

Communication from Public

Name: Warren Christensen
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 12:49 PM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: “KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE” Give your name, address, and zip code and HIT SEND Warren Christensen, 3738 Vineland Avenue, Studio City, CA 91604

Communication from Public

Name: K. Kato

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 01:31 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: In November 2008, California voters passed the Voters FIRST Act, taking the job of redistricting out of the hands of the Legislature and transferring it to the citizens. Studio City citizens wish to remain whole. The criteria to be used in redistricting MUST BE FOLLOWED. Among the criteria are listed: The geographic integrity of neighborhoods and communities of interest shall be kept intact to the extent feasible. It is entirely feasible to keep Studio City whole and should be. Districts shall not be drawn for purposes of favoring or discriminating against a political party. (Or offload district problems into the lap of another district) Studio City is a very active and vocal area, we do not want to be forced into another district with a council member we did not vote for and don't share the same community interest.

Communication from Public

Name: Gail Steinberg
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 01:57 PM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE” Gail and Joe Steinberg 4118 Kraft Ave Studio City CA 91604

Communication from Public

Name: Joe Steinberg

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 01:58 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE” Joe Steinberg 4118 Kraft Ave
Studio City CA 91604

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 01:58 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE” Mercedes Campos 4118 Kraft Ave Studio City CA 91604

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 02:05 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE” Jeff Steinberg 4118 Kraft Ave
Studio City CA 91604

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 03:11 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE! 12196 Laurel Terrace Dr 91604

Communication from Public

Name: Richard Niederberg
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 02:16 PM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: Please do NOT subdivide Studio City between Council Districts. Keep it whole, {Est.1922} A divided community cannot stand [Apologies to Lincoln. but still valid] Keeping Studio City in one Council District is administratively best.

Communication from Public

Name: Martha bissell

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 01:08 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Under no circumstances split studio city into two districts!!

Communication from Public

Name: Tabatha Maletich
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 02:45 PM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: “Please keep Studio City Whole!” The Maletich Family Avenida
Del Sol Studio City, CA 91604

Communication from Public

Name: Robert W Hanna

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 02:21 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: As a 34 year resident and homeowner in Studio City I do not want to see Studio City split in new districting maps. It should all be in one district.

Communication from Public

Name: CEO Institute of Econometrics & Democracy (CIED)

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 12:14 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: CEO Institute of Econometrics & Democracy (CIED) 453 South Spring Street Suite 336 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Dear Council person Curren Price, The board of CEO Institute of Econometrics & Democracy-joins in solidarity with the community feelings expressed in this letter. It is with great concern that I write to you as a constituent of CD8, the home of the largest African American voting district in the City of Los Angeles. Your determination to keep Exposition Park, USC and University Village which have historically been in CD8, after the way they were removed during the last city redistricting is quite surprising and concerning. It is surprising because the African American Community has always embraced and supported you. It is also surprising since your district CD9 has an abundance of assets. CD9 as you know is the home of the Figueroa Corridor, Staples Center, L.A. Live, The Convention Center, The Ritz Carlton Hotel, and portion of the garment district. CD8 has zero, zilch, nothing. The partial asset left, The Baldwin Hills Crenshaw Mall, is in flux, and not expected to benefit our community. That you are willing to deprive the African American Community of economic justice, when it has historically nurtured you, all in the hope of being re-elected is quite concerning. How can you expect the African American voter support in your reelection, when your actions are clearly indicating that you are not in support of the largest African American community? We know that you will eventually be termed out, as all council members eventually are, however the damage being done will be permanent. We hope that you will reconsider your position, and do-the-right-thing, and return the assets; USC, University Village and Exposition Park to CD8 to allow for the future of the residents of our community. The communities of CD8, CD9 and CD10 are watching; so are our ancestors and the entire City of Los Angeles. Our youth, which are our future, are specially watching you as a Black male in a very powerful leadership position. What will your message be to them, regarding what you are choosing to leave the African American community behind? We pray that you will make the just decision that will reflect the equity that our city says it is seeking for all its constituents. Best Regards, Dr. Laurence, Founding Chairman Dr. S.F. Battle-Bey, Founding Director Ms. Betty Estrada, Founding Director Antonieta Edwards Kashimbiri,

