neighbors pushing to make our streets safer.

Thank you for supporting this project and denying the CEQA appeal. The new Venice Blvd. increases our safety, decreases our environmental impacts, and makes my neighborhood more easy to travel and a more people-centered place.

Your
neighbor,

Lenore French
President and Founding Member
GREEN COMMUNICATIONS INITIATIVE, INC.
organizers of the Mar Vista Art Walk

11466 Washington Place, Ste. A
Los Angeles, CA 90066-5062
P: 323.828.5880
Website: <http://www.gcinitiative.org>
Website: <http://www.marvistaartwalk.org>
email: lenore@gcinitiative.org
Facebook: [marvistaartwalk](https://www.facebook.com/marvistaartwalk)
Twitter: [@marvistaartwalk](https://twitter.com/marvistaartwalk)
Instagram: [marvistaartwalk](https://www.instagram.com/marvistaartwalk)

Hannah Levien

Field Deputy - Del Rey/Mar Vista
Councilmember Mike Bonin
City of Los Angeles
213-265-5384 | www.11thdistrict.com



Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates

Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!



MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

RE: Council File 19-0092 = make the Mar Vista street safety improvements permanent

1 message

Will Wright <will@aialosangeles.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 1:58 PM

To: councilmember.bonin@lacity.org, Paul Koretz <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, councilmember.martinez@lacity.org, john.white@lacity.org

Cc: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Koretz, and Martinez,

As the Director of Government & Public Affairs for the Los Angeles Chapter of the American Institute of Architects, I am writing to support your leadership efforts to make the City of Los Angeles safer, healthier and more inclusive.

Our streets and sidewalks become the critical urban tissue that helps us achieve a safer, healthier and more inclusive community and Venice Boulevard is one of the most vibrant back-bones we have in our city.

Therefore, I am writing to support efforts to make the Mar Vista street safety improvements permanent and to ask the City Council Transportation Committee to deny the CEQA appeal.

The Great Streets improvements have made Venice Blvd. a more vibrant corridor by increasing walking, biking, and scooting by 11%. At the same time, it has significantly increased the number of new storefronts and added \$3 million in business revenue to the sector. Most importantly, Venice Blvd. is undeniably safer: no fatalities or serious injuries have occurred in its first year of implementation.

Traffic violence is the number one killer of children aged 14 and younger in America. In 2017 alone, 270 people died walking and biking in the streets of Los Angeles. This is part of why I'm working with an active community of neighbors pushing to make our streets safer.

Thank you for supporting this project and denying the CEQA appeal. The new Venice Blvd. increases our safety, decreases our environmental impacts, and makes my neighborhood more easy to travel and a more people-centered place.

A step backwards would send a terrible signal to the people of our city that the fearful complaints of a few can prevent the healthy progress of the many.

Very truly yours,

Will Wright, Hon. AIALA
Director, Government & Public Affairs

American Institute of Architects | Los Angeles Chapter

3780 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 701

Los Angeles, CA 90010

(213) 639-0764

will@aialosangeles.org

www.aialosangeles.org | subscribe to AIA|LA Newsletter

insta: [@aia_la](https://www.instagram.com/aia_la) | t: [@AIALosAngeles](https://twitter.com/AIALosAngeles) fb: [AIALosAngeles](https://www.facebook.com/AIALosAngeles) | li: [AIA Los Angeles](https://www.linkedin.com/company/aia-los-angeles)





Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Fwd: Venice Blvd Great Street- Council File 19-0092

1 message

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:16 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Birgitta Kastenbaum** <birgittak@me.com>
Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 7:52 PM
Subject: Venice Blvd Great Street- Council File 19-0092
To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>, <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Council File 19-0092 - Venice Blvd Great Street

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Martinez and Koretz, and all others involved in making this a permanent change.

I am writing to ask you to make permanent the changes made to Venice Blvd.

I want to make you alert to the fact that a significant number of the pedestrians I talk to are not aware of how to be part of the decision making process for our neighborhood, some are elderly, some are hispanic families, some are just hardworking locals who have not heard of MVCC and are not on social media, they do however enjoy the benefit of the hard work of many who had a vision for a walkable and pedestrian friendly Mar Vista.

I know that traffic is a little slower and yes some of the side streets do seem to be impacted but I would offer that this might also be due to the many new apartments recently built and still being built south of Mar Vista that has added more through traffic.

Please lets enjoy a tiny piece of city that has a slower neighborhood feel!

Looking at how many people are trying to move to Mar Vista from other parts of the city I would say, that this vision is in high demand and should be replicated in other areas of Los Angeles.

Respectfully,
Birgitta Kastenbaum



Birgitta Kastenbaum

Essential Oils Educator & Certified Aroma Touch Practitioner
Life Coach
End of Life Guide & Doula

Want to find out more?

Connect with me to receive a 30 minute complimentary wellness consult or coaching call.

[Birgitta@bridingtransitions.net](mailto:birgitta@bridingtransitions.net)

www.bridgingtransitions.net

my.doterra.com/birgitta

Cell 310.699.7591

Facebook: www.facebook.com/bridgingtransitions

LinkedIn: www.linkedin.com/in/birgittakastenbaum

Hannah Levien

Field Deputy - Del Rey/Mar Vista
Councilmember Mike Bonin
City of Los Angeles
213-265-5384 | www.11thdistrict.com



Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates

Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!



MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Fwd: To the Transportation Committee, in reference to Council File 19-0092

1 message

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 11:55 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Annie Bickerton** <aebickerton@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 11:50 AM
Subject: To the Transportation Committee, in reference to Council File 19-0092
To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>, <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Martinez and Koretz,

As a resident who both lives and works in the Mar Vista community, **I am writing to express my support for the Venice Boulevard Great Street.**

I walk or bike to and from work in Mar Vista daily. The Great Streets project has made my experience as a pedestrian and cyclist feel safer and friendlier. I appreciate the effort you have taken to make this community less oriented around cars and commuters.

As a renter and Millennial resident of the neighborhood, I feel that our voices are not being heard in spite of the fact that my peers in the neighborhood are supportive of the environment you are aiming to foster with Great Streets. I'm horrified by the way that a negative but vocal minority has tried to silence the support for this project within the community.

Per the latest report from the LADOT, the adjustments to Venice Boulevard have no drawbacks; Great Streets are good for communities. Business is up along the corridor, there are fewer injury accidents, and traffic remains relatively unchanged from before. I believe in and trust your reporting around this issue.

I hope that you will continue to support our Great Street here in Mar Vista. We need determined and unwavering leadership to keep us on a path to smart and safe planning for this community.

Thank you.

Annie Bickerton

781-608-8954



Hannah Levien
Field Deputy - Del Rey/Mar Vista
Councilmember Mike Bonin

City of Los Angeles
213-265-5384 | www.11thdistrict.com



Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates

Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!



MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Fwd: Statement in support of the Venice Blvd Great Street, in reference to Council File 19-0092

1 message

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:45 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Harrison Hopkins** <harrisonhopkins@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019, 8:36 AM
Subject: Fwd: Statement in support of the Venice Blvd Great Street, in reference to Council File 19-0092
To: Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Harrison Hopkins** <harrisonhopkins@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 7:59 AM
Subject: Statement in support of the Venice Blvd Great Street, in reference to Council File 19-0092
To: <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, <councilmember.martinez@lacity.org>, <john.white@lacity.org>

To the Transportation Committee, in reference to Council File 19-0092:

As a resident of Mar Vista for the past three and a half years, I would like to express my support for the Venice Boulevard Great Street.

I frequent several shops on this section Venice Boulevard, including Grand View Market, Mitsuwa, Alana's Coffee, and others. To get there, I often either walk or bike as I live less than a mile away. I can attest that the improvements make me feel safer on that section of the street.

But you don't have to take my word for it: Per the latest report from the LADOT, the adjustments to Venice Boulevard have no drawbacks; Great Streets are good for communities. Business is up along the corridor, there are fewer injury accidents, and traffic remains relatively unchanged from before.

The Westside LA Neighbors Network represents neither me nor the thousands of others in Mar Vista who are happy with our street. Their actions and arguments continue to be devoid of fact, with support from community outsiders who value their commutes more than the communities they pass through.

I hope that you will continue to support our Great Street here in Mar Vista.

Thank you,
Harrison Hopkins



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Fwd: I love the protected bike lanes! Council File 19-0092, Venice Blvd Great Street

1 message

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 1:17 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Fern Lim <fernlimyay@gmail.com>

Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 1:14 PM

Subject: I love the protected bike lanes! Council File 19-0092, Venice Blvd Great Street

To: <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, <councilmember.martinez@lacity.org>, <john.white@lacity.org>, <cityclerk@lacity.org>, <hannah.levien@lacity.org>, <jesi@la-bike.org>, <Cynthia.Rose@smspoke.org>

Hey there Councilmembers Bonin, Koretz, and Martinez!

I moved from New York City last fall where I primarily moved around the city by bicycle. I was under the impression that LA is a die-hard car city, and my heart felt so sad to give up an environmentally-friendly and physically active—not to mention FUN—method of getting around my community.

Lo and behold, I moved to Mar Vista and discovered the stretch of Venice Blvd with protected bike lanes—what JOY to realize that council members were forward thinking and able to see the greater picture: we humans need to instigate change on a wider level. Behavioral change, supported and encouraged by structural changes, that will help reverse or at least slow the damage we do to our planet.

I have only recently returned to bicycling: I felt too scared to cycle here despite having lived in both Shanghai and NYC with my bicycle being my primary mode of transportation. Cars barely seem to see pedestrians; how will they see cyclists when they aren't used to looking out for us? Thanks to the BEST Class + Ride: CicLAvia Street Skills event last weekend, I'm back on my bike!! We started and ended on Venice Blvd: What a relief it was to ride there after the tension of riding unprotected down Centinela, the narrower portions of Washington towards Culver downtown, and even Venice Blvd itself before hitting the glorious protected stretch.

Please, please, please, support making the Mar Vista street safety improvements permanent and ask the committee to deny the CEQA appeal. I am a patron of the stores on that stretch as a pedestrian and cyclist—Small Batch, Sam: Johnson's Bookshop, and yes, Venice Grind too, though they closed before I could become a regular. I understand from the signage that many stores on that stretch want to restore Venice Blvd. I can't blame them—change is hard, especially when it requires short-term sacrifices for long-term gains. From what I understand, the Great Streets improvements have made Venice Blvd. a more vibrant corridor by increasing walking, biking, and scooting by 11%. At the same time, it has significantly increased the number of new storefronts and added \$3 million in business revenue to the sector. Most importantly, Venice Blvd. is undeniably safer: no fatalities or serious injuries have occurred in its first year of implementation. How cool is that?!

In 2017 alone, 270 people died walking and biking in the streets of Los Angeles. (←See why I was so

terrified of cycling when I first moved here, despite being an avid cyclist in cities with even busier streets?) This is part of why I'm working with an active community of neighbors pushing to make our streets safer.

Thank you for supporting this project and denying the CEQA appeal. The new Venice Blvd. increases our safety, decreases our environmental impacts, and makes Venice Blvd. more easy to travel and a more people-centered place. And despite being an introvert, I LOVE PEOPLE!

Your [new!] neighbor,

Fern Lim

-

[Human Telegraphs Season 1 trailer!](#)

Fern [writes](#), [acts](#), and [designs](#)
and can be found on
[IG](#) • [TW](#) • [IMDb](#) • [LinkedIn](#)

--

Hannah Levien

Field Deputy - Del Rey/Mar Vista
Councilmember Mike Bonin
City of Los Angeles
213-265-5384 | www.11thdistrict.com



Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates

Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!



MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Fwd: Council File 19-0092, Venice Blvd Great Street

4 messages

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 11:17 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Stefan Wrobel** <swrobel@gmail.com>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2019, 10:29 AM
Subject: RE: Council File 19-0092, Venice Blvd Great Street
To: <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, <councilmember.martinez@lacity.org>, <john.white@lacity.org>, <cityclerk@lacity.org>, <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Koretz, and Martinez,

I am a community member who (lives/bikes/walks/drives/shops) on Venice Blvd, and I am writing to support making the Mar Vista street safety improvements permanent and ask the committee to deny the CEQA appeal.

The Great Streets improvements have made Venice Blvd. a more vibrant corridor by increasing walking, biking, and scooting by 11%. At the same time, it has significantly increased the number of new storefronts and added \$3 million in business revenue to the sector. Most importantly, Venice Blvd. is undeniably safer: no fatalities or serious injuries have occurred in its first year of implementation.

Traffic violence is the number one killer of children aged 14 and younger in America. In 2017 alone, 270 people died walking and biking in the streets of Los Angeles. This is part of why I'm working with an active community of neighbors pushing to make our streets safer.

Thank you for supporting this project and denying the CEQA appeal. The new Venice Blvd. increases our safety, decreases our environmental impacts, and makes Venice Blvd. more easy to travel and a more people-centered place.

Your neighbor,

Stefan Wrobel
Venice Resident

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 11:55 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Brian Nilsen** <dairypope@yahoo.com>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 11:43 AM
Subject: RE: Council File 19-0092, Venice Blvd Great Street
To: councilmember.bonin@lacity.org <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, paul.koretz@lacity.org <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, councilmember.martinez@lacity.org <councilmember.martinez@lacity.org>, john.white@lacity.org <john.white@lacity.org>, cityclerk@lacity.org <cityclerk@lacity.org>, hannah.levien@lacity.org

<hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Koretz, and Martinez,

I am a community member who drives, walks, bikes, and shops on Venice Blvd, and I am writing to support making the Mar Vista street safety improvements permanent and ask the committee to deny the CEQA appeal.

As a driver, I've found the Great Streets improvements to make my drive more pleasant through that area, even at rush hour. It's significantly nicer walking with noticeably less traffic noise, and it's part of a network of improvements that have made it easier for my wife and I to bike to the farmer's market on Sundays. For me, the improvements have been entirely positive.

The Great Streets improvements have made Venice Blvd. a more vibrant corridor by increasing walking, biking, and scooting by 11%. At the same time, it has significantly increased the number of new storefronts and added \$3 million in business revenue to the sector. Most importantly, Venice Blvd. is undeniably safer: no fatalities or serious injuries have occurred in its first year of implementation.

Traffic violence is the number one killer of children aged 14 and younger in America. In 2017 alone, 270 people died walking and biking in the streets of Los Angeles. This is part of why I'm working with an active community of neighbors pushing to make our streets safer.

Thank you for supporting this project and denying the CEQA appeal. The new Venice Blvd. increases our safety, decreases our environmental impacts, and makes Venice Blvd. more easy to travel and a more people-centered place.

Your neighbor,
Brian Nilsen
2340 1/2 S Beverly Glen Blvd
Los Angeles, CA 90064

--

Hannah Levien

Field Deputy - Del Rey/Mar Vista
Councilmember Mike Bonin
City of Los Angeles
213-265-5384 | www.11thdistrict.com



Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates

Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!



MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 12:36 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Cynthia Rose** <Cynthia.Rose@smspoke.org>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 12:08 PM
Subject: Council File 19-0092, Venice Blvd Great Street
To: <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, <councilmember.martinez@lacity.org>, <john.white@lacity.org>
Cc: <cityclerk@lacity.org>, <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Please see the attached .pdf letter in support of the Venice Blvd Great Street Project. Test is also included below.

Dear Council-members Bonin, Koretz, and Martinez

Re: Council File 19-0092

Slowing traffic is the key to providing a thriving city center that is safe for everyone from our children to older adults and we believe the changes on Venice Blvd have been a huge success. The time is now to make a strong commitments to Vision Zero and true meaningful investment in safer streets in all our local communities. We strongly encourage you to make permanent the improvements on Venice Blvd as part of the Mar Vista Great Streets Initiative. No doubt you have heard the attacks of the small but vocal minority who would like you to think they speak for the community as they continue tactics that include misinformation, bullying and slander — because of this, many have chosen to disengage! We cannot allow this vocal minority fueling misinformation or the impatience of drivers to prevent our community from building safer streets and more vibrant neighborhoods.

We are not suffering alone in the current increasing epidemic of serious injuries and traffic fatalities. Traffic violence is the number one killer of children aged 14 and younger in America. In 2017 alone, 270 people died walking and biking in the streets of Los Angeles. This must change! Nationally, almost 40,000 people die each year in traffic collisions, numbers are up everywhere. We must continue to support the adoption of proactive and aggressive campaigns to address this crisis. We must progress beyond words on a page if we truly want to succeed in reducing preventable traffic fatalities and serious injuries. One's life should be not put at risk for walking or riding a bike, especially when we are encouraging people to walk and bike in their daily lives, for their health, and the environment. The Great Streets improvements have made Venice Blvd a more vibrant corridor by increasing walking, biking, and scooting by 11%. At the same time, it has significantly increased the number of new storefronts and added \$3 million in business revenue to the sector. Making these safety improvements on Venice Blvd permanent will prevent future traffic related injuries and save lives.

“Managing speed,” a report from The World Health Organization [1], notes that excessive or inappropriate speed contributes to 1 in 3 road traffic fatalities worldwide. “Measures to address speed prevent road traffic deaths and injuries, make populations healthier, and cities more sustainable.”

In the 50's, traffic deaths, individual and totals were openly published in the daily newspaper. Our current tendency is to bury this data, which dehumanizes these preventable deaths and injuries making them so abstract as though they

are someone else's problem. They are our problem. With collaboration, openness and outreach we can begin to again humanize this growing epidemic and implement real solutions like the Great Streets Project on Venice Blvd.

We firmly support the street safety improvements on Venice Blvd - designed through community dialogue throughout a yearlong open process and now with data supporting it is undeniably safer with ZERO fatalities or serious injuries in its first year of implementation. Similar to how the farmers market improved the vibrancy and sense of community, we believe the "small town feel" of this Great Streets initiative has done more of the same for Mar Vista — and if made permanent will continue to further improve the communities health, culture and safety.

We must continue to improve our streets by supporting rigorous and informed evaluations. I urge you to remain committed to creating vibrant and safer streets in Mar Vista. Your leadership is needed to help point the way and bring our city and region into the future with your support today making this project permanent and denying the CEQA appeal.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Rose
Director
Santa Monica Spoke
Cynthia.Rose@SMSpoke.org
[\[1\] Speed management key to saving lives, making cities more liveable](#)

—
Cynthia Rose
Director
Santa Monica Spoke
SMSpoke.org

—
Hannah Levien
Field Deputy - Del Rey/Mar Vista
Councilmember Mike Bonin
City of Los Angeles
213-265-5384 | www.11thdistrict.com



Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates

Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!



MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.



2019 Feb - Venice Blvd - Support Safer Streets.pdf
218K

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 1:00 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Guillermo Arguelles** <garguelles@icloud.com>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 1:00 PM
Subject: Council File 19-0092, Venice Blvd Great Street
To: <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, <councilmember.martinez@lacity.org>, <john.white@lacity.org>, <cityclerk@lacity.org>, <hannah.levien@lacity.org>, <jesi@la-bike.org>, <Cynthia.Rose@smspoke.org>

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Koretz, and Martinez,

I am a community member who lives and bikes on Venice Blvd, and I am writing to support making the Mar Vista street safety improvements permanent and ask the committee to deny the CEQA appeal.

The Great Streets improvements have made Venice Blvd. a more vibrant corridor by increasing walking, biking, and scooting by 11%. At the same time, it has significantly increased the number of new storefronts and added \$3 million in business revenue to the sector. Most importantly, Venice Blvd. is undeniably safer: no fatalities or serious injuries have occurred in its first year of implementation.

Traffic violence is the number one killer of children aged 14 and younger in America. In 2017 alone, 270 people died walking and biking in the streets of Los Angeles. This is part of why I'm working with an active community of neighbors pushing to make our streets safer.

Thank you for supporting this project and denying the CEQA appeal. The new Venice Blvd. increases our safety, decreases our environmental impacts, and makes Venice Blvd. more easy to travel and a more people-centered place.

Your neighbor,
Guillermo Arguelles

--

Hannah Levien

Field Deputy - Del Rey/Mar Vista
Councilmember Mike Bonin
City of Los Angeles
213-265-5384 | www.11thdistrict.com



Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates

Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!



MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Fwd: Council File 19-0092 Venice Blvd Great Street

9 messages

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:11 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: <sherriakers@ca.rr.com>
Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:08 PM
Subject: Council File 19-0092 Venice Blvd Great Street
To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>, Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Martinez and Koretz,

As an advocate for older adults who hope to age in place, I am writing to give my support to the Great Street changes on Venice Blvd. Thank you for these changes and I implore you to make them permanent. This stretch of Mar Vista has all of the essentials for purposeful aging. Slowing traffic is the key to providing a thriving city center that is safe for older adults and I believe the changes have been a huge success. This parking lane configuration is much safer for exiting a parked car when one has any mobility challenges. As a caregiver for my 91 year old Dad and having osteo arthritis myself, I am painfully aware of how difficult and painful it can be to exit from the passenger side of a car when parked at a curb.

I am on this stretch of Venice Blvd every single day. My Dad lives in assisted living up the street on Grandview. I stop at the Post Office 6 days a week. My vet is on Grandview and my drugstore and bank are at Centinela and Venice. I lunch with friends at Sunny Grill and Atmosphere Café and shop in the local businesses. At no time have I felt it was a challenge to park or felt that it is in anyway unsafe.

There is a very vocal minority who have been committed to opposing these changes. They would like you to think that they speak for the community. Their tactics include bullying and slander and because of this, many of us have chosen to disengage. Additionally, MVCC has stopped announcing meetings by email or on Facebook – apparently there was a meeting this Sunday that only insiders knew about. For 12 years I co-chaired the MVCC Green Committee and Aging in Place Committee. I was a board member for 2 of those years. I look forward to a decision being finalized so that the discord in the community can be put behind us and the Mar Vista Community Council can return to its history of community engagement and support.

Respectfully,

Sherri Akers



Hannah Levien
 Field Deputy - Del Rey/Mar Vista
 Councilmember Mike Bonin
 City of Los Angeles
 213-265-5384 | www.11thdistrict.com



Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates

Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!



MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
 To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:52 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **David Frank** <sds3843@yahoo.com>
 Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:46 PM
 Subject: Council File 19-0092 Venice Blvd Great Street
 To: cityclerk@lacity.org <cityclerk@lacity.org>, hannah.levien@lacity.org <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Dear Council Members

I am writing in support of making the great streets project a permanent feature of the Mar Vista

area. I have lived a few blocks ([12114 Herbert St L.A CA 90066](#)) from this project for the past 20 yrs and am a life long Los Angeles resident.

The sense of safety and community that this project has brought to our community is tremendous and should be a model for other area to potentially for an expansion of this project. I am the owner operator of a preschool about 1 mile form this project and as a result many of our families frequent the area between Inglewood and Beethoven. They all have had nothing but positive support for this project as they are often biking or walking with their little ones along this stretch. I have two children a new born and a 2 yr old and I certainly feel safer walking along or biking with them.

I do understand part of our community does not like the change. I know they say they are the majority but in my experience that is not the case. They seem to be just a very loud minority. They are 100% entitled to their opinion but please just know many, many more of us support the project becoming permanent and support your efforts and work in creating this.

Please make this change permanent.

Thank you for your time

Dave Frank www.sunshinedaydreams.org Sunshine Daydreams 3843 College Ave Culver City, CA 90232 (310) 838-8851

--



Hannah Levien

Field Deputy - Del Rey/Mar Vista

Councilmember Mike Bonin

City of Los Angeles

213-265-5384 | www.11thdistrict.com



Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates

Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!



MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 1:40 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Scott Littell** <slittell@littellcpa.com>

Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 1:33 PM

Subject: Council File 19-0092 Venice Blvd Great Street

To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>

Cc: <hannah.levien@lacity.org>, Sherri Akers <sherriakers@ca.rr.com>

As a resident of 90066 since 1988 I want to express my support for the great streets project.

Venice Blvd was not meant to be a freeway. It now feels like a street where humans are no longer an afterthought.

My travel time on Venice Blvd has not significantly increased.

Please keep Great Streets Permanently.

Scott Littell CPA

slittell@littellcpa.com

1508 17th St

2nd Floor

Santa Monica, CA 90404-3402

Tel: [310-391-0488](tel:310-391-0488)

Fax: 310-391-4324

Mobile: 310-874-2975

[Click here](#) for secure upload of files to Scott Littell CPA



Hannah Levien

Field Deputy - Del Rey/Mar Vista
 Councilmember Mike Bonin
 City of Los Angeles
 213-265-5384 | www.11thdistrict.com



Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates

Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!



MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
 To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 4:49 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Brodsky, Michael** <mbrodsky@lmu.edu>
 Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019, 4:45 PM
 Subject: Council File 19-0092 Venice Blvd Great Street
 To: cityclerk@lacity.org <cityclerk@lacity.org>
 Cc: hannah.levien@lacity.org <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Dear City Council Members,

I support the Great Streets Project in Mar Vista and believe the changes should be made permanent.

I am an old guy!

I was born in Mountain View Avenue in Mar Vista and I can still remember when street car tracks went down the center of Venice Blvd and there were only two lanes in each direction.

Venice Blvd was slower and safer back then. That was before the tracks were removed and the lanes EXPANDED to 3 lanes and made into a dangerous expressway.

I applaud recent efforts to RESTORE Venice Blvd. to the former 2 lane configuration.

I particularly applaud the addition of the new protected bike lanes.

I now feel safer when drive my car or ride my bike on Venice Blvd.

I know intimately how important street streets are for everybody. My grandfather was killed in a crosswalk at the corner of Wilshire and 10th in Santa Monica by a speeding driver.

I support safe streets.

Thank you,

Professor Michael Brodsky
Loyola Marymount University

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:16 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Misha Dworsky** <dmisha@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:00 PM
Subject: Council File 19-0092 Venice Blvd Great Street
To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>, <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Martinez and Koretz,

I'm writing to voice my strong support for the current Great Streets configuration on Venice Blvd in Mar Vista, especially the separated and protected bike lanes. As a neighborhood resident and bike commuter, I firmly support the street safety improvements on Venice Blvd. This project was designed through community dialogue throughout a yearlong open process and is meant to make all residents and visitors safer. Similar to how the farmers market has improved our community, we believe the "small town feel" of this Great Streets initiative will do the same for Mar Vista and will further improve community culture.

In addition, I find it much more pleasant to walk and bike from Beethoven to Grand View than I did before, leading me to visit local businesses (esp. Grand View Market, Alana's, Bikerowave, Atmosphere, Mitsuwa, and Little Fatty) more than I used to before the bike lanes were protected from the cars. Mar Vista has begun feeling much more like home to me as a result.

It would be a disheartening step backwards for our neighborhood and for the city at large if the traffic lanes were restored. Car speeds in the three-lane segments of Venice Blvd are frequently unsafe and excessive, while traffic noise from vehicles going 50 miles an hour or more is highly detrimental to my quality of life as someone who lives on Venice west of the Great Streets area.

Sincerely,
Michael Dworsky

13001 Venice Blvd, #5
Los Angeles, CA 90066

--



Hannah Levien

Field Deputy - Del Rey/Mar Vista
Councilmember Mike Bonin
City of Los Angeles
213-265-5384 | www.11thdistrict.com



Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates

Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!



MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:19 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **mohamed inhomecarela.com** <mohamed@inhomecarela.com>
Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:17 PM
Subject: Council File 19-0092 Venice Blvd Great Street
To: cityclerk@lacity.org <cityclerk@lacity.org>, hannah.levien@lacity.org <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Martinez and Koretz,

I think the Great Streets changes to Venice Blvd have been very helpful for our community and I hope we make those changes are here to stay. I would love to see those changes extended on Venice Blvd and also see some similar changes to other major streets making those more accessible for pedestrians and cyclers. Thank you.

Mohamed Hassan

Certified Senior Advisor (CSA)

Certified Dementia Practitioner

Certified Master Trainer for **A Matter of Balance**

Office: (310) 878-2045

Mobile: (310) 346-9516

Fax: (310) 997-2057

Website: www.inhomecarela.com

Email: mohamed@inhomecarela.com



Hannah Levien

Field Deputy - Del Rey/Mar Vista
Councilmember Mike Bonin
City of Los Angeles
213-265-5384 | www.11thdistrict.com



Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates

Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!



MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:19 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **StollerDesign** <melissa@stollerdesign.com>
Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:58 PM
Subject: Council File 19-0092 Venice Blvd Great Street
To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>, <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Martinez and Koretz,

I am writing in support of making the Great Street changes on Venice Blvd. permanent. Building a Great Street on Venice Blvd. is challenging and would be impossible without these changes that calm the traffic and the added (and much appreciated!) pedestrian crossings. I m a long time Mar Vista resident and thrilled with the evolution of our community's "downtown" along Venice Blvd. As drivers have become accustomed to the configuration, traffic backups seem to have resolved themselves have not experienced problems with parking next to the bike lane.

Opponents of the Great Street changes would have you believe that cut-through traffic never existed before. This is simply not true. It existed long before the changes and is not confined to streets directly impacted by the changes.

Opponents of the Great Street changes would have you believe that backup on Palms Blvd (and other E/W streets). is due to the Great Street changes. This is simply not true. I live just north of Palms and it been severely congested during rush hour for many years.

I am part of the silent majority in Mar Vista. Restore Venice is loud but does not speak for me. Sadly, neither does the Mar Vista Community Council. MVCC held a stealth meeting on a Sunday evening outside in a parking lot to pass a motion opposing the Great Street changes. No meeting announcement was sent to email meeting-announcement subscribers. As a past MVCC Director I experienced first hand their bullying tactics and slander. As Board Secretary I was committed to community outreach using all the tools at my disposal: eNewsletters, postings on the website and bulletin boards at the weekly Farmers' Market. These tools are no longer used. The current Mar Vista Community Council appears to prefer operating without community involvement and Restore Venice does not accept any evidence that contradicts its positions.

I hope that once the Great Street changes are made permanent, my community can heal and move on, and the Mar Vista Community Council can return to its history of community engagement and support

Respectfully,
Melissa Stoller
[11430 Kingsland St.](#)
[Los Angeles, CA 90066](#)



Hannah Levien
Field Deputy - Del Rey/Mar Vista

Councilmember Mike Bonin
City of Los Angeles
213-265-5384 | www.11thdistrict.com



Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates

Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!



MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:19 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Paola Cervantes <rising.phoenix.77@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:58 PM
Subject: Council File 19-0092 Venice Blvd Great Street
To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>
Cc: Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Martinez and Koretz,

As a former member of the Mar Vista Community Council, someone who has lived and spent a significant amount of time in Mar Vista throughout my entire life, I would like to formally register my support for the changes done to Venice Boulevard in Mar Vista over the past couple of years. There are many reasons for my support, most of which can be found in the document prepared by LADOT.

I am an advocate for the very young and very old in our community. When I walk either with my family or with the students of my preschool (which is quite regularly) along this stretch of the street during afield trips with my students, I know that we are safer because of the new configuration. When moving through this area, I see a vibrant community full of life.

While I personally would prefer for the configuration to look more like the stretch along Wade, where the parking and the protected bike lane are flipped, I recognize that the pilot set up suits seniors. My mother has bad arthritis in her knees and find getting out of her car easier with the new configuration. I have not experienced significant delays in commute down this stretch as well, even when I have a last minute drop off/ pick up for my son at Venice High School.

I pray that you listen to the facts and not just the vocal active minority of nay- sayers opposing any project that slows down traffic in Los Angeles. It is my belief that this project is the perfect transition to a greater change in our community to support all members of our community.

Thank you for your consideration,

Paola Cervantes
Voyages Preschool
Founder/ Director
www.voyagespreschool.com



Hannah Levien
Field Deputy - Del Rey/Mar Vista
Councilmember Mike Bonin
City of Los Angeles
213-265-5384 | www.11thdistrict.com



Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates

Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!



MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 11:17 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Maggie Begley** <maggie@mbcprinc.com>
Date: Wed, Feb 27, 2019, 10:59 AM
Subject: Council File 19-0092 Venice Blvd Great Street
To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>, Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Martinez and Koretz,

I believe the Great Streets changes to Venice Boulevard in Mar Vista have been a huge success. This parking lane configuration is much safer for exiting a parked car and the number of pedestrians who are out and about and enjoying our Mar Vista center is increasing.

I am on this stretch of Venice Blvd every single day, as I live on the corner of Wasatch and Barbara and do many of my daily activities on Grandview Blvd.

Please don't be swayed by the small minority of Mar Vista residents who oppose the Great Streets initiative on Venice Boulevard. I believe the vast majority of my neighbors are now discovering the many shops, restaurants, farmer's market and meeting places that are at the hub of our community. This sense of communal living is a great comfort in a depersonalized world and I hope to see it thrive in the coming years. Thanks for all your hard work.

Respectfully,

Maggie Begley

Maggie

Maggie Begley/MBC

310.390.0101 M) 310.749.3055 Maggie@mbcprinc.com



2 attachments

 **image001.jpg**
2K

 **image001.jpg**
2K



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Fwd: Council File 19-0092 SUPPORT Venice Blvd Great Street

1 message

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 8:31 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Linda Theung** <linda.theung@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019, 11:28 PM
Subject: Council File 19-0092 SUPPORT Venice Blvd Great Street
To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>, <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Martinez, and Koretz,

I'm a supporter of Venice Boulevard's Great Streets. I think it would be such a travesty if the project was undermined by those who want to keep things status quo—or worse, revert back to days when Venice was designed with cars as a top priority.

Prior to the project, the street felt like a freeway, with cars zooming by at speeds up to 50 mph. As a pedestrian, I never really even considered walking along this stretch of Venice because I didn't feel safe, and it was the most unpleasant walking experience I could've imagined.

Today, the Great Streets stretch of Venice is the envy of many residents who live along L.A. thoroughfares that feel like pre-Great Streets Venice Boulevard. Any street in L.A. would be so lucky as to undergo the growth and revitalization that was sparked by this project. It has made the neighborhood much more enjoyable, and I have definitely brought more dollars to the area, helping support small businesses who've been attracted to the idea of a pedestrian-friendly street.

I'm attaching screenshots from Google Maps showing the corner of Venice and Grand View before and after Great Streets was implemented. As you can see, in the shot from October 2008, the buildings on that corner appear unoccupied: "for lease" signs appear on the windows. In contrast, the shot from December 2017 shows people *gasp* walking on the corner, and numerous thriving businesses that've invested in the neighborhood as a result of Great Streets.

I hope you will consider making Venice Boulevard's Great Streets a permanent feature in this neighborhood.

Best,

Linda

--

Linda Theung
linda.theung@gmail.com | (626) 233-6509

2 attachments



Venice-after.png
1573K



Venice-before.png
1463K



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Fwd: Council File 19-0092 - Venice Boulevard Great Street

1 message

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 4:39 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Yvette Roman** <yphoto@earthlink.net>

Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019, 4:37 PM

Subject: Council File 19-0092 - Venice Boulevard Great Street

To: Councilmember Bonin <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, <councilmember.martinez@lacity.org>, <john.white@lacity.org>, <cityclerk@lacity.org>, Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Cc: <jesi@la-bike.org>

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Martinez, and Koretz,

I am a 3rd generation Mar Vista stakeholder and small business owner, and I am writing to give my support to the Great Streets improvements on Venice Blvd.

