

Communication from Public

Name: Bridget R Gordon

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 10:08 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: While it is clear that the majority of you intend to disregard a lengthy and transparent process led by the Redistricting Commission in favor of preserving your own seats and districts, it is also clear that some of you are also more than willing to further harm the 10th Council District in the process. We are shocked and disturbed that the Council President, along with Councilmembers Krekorian, Cedilo and Koretz, would introduce motions to take the communities of Harvard Heights and Crestview out of the 10th District - actions that not only would divide communities of interest, but reduce the population of the 10th District to an unacceptable level. The plan proposed by the Redistricting Commission for the 10th Council District largely represents a vision to keep communities of interest and neighborhood councils intact. These aforementioned motions show a complete disrespect for residents of the 10th District who have already been so marginalized by this Council. Do the right thing and keep the 10th District whole and vote down these two motions.

Communication from Public

Name: Kim Richter

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 10:25 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly urge the Council to keep LA City Ladera in Council District 11. We have a petition of neighbors who have voiced their opposition to being moved to CD8 and their strong support to stay with CD 11. Please find the link to the petition here:
<https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1t1G5PW0c3qSPkVBfyODW15f6rBdA1hyTUI7Tkqnr7Vc/edit?usp=sharing>

Communication from Public

Name: Karen DiBiase

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 10:40 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I wish to speak on item#34 for Redistricting. I am a member of the Woodland Hills-Warner Center Neighborhood Council and a resident of Woodland Hills, and am speaking here as a stakeholder. I request consideration be given to the Stakeholder Redistricting Map#57666, which was submitted by the affected San Fernando Valley stakeholders so current cities and neighborhood council areas be kept intact. Pierce College and the north side of Aetna St and Exhibit Place must be moved back to CD3 so the Woodland Hills area be kept intact. To split these streets separates neighbors who have no relation to Pierce College and should never be considered as a solution to redistricting.

Communication from Public

Name: Scott Mandell

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 10:20 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I'll make this short and simple. Keep Studio City whole. Keep Studio City in CD2. Do not split us. Do not assign us to a councilmember we did not vote for. Do not divide our community. Do not create chaos that comes from being represented by 2 different councilmembers. And most of all, do not let an AdHoc Committee at the end of the day on a Friday, make changes to the boundaries without aggressively informing the public and your constituents as to any of consequences of horse trading. Post clear and accurate maps immediately when changes take place.

Communication from Public

Name: Kim Turner

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 08:32 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: As a community activist for Studio City, I object to Studio City being divided into two Council Districts. Please return Studio City to CD2. Studio City Neighborhood Council has also asked to reunify our city so its voice is not diluted. We have many pressing issues facing our city and need to be unified to be able to properly address them. Please do not disregard Studio City -- a city established 100 years ago. Again, please return all of Studio City to CD2.

Communication from Public

Name: Lattice Armstead

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 10:51 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I support the boundaries of the Council District 10 recommended by the LA City Council Redistricting Commission and wholly support Karly Katona, CD10 Caretaker's letter CD10 opposing Councilmember Gilbert Cedillo (CD1) Motion 46-B on 11/2/2021 to amend the final report presented by the Redistricting Commission to move Harvard Heights into CD1 AND opposing Councilmember Paul Krekorian's (CD2) Motion 46-J to move Crestview into CD2. Both Motions would split and decrease CD10's population to unacceptable levels. Separately, neighborhoods such as Crenshaw Manor are similarly split into separate Neighborhood Empowerment Council Districts which further dilutes the voice of these small and historical neighborhoods. These practices disempower community members by splitting already small communities, effectively silencing its members. However unintended, these practices are unwelcomed and are not conducive to the stated intent of the Council or Empowerment Districts' mission. These practices should be earnestly addressed and desisted.



COUNCIL DISTRICT 10

CITY OF LOS ANGELES

November 8, 2021

Los Angeles City Council
200 N. Spring Street
Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council:

I write to express support for the boundaries of the 10th Council District in the configuration recommended by the Los Angeles City Council Redistricting Commission, which is consistent with the Voting Rights Act, and preserves the integrity of historic communities of interest and existing neighborhood council boundaries. Moreover, the configuration honors existing multi-cultural coalitions within the 10th Council District and achieves a long-standing goal of the City, as well as the most recent and prior Redistricting Commissions, to consolidate Koreatown under one district.

With the assistance of the Council President, two technical drafting errors related to the 10th Council District were addressed last week. First, in order to ensure cohesiveness and contiguity within the Leimert Park community, the existing boundary between the 8th and 10th Council Districts along W. Vernon Ave should remain intact. Second, it is appropriate to ensure that all of the Little Ethiopia community remain in the 10th Council District and that the Carthay Square community be fully included in one council district, namely the 5th Council District. These changes were advanced and adopted at Friday's Ad Hoc Redistricting Committee meeting with support from Councilmember Harris-Dawson and Councilmember Koretz, respectively.

However, I am concerned about motions introduced last week, namely motions 46-B and 46-J, which proposed to remove significant portions of the Harvard Heights community as well as the Crestview community from the 10th Council District. While it is my understanding that these motions will not be advanced, I want to underscore that an effort to reconsider these proposals would have a detrimental impact on the 10th Council District given that it would split the historic Harvard Heights community into two council districts, and it would reduce the population of the 10th Council District to an unacceptably low level. Any efforts to mitigate this population loss would require significant changes to the configuration of the 10th Council District, thereby potentially raising issues under the Voting Rights Act.

Thank you for your consideration, and your ongoing efforts to advance a map that promotes cohesiveness and community for all Angelinos.

Sincerely,

KARLY KATONA
Caretaker, 10th District

Communication from Public

Name: Joseph Roth

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 10:52 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I am on the Board of Directors of the Westwood South of Santa Monica Blvd Homeowners' Association (WSSM) located on the westside, with boundaries spanning a broad area from Santa Monica Blvd to Pico Blvd., and from Beverly Glen to Sepulveda Blvd. This community originally was developed to provide housing for staff and faculty at UCLA. I want to voice support for the Ad Hoc Committee's Hybrid map based on its inclusion of this entire WSSM membership within one single district, Council District 5 – as well as its keeping whole the Westside Neighborhood Council (WNC) and other affiliated HOAs within that same district. Due to the longstanding interconnectivity of Westside businesses and residences connected by shared major arterials, we hope the full City Council will maintain the integrity of that same historical grouping and continue to support the Hybrid map. We understand that the Council will consider additional amendments to the map, but it is our hope that any changes made will not ripple in our direction, undermining the progress and unity forged on the Westside. Thank you for supporting the Hybrid Map.