Founding Director

Communication from Public

Name: Philip Gerson
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 12:15 PM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City one district.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 12:08 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE! Karen Cease 11745 Landale Street Studio City 91607

Communication from Public

Name: antonieta edwards kashimbiri

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 12:08 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I have heard it said over the years that a liberal is much more dangerous to your cause than a racist. The racist tells you their position, the liberal keeps you believing that he is on your side, then just ambushes you. For the last few years, I have watched as the “liberals” in our state government have ambushed the little guy in favor of big development money all in “liberal” California. I attributed that to State government being a mixed bag because there are representatives from very conservative areas also serving. yesterday I watched, as the very “liberal” Los Angeles City Council did what the racist gerrymanders have been accused of doing all over the country, disenfranchise a group of constituents. You essentially told the Black Community that you did not care whether they live or die. The fact that this assault on the Black Community is being led by a Black man who thinks he will be reelected if he throws his fellow Blacks under the bus is mind boggling. You have used him as your shield for not doing the right thing. Since when does an asset rich community steal from an asset poor one and justify keeping it by using its constituency as a shield? Since it was done in liberal Los Angeles. You have no shame. You speak of Donald Trump as someone who is a disgrace. Your actions are no less disgraceful. Today you played your hand and showed this nation what you are truly made of. Yes, we are in this mess because of a decision made by Herb Wesson during the past redistricting. However, your hands are not clean, as you have not tried to correct the wrong done. It is very apparent to anyone looking at this issue that there is more at stake than the assets being returned. It just does not add up, that an asset rich district would choose to deny another, with no assets, that which was taken away from them. That the whole city council minus one, would agree that this is a good thing, defies reasoning. I will not be surprised to hear of the FBI visiting many more offices, as it seems that you are all under the yoke of something.

Communication from Public

Name: Malik Henry

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 12:12 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Hello Councilmember, my name is Malik Henry and I'm with the Community Coalition, and I work in South Central LA. I am here to emphasize how important it is for the end results of this redistricting process, to be done with equity and real racial justice. As an African American man, protecting our community and the last predominantly black district means sustaining representation for those who look like me, and our voice at the table of decision making here in Los Angeles. For the last few months through this redistricting process, several South LA residents have organized and called to uplift their voices, to share their frustration due to decades of discrimination and racist policies that have impacted our black and brown community for decades. A community that is still hurting that most during this pandemic. This is a time to undo the harm done 10 years ago and do Justice. During a time of black erasure, We are asking for the last predominately black Council district in all of LA, District 8, the only district that lacks any assets to have the assets that were taken away a decade ago, Expo park and USC. We ask for district 9 to keep the many economic engines like LA Live, Figueroa corridor, and to gain to parts of DTLA that were taken away as well. We ask for all council members to stand in real solidarity with our black community and speak up. Thank you for your time.

Communication from Public

Name: Conrad Starr

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 11:31 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Honorable Council Members, Ad Hoc Redistricting Committee: I write on behalf of the Greater Wilshire Neighborhood Council (GWNC), as its President. Your November 10, 2021 Ad Hoc Redistricting Commission agenda lists the GWNC as FOR the motion 20-0668-S7. Please note the GWNC passed a resolution/CIS FOR the map K2.5 Final. We have not voted to support the hybrid map currently under consideration. Our current position is that GWNC be kept intact in CD5 if that is possible, or that it be split between CD5 and CD13 as depicted in K2.5 Final. As the CIS filer, I wish to make a public comment today on behalf of the GWNC. My phone number ends 119. With thanks, Conrad Starr President, Greater Wilshire Neighborhood Council



November 3, 2021

The Honorable Los Angeles City Council and Council Committees
c/o Office of the City Clerk
City of Los Angeles
200 N. Spring St.
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Re: Community Impact Statement on Los Angeles City Council Redistricting

Honorable City Council Members:

On November 3, 2021, at a properly noticed Special Meeting of the Greater Wilshire Neighborhood Council (GWNC), the Board adopted the following resolution by a vote of 12 in favor, with none opposed and one member abstaining:

WHEREAS, throughout the current and ongoing 2021 City of Los Angeles redistricting effort, the GWNC has again asked the current Los Angeles City Council Redistricting Commission (the Commission) to keep the Greater Wilshire Neighborhood Council intact and whole within one City Council District; and

WHEREAS, the Commission listened and responded to the GWNC request by placing the GWNC intact in Council District 5, with its communities of interest neighbors to the west, but in its final deliberations removed two portions of the GWNC and assigned them to Council District 13 in its final map K2.5, in order to balance the populations of all City Council Districts in the manner required by redistricting law; and

WHEREAS, the GWNC is disappointed that the Commission did not place the entire GWNC in one Council District, and at the same time the GWNC wishes to recognize and honor the exhaustive, unprecedented transparent work of the Commission; and

WHEREAS, the Los Angeles City Council now must review and act on the final redistricting map by December 31, 2021,



I THEREFORE MOVE that the GWNC submit a Community Impact Statement supporting the Commission's final map K2.5; supporting keeping the GWNC intact in CD 5 if that is possible consistent with balancing the populations of all City Council Districts; and, if necessary to balance the populations of all City Council Districts, supporting dividing the GWNC between Council District 5 and 13 as depicted in final map K2.5.

We request your careful consideration of the above resolution, and we ask that this letter be included with the relevant Council File(s) and that it be presented as a CIS to the Los Angeles City Council Ad Hoc Redistricting Committee.

Respectfully,



Conrad Starr
President, Greater Wilshire Neighborhood Council
president@greaterwilshire.org
+1 (323) 539-4962

Communication from Public

Name: Brenda Thompson

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 12:56 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Hello, my name is Brenda Thompson. I live in CD8 and I support the fair distribution of the assets in CD9 & 8. It is only fair to share the assets with Expo park, USC and parts of DTLA in 8 and LA Live and Figueroa corridor in CD9. I've lived near Crenshaw & Slauson for over 40 years and raised four children in this area. The area needs revitalization and access to resources that these assets would bring. What's wrong with sharing? Let's work together for the betterment of our combined communities. Thank you!

Communication from Public

Name: janet s loeb

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 12:57 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: “KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE” This is outrageous. I can only imagine what special interests have been involved in NOT following the recommendations of the legislative analyst?

Communication from Public

Name: Stephen E Heaney

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 01:01 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: For the past 40 years or more the council district in which both the Marlborough School and surrounding neighbors was the same. The proposed Council Map adopted yesterday changes this in one swift movement; in fact, it appears as though the School, which owns property on both Rossmore and Arden is now split between two districts. Is that good or bad? Did the Council consider this in its actions? Does the Council care whether these actions impact both the surrounding neighbors and the school in a negative fashion? Arbitrary. Capricious. Uncaring. These words come to mind. There are no words associated with this action in the Council discussion which explain any of this....so we are left to wonder why. Almost 30 years ago the School and its immediate neighbors used the good offices of the Councilmember who represented both of us to broker an agreement designed to head off an ugly development fight and bring a sense of peace and calm to the neighborhood as the School pursued expansion plans. Would this be possible under the proposed Council Map? No. Why does the Council seem intent on creating disharmony? What is the ultimate goal? Is it to assuage a particular councilmember or a particular group of stakeholders? Please re-think this action and make a more informed decision.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 11:33 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Please keep Studio City whole and do not redistrict it

Communication from Public

Name: Julie Martin
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 11:34 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City whole

Communication from Public

Name: Janis Maslyk

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 11:16 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Would you allow your children to be split up between two parents??

Communication from Public

Name: Pamela Friedman

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 11:19 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Do NOT separate Studio City!!!!\$ We are a cohesive community that has worked TOGETHER diligently to maintain our collective neighborhoodsStudio City needs to stay united in a single district. Ms. Raman did NOT run or get voted into the Council seat in Studio City.....her proposal needs to be rejected!!!!!!!
KEEP Studio City TOGETHER!!!!

Communication from Public

Name: Lois Weinsaft
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 11:45 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City whole. I am a Studio City resident for 25 years in 91604.

Communication from Public

Name: Nora Doyle
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 11:48 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE!

