

Communication from Public

Name: Jodi

Date Submitted: 08/26/2025 09:02 PM

Council File No: 20-0263-S3

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose file number 41. I oppose and do not think we pay for \$6 million in legal fees. This is a fight that they should've never started. We should have the right to go in and see where the money is going. The taxpayers have a right to transparency and accountability.

Communication from Public

Name: Heidi Roberts

Date Submitted: 08/27/2025 09:13 AM

Council File No: 20-0263-S3

Comments for Public Posting: This is an insulting use of taxpayer funds. The City MUST prioritize its budget to solve the immense problems it's facing rather than continuing to cover for its embarrassing (and corrupt) past actions (or lack of actions). It's unethical to divert more funds to defend against the City's failure to use tax dollars as they were intended only to use those same tax dollars to fight to accomplish even less. Hydee - I had high hopes for you to be a common sense City Attorney who would course correct some of the bad past paths of your predecessors. Instead, you're tripling down on those bad strategies. Please, use those millions of taxpayer dollars to create the housing the City has an obligation to create. Stop the insanity! Heidi Roberts

Communication from Public

Name: cari bjelajac

Date Submitted: 08/27/2025 09:16 AM

Council File No: 20-0263-S3

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly oppose the request for \$6 million tax dollars to be spent on fighting Judge Carter's orders. It is time for the city to recognize what we all know. The corruption and incompetence of LAHSA and the other homeless service providers, and the city's negligence in holding them accountable have failed people most in need. LAHSA has been a failure. The city investment in them has been a failure. The methodology they use has been a failure. The results are a failure. We all know it. Paying lawyers \$6 million to pretend it wasn't a failure is insanity. I agree 100% with this statement : "... The city has hundreds of capable lawyers, and the notion that they need to spend this kind of money to prevent a court from holding them to their obligations and their promises, it raises real questions about the decision-making in the city on this issue." "For a city that claims to be in fiscal crisis, this is nonsense," VOTE NO. Use \$6 million to fill the gap to fund the city controller's office to do additional audits and find waste.

Communication from Public

Name: James Nichols

Date Submitted: 08/27/2025 09:20 AM

Council File No: 20-0263-S3

Comments for Public Posting: I can not believe that the city is backpedaling on this issue rather than using these resources to move forward and help the people effected by it. Don't support dragging on with this legal battle, instead uphold Judge Carter's ruling and let's get on with help and change. Do the right thing here for all of LA. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 08/27/2025 09:53 AM

Council File No: 20-0263-S3

Comments for Public Posting: The people of Los Angeles want our tax dollars spent helping the homeless crisis. We do not consent to having tax dollars spent on lawyers fighting to hide accountability and \$6,000,000 that is being asked for lawyers fees is a slap in the face of all families who need housing help. This is disgraceful. We want the groups that are supposed to be helping people held accountable and do not want to fund their lawsuit which tries to deflect accountability. I'm shocked this is even a legal avenue for them to peruse.