Communication from Public

Name: Baldwin Hills Estates Homeowners Association, Teresa Humphrey, President

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 11:08 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Community Impact Statement regarding Los Angeles 2021 Council Redistricting (CD8) The Baldwin Hills Estates Homeowners Association (BHEHOA), represents 3300+ residents at the Northern end of Council District 8. I write to urge you to correct and return the Assets that were removed out of CD8 and given to other districts. CD8 is the poorest district with No economic assets that would increase CD8's revenue and profitability. If this injustice is allowed to continue it will certainly contribute to the uptick in poverty and increase the crime rate within our district. These removal of assets are not proportionate with the current population that should concentrate on distributing equitable resources to every district. It appears that since CD8 is majority black and brown, they are stripped of any economic viability and ignored. The community continues to suffer and the politicians use the stakeholders as political pawns on a chess board. Enough is Enough. Please vote to correct this wrong. Please return USC, Expo Park (including the African American Museum) and Kaiser / Marlton Square back to CD8. This move would provide opportunity for all districts to thrive. This would help vitalize the community and provide jobs and growth for CD8. Our district has suffered a great hardship due to the pandemic that has been particularly detrimental to the black and brown communities of color in Los Angeles. Please correct the imbalance that was created during the last redistricting and return CD8 assets back to its district with increased opportunity and growth. I implore you to assist in returning the assets by providing economic stability to our historically, and culturally diverse community. Thank You so much for your immediate attention in this matter. Teresa Humphrey, President Baldwin Hills Estates HOA, Inc

Communication from Public

Name: Earlene Anderson AKA Cookie Anderson

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 11:05 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: November 9, 2021 Members of Los Angeles City Council, it is important to our community for boundaries of Council District 10 redistricting stays the same. We have lived in Leimert 64 years & also have a home in Crenshaw Manor for 30 years. Los Angeles City Council we need your support.

Communication from Public

Name: Jeanette Capaldi
Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 11:11 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: Jeanette Capaldi 19136 Hart Street Reseda 91335 CD#3 In regards to item #34 I would like to encourage you to vote to keep the Districts as they are. They are working well and are distributed equally. Thank you, Jeanette Capaldi

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 11:11 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Council person Curren Price, It is with great concern that I write to you as a constituent of CD8, the home of the largest African American voting district in the City of Los Angeles. Your determination to keep Exposition Park, USC and University Village which have historically been in CD8, after the way they were removed during the last city redistricting is quite surprising and concerning. It is surprising because the African American Community has always embraced and supported you. It is also surprising since your district CD9 has an abundance of assets. CD9 as you know is the home of the Figueroa Corridor, Staples Center, L.A. Live, The Convention Center, The Ritz Carlton Hotel, and portion of the garment district. CD8 has zero, zilch, nothing. The partial asset left, The Baldwin Hills Crenshaw Mall, is in flux, and not expected to benefit our community. That you are willing to deprive the African American Community of economic justice, when it has historically nurtured you, all in the hope of being re-elected is quite concerning. How can you expect the African American voter support in your reelection, when your actions are clearly indicating that you are not in support of the largest African American community? We know that you will eventually be termed out, as all council members eventually are, however the damage being done will be permanent. I hope that you will reconsider your position, and do-the-right-thing, and return the assets; USC, University Village and Exposition Park to CD8 to allow for the future of the residents of our community. The communities of CD8, CD9 and CD10 are watching; so are our ancestors and the entire City of Los Angeles. Our youth, which are our future, are specially watching you as a Black male in a very powerful leadership position. What will your message be to them, in regard to what you are choosing to leave the African American community behind? I pray that you will make the just decision that will reflect the equity that our city says it is seeking for all its constituents. Best Regards, Antonieta E. Kashimbiri

Communication from Public

Name: Margaret Gillespie

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 11:16 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I serve on the Westside Neighborhood Council (“WNC”), and I also sit on the Board of Directors of the Cheviot Hills Homeowners Association ("CHHOA"). I have participated in the redistricting process since April 2021. I fully support the Ad Hoc Committee's Hybrid Map because it keeps Cheviot Hills intact within the WNC and the WNC intact within Council District 5 thereby preserving our communities of interest. Although there has been much debate throughout this process regarding other parts the draft maps, the consistent theme has been that the lines drawn for the Westside are correct.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 11:16 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: CEO Institute of Econometrics & Democracy (CIED) 453 South Spring Street Suite 336 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Dear Council person Curren Price, The board of CEO Institute of Econometrics & Democracy-joins in solidarity with the community feelings expressed in this letter. It is with great concern that I write to you as a constituent of CD8, the home of the largest African American voting district in the City of Los Angeles. Your determination to keep Exposition Park, USC and University Village which have historically been in CD8, after the way they were removed during the last city redistricting is quite surprising and concerning. It is surprising because the African American Community has always embraced and supported you. It is also surprising since your district CD9 has an abundance of assets. CD9 as you know is the home of the Figueroa Corridor, Staples Center, L.A. Live, The Convention Center, The Ritz Carlton Hotel, and portion of the garment district. CD8 has zero, zilch, nothing. The partial asset left, The Baldwin Hills Crenshaw Mall, is in flux, and not expected to benefit our community. That you are willing to deprive the African American Community of economic justice, when it has historically nurtured you, all in the hope of being re-elected is quite concerning. How can you expect the African American voter support in your reelection, when your actions are clearly indicating that you are not in support of the largest African American community? We know that you will eventually be termed out, as all council members eventually are, however the damage being done will be permanent. We hope that you will reconsider your position, and do-the-right-thing, and return the assets; USC, University Village and Exposition Park to CD8 to allow for the future of the residents of our community. The communities of CD8, CD9 and CD10 are watching; so are our ancestors and the entire City of Los Angeles. Our youth, which are our future, are specially watching you as a Black male in a very powerful leadership position. What will your message be to them, regarding what you are choosing to leave the African American community behind? We pray that you will make the just decision that will reflect the equity that our city says it is seeking for all its constituents. Best Regards, Dr. Laurence, Founding Chairman Dr. S.F. Battle-Bey, Founding Director Ms. Betty Estrada, Founding Director Antonieta Edwards Kashimbiri,

Founding Director

Communication from Public

Name: Esther

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 11:20 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I am speaking regarding Agenda item 34 and then add comments below Thank you for all of your time and effort to create an equitable map for the City of Los Angeles. I support the configuration of district 5, in the hybrid map approved by the redistricting ad-hoc committee on Nov. 5th. My community thanks the committee and now ask all the members of the Council to join in protecting the 70-year-old historic Jewish Council District . The unity of this district has given our community a representative and collective voice in fighting anti-Semitism as well as the opportunity to uplift and share our culture City wide. We also commend the hybrid map for being inclusive of a district for our Armenian brothers and sisters. Also Please continue to keep the communities of Canoga Park, Tarzana, Woodland Hills, Winnetka and Warner Center in the 3rd council district. Thank you for your continued care in this complex process.

Communication from Public

Name: Emma Aranza

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 11:20 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Hi my name is Emma I am an Acce member in ditrict 8.I will speak on items 34. Our message is very clear, please keep District 9 as a whole and bring back the expo park, please bring it back to District 9.

Communication from Public

Name: Adula Muhamed

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 11:20 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Hi my name is___ ACCE Member, I live in Distirct 8 I will speak on items 34. Our message is very clear, please keep District 9 as a whole and bring back the expo park, please bring it back to District 9.

Communication from Public

Name: TOM RILEY

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 11:20 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I am glad that Lake Balboa is back in the 6th district. We have the person we voted for (Nury M.), who is familial with our needs and want and have been very helpful to the Lake Balboa community.

Communication from Public

Name: Bob Anderson (Sherman Oaks Homeowners Association)
Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 11:51 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: Bob Anderson of the Sherman Oaks Homeowners Association made the attached public statement on Agenda Item 34 at the November 9th City Council meeting. SOHA support the Ad Hoc Committee's Hybrid map as explained in the statement.