My entire household is grateful for these changes, and we are lending our support to you to make them permanent. We live on the eastern edge of the new bike lane, and as a family who walks, bikes, and drives, I can attest that we all feel much safer.

Redesigning the street has created a lovely downtown center, and parking is not a problem. Our neighborhood has never been more vibrant. New shops and restaurants have cropped up, and we frequent them constantly. We appreciate the new trashcans, the landscaping, the metro bikes, and all of the other assets that the program has brought to us, with special note to the new crosswalk at Mountain View. Since the traffic signals were timed, it has never been easier to drive through that stretch, and we are on it daily.

Be assured that the Restore Venice Boulevard group does not speak for my household, nor do they have a mandate to accurately represent the wishes of our community. Their presence has caused our MVCC meetings to become so contentious that we have been forced to have uniformed police officers in attendance. I have witnessed people being screamed at, called names, and bullied when they have spoken out in support of the Great Streets program, which is childish and absurd, and has no place in our community. Truth be told, sadly, I have stopped going to the gatherings altogether.

My fondest wish for our neighborhood is that our new street becomes permanent. The only thing I would like to have "restored" is the decency and kindness of our constituency towards each other.

Regards,

Yvette Roman Davis
Pacific Avenue
Mar Vista



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Fwd: Council File 19-0092 - Venice Blvd. Great Street

1 message

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>
To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:26 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Mitchell Rishe** <mitchellrishe@gmail.com>

Date: Tue, Feb 26, 2019, 3:25 PM

Subject: Council File 19-0092 - Venice Blvd. Great Street

To: <cityclerk@lacity.org>, <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, Paul Koretz <paul.koretz@lacity.org>, <councilmember.martinez@lacity.org>, <hannah.levien@lacity.org>, <john.white@lacity.org>

Dear Councilmembers Bonin, Martinez and Koretz,

I am a former director of the Mar Vista Community Council (MVCC), a former chair of the MVCC transportation and infrastructure committee, and former chair of the MVCC planning and land use committee. I am a 15-year resident of Mar Vista and a native Angeleno. *I write in support of the Great Street changes on Venice Blvd., and urge you to make them permanent.* I travel the protected bike lane segment of Venice Blvd. often, usually by bike, to shop at the Mar Vista Farmer's Market, or to shop or eat at one of the many establishments along Venice Blvd. I also regularly (once a week) commute by bike along the non-protected length of Venice Blvd. to my office in Downtown L.A. For anyone that commutes along Venice Blvd., there is no question that the protected segment of Venice Blvd. is a safer and more pleasant experience for bikers, pedestrians, children and the elderly.

I happen to work on Spring St. in downtown, which recently implemented a similar protected bike lane with a reduction of a lane of traffic. Anecdotally, I have observed no discernable difference in traffic before and after implementation of the protected bike lane. As the City implements more of these protected bike lanes throughout the City—along Figueroa St., Spring St., and soon Main St.—it would be a terrible setback for the City to reverse the protected bike lane on Venice Blvd. Rather, the City should *expand* it. Removing the protected bike lane will not solve West L.A.'s traffic woes, but it will make the street more dangerous for bikers, pedestrians, children, and the elderly.

Thank you,

Mitchell Rishe

P.S. I hope to see you at CicLAvia in Mar Vista this weekend!



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Fwd: 19-0092 Venice Bl, Great Streets

1 message

Hannah Levien <hannah.levien@lacity.org>

Thu, Feb 28, 2019 at 6:03 PM

To: Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Eugene Hanrahan** <ladagene@netscape.net>

Date: Thu, Feb 28, 2019 at 8:58 AM

Subject: 19-0092 Venice Bl, Great Streets

To: <john.white@lacity.org>, <cityclerk@lacity.org>, <hannah.levien@lacity.org>, <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>

Dear City Council,

I am a cyclist who frequently uses the bike lanes on Venice Boulevard. I applaud that the City Council installed them and they should remain. The city must make its roads safer and pedestrian/bike friendly. This does not necessarily mean more traffic or less business because the more people who walk and bike the fewer single occupant cars on the road. The most lucrative and valuable commercial property in Los Angeles and Southern California are areas where cars are virtually banned (eg. 3rd St Promenade, Venice Beach, and the Farmers Market). Finally, we as a society must do far more to curtail global warming. Every car trip warms the planet - mostly unnecessarily. If more people rode their bikes there would be a cooler planet and fewer obese Americans to boot.

Thank you,

Eugene Hanrahan

--

**Hannah Levien***Field Deputy - Del Rey/Mar Vista*

Councilmember Mike Bonin

City of Los Angeles

213-265-5384 | www.11thdistrict.com**Sign Up for Mike's Email Updates****Download the City of Los Angeles MyLA311 app for smartphones!**

MyLA311 links Angelenos with the services and information they need to enjoy their city, beautify their community and stay connected with their local government. With MyLA311, City of Los Angeles information and services are just a few taps away.



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Council File 19-0092

1 message

Sarah Furlong <sarahfurlongcasting@gmail.com>

Wed, Feb 20, 2019 at 4:38 PM

To: Councilmember Mike Bonin <councilmember.bonin@lacity.org>, paul.koretz@lacity.org, councilmember.martinez@lacity.org, john.white@lacity.org, eric.bruins@lacity.org, alek.bartosouf@lacity.org

TO:
Councilman Bonin,
Councilman Koretz:
Councilwoman Martinez:
Legislative Assistant John White:
Transportation Deputy Eric Bruins
Mobility Deputy Alek Bartosouf:

I am a long time Mar Vista resident and home owner. I drive a car, ride a bike, and take the 33 and 733 buses. I know the area very well and can honestly say my general well being and safety have NOT improved.

The Road Diet has made driving stressful in this area and parking more frustrating. I try to avoid this area whenever I can. I now use a different post office, library, and bank. I understand the intent of Great Streets and Zero Vision but I do not see the benefit to choking this area of town. Although I complain about cut thru traffic behind my drive way, I am now guilty of being a cut thru person myself.

I am writing to add my support for the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements.

Thank you for you consideration.

Sarah Furlong



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Council File 19-0092 and CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project

1 message

marvistapainting@verizon.net <marvistapainting@verizon.net>

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 9:17 AM

To: councilmember.bonin@lacity.org, john.white@lacity.org, paul.koretz@lacity.org, councilmember.martinez@lacity.org

Cc: eric.bruins@lacity.org, alek.bartosouf@lacity.org

I hope and pray that you end the Venice Great Street Projects.

I live in the neighborhood adjacent to Venice Boulevard, two and a half blocks north on Moore Street. I have lived here since the mid-1970's, and have enjoyed the natural transformation of the neighborhood, up until your project began. I was one of the very few who received the questionnaire, and completed it, but it did not seem to have any impact upon the project.

I have witnessed massive traffic jams on Venice, have watched emergency vehicles with sirens blaring stuck in traffic, have seen the road debris and filth collect into small piles around those white parking stanchions and the parking spaces, have endured the cars racing through our neighborhood trying to get around Venice Boulevard, have seen the traffic on Palms Boulevard go from a normal flow to massive traffic jams, have listened to the constant blare of horns as frustrated drivers sit in traffic, and it goes on and on. The utopian idyllic scenario painted by the propaganda the city and the council have put out is not true.

Give us back our street and our neighborhood, it is not yours to do with as you please.

Brian Mark
Mar Vista



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Council File 19-0092 and CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvementsv

1 message

Ken Davis <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: kdplayaguy@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 5:58 PM

Eric Bruins,

My family had lived in Mar Vista since 1949. Venice Blvd is a disaster. I refuse to patronize any business as well as the farmers market.

This travesty needs to go away along with Mike Bonin. Recall!!!

strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Ken Davis
kdplayaguy@yahoo.com
3946 East Blvd
Los Angeles, California 90066



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Council File 19-0092 and CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

2 messages

Judy Accardi <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: jaccardi@ymail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Sun, Mar 3, 2019 at 11:14 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Judy Accardi
jaccardi@ymail.com
452 Rialto Avenue
Venice , California 90291

Peter Glick <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: PMGlick@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Sun, Mar 3, 2019 at 2:54 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Peter Glick

PMGlick@gmail.com

3820 Lyceum Ave.

[Los Angeles, California 90066](#)



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Council File 19-0092 and CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

100 messages

Dhruv Thukral <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: thukral@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 7:54 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Dhruv Thukral
thukral@gmail.com
12677 Dewey Street
[Los Angeles, California 90066](#)

Laurie Goldfarb <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: thetankgyrl@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 7:54 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Laurie Goldfarb

thetankgyrl@gmail.com

4325 Berryman Ave, Apt 10

[Los Angeles, California 90066](#)

Oscar Munoz <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Reply-To: teppasur@yahoo.com

To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 7:57 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Oscar Munoz
teppasur@yahoo.com
2215 louella.ave
Venice, California 90291

John Hobbs <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: johnnyhobbs@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 7:59 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

John Hobbs
johnnyhobbs@GMAIL.COM

3726 Sawtelle Boulevard, Apt. 6
Los Angeles, California 90066

Madelaine Kolisnyk <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: madelaineproperties@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:02 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Madelaine Kolisnyk
madelaineproperties@gmail.com
3783 Wasatch AVE
Los Angeles, California 90066

sarah furlong <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: sarahfurlongcasting@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:05 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

sarah furlong
sarahfurlongcasting@gmail.com
11343 BIONA DRIVE
[LOS ANGELES, California 90066](#)

Deborah Galambos <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: dgalambos@hotmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:07 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or

Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Deborah Galambos
dgalambos@hotmail.com
3567 Ocean View Ave.
Los Angeles, California 90066

Jean Pappalardo <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: injeanius71@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:08 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Jean Pappalardo

injeanius71@yahoo.com
12621 Mitchell Ave., Apt. 7
Los Angeles, California 90066

Eg Mahan <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: egmahan@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:09 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Eg Mahan
egmahan@gmail.com
Rialto
Venice, California 90291

Joan Weamer <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: bayley.weamer@gmail.com

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:10 AM

To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Joan Weamer

bayley.weamer@gmail.com

3570 Ocean View Ave.

Los Angeles, California 90066

marianne pogoler <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Reply-To: sfxlavender@verizon.net

To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:10 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that

have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

marianne pogoler
spfxlavender@verizon.net

venice, California 90291

Russell Mark <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: russ@fastmail.us
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:12 AM

Eric Bruins,

Please listen to the will of the people.

Venice Boulevard and the people using it have been negatively impacted by the changes made. People want freedom to work and move around. The changes to Venice Blvd. are specifically to prevent people's freedom of movement.

In addition, neighborhoods surrounding the restricted part of Venice Blvd have had to absorb more traffic, which negatively affects their quality of life and their property values.

As a 50-year resident of the area, I strongly object to these changes and to the suppression of public opinion by the current administration.

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that

have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Russell Mark

russ@fastmail.us

6305 Vista del Mar#2

[Playa del Rey, California 90293](#)

Ivan Galambos <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: igalambos@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:13 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Ivan Galambos
igalambos@aol.com
3567 Ocean View Ave.
Los Angeles, California 90066

John Russo <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: jtrusso@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:14 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

John Russo
jtrusso@gmail.com
8231 Delgany Ave
Playa Del Rey, California 90293

Selena Inouye on behalf of Restore Blvd <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:19 AM

Reply-To: restoreveniceblvd@gmail.com

To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Selena Inouye on behalf of Restore Blvd

restoreveniceblvd@gmail.com

PO Box 661745

Los Angeles, California 90066

Alexandra Gucovsky <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:20 AM

Reply-To: beachbubbles94@gmail.com

To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair

argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Alexandra Gucovsky
beachbubbles94@gmail.com
2909 Grayson Avenue
Venice, California 90291

Ridaa Murad <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: rmurad@breakformre.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:23 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA

Neighbors Network.

