



APPLICATIONS:

# APPEAL APPLICATION

## Instructions and Checklist

**Related Code Section:** Refer to the City Planning case determination to identify the Zone Code section for the entitlement and the appeal procedure.

**Purpose:** This application is for the appeal of Department of City Planning determinations authorized by the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC).

### A. APPELLATE BODY/CASE INFORMATION

#### 1. APPELLATE BODY

- Area Planning Commission     City Planning Commission     City Council     Director of Planning
- Zoning Administrator

Regarding Case Number: CPC-2019-6069-CU-DB-CDP-CDO-SPP-MEL-WDI-PHP

Project Address: 2471 Lincoln Boulevard

Final Date to Appeal: 06/18/2020

#### 2. APPELLANT

**Appellant Identity:**  
(check all that apply)

- Representative     Property Owner
- Applicant     Operator of the Use/Site

Person, other than the Applicant, Owner or Operator claiming to be aggrieved  
Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Los Angeles / Archdiocese Education and Welfare Corporation

Person affected by the determination made by the **Department of Building and Safety**

- Representative     Owner     Aggrieved Party
- Applicant     Operator

#### 3. APPELLANT INFORMATION

Appellant's Name: Michael Davitt, Director of Real Estate

Company/Organization: Archdiocese of LA / Archdiocese Education and Welfare Corporation

Mailing Address: 3424 Wilshire Boulevard

City: Los Angeles    State: CA    Zip: 90010

Telephone: 213-637-7273    E-mail: MTDavitt@la-archdiocese.org

a. Is the appeal being filed on your behalf or on behalf of another party, organization or company?

- Self     Other: LA Archdiocese / St. Mark's

b. Is the appeal being filed to support the original applicant's position?     Yes     No

**4. REPRESENTATIVE/AGENT INFORMATION**

Representative/Agent name (if applicable): Bill Christopher  
Company: Urban Concepts  
Mailing Address: 3731 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 670  
City: Los Angeles State: CA Zip: 90010  
Telephone: 310-717-1773 E-mail: BillC@Urban-concepts.com

**5. JUSTIFICATION/REASON FOR APPEAL**

- a. Is the entire decision, or only parts of it being appealed?  Entire  Part
- b. Are specific conditions of approval being appealed?  Yes  No

If Yes, list the condition number(s) here: \_\_\_\_\_

Attach a separate sheet providing your reasons for the appeal. Your reason must state:

- The reason for the appeal  How you are aggrieved by the decision
- Specifically the points at issue  Why you believe the decision-maker erred or abused their discretion

**6. APPLICANT'S AFFIDAVIT**

I certify that the statements contained in this application are complete and true:

Appellant Signature: Bill Christopher Date: Jun 16, 2020  
Bill Christopher (Jun 16, 2020 16:47 PDT)

**GENERAL APPEAL FILING REQUIREMENTS**

**B. ALL CASES REQUIRE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS - SEE THE ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR SPECIFIC CASE TYPES**

**1. Appeal Documents**

**a. Three (3) sets** - The following documents are required for each appeal filed (1 original and 2 duplicates)  
Each case being appealed is required to provide three (3) sets of the listed documents.

- Appeal Application (form CP-7769)
- Justification/Reason for Appeal
- Copies of Original Determination Letter

**b. Electronic Copy**

Provide an electronic copy of your appeal documents on a flash drive (planning staff will upload materials during filing and return the flash drive to you) or a CD (which will remain in the file). The following items must be saved as individual PDFs and labeled accordingly (e.g. "Appeal Form.pdf", "Justification/Reason Statement.pdf", or "Original Determination Letter.pdf" etc.). **No file should exceed 9.8 MB in size.**

**c. Appeal Fee**

- Original Applicant - A fee equal to 85% of the original application fee, provide a copy of the original application receipt(s) to calculate the fee per LAMC Section 19.01B 1.
- Aggrieved Party - The fee charged shall be in accordance with the LAMC Section 19.01B 1.