**Bob Anderson,
Sherman Oaks Homeowners Association.**

SOHA supports the Ad Hoc Committee's Hybrid map. It meets our two requirements: Keep the entire Sherman Oaks community whole in a single district and Give the Valley its fair share – five full districts and a single 68 percent Valley-majority bridge district.

The map also reconnects Sherman Oaks to our adjacent Communities of Interest.

We know the Council is “adjusting” the map. The online Hybrid map of November 8th is already different from the paper Hybrid map of November 5th. For example, the CD4 boundaries are slightly different, and the CD4 population is 15,090 higher.

SOHA will continue to support the Hybrid map IF the map continues to meet both our requirements. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Roland Souza

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 11:53 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I have lived in Harvard Heights for the past 33 years and despite the different council members that have represented our district we have received incredible assistance from the knowledgeable and supportive staff that have worked in the CD-10 office. Many times I have been asked to advocate for sensitive infill projects in historic neighborhoods in West Adams. When I see the difference a knowledgeable and informed CD staff can make in these important decisions that impact the community for years to come I realize how lucky I am to be in our district. A few months ago while awaiting my Item to come up the HCL Commission I listened to councilman Cedillo disregard his own Neighborhood council 's recommendation, the planning department's recommendation, the historic consultant's recommendations and recommend that the historic apartment complex be demolished and replaced by an oversized high rent apartment building. I am fearful about what my neighborhood would look like if we are moved to CD 1. I am disappointed that there has been very little public information about this and at the 11th hour I am knocking on my neighbor's doors and letting them know what is being proposed to divide our community in half and disrespect all the work that we have been doing for decades. Please reconsider this move. Thank you, Roland Souza

Communication from Public

Name: Ann O'Connor

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 12:07 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Dear City Council Redistricting Ad Hoc Committee Members, Our Community in the northern Part of Sherman Oaks is known as "POSO" and we would like to thank the Ad Hoc Committee for uniting and keeping POSO whole with Sherman Oaks, as the 2011 City Redistricting Commission also did after our official Renaming in 2009. The Report and Recommendations (Appendix J) of the 2011 LA City Council Redistricting Commission from 2012 said that the Commission kept whole communities identified by the LA City Community Renaming Policy. POSO is one of these communities. The 2011 Commission made a "de facto policy decision to maintain and respect communities and neighborhoods that had been identified by the Los Angeles City Community renaming Policy," and specifically mentioned Sherman Oaks. Our Renaming to Sherman Oaks is a significant City Action which should be grandfathered into this year's and all future Redistricting processes. We worked very hard on our Renaming to Sherman Oaks and it took a couple of years to attain. We also feel we should have been grandfathered into the Sherman Oaks Neighborhood Council, but were not because of a deficient city process. Perhaps the City can remedy that oversight in its Renaming process. We urge the Ad Hoc Committee to continue to keep us whole and united with Sherman Oaks in the City map as we move forward through the process. Again, we appreciate your focus on POSO and our strong Community of Interests we share with our 76,000 residents of Sherman Oaks. We also are very happy that you were able to deliver to the Valley its fair share of 5.7 districts and only one bridge district, which together will further amplify the voting strength and power that the Valley has long deserved. Congratulations to all of you and with much appreciation for your hard work. Sincerely, Ann O'Connor Part of Sherman Oaks ("POSO")

Communication from Public

Name: Norma Chavez

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 12:09 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I fully support keeping the boundaries of CD2 and CD6 the way they currently are.

Communication from Public

Name: Denise Davis

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 12:12 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Please maintain the boundaries of the Council District 10 as recommended by the LA City Council Redistricting Commission. There is no logical reason for separating communities that have been interwoven for many, many decades.

Communication from Public

Name: Hope

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 01:18 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Thank you for all of your time and effort to create an equitable map for the City of Los Angeles. I support the configuration of district 5, in the hybrid map approved by the redistricting ad-hoc committee on Nov. 5th. My community thanks the committee and now ask all the members of the Council to join in protecting the 70-year-old historic Jewish Council District . The unity of this district has given our community a representative and collective voice in fighting anti-Semitism as well as the opportunity to uplift and share our culture City wide. We also commend the hybrid map for being inclusive of a district for our Armenian brothers and sisters. Thank you for your continued patience and care in this complex process.

Communication from Public

Name: Kathy Schreiner
Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 02:25 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: My name is Kathy Schreiner. Although I am submitting this comment as an individual, I want to note that I am Vice President of the Van Nuys Neighborhood Council. In general, I am in support of changes that the Ad Hoc Committee's draft Redistricting Map makes in the City Council districts that are located in the San Fernando Valley. In particular, I am in support of changes made in the boundaries of CD 6 to include Lake Balboa (which is essentially "west Van Nuys") and the assets of the Van Nuys Airport and the Sepulveda Basin in CD 6. However, I am disappointed that the area covered by the Van Nuys Neighborhood Council will once again be split between CD 6 and CD 2. I would have preferred a map that would include all of the Van Nuys Neighborhood Council territory in CD 6. Also, it is awkward to have portions of Van Nuys Blvd. serving as the dividing line between CD 6 and CD 2. With the upcoming installation of the East SFV rapid transit line down Van Nuys Blvd., it would be more helpful from a planning perspective to have one Council District with responsibility for Van Nuys Blvd. and the development that is expected to take place in the vicinity of Van Nuys Blvd.

Communication from Public

Name: Lincoln Heights Neighborhood Council

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 01:00 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: October 27, 2021 Lincoln Heights Neighborhood Council

Históricamente, la redistribución de distritos ha afectado negativamente a las comunidades de color al dividir las y destruir la infraestructura de una comunidad construida que se ha establecido durante generaciones. Es Lincoln Heights deseo de mantenerse entera. El alcance realizado fue insuficiente para los miembros de la comunidad de Lincoln Heights y otras comunidades, ya que somos de clase trabajadora que tenemos horas de clase trabajadora. Existe un registro histórico y un cronograma de lo que hace la redistribución de distritos cuando los funcionarios designados por la ciudad no toman en consideración a los residentes de la clase trabajadora. El hecho de que personas no electas estén decidiendo cómo dividir a las comunidades pobres es más un ejemplo de injusticia para todas las personas de la ciudad de Los Ángeles. En una democracia no nombramos; elegimos- responsabilizar a los funcionarios cuando sus decisiones tengan efectos negativos en los residentes de la ciudad de Los Ángeles. Nuestras siguientes recomendaciones: 1. La comisión estará integrada por funcionarios electos y no designados. 2. Los mapas de redistribución de distritos se realizarán 3 años antes del momento de la redistribución. Esto es para que el público y los funcionarios puedan comprender claramente los mapas. 3. También se realizará un estudio 3 años antes de la redistribución de distritos cuando se creen los mapas para estudiar la causa y los efectos de todos los aspectos de los nuevos mapas. Estos estudios se realizarán con agencias municipales, estatales, federales y del condado y no con organizaciones sin fines de lucro de terceros.