Communication from Public

Name: danielle mead

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 08:26 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: PLEASE DO NOT SPLIT LAUREL AVE DOWN THE MIDDLE. Instead, I request that you move the western boundary of CD13 to Laurel Canyon, which will keep that multi-family neighborhood together in one council district. Please do not split Laurel Ave (between Hollywood and Sunset Blvds) between two council districts. This would divide a small tight-knit multi-family neighborhood into two council districts. It would also split a census tract, which should be avoided in a redistricting process. Separating a handful of apartment and condo buildings from their other multi-family neighbors would make it very difficult to stand together on issues important to their community.

Communication from Public

Name: Diane Dennis

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 09:23 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Thank you for leaving Shadow Hills horse country as it is in your redistricting. It is a unique area that deserves to always remain together in its entirety . Thank you

Communication from Public

Name: Judith Crown

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 09:06 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Thank you for restoring ALL of Shadow Hills to CD 7. All of our residents appreciate your action!

Communication from Public

Name: Concerned Citizen Monitoring Transparency of City Council, Committees, Commissions Actions

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 08:32 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: FACT: It is 6:27 a.m. on Wednesday 11/10/21 and there is NO CLA report showing on CF20-0668-S7 for 11/09/21 City Council Meeting agenda item #34 that included approved amending motions 34-B to 34-J and 34-L to 34-N. Questions: 1. Why at 6:27 a.m. on Wednesday 11/10/21 is there NO CLA report/documents from 11/09/21 meeting? 2. Where is the CLA report which should include the (12) approved amending motions and detailed maps? 3. How can the public make comments today Wednesday 11/10/21 at City Council public hearing meeting on CF20-0668-S7 to be held at 12 p.m. when there is NO CLA report to review? 4. Where is the Labor Council for Latin American Advancement map(s) that was submitted too late for the LACCRC2021 to consider for their report but was referenced as being considered in the 11/05/21 CLA report? 5. Why wasn't this Labor Council for Latin American Advancement map(s) included in the CLA report for the public to see? 6. Where is the Neighborhood Council Census Data document for all 99 Neighborhood Councils? 7. Where are the street level maps clearly showing each street for each Council District which includes each Neighborhood Council boundary within that Council District? 8. Where is the Neighborhood Council Council District split document showing the population for each Neighborhood Council and any population split and percent of split for that Neighborhood Council? 9. Why was Tarzana Neighborhood Council always off limits for being split when considering losing some population for Council District 3 which included Woodland Hills-Warner Center, Winnetka, Canoga Park Neighborhoods Councils and at one point most of Reseda Neighborhood Council to Council District 4 or 2 but now it okay to split Reseda Neighborhood Council (population split unknown at this time) between Council District 3 and Council District 4 which extends all the way to Atwater Village? FACT UPDATE: It is now 8:30 a.m. on Wednesday 11/10/21 and there STILL ARE NO CLA documents regarding 11/09/21 approved (12) amending motion posted on CF20-0668-S7. Question: How long does the public have to wait for the 11/09/21 CLA report?

Communication from Public

Name: debra callabresi
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 08:40 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: RE: 20-0668-S7 Thank you for listening to the voices of our community in Shadow Hills and keeping us with the other equestrian and high fire danger communities so that we may have one unified voice. We appreciate being heard and having a city council that respects our unique needs and benifits to the city as a whole. Thank you!

Communication from Public

Name: Cam Davis

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 08:07 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing as the President of the Fremont Place Association representing the residents of Fremont Place. The Fremont Place Association would like to remain in CD5 as we are now configured in the Hybrid Map currently under consideration by the City Council's Ad Hoc Committee on Redistricting. We appreciate your consideration of our position.

Communication from Public

Name: Mason

Date Submitted: 11/07/2021 03:13 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Please DO NOT redistrict HARVARD HEIGHTS out of CD10. We are strongly opposed to this action as we've had close ties and a good working relationship with CD10 and our neighborhood council. City council should NOT make a unilateral and impactful decision on our community when we have no current city council representation. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Peter Brook & John Mendoza

Date Submitted: 11/07/2021 03:15 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: As homeowners in the Harvard Heights section of Los Angeles, we are very unhappy with the council decision to move our district away from the West Adams area to which we have very strong ties and away from our own neighborhood council and place us in CD 1. We object in the strongest way to the proposed move to CD 1, and ask council respectfully to leave us with our peers in District 10.

