

## Communication from Public

**Name:** ANN MARTAYAN  
**Date Submitted:** 05/21/2022 12:22 PM  
**Council File No:** 20-0291

**Comments for Public Posting:** The TEMPORARY EVICTION MORATORIUM is well into its second year. Following the examples of Governor Newsome and Mayor Garcetti, people have stopped wearing masks. The entire Los Angeles City Council holds its meeting without wearing a mask. In a PBS interview with Dr. Fauci, President Biden's Chief Medical Advisor, declared that the pandemic is over. COVID-19 is now in the endemic phase similar to the flu. However, save Councilmen Lee and Buscaino, the remainder of the City Council, without a legitimate rational reason, has voted repeatedly to extend the TEMPORARY EVICTION MORATORIUM to gain votes to maintain power over the people to ensure that those senior citizens - who saved their money and bought real estate to secure their retirement income – are punished for doing the same. The result, senior citizens are forced to borrow money or sell their property to provide free housing to freeloaders. Moreover, the same City Council members are allowing freeloaders to accumulate debt that they will not be able to repay and file for bankruptcy; thereby, ensuring that those same senior citizens never be repaid. As importantly, those same freeloaders will have their credit ruined and will not be able to find housing or jobs. Currently, Los Angeles is one of the most difficult places for business and real estate development. City zoning laws are onerous and Building & Safety employees are not motivated to do anything except to get you out the door by doing the absolute minimum. The cost of building materials has gone up anywhere from 60% to 120%. For example, I recent replaced a two-car garage door. It cost me \$1,200. In 2021, that same door cost \$900. As a result of inflation, bad zoning laws and lazy city employees, the Governor's change in single family zoning laws will have little or no effect. No one wants to waste money in attempting to build housing under these conditions. Who is getting hurt the most? Mom and pop landlords who are the largest group of housing providers in the city. The Mayor and City Council's actions speak louder than the propaganda they spew. They have no shame in destroying senior citizens lives that depend on rental income and adding on to the existing homeless and crime problems that plague our city's streets. They would rather see us homeless and under their control for food and shelter. I would say shame on them, but it is apparent that they have no shame. Repeal of the

TEMORARY EVICTION MORATORIUM is overdue.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Estella Suarez-Hamilton

**Date Submitted:** 05/21/2022 11:50 AM

**Council File No:** 20-0291

**Comments for Public Posting:** This Regular City Council meeting is Violating the existing laws that require public meetings to be open to ALL members of the public for observation and to address the Council Members in real time. The Requirement to hold Live Oral Public comment in person only, then the requirement to fulfill the condition of showing specific health information such as vax proof or documented proof of negative covid test, is a violation of existing laws such as the Brown Act and the Open Meeting act. ALL VOTES should be suspended until the ENTIRE public population has access to these meetings to make live oral public comment. The following Existing laws are being violated. The Open Meetings Act, The Brown Act, the Unruh Civil Rights Act, The First and Fourteenth Amendment to the US Constitution. AB No. 361 "Existing law prohibits a legislative body from requiring, as a condition to attend a meeting, a person to register the person's name, or to provide other information, or to fulfill any condition precedent to the person's attendance." "The legislative body shall not require public comments to be submitted in advance of the meeting and must provide an opportunity for the public to address the legislative body and offer comment in real time. This subparagraph shall not be construed to require the legislative body to provide a physical location from which the public may attend or comment. Brown Act: 54953.3. Conditions to attendance at meetings " "A member of the public shall not be required, as a condition to attendance at a meeting of a legislative body of a local agency, to register his or her name, to provide other information, to complete a questionnaire, or otherwise to fulfill any condition precedent to his or her attendance."