LINCOLNHEIGHTSNC.ORG

3516 N. BROADWAY
LOS ANGELES, CA 90031

CERTIFIED COUNCIL
2002

Lincoln Heights
Neighborhood Council
of the
City of Los Angeles
90031

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

SARA CLENDENING
PRESIDENT

BEN WADSWORTH
VICE PRESIDENT

VINCENT "CHENTE" MONTALVO
TREASURER

FERNANDA SANCHEZ
SECRETARY

October 27, 2021

DECLARACIÓN DE IMPACTO EN LA COMUNIDAD

CF 20-0668

La Junta aprueba esta declaración de impacto en la comunidad Sí(16), No(x), abstenerse (x) el 27 de octubre 2021
Comisión de Redistribución de Distritos del Ayuntamiento de Los Ángeles
City Hall- 200 North Spring St.
Los Ángeles, CA 90012

Históricamente, la redistribución de distritos ha afectado negativamente a las comunidades de color al dividir las y destruir la infraestructura de una comunidad construida que se ha establecido durante generaciones. Es Lincoln Heights deseo de mantenerse entera.

El alcance realizado fue insuficiente para los miembros de la comunidad de Lincoln Heights y otras comunidades, ya que somos de clase trabajadora que tenemos horas de clase trabajadora.

Existe un registro histórico y un cronograma de lo que hace la redistribución de distritos cuando los funcionarios designados por la ciudad no toman en consideración a los residentes de la clase trabajadora. El hecho de que personas no electas estén decidiendo cómo dividir a las comunidades pobres es más un ejemplo de injusticia para todas las personas de la ciudad de Los Ángeles. En una democracia no nombramos; elegimos- responsabilizar a los funcionarios cuando sus decisiones tengan efectos negativos en los residentes de la ciudad de Los Ángeles.

Nuestras siguientes recomendaciones:

1. La comisión estará integrada por funcionarios electos y no designados.
2. Los mapas de redistribución de distritos se realizarán 3 años antes del momento de la redistribución. Esto es para que el público y los funcionarios puedan comprender claramente los mapas.
3. También se realizará un estudio 3 años antes de la redistribución de distritos cuando se creen los mapas para estudiar la causa y los efectos de todos los aspectos de los nuevos mapas. Estos estudios se realizarán con agencias municipales, estatales, federales y del condado y no con organizaciones sin fines de lucro de terceros.

Atentamente,

Sara Clendening, presidenta
del Consejo Vecinal de Lincoln Heights

BOARD: BUSINESS REPRESENTATIVES: WILLIAM RODRIGUEZ MORRISON, NANCY SOTO. COMMUNITY BASED ORGANIZATION
REPRESENTATIVES: BENNY MADERA, DYDIA DELYSER, JOHANNA IRAHETA. YOUTH REPRESENTATIVE: DIANA TRAN. AREA
REPRESENTATIVES: RICHARD W. LARSEN, ANNALEE HARR, MELANIE BELLOMO SHIFFLETT, VICENTE GONZALEZ-REYES, ARMIDA MARRUFO,
VICTOR AZANEDO, DIEGO ZAPATA, GIL AREVALO, RICHARD ORTIZ, STEVE LUCERO, LENA RUIZ, SELENA ORTEGA



LINCOLNHEIGHTSNC.ORG

3516 N. BROADWAY
LOS ANGELES, CA 90031

CERTIFIED COUNCIL
2002

Lincoln Heights
Neighborhood Council
of the
City of Los Angeles
90031

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

SARA CLENDENING
PRESIDENT

BEN WADSWORTH
VICE PRESIDENT

VINCENT "CHENTE" MONTALVO
TREASURER

FERNANDA SANCHEZ
SECRETARY

October 27, 2021

COMMUNITY IMPACT STATEMENT

CF 20-0668

The Board Approves This Community Impact Statement Yea (16), Nay (x), Abstain (x) on Oct 27, 2021

Los Angeles Redistricting Commission
City Hall- 200 North Spring St.
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Historically, redistricting has affected communities of color negatively by dividing them and destroying the infrastructure of a built community that has been established for generations. It is Lincoln Heights desire to be kept whole.

The outreach done was insufficient for the community members of Lincoln Heights and other communities as we are working class that have working class hours.

There is a historical record and time line of what redistricting does when city appointed officials do not take into consideration the working class residents. The fact that non elected people are deciding how to cut up poor communities is more of an example of injustice to all people of the City of Los Angeles. In a Democracy we don't appoint; we elect- to hold officials accountable when their decisions have negative effects on the residents of the City of Los Angeles.

Our following recommendations:

1. The commission shall be made up of elected officials and not appointed.
2. Redistricting maps shall be made 3 years before the time to redistrict. This is so the public and officials can get a clear understanding of the maps.
3. There shall be also be a study done 3 years before the redistricting when the maps are being created to study the cause and effects to all aspects of the new maps. These studies shall be conducted with city, state, federal and county agencies and not with third party non-profits.

Sincerely,

Sara Clendening, President
Lincoln Heights Neighborhood Council

BOARD: BUSINESS REPRESENTATIVES: WILLIAM RODRIGUEZ MORRISON, NANCY SOTO. COMMUNITY BASED ORGANIZATION REPRESENTATIVES: BENNY MADERA, DYDIA DELYSER, JOHANNA IRAHETA. YOUTH REPRESENTATIVE: DIANA TRAN. AREA REPRESENTATIVES: RICHARD W. LARSEN, ANNALEE HARR, MELANIE BELLOMO SHIFFLETT, VICENTE GONZALEZ-REYES, ARMIDA MARRUFO, VICTOR AZANEDO, DIEGO ZAPATA, GIL AREVALO, RICHARD ORTIZ, STEVE LUCERO, LENA RUIZ, SELENA ORTEGA

Communication from Public

Name: Shawn Davis

Date Submitted: 11/08/2021 08:22 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Council person Curren Price, It is with great concern that I write to you as a constituent of CD8, the home of the largest African American voting district in the City of Los Angeles. Your determination to keep Exposition Park, USC and University Village which have historically been in CD8, after the way they were removed during the last city redistricting is quite surprising and concerning. It is surprising because the African American Community has always embraced and supported you. It is also surprising since your district CD9 has an abundance of assets. CD9 as you know is the home of the Figueroa Corridor, Staples Center, L.A. Live, The Convention Center, The Ritz Carlton Hotel, and portion of the garment district. CD8 has zero, zilch, nothing. The partial asset left, The Baldwin Hills Crenshaw Mall, is in flux, and not expected to benefit our community. That you are willing to deprive the African American Community of economic justice, when it has historically nurtured you, all in the hope of being re-elected is quite concerning. How can you expect the African American voter support in your reelection, when your actions are clearly indicating that you are not in support of the largest African American community? We know that you will eventually be termed out, as all council members eventually are, however the damage being done will be permanent. We hope that you will reconsider your position, and do-the-right-thing, and return the assets; USC, University Village and Exposition Park to CD8 to allow for the future of the residents of our community. The communities of CD8, CD9 and CD10 are watching; so are our ancestors and the entire City of Los Angeles. Our youth, which are our future, are specially watching you as a Black male in a very powerful leadership position. What will your message be to them, in regard to what you are choosing to leave the African American community behind? We pray that you will make the just decision that will reflect the equity that our city says it is seeking for all its constituents. Best Regards, Shawn Davis