Communication from Public

Name: Anita Goldbaum

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 01:14 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to the "Hybrid Map" that was presented by the Ad Hoc committee to the City Council. It is basically "gerrymandering" plain and simple. And the benefit goes to the Council President Nury Martinez as she wants the Van Nuys Airport and the Sepulveda Dam Basin in her Van Nuys district. A money power grab!! In fact, she should not even be on this committee as her presence intimidates other council members from speaking truth to power. You should accept the map K.2.5 that was presented by the Los Angeles Commission on Redistricting that was an open public meeting place, that involved residents, community groups, and the chosen representative of each City Council member. This Ad Hoc City Council committee is not a true representation of the people. It is a representation of elected officials looking out for their "territory."

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 02:17 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: The San Fernando Valley has changed the 55+ years I have lived here. My community of North Hills West, which was an agricultural community, is now in the main a single family residential area. Our geographical area is unmistakable and bound by the 405 Freeway on the east, Bull Creek on the west, Roscoe Blvd. on the south and Lassen St. on the north. We are contiguous with Granada Hills, Northridge, and Chatsworth. Our areas natural location and positioning in Council District 12 (CD12) is where we clearly are and should stay. Our North Hills West Neighborhood Council works with the other neighborhood councils in CD 12 on community projects that benefit all the residents. I prefer and vote for the Los Angeles Redistricting Commissions K2.5 map which kept communities whole and was generated by many many Los Angelenos who voiced their concerns and preferences. I do not like that this Ad Hoc committee of City Council members basically "gerrymandered" areas of the map to fit an elected officials preferred "assets." It is not honest or trustworthy.

Communication from Public

Name: Gail Salem

Date Submitted: 11/09/2021 05:01 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Dear City Council Redistricting Ad Hoc Committee Members, Our community in the northern Part of Sherman Oaks is known as “POSO” and we would like to thank the Ad Hoc Committee for uniting and keeping POSO whole with Sherman Oaks in the new Hybrid map for CD4, as the 2011 City Redistricting Commission also did after our official Renaming in 2009. The Report and Recommendations (Appendix J) of the 2011 LA City Council Redistricting Commission from 2012 said that the Commission kept whole communities identified by the LA City Community Renaming Policy. POSO is one of these communities. The 2011 Commission made a “de facto policy decision to maintain and respect communities and neighborhoods that had been identified by the Los Angeles City Community renaming Policy,” and specifically mentioned Sherman Oaks. Our Renaming to Sherman Oaks is a significant City Action which should be grandfathered into this years and all future Redistricting processes. We worked very hard on our Renaming to Sherman Oaks, and it took a couple of years to attain. We also feel we should have been grandfathered into the Sherman Oaks Neighborhood Council but were not because of a deficient city process. Perhaps the City can remedy that oversight in its Renaming process. We urge the Ad Hoc Committee to continue to keep us whole and united with Sherman Oaks in the City map as we move forward through the process. Again, we appreciate your focus on POSO and our strong Community of Interests we share with our 76,000 residents of Sherman Oaks. We also are very happy that you were able to deliver to the Valley its fair share of 5.7 districts and only one bridge district, which together will further amplify the voting strength and power that the Valley has long deserved. Congratulations to all of you and with much appreciation for your hard work. Sincerely, Gail Salem Part of Sherman Oaks (“POSO”)

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:55 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Please keep Studio City whole. Darcie Renault 12400 Landale St
91604

Communication from Public

Name: Roger E Keller
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:57 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City whole! Splitting it into two council districts is stupid!

Communication from Public

Name: Stephanie Plaut
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 11:00 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City whole! We are a small community that must be kept together.

Communication from Public

Name: Sarah Boyd

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 11:00 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City whole! Please do not break up Studio City for City Council districts. It is counter-intuitive and unnecessary. We have common goals, common community and common experiences. We are a community for a reason and our boundaries tie us together.

