

Communication from Public

Name: Edwina Huddleston

Date Submitted: 11/22/2020 12:50 PM

Council File No: 20-0838-S1

Comments for Public Posting: Slow streets inequitably distribute traffic. It is unfair to increase the traffic flow on equally neighborhood streets, thus creating a problem, while trying to mitigate one. The data is skewed because vehicles are abiding by the sign. The runners, walkers and bicyclist have not changed their behavior or been positively impacted. There are still people at hiking trails, malls, restaurants...and in many cases, only the usual dog walkers and baby carriages are out on the slow streets. A decreased number of slow streets is warranted only on streets that are heavily trafficked. On those streets it seems reasonable to cut down on the amount of traffic with slow street barriers. Similar to trying to get Los Angelenos out of their cars, is trying to get them to walk in neighborhoods. It is premature to put permanent signs. Copying the rest of the country as they accelerate the amount of slow streets and posting permanent signs does not show critical thinking and concern for the residents opinions. And, know that, not all residents respond to surveys. Perhaps a table/tent at the local pharmacy or grocery store will provide those that ignore or disregard surveys to drop a survey in a box. It is important to get an accurate sense of what is best for each specific area, being that each specific area is uniquely different. Statistics are misleading because people are abiding by the sign, not that runners, walkers and bikers have changed any behavior or been positively impacted in anyway. Be honest, have integrity and don't screw the data to your biased opinions!