Communication from Public

Name: Orna

Date Submitted: 11/05/2021 11:04 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Council President Martinez and fellow Councilmembers, Thank you for all of your time and effort to create an equitable map for LA City. I advocate on behalf of the Jewish community and not at the expense of any other community. I ask that you please protect the 70-year-old historic Jewish Council District 5 which has given this community a collective voice in fighting anti-Semitism as well as the voice to uplift our culture City-wide. Please keep Bel-Air, Westwood, Beverly Wood, Cheviot Hills, Pico-Robertson, Fairfax- Beverly area, Mid-Wilshire/Labrea, and Hancock Park together in CD5 in the final map to be voted on by the LA City Council. Thank you Orna

Communication from Public

Name: Luis Covarrubias
Date Submitted: 11/07/2021 02:16 PM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: Please keep Harvard heights CD10

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 06:21 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I write to express support for the boundaries of the 10th Council District in the configuration recommended by the Los Angeles City Council Redistricting Commission, which is consistent with the Voting Rights Act, and preserves the integrity of historic communities of interest and existing neighborhood council boundaries. Moreover, the configuration honors existing multi-cultural coalitions within the 10th Council District and achieves a long-standing goal of the City, as well as the most recent and prior Redistricting Commissions, to consolidate Koreatown under one district. I am concerned about motions introduced last week, namely motions 46-B and 46-J, which proposed to remove significant portions of the Harvard Heights community as well as the Crestview community from the 10th Council District. While it is my understanding that these motions will not be advanced, I want to underscore that an effort to reconsider these proposals would have a detrimental impact on the 10th Council District given that it would split the historic Harvard Heights community into two council districts, and it would reduce the population of the 10th Council District to an unacceptably low level. Any efforts to mitigate this population loss would require significant changes to the configuration of the 10th Council District, thereby potentially raising issues under the Voting Rights Act.

Communication from Public

Name: Patty Kirby

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 07:40 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: 1. I object the the "Valley Cross Over" - CD4 (CD2) map that splits Studio City into 2 Districts. Please do not use our Studio City as a victim of your need to have a cross over district. Also, If no other choice, PLEASE MOVE THE AREA SOUTH OF THE 101 now shown in CD2 (Studio City zip code 91607) back with the rest of Studio City now shown in CD4. 2. for population balance move all of Studio City to CD2 and move Toluca Lake from CD2 to CD4.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 06:55 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: We support the boundaries of CD10 as proposed in Map 2.5 drafted by the LA Redistricting Commission. The boundaries for Harvard Heights are 11th Street, Western Ave, Washington Blvd, and Normandie Ave, which are currently in CD10. The boundaries for Crestview are West 18th Street, Robertson Blvd, Cadillac Ave, and La Cienega Blvd, which are currently in CD10. Currently, we do not have a voting Councilmember to support our request. We ask that you please respect the Voting Rights Act and please respect our sensitive community by keeping CD10 united and intact as proposed by the LA Redistricting Commission. We also ask that USC and Expo Park be returned to CD8 to maintain a balance of assets. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Aneetha Rajan

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 07:07 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: CONTRARY TO THE REDISTRICTING COMMISSION PROPOSAL there are now motions proposing to move sections of CD10 into other city council districts - as a resident and stakeholders CD10 - this feels predatory! CD10 should NOT be split by City Council. I'm writing to support for the boundaries of the Council District 10 recommended by the LA City Council Redistricting Commission. -Aneetha Rajan, Crenshaw Manor resident & founding member of Crenshaw Manor Community Assoc. (CMCA)

Communication from Public

Name: Elizabeth Mullen

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 07:50 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I have been a Studio City resident for 21 years. Our neighborhood works hard to take care of our environment for the good of residents, business owners and the public who dine, shop and do business here in Studio City. In order to have a solid voice to protect everything we have worked for, we belong in one council district. We urge you to keep us in one council district and vote against any map that divides us in 2.

Communication from Public

Name: Scott Krieger

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 07:54 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Thank you for all of your time and effort to create an equitable map for the City of Los Angeles. I support the configuration of district 5, in the hybrid map approved by the redistricting ad-hoc committee on Nov. 5th. My community thanks the committee and now ask all the members of the Council to join in protecting the 70-year-old historic Jewish Council District . The unity of this district has given our community a representative and collective voice in fighting anti-Semitism as well as the opportunity to uplift and share our culture City wide. We also commend the hybrid map for being inclusive of a district for our Armenian brothers and sisters. Thank you for your continued patience and care in this complex process.

Communication from Public

Name: Terri Tippit

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 07:54 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Members of the West of Westwood HOA are Westside NC stakeholders. The WOWHOA supports CF21-0668-S7 it will keep WOWHOA and WNC in ONE district-CD5.

November 8, 2021

**AD HOC REDISTRICTING COMMITTEE
LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL**

Nury Martinez, Chair
Mitch O'Farrell, Vice Chair
Bob Blumenfield, Member
Kevin de Leon, Member
Paul Koretz, Member
Curren Price, Member
Nithya Raman, Member

As an addendum to our letter of November 3, 2021, this letter is respectfully submitted by the West of Westwood Homeowners Association (WOWHOA), representing approximately 1200 households in Rancho Park. The WOWHOA members are Westside Neighborhood Council (WNC) stakeholders.

The WOWHOA wishes to be on record with the Ad Hoc Redistricting Committee and with the City Council as a whole that the WOWHOA supports the hybrid City Council redistricting map adopted by the committee on November 5 and released by the City Clerk earlier today.

Of fundamental importance to the WOWHOA, the hybrid map fulfills the objectives set forth by the WNC and by the HOAs within the WNC area, i.e., that the entirety of the WNC area be assigned to a single council district and that the district be CD 5.

The WOWHOA urges the City Council to adopt the hybrid map and the proposed boundaries for CD5.

Sincerely,

Terri Tippit

Terri Tippit, President, West of Westwood HOA

Communication from Public

Name: Suellen Wagner

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 07:59 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: As a Studio City community leader, cofounder of Studio City For Quiet Skies and Board Member of Save Coldwater Canyon, I strongly object to any division of Studio City into two Council Districts. The Studio City Neighborhood Council has also provided their objection and the request to reunify Studio City. Our community has many urgent issues that must be addressed and this arbitrary partitioning will weaken our collective voice by half. Studio City is unique and has been established for almost 100 years. To divide it now shows utter disregard by the Council. NO to a divided Studio City. Studio City should be returned to CD2.

Communication from Public

Name: Dr. Jo Perry

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 08:00 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Studio City wants to remain Studio City. Studio City is a community that must not be surgically bisected to feed the political ambitions of a councilmember for whom they did NOT vote. If Ms. Raman cared about the people of this city, she would listen to them.: I have not heard a peep from Ms. Raman--Not one email on this topic soliciting my views on her hideous plan have landed in my email box, although I regularly receive glowing recitations of aher achievements. Why is this? Why were Studio City residents omitted from the formulation of her plan? Well guess what?Studio City residents are real people, not pawns waiting to be moved on a political chessboard being sold as a "map." Studio City residents do not want to sacrifice the integrity of their community, mute their collective voice, and or to basically cease to exist, all to serve one council member's careerist agenda. Studio City is a real community. Studio City voted for Paul Krekorian. Studio City is not a fantasy space on a purely POLITICAL map. Shame on Ms. Raman and all who support this hideous, hubristic power grab. Now I know that the contempt for all things S.F. Valley runs deep in those who live "over the hill." Sure, the Valley is handy for creating Frankenstein maps like the one being considered today. Oh and the valley is handy for the taxes it sends to finance the city which holds it in contempt. A valley secession movement failed once, but if this anti-democratic map passes today, you will see support for valley secession surging again. Shame on Nithia Raman and all who support her self-aggrandizing plan: Studio City has representation. Paul Krekorian is our representative.