Communication from Public

Name: Joanne
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 11:07 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE. THANK YOU JOANNE
BRENNER

Communication from Public

Name: Maria Hanulik

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 11:09 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City whole. Please do not split into two districts.

Communication from Public

Name: Charlotte Waters
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 11:10 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY IN THE SAME DISTRICT AS ONE CITY. DO NOT SPLIT US.

Communication from Public

Name: Beth Dymond
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 09:50 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City Whole - Stop the Steal

Communication from Public

Name: Beth Saul
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 09:53 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City whole! Beth Saul 11309 Dona Pegita Drive 91604

Communication from Public

Name: Carol Beule

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 09:59 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I have lived and owned a home in Studio City for over 20 years. Who in their right mind thought to separate this City into 2 distinct Districts! IT is absurd. KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE! KEEP US ALL TOGETHER . This smacks of the bizarre map redistricting that is happening around the US to better accommodate certain candidates, and as I know who sponsored this insane action, I will never, ever vote for her in any capacity for an elected office in this city. PS. I have voted in every election since I was 18 and I will continue to do so. Thank you for listen int to me. Carol Beule 3863 Vineland Avenue Studio City, CA. 91604-3913

Communication from Public

Name: Ellen Byron

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:48 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Do NOT break up Studio City in your redistricting plan. Why would you destroy a solid community this way? It's detrimental to the city at large to rip apart a community that actually functions as a whole, protecting the natural and historical environment among other concerns. It's absolutely outrageous that Nythia Ramon, who doesn't even live in our neighborhood, would have to power to rip us apart. Stop the split! Preserver our neighborhood!

Communication from Public

Name: raymond neapolitan

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:52 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City Whole and stop the split.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:52 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City Whole!

Communication from Public

Name: DArcie Renault
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:53 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: Please leave Studio City as is, keep Studio City whole.

Communication from Public

Name: Cassandra Cavanah
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:08 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE! Cassandra Cavanah 4218
Beeman Ave Studio City, CA 91604

Communication from Public

Name: Meg LeFauve

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:08 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City Whole!!! We are a thriving community dedicated to each other. We don't want to be split up.

Communication from Public

Name: Bipasha Shom
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:10 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE!

Communication from Public

Name: Alan Estes

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:11 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE. Splitting this community is unfair and terrible public policy. The Raman map is just self serving gerrymandering! The whole process has been undemocratic, unfair and frankly just idiotic! Alan Estes 3201 Oakdell Rd. Studio City, CA 91604

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:13 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE

Communication from Public

Name: Elaine Cohen
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:15 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: Please do NOT split Studio City !!!! PLEASE KEEP IT WHOLE
!!!

Communication from Public

Name: L. Glass

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:15 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Studio City needs to remain in one council district. It should NOT be split into two.

Communication from Public

Name: Heidi Granic

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:16 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City WHOLE! Heidi Granic and Josip Muder 4109
Bakman Ave Studio City, CA 91602

Communication from Public

Name: SUELLEN WAGNER

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:17 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: “KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE” The LA City Council Redistricting Map will split Studio City between council districts 2 and 4. The Studio City Neighborhood Council passed the following motion: “The Board of the Studio City Neighborhood Council strongly opposes any redistricting proposal that would split Studio City into separate Council Districts.” Suellen Wagner 12184 Laurel Terrace Dr. Studio City 91604 Resident for 28 years!

Communication from Public

Name: Paula Madison

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:17 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I am a resident of Studio City and I'm contacting you to say that I want to KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE. I oppose our city being split into two council districts as proposed by the redistricting plan. Please add my name to those who oppose this proposed map and share my position with the LA City Council. Thank you,
Paula Madison Studio City CA 91604

Communication from Public

Name: Dr. Tony Knight

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:20 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Keep STUDIO CITY together. We are a unique community in Los Angeles and have been so since the 1920's. Our neighborhoods should be united.

Communication from Public

Name: Stephen Leidner

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:23 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I don't see any benefit to the residents of Studio City to having it split. Absent an intelligent argument outlining any benefit the residents may have, I am strongly opposed to splitting Studio City.