Ridaa Murad

rmurad@breakformre.com

404 Sherman Canal

[Venice, California 90291](#)

Miles Perlich <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: milesperlich@hotmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:34 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Miles Perlich

milesperlich@hotmail.com

3761 Mountain View Ave

[Los Angeles, California 90066](#)

angela mchenry <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: tamchenry@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:36 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

angela mchenry
tamchenry@aol.com
12407 Victoria Avenue
[Los Angeles, California 90066](#)

Ken Marek <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: kenneth.marek@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:38 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Ken Marek
kenneth.marek@gmail.com
3924 Redwood Ave
Los Angeles, California 90066

john mc manamy <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: jkmvenice@hotmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:39 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

john mc manamy
jkmvenice@hotmail.com
grand blvd
venice, California 90291

Michelle Zweig <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: michellezweig124@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:41 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Michelle Zweig
michellezweig124@gmail.com
124 Topsail Mall
MARINA DEL REY, California 90292

Melodie Meyer <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: melodiemmeyer@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:44 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Melodie Meyer
melodiemmeyer@gmail.com
pacific ave
Venice, California 90291

Steven Pearl <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: noladoggie@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:54 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the

finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network. In other words, the road diet do not work. Please restore Venice blvd. to its successful working past.

Steven Pearl
noladoggie@gmail.com
225 Carroll Canal
Venice, California 90291

Simone Wallace <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: simone.wallace64@verizon.net
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:55 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets

Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Simone Wallace
simone.wallace64@verizon.net
1213 Preston Way
Venice, California 90291

Robert Gallion <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: gallion1@earthlink.net
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:55 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Robert Gallion
gallion1@earthlink.net
Colonial Ave
Los Angeles, California 90066

Alison Raitt <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: alison227@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:57 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Alison Raitt
alison227@gmail.com
8401 Tuscany Ave, Apt 7
[Playa del Rey, California 90293](#)

Davis R <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: Draakstraat@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:05 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Davis R

Draakstraat@gmail.com

Mar Vista, California 90066

Peter Glick <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: PMGlick@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:05 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental

review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Peter Glick
PMGlick@gmail.com
3820 Lyceum Ave.
Los Angeles, California 90066

Jack Murphy <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: jason7pdr@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:06 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Jack Murphy
jason7pdr@gmail.com
8745 Delgany Ave

[Playa Del Rey, California 90293](#)

Lisa Lubchansky <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: lalny@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:08 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

When this project was originally proposed by Bonin, it was presented VERY differently. He also promised that there would be a trial period and, after that trial period, the project would be subject to review. Well, the overwhelming majority of residents oppose it. END the Trial and RESTORE VENICE BLVD.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Lisa Lubchansky
lalny@aol.com

Venice , California 90294

Chris Cerbo <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: chris.cerbo@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:17 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

Aside from the lack of transparency at the onset of this project including undefined success metrics before installation, as confirmed by LADOT, there were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

The City of Los Angeles should NOT make this project permanent without an environmental review, and without the neighborhood communities agreeing to do so. The City made a promise in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

The citizens expect you to actually stand by your word. We want to remove what we don't like, as Councilmember Mike Bonin promised. Please do so and please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Chris Cerbo
chris.cerbo@yahoo.com

Los Angeles, California 90101

Rebekah Haraczka <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: beaches4u@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:20 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the

finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Rebekah Haraczka
beaches4u@gmail.com
474 Sherman Ave
Venice, California 90291

sheri magid <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: sheri623@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:21 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying

public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

sheri magid
sheri623@gmail.com
3846 VIA DOLCE
[venice, California 90292](#)

Britt-Marie Potter <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: britt-marie7@sbcglobal.net
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:22 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Britt-Marie Potter
britt-marie7@sbcglobal.net
4832 Hollow Corner Rd. # 401
[Culver City, California 90230](#)

Dan Grody <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: dan@tellemgrodypr.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:29 AM

Eric Bruins,

You made a promise to us: if the Great Streets Venice Blvd project is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process. Grant the CEQA appeal for this disaster project.

Dan Grody
dan@tellemgrodypr.com
Globe Ave.
[Los Angeles, California 90066](#)

dave parker <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: dupree63@ca.rr.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:29 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying

public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

dave parker

dupree63@ca.rr.com

frey ave

Venice, California 90291

Laurie Bennett <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: 4lauriebennett@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:52 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Laurie Bennett

4lauriebennett@gmail.com

10941 Wagner Street

[Culver City, California 90230](#)

Kennalee Mattson <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: kennaleem@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:54 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Kennalee Mattson
kennaleem@aol.com
Wasatch
Mar vista, California 90066

Chris Masson <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: xboarder88@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:00 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Chris Masson
xboarder88@aol.com
3718 Mountain View Ave.
[Los Angeles, California 90066](#)

Annette Farmer <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: fabulousalf@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:04 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets

Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

I have lived in Mar Vista for more than 30 years now. At one point, Venice Blvd was on the skids but small business owners started turning it around and made it into street where business could thrive and produce jobs for local people.

It distresses me greatly that some of the small businesses that needed access from Venice Blvd are now gone due to the changes to the boulevard. There were several that i patronized and now they are gone. Also gone are the **jobs** in those businesses as well as the sweat and financial equity that those business owners poured into their businesses.

My other, and main, concern is the time it takes for first responders to navigate through the gridlock on Venice during peak traffic times. I have witnessed several times when LAFD trucks, with lights and sirens going, have not been able to get thru the traffic to get to their emergency call. this will eventually result in a death or two if the lanes are not restored. it is just a matter of time.

It has become very clear that Mike Bonin and Eric Garcetti only care about a select few of their constituents. Bonin has in-home visits with constituents who are on his side only, not meeting with those who are critical of these changes. He makes it apparent that if you're not on his side, he's not interested in you.

When i participated in Bonin's survey of the boulevard at the Sunday Farmer's Market years ago, there was nothing indicated that the changes would involve the theft of a lane.

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

annette farmer
90066

Annette Farmer
fabulousalf@gmail.com

Los Angeles, California 90066

Patrick Bertranou <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: pb@pbcapital.us

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:09 AM

To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Patrick Bertranou
pb@pbcapital.us
11350 Victoria Ave
Los Angeles, California 90066

Julie Mann <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: ma13nn@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:11 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that

have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Julie Mann
ma13nn@aol.com
2454 Louella Avenue
Venice, California 90291

Whitney Osborne <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: osarina@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:11 AM

Eric Bruins,

In addition to the words below, as a resident I am saddened by the damage this project has done to our amazing neighborhood. The councilman has not given the community the opportunity to voice their opinion on this, and when we have voiced it, we have been silenced. This isn't political, it's about safety, small local businesses and rethinking much needed development in a way that benefits the COMMUNITY, not the politicians. Please hear our voice - we are supposed to be represented in government, and instead we are being silenced.

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Whitney Osborne
osarina@gmail.com
11829 W Washington Blvd
Los Angeles, California 90066

Lahra Welch <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: lahrawelch@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:31 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Lahra Welch
lahrawelch@gmail.com

1418 Venice Blvd
Venice, Telšiq Apskritis 90391

Denise Freed <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: denisefreed@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:36 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Denise Freed
denisefreed@yahoo.com
3784 Wasatch Ave.
[Los Angeles, California 90066](#)

Kent and Marlene Alves <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: khalves@msn.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:37 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

This Great Streets project has lacked transparency and has caused traffic problems on Venice and the surrounding residential streets that have been ignored until recent inadequate measures were announced.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Kent and Marlene Alves
khalves@msn.com

Mar Vista, California 90066

Sarah Sweeney <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: sarahflo@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:43 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair

argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

The "Road Diet" on Venice Blvd. has caused traffic problems on Venice, spilling into the surrounding neighborhoods, while also causing problems for the businesses along that stretch of the road. Please Restore Venice Blvd.

Sarah Sweeney
sarahflo@gmail.com
3549 Ashwood Avenue
[Los Angeles, California CA](#)

Thomas Ricards <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: trichards777@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:50 AM

Eric Bruins,

I'm writing in regards to the changes to Venice Blvd. in Mar Vista that were enacted about a year ago as part of the Great Streets Project. Specifically the moving of the bike lane, elimination of a driving lane, addition of crosswalks/traffic lights and street side beautification.

I appreciate the planning and work that goes into a project like this as well as the passionate opinions on both sides of the issues stemming from the changes. Having both a residence and place of business in the Venice / Mar Vista area I have strong opinions as well. I am a daily bike commuter in the area as well as a driver who uses this section of Venice quite often.

I greatly appreciate the new crosswalks/traffic lights and beautification work. I am not at all in

support of the moved bike lane or the loss of a traffic lane.

- As a driver, it is very difficult to see a bike rider in the new lane when turning right. As you can imagine, I neither want to hit someone nor get hit by a driver when bike commuting. The data I've seen has not supported the premise that these changes have lowered in incidence of car/bike accidents, however I assume you have the most accurate data and would like that to be made fully available to your constituents, the media, etc.

- Crossing the bike lane as a pedestrian (after street parking and walking to a shop on Venice) gives me great fear that I, or one of my children, will be hit by a bicyclist or scooter rider. I recognize this is a trade off as I certainly would not want to get "doored" as a bike rider in a traditional bike lane, but as a bike commuter I know where to look to minimize this. I do not believe driver/pedestrians are at all aware of "looking both ways" when crossing the bike lane and have personally seen very close calls.

- Traffic in that section of Venice Bl. during rush and non-rush hour is worse than ever. Intersections become gridlocked, drivers find cut through streets (which I'd rather not do) and the truly despicable drive in the bike lane because there so much space there. I can not believe that this outcome is considered a success. The new street lights that have been installed should be able to manage speed in a much more sensible (and adjustable for needs) manner than simply removing a lane.

- Merchants like my mechanic (Mar Vista Service), my record store (Timewarp Records), my digital guys (Scout/Camp) and others all are suffering because of this traffic problem and odd parking situation. There will be no great street without great merchants so I ask you to take them into consideration. The parallel area one block south on Washington Bl. is thriving with new shops, restaurants, other services and is much preferred as a biker/driver.

I ask that you amend the long term approach to Venice Bl. as you consider making these changes permanent to keep the cross walks and beautification while reverting the bike lane / driving lanes to their traditional places, as they are to both the East and West of this section of Venice Bl.

Thank you for your consideration.

Tom Richards

President, Haverty Consulting

Council District 11 Resident and Business Owner

Thomas Ricards

trichards777@gmail.com

2327 Louella

Venice, California 90291

Steven Cohen <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: stevesplice@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:50 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Steven Cohen
stevesplice@gmail.com
2232 Louella Ave.
Venice, California 90291

Rochelle Hochberg <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: rhochberg@ca.rr.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:52 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

THIS WHOLE PROJECT IS ABSURD. I CURSE IT EVERY DAY WHEN DRIVING EAST ON VENICE BLVD.