Communication from Public

Name: Thomas Perry

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 08:00 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: The proposal to change the council districts that seems to be in favor at the moment is unfair and unwise. We the people of Studio City have lawfully re-elected our current councilman, Paul Krekorian, because he has represented us well year after year. The idea that Council District 2 should be changed now deprives us of our right to what we voted for, and makes the election a sham. Splitting Studio City into two districts deprives us of the ability to speak as the single community that we are. Nobody in Studio City ever voted for Nithia Raman. The people in her current district did vote for her, and they have a right to have her continue to represent them. Anything else is a giant step away from democracy and must be resisted.

Communication from Public

Name: Judith Pinchuk

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 08:04 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly oppose any splitting of Studio City into more than one council district. This was done before to the detriment of our area. The residents of Studio City have the same concerns. We are active citizens and our voices are heard when we are united. Any motion to split us is political. We object to being used by anyone for their own personal interest. The welfare of our citizenry is more important than any politician's ambitions.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 08:28 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Thank you for all of your time and effort to create an equitable map for the City of Los Angeles. I support the configuration of district 5, in the hybrid map approved by the redistricting ad-hoc committee on Nov. 5th. My community thanks the committee and now ask all the members of the Council to join in protecting the 70-year-old historic Jewish Council District . The unity of this district has given our community a representative and collective voice in fighting anti-Semitism as well as the opportunity to uplift and share our culture City wide. We also commend the hybrid map for being inclusive of a district for our Armenian brothers and sisters. Thank you for your continued patience and care in this complex process.

Communication from Public

Name: Janine Milne

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 09:12 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: To divide Studio City in proposed re-districting is to deny my community to move as a unified voice with representation. If it is not unlawful, it should be. Certainly this move does not respect the spirit of democracy- which allows communities, as well as individuals, the ability to voice their concerns and desires to their elected official. ONE elected official to represent us as a community in the City of Los Angeles. To split my community is not representation. Do not do this.

Communication from Public

Name: Magda Lane

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 09:14 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Honorable Council Members: I have attended most of the meetings of the Redistricting commission which left two of our districts in limbo, specifically CD2 and CD4. It is very disappointing and concerning that the constituents of these two districts are now at the mercy of what could be political infighting between council members. I am a resident of Studio City and am writing to support the legislative analyst's map keeping Studio City in one council district, CD2, as well as including our neighbors to the north, Valley Village and North Hollywood. We are a more ethnically and economically diverse district than what Councilperson Raman is attempting to propose by splitting up Studio City into two districts with part in CD2 and part in CD4. What she proposes is not as ethnically or economically diverse as required by the redistricting guidelines based on the census and Voting Rights Act. Our council district has already experienced being split in the past with deleterious consequences. We did not vote for Councilperson Raman, and we know nothing of her legislative priorities. Our efforts with our adjacent communities would be severely impacted moving forward. Specifically, our ongoing litigation, with the City of Los Angeles, against the FAA for their illegal flight path shifts in 2017 impacting all our neighboring communities adjacent to the Burbank airport to the North, the South along Mulholland Drive and the Santa Monica Mountains, the West, and along Ventura Boulevard. It feels like our community is taking a double hit by leaving us in limbo by the Redistricting Commission, and now being caught in a political power fight on the City Council. Either way, our constituency is not being represented fairly. I would urge the Council to carefully and thoughtfully consider other options to keep Studio City whole and in CD2. Splitting our neighborhood council district dilutes our voice and access to effecting positive changes to our community and surrounding communities. Thank you for taking the time to read my request.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 09:19 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: The purpose of this exercise is to eliminate previously gerrymandered council districts so residents of any single area (Studio City, Sherman Oaks, Encino, etc.) can be represented with one united voice. What possible benefit can there be for dividing only one valley neighborhood into two different council districts? There is none. It's difficult to imagine that Councilperson Raman doesn't understand the definition of gerrymandering, but that is exactly what she's attempting and it is unacceptable. Voters choose their elected officials; elected officials DO NOT choose their voters. Frankly, the concept of the City Council having ANY say in how council districts are formed is unacceptable. Citizen volunteers have spent months holding meetings, gathering community input and proposing what turned out to be a very good map of new council districts. It's not without it's flaws, but it's absolutely better (and much more logical) than our existing district boundaries. Allowing the City Council to quarrel and then decide among themselves as to who gets which voters (and donors) is simply undemocratic. Every councilmember should recuse themselves from this farce. This looks and smells exactly like what it is: gerrymandering. Finally, councilmembers need to stop whining about new council boundaries disenfranchising the voters who put them into office. Our national census is not a surprise. Council members seeking to hold office during census procedures must have certainly known what could happen to their districts. No, you can't change the rules after the game has been played. The good people of Studio City must be represented with one voice and our City Council must put their constituents' best interests ahead of their own.

Communication from Public

Name: Patrice Berlin

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 08:40 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I have owned property in Studio City for over 25 years and grew up here in the 50's. I can't for the life of me understand why you would even consider splitting up Studio City into both CD2 and 4. For no better reason than we did not vote for the Councilperson in CD4 and decisions for Studio City should not be split between two Council people. You should have made the community aware of these new maps you come out with daily without letting the community have a voice. Had the Studio City Neighborhood Council not reached out to us we never would have known that our future is being decided on by a handful of people behind our backs. Please leave Studio City intact in one Council district. Thank you. Patrice Berlin

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 08:43 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I would like to say that i am a Lake Balboa resident I stand with the fact that Nury Martinez wants to keep her district intact Keep Lake Balboa and the Sepulveda Basin and the actual Lake in CD6!!!!!! She understands what the needs of this area are

Communication from Public

Name: Ira

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 09:57 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Do not break up Studio City into different districts. There are multiple reasons but perhaps the most pertinent, is that we voted for specific Council members to represent us. Bureaucracy should NOT overrule Democracy. By redistricting Studio City, you are negating and completely invalidating the Democratic process. It's hard enough to get people to trust government and feel empowered enough to vote. Don't steal our voices. Keep Studio City in 1 district.

Communication from Public

Name: Chava Shervington

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 09:59 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Thank you for all of your time and effort to create an equitable map for the City of Los Angeles. I support the configuration of district 5, in the hybrid map approved by the redistricting ad-hoc committee on Nov. 5th. My community thanks the committee and now ask all the members of the Council to join in protecting the 70-year-old historic Jewish Council District . The unity of this district has given our community a representative and collective voice in fighting anti-Semitism as well as the opportunity to uplift and share our culture City wide.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 10:01 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: City Council, Please revise the draft map to move the eastern boundary of CD 5 to Briarcrest Drive so that it follows current public safety service areas and keeps the Franklin and Coldwater canyon community together with its schools, congregations, and cultural institutions. Thank You, Cathy Mock Resident in Franklin Canyon

Communication from Public

Name: Jim Pezzullo

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 10:04 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I, Jim Pezzullo, along with the Board of the Studio City Neighborhood Council, strongly oppose any redistricting proposal that would split Studio City into separate Council Districts.