Communication from Public

Name: Vicki Nussbaum
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:26 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City WHOLE!

Communication from Public

Name: Marsha M Lewis
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:28 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: PLEASE KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE!! I want my community on ONE district. Thank you!! Marsha M Lewis 11622 Canton Place Studio City 91604

Communication from Public

Name: Bob Stiefel

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:28 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Studio City needs to be in one council district.....this has always been a community and the southeast entrance to the San Fernando Valley.....As a community we have always worked to keep it attractive, clean, safe, welcoming and prosperous, not just for Studio City residences but the valley and city as a whole. By splitting communities you make it more difficult to govern.....and many times destroy the communities.....all communities. I believe that any redistricting needs to always have as the priority to keep communities whole and this includes Studio City.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:32 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City Whole

Communication from Public

Name: Zach Kleiman

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:32 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Hello Council, To maintain continuity and legislation real and true, we must keep Studio City WHOLE. Please do not divide our votes or our council districts. Zach Kleiman 11836 Hesby Street Valley Village, CA 91607

Communication from Public

Name: Steve Segvich
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:34 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: “KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE” Do not Split into 2 districts.
Steve Segvich 4319 Agnes Avenue Studio City 91604

Communication from Public

Name: Steve G

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:34 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: The LA City Council Redistricting Map will split Studio City between council districts 2 and 4. The Studio City Neighborhood Council passed the following motion: “The Board of the Studio City Neighborhood Council strongly opposes any redistricting proposal that would split Studio City into separate Council Districts.”

Communication from Public

Name: Jessica Rojas

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:37 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: “KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE” I am a long time resident of Studio City, and for the future well being of Studio City and its residents, I say: “KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE” “KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE” Jessica Rojas 12045 Viewcrest Road Studio City CA 91604

Communication from Public

Name: Patricia Carroll

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:37 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Re: Greater Wilshire and CD13 There may be a correction needed on yesterday's approved and published map as the West side of Western is included in CD13. I have included an explanation below as well as information taken from our GWNC by-laws. To help follow Neighborhood Council District lines. Please see below for further clarification. The eastern boundary of the GWNC is the middle of the alleyway between Manhattan Place and Western Avenue, or where no alleyway exists, the rear property line of properties along the western side of Western Avenue. The effect is that properties along both sides of Manhattan Place are in the GWNC, and properties along both sides of Western Avenue are in the Wilshire Center Koreatown Neighborhood Council. Here is the boundary description of the GWNC eastern boundary, as taken from the City-approved GWNC Bylaws: ARTICLE III BOUNDARIES, Section 1: (eastern boundary text only) ...Go east on Melrose Avenue until the western property line of the properties on the west side of Western Avenue. Go south along the western property lines of the properties on the west side of Western Avenue until 6th Street. Go west on 6th Street until Wilton Place. Go south on Wilton Place to 7th Street. Go east on 7th Street until the western property line of the properties on the west side of Western Avenue. Go south along the western property lines of the properties on the west side of Western Avenue until Olympic Boulevard... From the GWNC By laws: A OAKWOOD-MAPLEWOOD-ST. ANDREWS NEIGHBORHOOD – Wilton Place from Beverly Boulevard north to Melrose Avenue; east to Manhattan Place (both sides); south to Beverly Boulevard; west to Wilton Place. RIDGEWOOD - WILTON - ST. ANDREWS SQUARE – Wilton Place (both sides) from Third Street north to Second Street; north along Wilton Drive (both sides) and Ridgewood Place (both sides) to Beverly Boulevard; east to Manhattan Place (both sides); south to Third Street; west to Wilton Place. WESTERN-WILTON (“WE-WIL”) NEIGHBORHOOD – Wilton Place (both sides) north from Sixth Street to Third Street; east to Manhattan Place (both sides); south to Sixth Street; west to Wilton Place. It is extremely important for our community that St. Brendan's school located on Manhattan Place between 2nd and 3rd Street remain whole and together with St. Brendan's Church in what will now

be CD13. While St. Brendan's school is on the East side of Manhattan Place, the back property line reaches to Western. Many thanks for your kind consideration. Patricia Carroll Resident of St. Andrews Square Representative of St. Andrews Square and Ridgewood Wilton on the GWNC (please note this is a personal Letter.