Rochelle Hochberg
rhochberg@ca.rr.com

Venice, California 90291

Pamela Day <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: pday@paladintrust.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:55 AM

Eric Bruins,

The great streets mess has been a disaster. The lane closures were not well thought out. What we learned from the test is that it failed. Please start over with a different plan.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or

Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Pamela Day
pday@paladintrust.com
12492 Venice Blvd
Los Angeles, California 90066

chigma711@hotmail.com <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: chigma711@hotmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 11:21 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

chigma711@hotmail.com

3905 Inglewood Blvd # 105
Los Angeles, California 90066

Emily Alves <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: emal03@hotmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 11:37 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Emily Alves
emal03@hotmail.com
112 Thornton place
Venice, California 90291

Richard Sinclair <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: rdsinclair@earthlink.net
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 11:37 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Richard Sinclair
rdsinclair@earthlink.net
2922 Tilden Ave
Los Angeles, California 90064

Stefanie Krutilek <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: krutilek@mac.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 11:40 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or

Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Stefanie Krutilek
krutilek@mac.com
3003 Grand Canal
Venice, California 90291

James Naughton <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: jnaught@outlook.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 11:41 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

James Naughton

jnaught@outlook.com

Santa Monica , California 90405

susan loewenberg <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: saloewenberg@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 11:47 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

susan loewenberg
saloewenberg@aol.com
3451 greenfield ave
[los angeles, California 90034](#)

Helen Fallon <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: fallons@earthlink.net

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 11:54 AM

To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Helen Fallon

fallons@earthlink.net

425 Linnie Canal

Venice, California 90291

historify@yahoo.com <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: Historify@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 11:54 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that

have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

historify@yahoo.com

521 Grand Blvd

Venice, California 90291

David Wire <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: espeefan@hotmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 11:55 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

David Wire

espeefan@hotmail.com

3945 Frances Ave.

Los Angeles, California 90066

Todd Kohn <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: tkohn1@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:00 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Todd Kohn
tkohn1@gmail.com

Marina Del Rey, California 90292

Wendy Kaysing <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: taratree123@yahoo.com

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:11 PM

To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Wendy Kaysing
taratree123@yahoo.com
566 N. Windsor Ave
[Los Angeles, California 90004](#)

Wendy Wheat <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: creamof@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:19 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that

have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Wendy Wheat
creamof@aol.com
12412 Victoria Ave
Los Angeles, California 90066

SCOTT zuber <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: szzuber@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:24 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

The additional Cut through traffic is endangering our neighborhood.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA

Neighbors Network.

SCOTT zuber
szzuber@yahoo.com
11918 Palms blvd
[La, California 90066](#)

mark rosenberg <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: rosenbergconsulting@mac.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:24 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

mark rosenberg
rosenbergconsulting@mac.com
12405 venice blvd, ste 260
[L.A., California 90066](#)

Tia Triplett <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: tia@anlf.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:27 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Tia Triplett
tia@anlf.com
3959 Berryman Ave
Los Angeles, California 90066

Mina Friedler <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: elimina@ca.rr.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:28 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Mina Friedler
elimina@ca.rr.com
2342 Clark Ave.
Venice, California 90291

Eli Friedler <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: elimina@ca.rr.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:29 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Eli Friedler
elimina@ca.rr.com
2342 Clark Ave.
Venice, California 90291

Sheri Odere <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: sheri@odereinc.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:33 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Sheri Odere
sheri@odereinc.com
3650 wade st
Los Angeles , California 90066

mary boehle <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: itstnab@ca.rr.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:36 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

mary boehle
itstnab@ca.rr.com
3282 grand view blvd
los angeles, California 90066

Tia Triplett <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: tia@anlf.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:38 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the

finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Tia Triplett
tia@anlf.com
3959 Berryman Ave
Los Angeles, California 90066

Gail Blamires <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: gblamires@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:41 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying

public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Gail Blamires
gblamires@aol.com
3620 Redwood Ave
Los Angeles, California 90066

Sheri Odere <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: sheri@odereinc.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:43 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Sheri Odere
sheri@odereinc.com
3650 wade st
Los Angeles , California 90066

James Jefferis <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: jvj4th1968@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 12:44 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

James Jefferis
jvj4th1968@gmail.com
13520 Victory Blvd. Apt 6
Van Nuys, California 91401

Jose Figueroa <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: figueroaj95@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 1:37 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Jose Figueroa
figueroaj95@yahoo.com
920 Venice Blvd Apt 110
Venice, California 90291

Jorge Cicalese <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: caballito@verizon.net
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 1:46 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets

Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Jorge Cicalese
caballito@verizon.net
3868 S Centinela Ave #5
Los Angeles, California 90066

Andrew Clark <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: andrew.clark.1727@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 2:09 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

I go out of my way to avoid Venice blvd and search for alternate routing east as the reduction in lanes created a terrible bottle neck that is instantly alleviated when the third lane is restored. The traffic study that indicates that there is no increased drive times for people traveling through this bottleneck is absurd. I've witnessed an increased drive time since the inception of the project.

I appreciate your attempt to create "safe streets", but I don't think the streets are any less safe with three lanes, and your constituency would appreciate the elimination of the created bottle neck.

Andrew Clark
andrew.clark.1727@gmail.com
2409 Grand Canal
Venice, California 90291

Lynne Glick <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: Mrslynnelucky@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 2:12 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Lynne Glick
Mrslynnelucky@gmail.com
3820 Lyceum Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90066

Todd Shays <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: tshays@me.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 2:14 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Todd Shays
tshays@me.com

Culver City, California 90230

Gabriela teissier <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: gabriela teissier@msn.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 2:16 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the

finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Gabriela teissier
gabrielateissier@msn.com
3671 MOUNTAIN VIEW AVE
LOS ANGELES, California 90066

Renee Glass <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: renee@acburke.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 2:21 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying

public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Renee Glass
renee@acburke.com
861 Amoroso Place
Venice, California 90291

Lisa Nakamine <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: LGFN93@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 2:38 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Lisa Nakamine
LGFN93@YAHOO.COM
3541 BARRY AVE
[LOS ANGELES, California 90066](mailto:LGFN93@YAHOO.COM)

Merl Berry <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: merleberry@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 2:50 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Merl Berry
merleberry@gmail.com
Wasatch Avenue
Los Angeles , California 90066

Devon Brand <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: dbrand@latw.org
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:02 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Devon Brand
dbrand@latw.org

Venice, California 90291

Joe Lisanti <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: tonedeaf@ix.netcom.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:07 PM

Eric Bruins,

Dear members of the council,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental

review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Thank you for your consideration,
Joe Lisanti

Joe Lisanti
tonedeaf@ix.netcom.com

Venice, California 90291

Gene Cunningham <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: deltabuilders@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:09 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Gene Cunningham

deltabuilders@yahoo.com

243 San Juan Ave

Venice, California 90291

Dennis and Anne Dwyer <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: dennisanne48@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:13 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Dennis and Anne Dwyer
dennisanne48@yahoo.com
7207 W 91st St
[Los Angeles, California 90045](http://www.lacity.org)

Paul ABASCAL <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: PAULABASCAL1@gmail.com

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:15 PM

To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Paul ABASCAL
PAULABASCAL1@GMAIL.COM
35 THORNTON AVE.
[VENICE, California 90291](#)

Carol Reynes <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: carol.reynes@win-results.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:23 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that

have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Carol Reynes
carol.reynes@win-results.com
1344 Glenavon Avenue
Venice, California 90291

Maria D'Orsogna <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: mariaadorsogna@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:24 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Maria D'Orsogna
mariadorsogna@gmail.com
333 1/2 5th avenue
Venice, California 90291

Peter Nott <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: peternott65@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:26 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Peter Nott
peternott65@gmail.com
578 Washington Bl # 193
Marina del Rey, California 90292

tom chou <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: tc208@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:26 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

tom chou
tc208@yahoo.com
333 5th avenue
Venice, California 90291

Tom Chou <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: tc208@yahoo.co.uk
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:26 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair

argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Tom Chou
tc208@yahoo.co.uk
333 5th Ave.
Venice, California 90291

Melodie Meyer <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: melodiemeyer@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:28 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA

Neighbors Network.

Melodie Meyer
melodiemmeyer@gmail.com
pacific ave
Venice, California 90291

Lisa Mayer <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: bcgcreative@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:28 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Lisa Mayer
bcgcreative@yahoo.com

LA, California 90066

Tracy Blumenthal <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: tkatz@gursey.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:30 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Tracy Blumenthal
tkatz@gursey.com
2319 Ocean Front Walk, #2
VENICE, California 90291

MariaPia altavilla <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: maltavil@alumni.nd.edu
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:36 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

MariaPia altavilla
maltavil@alumni.nd.edu

Los Angeles, California 90291

Nichol Carlson <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: NICHOLCARLSON1@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:37 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA

Neighbors Network.

Nichol Carlson

NICHOLCARLSON1@GMAIL.COM

913 Main Street

[Venice, California 90291](#)

Sam Woolsey <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:51 PM

Reply-To: sam.woolsey@gmail.com

To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Sam Woolsey

sam.woolsey@gmail.com

3724 Moore St.

[Los Angeles, California 90066](#)



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Council File 19-0092 and CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

57 messages

Baron Bruno <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: baronbruno@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 3:58 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Baron Bruno
baronbruno@gmail.com
1 Ironsides St Apt 6
Marina Del Rey, California 90292

Stephen Schiller <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: mrhandyman@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 4:06 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Stephen Schiller
mrhandyman@aol.com
Keeshen Drive
[Los Angeles, California 90066](#)

Judy Schiller <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: flipperjam@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 4:08 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Judy Schiller
flipperjam@aol.com
Keeshen Drive
Los Angeles, California 90066

Stephen Patrick Schiller <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: sps2spocko@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 4:09 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Stephen Patrick Schiller
sps2spocko@aol.com

Keeshen Drive
Los Angeles, California 90066

james kaplan <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: me@jameskap.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 4:35 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

james kaplan
me@jameskap.com
700 Main Street
Venice, California 90292

Mark Ryavec <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: venicestakeholders@ca.rr.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 4:45 PM

Eric Bruins,

I support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project that support the argument that the removal of the two traffic lanes has significantly slowed traffic, re-routed traffic to residential neighborhoods endangering residents, and had a negative impact upon the environment. These issues have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

The City of Los Angeles should honor its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please grant the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Mark Ryavec

venicestakeholders@ca.rr.com

1615 Andalusia Ave, 28

Venice, California 90291

Marjorie Weitzman <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: Marjorie@madwomanproductions.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 4:47 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Marjorie Weitzman

Marjorie@madwomanproductions.com

Venice, California 90291

Nancy Howell <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: nghowell@verizon.net
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 4:48 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Nancy Howell

nghowell@verizon.net

12607 Rose Ave

Los Angeles, California 90066

Vincent Hartung <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: vhartung@netzero.net
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 4:48 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

This project has caused a significant number of problems requiring "adjustments" to compensate for the increase in unsafe traffic situations. These "adjustments" have been done at considerable cost to the City of Los Angeles, and tax payer constituents. Throwing more money at the situation is only throwing good money after bad, and all for the narrow special interest of the L.A. County Bicycle Coalition.

The traffic lanes need to be restored to their original configuration, before this terrible experiment, so that traffic flows can return to normal, and match those on either side of this purposely-created bottleneck.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Vincent Hartung
vhartung@netzero.net
2418 Walnut Ave.

Venice, California 90291

Adilia Aguilar <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: adiliaaguilar@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 4:49 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Adilia Aguilar
adiliaaguilar@yahoo.com
Vista Place
Venice, California 90291

Sharyl Beebe <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: sbeebe@prismpermits.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 4:56 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Sharyl Beebe

sbeebe@prismpermits.com

1421 Venice Blvd. #10

[Venice, California 90291](#)

Dara Lasky <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: citizenVenice@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 5:09 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Dara Lasky
citizenVenice@yahoo.com

Venice, California 90291

Lydia Matkovich <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: lydlil@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 5:16 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Lydia Matkovich
lydlil@aol.com
738 Nowita Place

Venice, California 90291

Deazen Begovic <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: begovic.drazen@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 5:17 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Deazen Begovic
begovic.drazen@gmail.com
728 Nowita Place
Venice , California 90291

Mary Kelsey <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: 1marykelsey@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 5:57 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Mary Kelsey

1marykelsey@gmail.com

116 Thornton Pl

[Venice , California 90291](#)

Penny Akashi <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: penny.akashi@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 5:59 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There have been too many green lighted huge developments in Marina del Rey to continue to cut off one of few street life lines we have to get in and out...god forbid we ever need to evacuate. It makes absolutely no sense to okay huge developments in a pocket (surrounded by water on 2 sides) and then take away a lane in one of the only streets we have to exit in case of

an emergency or even on a good beach day. Please wake up and give us our lane back. This was a stupid decision that only negatively affects all local residents.