Communication from Public

Name: Lisa Karadjian

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 09:52 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Redistricting Commission and CouncilMembers, As a resident of Studio City and the Chair of Studio City's Land Use Committee, I oppose any redistricting split, change, divide and proposal to separate our Council District 2. This matter is jolting to the entire community that voted and worked very hard to elect CouncilMember Krekorian. I / We as stakeholders appreciate taking our concerns and opposition to this proposal into great consideration and keep ALL of Studio City in CD2 with CouncilMember Krekorian whom we elected only a year ago. Thank you. Lisa Karadjian

Communication from Public

Name: Irving Lebovics, Agudath Israel of California

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 09:55 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: On Behalf of Agudath Israel of California and the general Jewish community of Los Angeles, we would like to thank the AD Hoc Committee on redistricting and all of the members of the LA City Council and their staffs, for the concern shown to us in drafting the new LA City Council District maps. Our community is greatly appreciative for your allowing us meaningful representation and for allowing us to participate in the process. We are also thankful that other major communities of interest such as the Armenian , Latino, and African American groups, were heard as well. We hope this effort and newly drawn districts will enhance meaningful participation in Government for all. Thank you all again for your thoughtful work, Dr. Irving Lebovics Chairman, Agudath Israel of California

Communication from Public

Name: Peter Cole

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 09:37 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I appose any redistricting proposal that would split Studio City into separate Council Districts. Previously contiguous neighborhoods now split between two Council districts is a recipe for even more inaction. In addition Its bad enough I am represented by Paul Krekorian who I feel does not represent the majority of my interests only to be "represented" by Nithya Raman who I have absolutely no confidence in. What ever happened to my right to vote for a representative?

Communication from Public

Name: Jasmin Niku

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 09:41 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Thank you for all of your time and effort to create an equitable map for the City of Los Angeles. I support the configuration of district 5, in the hybrid map approved by the redistricting ad-hoc committee on Nov. 5th. My community thanks the committee and now ask all the members of the Council to join in protecting the 70-year-old historic Jewish Council District . The unity of this district has given our community a representative and collective voice in fighting anti-Semitism as well as the opportunity to uplift and share our culture City wide. We also commend the hybrid map for being inclusive of a district for our Armenian brothers and sisters. Thank you for your continued patience and care in this complex process.

Communication from Public

Name: Lauren Fritzinger

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 03:18 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Ad Hoc Committee, I request Hancock Park, Windsor Square, and Larchmont be together in CD 5 as proposed in Commission map K2.5. If this passes it will be like separating a family. These 3 areas share common interests, values, history, and long standing friendships . Thank you very much. Sincerely,
Lauren Fritzinger

Communication from Public

Name: Patricia Lombard

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 08:08 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing as a resident of Fremont Place and member of the board of the Fremont Association. I would like my neighborhood to remain in CD5 as we are currently configured in the Hybrid Map. Thank you for your consideration. Patricia Lombard
Fremont Place

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 08:32 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I am not in favor of the recent redistricting map. I want Sherman Oaks to stay with the other areas in the Valley. We do not want to be placed with other areas that are on the other side of the hill. We do not want to be in CD 4. We want to remain a whole district and not broken up. We have been misplaced for 10 years with other locations on the other side of the hill. This is too large of an area. We need to be valley only.

Communication from Public

Name: Jason Pope

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 01:22 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Dear City Council Redistricting Ad Hoc Committee Members, Our Community in the northern Part of Sherman Oaks is known as “POSO” and we would like to thank the Ad Hoc Committee for uniting and keeping POSO whole with Sherman Oaks in the new Hybrid map for CD4, as the 2011 City Redistricting Commission also did after our official Renaming in 2009. The Report and Recommendations (Appendix J) of the 2011 LA City Council Redistricting Commission from 2012 said that the Commission kept whole communities identified by the LA City Community Renaming Policy. POSO is one of these communities. The 2011 Commission made a “de facto policy decision to maintain and respect communities and neighborhoods that had been identified by the Los Angeles City Community renaming Policy,” and specifically mentioned Sherman Oaks. Our Renaming to Sherman Oaks is a significant City Action which should be grandfathered into this year’s and all future Redistricting processes. We worked very hard on our Renaming to Sherman Oaks and it took a couple of years to attain. We also feel we should have been grandfathered into the Sherman Oaks Neighborhood Council, but were not because of a deficient city process. Perhaps the City can remedy that oversight in its Renaming process. We urge the Ad Hoc Committee to continue to keep us whole and united with Sherman Oaks in the City map as we move forward through the process. Again, we appreciate your focus on POSO and our strong Community of Interests we share with our 76,000 residents of Sherman Oaks. We also are very happy that you were able to deliver to the Valley its fair share of 5.7 districts and only one bridge district, which together will further amplify the voting strength and power that the Valley has long deserved. Congratulations to all of you and with much appreciation for your hard work. Sincerely, Jason Pope Sherman Oaks, Ca

Communication from Public

Name: Daryl Baskin

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 01:36 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I have been living in Valley Village for over 30 years. I would like to express my appreciation for the wisdom of the Council members to keep communities together and respect relationships that are many, many years old. I'd like to add a special thanks to Mr. Krekorian for his care and concern for all of us here in Valley Village & beyond. Thank you. DB

Communication from Public

Name: Sheila Coates

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 03:07 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: As a concerned, long term resident of CD8 - Baldwin Hills - I am advocating that the redistricting committee take a long hard look at how they're dividing our district. Past politics created a deficit for our community without understanding the needs of the community and its residents. We deserve more and definitely shouldn't be placed in a position where our area can't thrive economically. We deserve to have the Baldwin Hills Mall in its entirety in our district, part of Crenshaw, park of Kenneth Hahn, Norman Houston park, USC or Exposition park and/or added to Westchester like in the past. I've also attached a document that highlights our concern. Thanks in advance for your consideration.

In a 2011 politically-motivated attack on CD8 via the last redistricting process, almost ALL of its main economic engines were removed. It's constituents have faced continuing serious socio-economic challenges since. CD8 is the only remaining majority black voter district in the city. It was raided, has some of the lowest employment figures in the city, and is absolutely last in tax base amongst districts.

Now, with the current redistricting, is the opportunity to reverse the wrong of the last process, providing greater opportunity and equity for the CD8 community. In order to redistrict equitably, the process must provide CD8 with a reasonable allocation of the city's economic engines. Those which were a part of the district for decades. The most practical and fair solution, is to return USC and Exposition Park, which were taken, to CD8.

While these two assets were taken by CD9, it also has key assets such as the Convention Center, Staples Center, L.A. Live, and the Ritz-Carlton complex. CD8's singular asset of any significance is the decayed Crenshaw Mall. The imbalance is clear, and even moreso when comparing other districts. Should CD9 need to receive an accompanying boost, it can receive return of some downtown assets taken from it, adjacent to its existing grouping. The downtown district (14) is extremely asset heavy having been loaded via political favoritism toward a now indicted Councilmember.