Communication from Public

Name: Whitney
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:40 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO COTY WHOLE!!!!

Communication from Public

Name: Thomas Ross Polizzi
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:40 AM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE ! Thomas R. Polizzi 11684 Picturesque Drive Studio City, CA 91604

Communication from Public

Name: Marcia E Fabbro

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:41 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE!! MARCIA E FABBRO 12801
MOORPARK ST #202 STUDIO CITY CA 91604 1

Communication from Public

Name: Sheryl Schott

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:42 AM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City whole! Absolutely ridiculous to separate OR to place with Hollywood area!

Communication from Public

Name: Lisa Sarkin

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 04:39 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Studio City and has been for its entire existence a very close knit community. The stakeholders hold dear the ability to walk to Ventura Blvd. and access the entire city from all the ways we connect to the other parts of the City of Los Angeles. It is without saying that cutting Studio City into two smaller parts between two council districts will disenfranchise all. Please do not approve the ridiculous council district 4, which will be impossible to manage. Please keep Studio City whole by placing all of it in council district 2. Thank you for your consideration, Lisa Sarkin 11603 Kelsey Street Studioi City, CA 91604 818-439-1674

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 04:40 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE Mary Bankston 3155 Dona
Conchita Place Studio City CA 91604

Communication from Public

Name: Linda Horner
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 03:34 PM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: “KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE” Linda Horner 12734 Sarah St.
91604

Communication from Public

Name: Katie Bull

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 03:28 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly oppose the splitting of Studio City's Council District into two. This serves no purpose whatsoever and further gerrymanders our area which has been badly gerrymandered for so long. Why are we creating problems when there is so much else to focus on? This is a waste of taxpayer dollars. Excuse my tone. Please pay attention to the homeless, our increasing heat island, exponential loss of business, the brain drain going on in LA, millineal flight, traffic, and on and on. There is way too much to do, instead of creating more problems. Thank you, Katie

Communication from Public

Name: Bridget Shycoff
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 04:02 PM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: Studio City should be kept intact in one district. Also, I voted for Krekorian and want him to remain my city council representative.

Communication from Public

Name: Marsha Spector
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 04:08 PM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City whole.

Communication from Public

Name: Susan Lustig

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 04:09 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I wholly support the Hybrid map per <https://www.google.com/maps/d/u/0/viewer?mid=INQ11Y50DCo7rMx8MjdnF61gFGT3gJQjB&ll=34.2086358977336%2C-118.34259204233757&z=17>. For our area of Sun Valley, we are so happy and relieved to retain our Councilmember and be able to remain in CD2. To think of all the hundreds of hours the Commissioners put in and more importantly, all the hours we stakeholders put in watching them! I sincerely hope that before the next Redistricting occurs in ten years, this process can be drastically overhauled, as it sure has heck did not work this time! Imagine if all those hours could have been used for working on homelessness, or any of the other many problems that plague our city.

Communication from Public

Name: Christopher Kendrick
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 04:57 PM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City whole!

Communication from Public

Name: sandra M lampert

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 05:52 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City Whole. Do not split it in two~!!~!!

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 06:28 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Keep Studio City in one council district!

Communication from Public

Name: Daniel Batterman
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 06:32 PM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE Daniel "Danny" Batterman
Studio City, CA 91604

Communication from Public

Name: Jude Markman
Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 06:33 PM
Council File No: 20-0668-S7
Comments for Public Posting: KEEP STUDIO CITY WHOLE -- Jude Markman Studio City,
CA 91604

Communication from Public

Name: Alan

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 10:25 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Do not split Studio City! We are ONE community!

Communication from Public

Name: Elizabeth Dymond

Date Submitted: 11/10/2021 09:58 PM

Council File No: 20-0668-S7

Comments for Public Posting: The Board of the Studio City Residents Association representing 1000 Studio City Household members, joins the Studio City Neighborhood Council motion: "The Board of the Studio City Neighborhood Council strongly opposes any redistricting proposal that would split Studio City into separate Council Districts." Alan Dymond, President Studio City Residents Association