Penny Akashi
penny.akashi@gmail.com
135 Ketch Mall
[Marina del Rey, California 90292](#)

Stephan Kaden <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: stevek@techie.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 6:07 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

I would like to add that due to the INSANELY bad design of the Venice to Stuart Avenue right turn, a hot dogging moped driver going about 25 mph through the inviting cones slammed into my car - placed in the ONLY place that was safe to look up the Bike path. He was ambulance'd off and never could be found by my insurance company. I worry he died. Bonin fixed his Boise turn, others left like mine. INSANE 90 degree turns even while there is room to angle in.

Stephan Kaden

stevek@techie.com

3783 Stewart Ave

Los Angeles, California 90066

Joyce Seko <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: joyceseko@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 6:31 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Joyce Seko

joyceseko@gmail.com

3721 Moore St

Los Angeles, California 90066

Judith Penchansky <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: jpenchansky@gmail.com

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 6:35 PM

To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Judith Penchansky
jpenchansky@gmail.com
37 Breeze Ave, Venice CA 90291
Venice , California 90291

Gary Brown <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: g.brown1511@sbcglobal.net
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 6:48 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that

have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Gary Brown

g.brown1511@sbcglobal.net

12135 Mitchell Ave., Apt. 227

Los Angeles, California 90066

Demetrios Mavromichalis <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: dememavro@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 6:59 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Demetrios Mavromichalis
dememavro@gmail.com
12224 Venice Blvd
Mar Vista, California 90066

Dov Paz <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: mailboxq@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 7:10 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

I would like to add also that the public is falsely lead to believe Vision Zero was a success by Bonin office and DOT. They claim for example increase in use of public transportation and bike/scooter use to the 5 blocks of the project totally ignoring confounding variables such as population growth, new homes built and new transporting sharing business concept adding to the increase use of public transportation over the last two years. Moreover, DOT does not even take time to study or measure the amount of traffic on all the streets around Venice Blvd as a logical impact of restricting traffic flow. In their eyes the reduction in thousands of cars was an instant success and people just stopped driving their cars. Short vision or biased vision assuming that no driver would have the motivation to find short cuts around the traffic jams. In short very faulty research. Last, the WSJ recent article declared Vision Zero to be a complete failure around the world and

in the US. Moreover, it is bewn proven it increases the amount of injuries.

Dov Paz

mailboxq@gmail.com

3730 May street

[Los Angeles , California 90066](#)

Aaron Donaldson <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: aaron23donaldson@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 7:27 PM

Eric Bruins,

Bikers are getting run over from cars making right hand turns. I personally have seen three accidents when they occurred. The parked cars block the view of the bike path. The bikers seem to pop up out of nowhere. Almost hit someone also. Way dangerous! Oh, and with only two lanes, and an island in the middle, I've seen emergency response vehicles unable to pass. There is no where to pull over to let them pass. Way dangerous. Not very well thought out or designed.

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Aaron Donaldson

aaron23donaldson@yahoo.com

2411 S Centinela Ave
Santa Monica, California 90405

Pamela Juba <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: pamelajuba@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 7:35 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Pamela Juba
pamelajuba@yahoo.com
3887 Lyceum Ave
Los Angeles , California 90066

Steve Bevilacqua <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: emb233@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:06 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

In addition, I carpool a bunch of kids to school through there every day and it's awful. Gridlock, congestion, close call accidents, and many actual accidents for the kids to see. Most of the traffic has been diverted to the side streets, through neighbor hoods where they shouldn't be driving (and yet still there's gridlock - that's how failed this plan is.) Most of the businesses that I used to frequent in Mar Vista have now closed. This plan has been bad for over a year and it's not getting better. Idling cars stuck in traffic are not good for air quality or for the environment. Please don't give in to the special interest groups trying to force this through. Please listen to the residents and do what is right for the neighborhood.

Thank you,
Steve Bevilacqua

Steve Bevilacqua
emb233@yahoo.com
248 Market Street
[Venice, California 90291](#)

Wm Mcglynn <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:29 PM

Reply-To: mcg_js@verizon.net
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Wm McGlynn
mcg_js@verizon.net
3721 Moore st
Los Angeles , California 90066

Natalie M <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: intd.gal@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:30 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that

have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Natalie M
intd.gal@gmail.com

mar vista , California 90066

MARIE BROWN <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: MBROWN1247@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:32 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

MARIE BROWN
MBROWN1247@YAHOO.COM
3714 Boise Ave
Los Angeles, California 90066

Laura Smith <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: akasmith63@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:40 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Laura Smith
akasmith63@yahoo.com
4273 Lyceum Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90066

Gina Sommo <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: gibasommo@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 8:55 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Gina Sommo
gibasommo@gmail.com
East Blvd.
Los Angeles, California 90066

Renee Khoury <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: renee@astatic.net
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:11 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair

argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Renee Khoury

renee@astatic.net

3744 Ocean View Ave

Los Angeles, California 90066-3116

Sally Leboeuf <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Reply-To: saultine48@gmail.com

To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:20 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA

Neighbors Network.

Sally Leboeuf

saultine48@gmail.com

6245 Santa Monica Blvd

[Los Angeles, California 90038](#)

Jennifer Rafeedie <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:34 PM

Reply-To: drrafeedie@gmail.com

To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Jennifer Rafeedie

drrafeedie@gmail.com

11428 Charnock road

[Los Angeles , California 90066](#)

Cheri Nolan <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: cheriannenolan@verizon.net
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 9:38 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Cheri Nolan
cheriannenolan@verizon.net
11845 Mayfield Ave.
Los Angeles, California 90049

Jane Pressman <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: jane.pressman@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:09 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Jane Pressman
jane.pressman@gmail.com

Venice, California 90292

Barbara Gibson <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: bgrealestatebroker@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:11 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA

Neighbors Network.

Barbara Gibson
bgrealestatebroker@gmail.com
4426 Alla Road
Los Angeles, California 90066

Scot Kramarich <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: scot@bullseye-studio.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:40 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Scot Kramarich
scot@bullseye-studio.com
233 Bernard Ave
Venice, California 90291

Chris Reese <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: thatdonfc@mac.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Tue, Feb 26, 2019 at 10:52 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Chris Reese
thatdonfc@mac.com
1621 Venice Blvd
[Venice, California 90291](#)

Brooke Eaton <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: brooke_eaton@spe.sony.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 12:12 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Brooke Eaton
brooke_eaton@spe.sony.com
13045 Pacific Promenade #430
Playa Vista , California 90094

Jean Kaye <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: spamvarmint@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 2:02 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Jean Kaye

spamvarmint@aol.com

4350 Chase Ave.

[Los Angeles, California 90077](#)

Kiyomi Shishido <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: kamshishido@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 2:37 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Kiyomi Shishido

kamshishido@aol.com

7917 Denrock Avenue

[Los Angeles, CA. 90045](#)

Loree Bryer <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: loreeb212@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 5:59 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project. Please grant the appeal and allow a full review.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Loree Bryer
loreeb212@gmail.com
3347 Wade St.
[Los Angeles, California 90066](#)

Judy Accardi <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: jaccardi@ymail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 6:41 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Judy Accardi
jaccardi@ymail.com
452 Rialto Avenue
Venice , California 90291

Pat Helem <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: phelem@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 6:43 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets

Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Pat Helem
phelem@gmail.com
12720 Venice Blvd. #202
LA, California 90066

Sara Sluss <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: sara.sluss@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 7:09 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Sara Sluss
sara.sluss@gmail.com
2129 Glencoe Avenue
Venice, California 90291

Ingrid Marsten <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: marsxii2002@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 7:23 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Ingrid Marsten
marsxii2002@yahoo.com
2319 Beach Avenue
Venice, California 90291

Nina Cerbo <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: neners56@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 8:42 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Nina Cerbo
neners56@yahoo.com

venice, California 90292

Randall Sears <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: randallrsears@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 8:54 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental

review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Randall Sears
randallrsears@gmail.com
2480 Glencoe Ave
Venice, California 90291

Barbara Barnes <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: barneswife@verizon.net
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 9:03 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Barbara Barnes
barneswife@verizon.net
3222 Grandview blvd

Los angeles, California 90066

Garrett Oneal <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: garrettoneal@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 11:43 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Garrett Oneal
garrettoneal@yahoo.com
647 1/2 crestmoore pl
[venice, California 90291](#)

Richard Axelrod <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: ultedge1@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 11:51 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Richard Axelrod
ultedge1@gmail.com
3306 Mountain View Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90066-1930

Richard Wafer <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: richwafer@me.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 12:51 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Richard Wafer
richwafer@me.com
3635 mountain view ave
L a, California 90066

Lilith Borko <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: Lilithb2000@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 1:28 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Lilith Borko
Lilithb2000@aol.com

3753 Meier Street
Los Angeles, California 90066

Merle Freeman <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: mleefent@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 4:15 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Merle Freeman
mleefent@gmail.com
13010 Mindanao Way #2
Marina del Rey, California 90292

Sheila Ginsberg <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: glencove@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 5:04 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Sheila Ginsberg
glencove@aol.com
3537 Barry avenue
Los angeles, California 90066

Ray Mattson <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: mattsonb@aol.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 5:42 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or

Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Ray Mattson
mattsonb@aol.com

Wasatch

Los Angeles, California 90066

Kate Wafer <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: katewafer@me.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Wed, Feb 27, 2019 at 6:06 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Kate Wafer

katewafer@me.com

3635 Mountain View Avenue

Los Angeles, California 90066



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Council File 19-0092 and CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

3 messages

Rick Swinger <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: rickswinger@yahoo.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Thu, Feb 28, 2019 at 6:49 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Rick Swinger
rickswinger@yahoo.com
Rose ave
Venice, California 90291

Nadya Malconian <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: nmalconian@verizon.net
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Thu, Feb 28, 2019 at 10:28 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Nadya Malconian
nmalconian@verizon.net

Los Angeles, California 90066

shura Reininger <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: shurakie@hotmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Thu, Feb 28, 2019 at 4:03 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

shura Reininger

shurakie@hotmail.com

3645 redwood Av

[Los Angeles, California 90066](#)



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Council File 19-0092 and CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

1 message

Sheryl Aubrey <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: saubrey@lausd.net
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Fri, Mar 1, 2019 at 8:57 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Sheryl Aubrey
saubrey@lausd.net
2409 Clark
Venice, California 90291



Eric Bruins <eric.bruins@lacity.org>

Council File 19-0092 and CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

7 messages

Dennis Mogerman <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: dmogerman@hotmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Fri, Mar 1, 2019 at 9:01 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Dennis Mogerma
dmogerman@hotmail.com
12405 Venice Blvd. #169
Los Angeles, CA , California 90066

Richard Sinclair <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: rdsinclair@earthlink.net
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Fri, Mar 1, 2019 at 9:50 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Richard Sinclair
rdsinclair@earthlink.net
2922 Tilden Ave
Los Angeles, California 90064-4014

Elizabeth Pennington <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: eapenninnton12@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Fri, Mar 1, 2019 at 10:00 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Elizabeth Pennington
eapenninnton12@gmail.com

Los angeles, California 90066

Carol Reynes <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: Carol.Reynes@win-results.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Fri, Mar 1, 2019 at 10:34 PM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Carol Reynes
Carol.Reynes@win-results.com

Venice, California 90291-2910

David Saltzberg <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: dvd.sltzbrg@gmail.com
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Sat, Mar 2, 2019 at 12:44 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

David Saltzberg
dvd.sltzbrg@gmail.com
6 Northstar St. Unit 304
[Marina del rey, California 90292](#)

Carole Masek <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: themaseks@verizon.net
To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Sat, Mar 2, 2019 at 7:17 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Carole Masek

themaseks@verizon.net

4227 Chase Ave

[Los Angeles, California 90066](#)

Richard Simon <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>

Reply-To: ufobass@aol.com

To: eric.bruins@lacity.org

Sat, Mar 2, 2019 at 8:38 AM

Eric Bruins,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Three enormous problems have accompanied this mis-named Great Streets project:

(1) congestion and delays during rush hours are obvious to anyone living in Mar Vista or commuting through it.