At the VERY LEAST, a compromise must be made regarding USC and Expo Park. The independent redistricting commission first voted to return both assets to CD8. This accommodated the request of the Neighborhood Council which contains these assets, to be consolidated together in CD8. After a political play, that decision was reversed in favor of an alleged compromise. Were there actually to be a compromise, the fair one would return the larger asset USC to CD8, leaving Expo in CD9. Yet in the Redistricting Commission's final report, they moved Expo Park to CD8 and left USC for CD9.

Shockingly, when beginning to finalize the process, the City Council Ad Hoc Redistricting Committee, which unfairly includes the Councilmembers from CD9 & CD14, took action to eliminate even the mediocre compromise in the Redistricting Commission map. Retracting Expo Park back to CD9, again proposing to leave CD8 with only the Crenshaw Mall. This is clearly contrary to the results of a yearlong Commission process, and politically motivated. It is a repeat of the systemic disadvantage repeatedly applied to this community. Actions such as this are a large part of why the community is as challenged as it is now.

To leave the only black-majority district without assets, via a Council action that retracts the one small concession of returning Expo Park, is a clear indication that equity is NOT a primary concern

in the process. The action has civil rights implications and must be corrected. Assets must be returned to CD8 to provide the community with reasonable opportunity and fair districting.

Communication from Public

Name: Grace Fritzing

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 02:50 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Ad Hoc Committee, I request Hancock Park, Windsor Square and Larchmont be together in CD5 as proposed in Commission map K2.5. If this passes it will be like separating a family. These 3 areas share common interests, values, history, long standing friendships. Thank you very much. Please do not let this separation happen!!!! Sincerely Grace Fritzing

Communication from Public

Name: Jason Walley

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 01:14 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Dear City Council Redistricting Ad Hoc Committee Members, Our Community in the northern Part of Sherman Oaks is known as “POSO” and we would like to thank the Ad Hoc Committee for uniting and keeping POSO whole with Sherman Oaks in the new Hybrid map for CD4, as the 2011 City Redistricting Commission also did after our official Renaming in 2009. The Report and Recommendations (Appendix J) of the 2011 LA City Council Redistricting Commission from 2012 said that the Commission kept whole communities identified by the LA City Community Renaming Policy. POSO is one of these communities. The 2011 Commission made a “de facto policy decision to maintain and respect communities and neighborhoods that had been identified by the Los Angeles City Community renaming Policy,” and specifically mentioned Sherman Oaks. Our Renaming to Sherman Oaks is a significant City Action which should be grandfathered into this year’s and all future Redistricting processes. We worked very hard on our Renaming to Sherman Oaks and it took a couple of years to attain. We also feel we should have been grandfathered into the Sherman Oaks Neighborhood Council, but were not because of a deficient city process. Perhaps the City can remedy that oversight in its Renaming process. We urge the Ad Hoc Committee to continue to keep us whole and united with Sherman Oaks in the City map as we move forward through the process. Again, we appreciate your focus on POSO and our strong Community of Interests we share with our 76,000 residents of Sherman Oaks. We also are very happy that you were able to deliver to the Valley its fair share of 5.7 districts and only one bridge district, which together will further amplify the voting strength and power that the Valley has long deserved. Congratulations to all of you and with much appreciation for your hard work. Sincerely, Jason Walley Part of Sherman Oaks (“POSO”)

Communication from Public

Name: Yonah Dror

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 09:22 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Good afternoon. My name is Yonah Dror, and I am a resident of Hancock Park as well as an active member and volunteer at Synagogue Kehillat Yavneh and the LA Jewish Communal Redistricting Coalition, and I wanted to submit written comments regarding the redistricting of District CD5, specifically regarding the inclusion of Hancock Park into District CD5. As a Jewish resident of Hancock Park, I feel strongly that myself and the other Jewish residents in the area are a part of the larger Los Angeles Jewish community, all of whom have common interests and share several social services, schools, kosher restaurants, markets, and synagogues with one another. For example, the Hancock Park and portions of Fairfax/La Brea areas have a large traditional Jewish demographic, with many seniors who receive assistance and various services from organizations inside CD5. As such, as a group, we have a particular need for cohesive representation, and we are therefore requesting that Hancock Park be included in District CD5, as District CD5 includes several of the other traditionally Jewish areas of Los Angeles and Hancock Park's inclusion therein would therefore lead to more united, cohesive and equitable representation for the Los Angeles Jewish community. This cohesive approach becomes even more important when taking into consideration the recent significant rise in anti-Semitism, which has required the Jewish community at large to band together to address its unique and significant security needs. Due to the above and other reasons, this community has requested over the course of multiple redistricting processes to be part of CD5. However, none of these requests have thus far been approved. As we are once again presented with an opportunity to do so, we respectfully request and strongly urge the commission to consider allowing Hancock Park to be added to District CD5 to the West by adopting Draft Plan K- District J. We strongly believe this plan to allow for the most cohesive and unified representation of the Los Angeles Jewish community. Conversely, we strongly oppose Pico/Beverlywood/South Robertson communities being divided as in DRAFT Plan K- District H, which will lead to divided and piecemeal representation of the Jewish community. In closing, we strongly urge the commission to enact Draft Plan K- District J and support the Jewish Communal Redistricting Coalition's request for joint

and equitable representation for all Jewish communities throughout the City of Los Angeles. Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 11:14 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Dear City Council Redistricting Ad Hoc Committee Members, Our Community in the northern Part of Sherman Oaks is known as “POSO” and we would like to thank the Ad Hoc Committee for uniting and keeping POSO whole with Sherman Oaks in the new Hybrid map for CD4, as the 2011 City Redistricting Commission also did after our official Renaming in 2009. The Report and Recommendations (Appendix J) of the 2011 LA City Council Redistricting Commission from 2012 said that the Commission kept whole communities identified by the LA City Community Renaming Policy. POSO is one of these communities. The 2011 Commission made a “de facto policy decision to maintain and respect communities and neighborhoods that had been identified by the Los Angeles City Community renaming Policy,” and specifically mentioned Sherman Oaks. Our Renaming to Sherman Oaks is a significant City Action which should be grandfathered into this year’s and all future Redistricting processes. We worked very hard on our Renaming to Sherman Oaks and it took a couple of years to attain. We also feel we should have been grandfathered into the Sherman Oaks Neighborhood Council, but were not because of a deficient city process. Perhaps the City can remedy that oversight in its Renaming process. We urge the Ad Hoc Committee to continue to keep us whole and united with Sherman Oaks in the City map as we move forward through the process. Again, we appreciate your focus on POSO and our strong Community of Interests we share with our 76,000 residents of Sherman Oaks. We also are very happy that you were able to deliver to the Valley its fair share of 5.7 districts and only one bridge district, which together will further amplify the voting strength and power that the Valley has long deserved. Congratulations to all of you and with much appreciation for your hard work. Sincerely, Alexandra Ring

Communication from Public

Name: Sherri Lynn Elkaim

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 02:54 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly object to splitting our city into two different council districts! Residents want a unified Studio City with one representative; it doesn't serve the interests of the residents to split us into two. Please resolve this situation.