(2) trying to turn right onto Venice Blvd. is dangerous because of the distance between the unusable right lane and the oncoming traffic. (3) development of additional housing units since this "experiment" was implemented has brought many more cars to the area. The number of ride-sharing vehicles has also increased. The plan was bad enough before, but now that there are more vehicles squeezed into two lanes, the plan is proving to be unworkable and unacceptable to local residents.

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Richard Simon

ufobass@aol.com

3924 Alla Road PO Box 661777

Los Angeles, California 90066

LA City Council
RE: CF19-0092

February 25, 2019

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing to express my full support for the Great Streets project on Venice Blvd in Mar Vista.

During my time as the President of the Mar Vista Chamber of Commerce, I was deeply involved with the outreach process to all the businesses along the 1-mile stretch of road, as well as to our members at large via our email and social media communications.

I personally went door-to-door to make all 120 businesses at the time aware of Make it Mar Vista 2015, which was a Great Streets Challenge Grant-funded pop-up version of the ultimate street configuration, as well as a holiday festival and shopping event, held in November of that year.

This event was designed to give people in the community a preview of what the lane changes and bike lane against the curb would look and feel like.

Beyond the Make it Mar Vista project in 2015, I am aware of many other outreach efforts made by the Councilman's office regarding the lane configuration, including walking door-to-door with the Mayor to some of the businesses on Venice Blvd., residential door-to-door outreach, and charrettes held at the Mar Vista Farmers' Market, a local coffee shop, and Venice High School.

On another note, I wanted to make special note of the economic impact on the Mar Vista area after the Great Street was installed. From the Chamber's point of view, supporting business was of utmost importance, and what we see from the report made by DOT is that overall the businesses have increased revenue over the past 2 years by \$3 Million. From my point of view, that is a very positive outcome and one we should all be supporting.

Best wishes,
Sarah

Sarah Auerswald
23-year Mar Vista resident and former President of the Mar Vista Chamber of
Commerce
SAuerswald@gmail.com



**Mar Vista
Community Council**

P.O. Box 66871
Mar Vista, CA 90066
@MarVistaCC • info@marvista.org
www.marvista.org

Officers 2018-2019

Chair Elliot Hanna

elliott.hanna@MarVista.org

1st Vice Chair

Rob Kadota
rob@MarVista.org

2nd Vice Chair

Mary Hruska
mary.hruska@MarVista.org

Secretary

Sara Roos
sara.roos@MarVista.org

Treasurer

Holly Tilson
holly.tilson@MarVista.org

**Board of Directors
2018-2019**

Zone Directors

Zone 1

Ken Alpern

Zone 2

Heather Kamins

Zone 3

Mary Hruska

Zone 4

Aaron Elster

Zone 5

Michelle Krupkin

Zone 6

Holly Tilson

At-Large Directors

Robin Doyno
Rob Kadota
Susan Klos
Nanxi Liu
Sara Roos
Stacy Shure

Community Director

Elliot Hanna



Certified Neighborhood Council

VIA EMAIL

February 24, 2019

TO: Mike Bonin, CD11 Council Member

CC: Chad Molnar, Chief of Staff for Mike Bonin;
David Graham-Caso, CD11 Deputy Chief of Staff; Eric Bruins, CD11
Transportation Policy Director; Alek Bartrosouf, CD11 Transportation
Policy Deputy; Hannah Levien, CD11 Mar Vista & WLA Field Deputy

Dear Councilmember Bonin:

It has come to the attention of the Mar Vista Community Council (MVCC) that the following item, Council File 19-0092 will be heard in the Transportation Committee on Wednesday, February, 27, 2019 at 1:00 p.m:

LADOT report relative to a CEQA appeal by Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network, Inc. (Jamie T. Hall, Channel Law Group, LLP, representative), of LADOT's Venice Boulevard Great Street Project – Finalized Project and Additional Improvements, and categorical exemption from CEQA requirements pursuant to CEQA sections 15301 and 15304

MVCC objects to the process followed in this matter and, at a special meeting held a short time ago, passed the following Resolution of Disapproval by a vote of six ayes, one no, and two abstentions:

Resolution of Disapproval

The Mar Vista Community Council is disappointed and dismayed that Councilmember Bonin, in announcing his decision regarding the Great Streets Venice Blvd Project, chose to violate City Charter Section 907 and L.A.M.C. Section 22.810.1 (f) (A).

By announcing, on December 20, 2018, via an email blast and YouTube video, that data collection and analysis on the project had been completed and a decision made, Mr. Bonin precluded any opportunity for input or discussion with the Mar Vista Community Council as mandated by these statutes.

Accordingly, the Mar Vista Community Council strongly disapproves of Mr. Bonin's conduct with regard to this matter.

It is MVCC's sincere hope that you, as committee chairman, will delay this vote until such time as its input can be sought and considered.

Sincerely yours,

Elliot Hanna, Chair
Mar Vista Community Council

City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

3 messages

Steven Guerry <steven.guerry@gmail.com> Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 11:34 AM
 To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, john.white@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.Krekorian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfeld@lacity.org, david.ryu@lacity.org, Paul.Koretz@lacity.org, councilmember.martinez@lacity.org, Councilmember.Rodriguez@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, councilmember.bonin@lacity.org, councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org, councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org, Councilmember.Smith@lacity.org, CityClerk@lacity.org, Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

I strongly **OPPOSE** the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

If we're going to be serious about making our streets safer, we need more projects like these. Making streets safer for people to bike and walk is essential to move away from transportation that relies on automobiles. In addition to making neighborhoods safer, these street improvements have shown to be good for business and make streets more vibrant. It will also do a lot to cut into the 40% of California carbon emissions attributed to transportation.

Thank you.

Steven Guerry
5120 1/2 Fountain Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90029

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org> Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 11:40 AM
 To: Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org> Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 11:41 AM
 To: Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Test name <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Date: Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 11:38 AM
Subject: City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements
To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Los Angeles City Clerk's Office,

I strongly oppose the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

This group values convenience for people who drive cars above safety for citizens and the environment.

Test name
 s@c.com
 123 Main St
 Los Angeles, CA, California 90029

Kathy Wishard <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: wakiauntkaki@aol.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 2:02 AM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Kathy Wishard
wakiauntkaki@aol.com
427 Concord Street
El Segundo, California 90245

marianne pogoler <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: sfxlavender@verizon.net
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 7:19 AM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Respectfully,
The Pogoler Family

marianne pogoler
sfxlavender@verizon.net

venice, California 90291

Sara Sluss <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: sara.sluss@gmail.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 7:25 AM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Sara Sluss
sara.sluss@gmail.com
2129 Glencoe Avenue
Venice, California 90291

J D Pepper <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: jdanpepper@aol.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 7:47 AM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

In my 45 years as a LA County taxpayer, I have never witnessed a destructive force that could match that of Councilman Bonin. Mr. Bonin has proven to possess the "Midas Touch in reverse" as everything he touches turns into a public nuisance and degrades the vitality of the community.

J D Pepper
jdapepper@aol.com

Marina del Rey, California 90292

Olivia Shores <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: shoresovenice@yahoo.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 9:39 AM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

OliviA Shores
shoresovenice@yahoo.com
2333 Clement ave
Venice, California 90291

Sandy Szabo <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: szabo@digitalsurgeon.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 10:01 AM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Sandy Szabo
szabo@digitalsurgeon.com
1514 Yale Street, Apt # 7
Santa Monica, California 90404

Richard Wafer <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: richwafer@me.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 11:07 AM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Richard Wafer
richwafer@me.com
3635 Mountain View Ave
Lis Angeles, California 90066

Katherine Wafer <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: katewafer@me.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 11:10 AM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Katherine Wafer
katewafer@me.com
3635 Mountain View Ave
Los Angeles, California 90066

Test name <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: s@c.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 11:38 AM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly oppose the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

This group values convenience for people who drive cars above safety for citizens and the environment.

Test name
s@c.com
123 Main St
Los Angeles, CA, California 90029

Rosalind Sepulveda <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Reply-To: rj.payitforward@gmail.com
To: Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 1:47 PM

Los Angeles City Clerk CPS-LAS,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that

have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Rosalind Sepulveda
rj.payitforward@gmail.com
3621 Stewart Ave
[Los Angeles, California 90066](#)

City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements

4 messages

Steven Guerry <steven.guerry@gmail.com> Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 11:34 AM
 To: councilmember.huizar@lacity.org, john.white@lacity.org, councilmember.cedillo@lacity.org, councilmember.Krekorian@lacity.org, councilmember.blumenfeld@lacity.org, david.ryu@lacity.org, Paul.Koretz@lacity.org, councilmember.martinez@lacity.org, Councilmember.Rodriguez@lacity.org, councilmember.harris-dawson@lacity.org, councilmember.price@lacity.org, councilmember.wesson@lacity.org, councilmember.bonin@lacity.org, councilmember.ofarrell@lacity.org, councilmember.buscaino@lacity.org, Councilmember.Smith@lacity.org, CityClerk@lacity.org, Clerk.CPS@lacity.org

I strongly **OPPOSE** the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

If we're going to be serious about making our streets safer, we need more projects like these. Making streets safer for people to bike and walk is essential to move away from transportation that relies on automobiles. In addition to making neighborhoods safer, these street improvements have shown to be good for business and make streets more vibrant. It will also do a lot to cut into the 40% of California carbon emissions attributed to transportation.

Thank you.

Steven Guerry
5120 1/2 Fountain Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90029

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org> Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 11:40 AM
 To: Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

[Quoted text hidden]

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org> Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 11:41 AM
 To: Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

----- Forwarded message -----
From: Test name <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Date: Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 11:38 AM
Subject: City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements
To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Los Angeles City Clerk's Office,

I strongly oppose the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

This group values convenience for people who drive cars above safety for citizens and the environment.

Test name
s@c.com
123 Main St
Los Angeles, CA, California 90029

Anna Martinez <anna.martinez@lacity.org>
To: Clerk Council and Public Services <Clerk.CPS@lacity.org>

Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 2:11 PM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Rosalind Sepulveda** <info@sg.actionnetwork.org>
Date: Tue, Mar 5, 2019 at 1:47 PM
Subject: City Council Agenda: Council File 19-0092, CEQA Appeal for Venice Boulevard Great Street Project - Finalized Project and Additional Improvements
To: <CityClerk@lacity.org>

Los Angeles City Clerk's Office,

I strongly support the Westside Los Angeles Neighbors Network's CEQA appeal of the finalization of the Great Streets Venice Blvd. project which is scheduled to be on the City Council agenda on Tuesday, March 5, 2019.

There were many significant concerns raised during the one-year pilot project to support a fair argument that the road diet has had significant impacts upon the environment. Concerns that have not been acknowledged, answered or addressed by LADOT, the Great Streets Initiative, or Councilman Bonin's office.

So how can the City of Los Angeles make this project permanent without an environmental review? How can the City go back on its promise made in March 2018 that: "If it (Great Streets Venice Blvd) is made permanent, there will be an environmental assessment and accompanying public process."

Please rectify this error by granting the CEQA appeal brought before you by the Westside LA Neighbors Network.

Rosalind Sepulveda
rj.payitforward@gmail.com
3621 Stewart Ave
Los Angeles, California 90066