**d. Notice Requirement**

- Mailing List - All appeals require noticing per the applicable LAMC section(s). Original Applicants must provide noticing per the LAMC
- Mailing Fee - The appeal notice mailing fee is paid by the project applicant, payment is made to the City Planning's mailing contractor (BTC), a copy of the receipt must be submitted as proof of payment.

**SPECIFIC CASE TYPES - APPEAL FILING INFORMATION**

**C. DENSITY BONUS / TRANSIT ORIENTED COMMUNITES (TOC)**

**1. Density Bonus/TOC**

Appeal procedures for Density Bonus/TOC per LAMC Section 12.22.A 25 (g) f.

**NOTE:**

- Density Bonus/TOC cases, only the *on menu or additional incentives* items can be appealed.
- Appeals of Density Bonus/TOC cases can only be filed by adjacent owners or tenants (must have documentation), and always only appealable to the Citywide Planning Commission.

- Provide documentation to confirm adjacent owner or tenant status, i.e., a lease agreement, rent receipt, utility bill, property tax bill, ZIMAS, drivers license, bill statement etc.

**D. WAIVER OF DEDICATION AND OR IMPROVEMENT**

Appeal procedure for Waiver of Dedication or Improvement per LAMC Section 12.37 I.

**NOTE:**

- Waivers for By-Right Projects, can only be appealed by the owner.
- When a Waiver is on appeal and is part of a master land use application request or subdivider's statement for a project, the applicant may appeal pursuant to the procedures that governs the entitlement.

**E. TENTATIVE TRACT/VESTING**

**1. Tentative Tract/Vesting** - Appeal procedure for Tentative Tract / Vesting application per LAMC Section 17.54 A.

NOTE: Appeals to the City Council from a determination on a Tentative Tract (TT or VTT) by the Area or City Planning Commission must be filed within 10 days of the date of the written determination of said Commission.

- Provide a copy of the written determination letter from Commission.

**F. BUILDING AND SAFETY DETERMINATION**

- 1.** Appeal of the Department of Building and Safety determination, per LAMC 12.26 K 1, an appellant is considered the **Original Applicant** and must provide noticing and pay mailing fees.

**a. Appeal Fee**

- Original Applicant - The fee charged shall be in accordance with LAMC Section 19.01B 2, as stated in the Building and Safety determination letter, plus all surcharges. (the fee specified in Table 4-A, Section 98.0403.2 of the City of Los Angeles Building Code)

**b. Notice Requirement**

- Mailing Fee - The applicant must pay mailing fees to City Planning's mailing contractor (BTC) and submit a copy of receipt as proof of payment.

- 2.** Appeal of the Director of City Planning determination per LAMC Section 12.26 K 6, an applicant or any other aggrieved person may file an appeal, and is appealable to the Area Planning Commission or Citywide Planning Commission as noted in the determination.

**a. Appeal Fee**

- Original Applicant - The fee charged shall be in accordance with the LAMC Section 19.01 B 1 a.

**b. Notice Requirement**

- Mailing List - The appeal notification requirements per LAMC Section 12.26 K 7 apply.
- Mailing Fees - The appeal notice mailing fee is made to City Planning's mailing contractor (BTC), a copy of receipt must be submitted as proof of payment.

**G. NUISANCE ABATEMENT**

**1. Nuisance Abatement** - Appeal procedure for Nuisance Abatement per LAMC Section 12.27.1 C 4

**NOTE:**

- Nuisance Abatement is only appealable to the City Council.

**a. Appeal Fee**

Aggrieved Party the fee charged shall be in accordance with the LAMC Section 19.01 B 1.

**2. Plan Approval/Compliance Review**

Appeal procedure for Nuisance Abatement Plan Approval/Compliance Review per LAMC Section 12.27.1 C 4.

**a. Appeal Fee**

Compliance Review - The fee charged shall be in accordance with the LAMC Section 19.01 B.

Modification - The fee shall be in accordance with the LAMC Section 19.01 B.

**NOTES**

*A Certified Neighborhood Council (CNC) or a person identified as a member of a CNC or as representing the CNC may not file an appeal on behalf of the Neighborhood Council; persons affiliated with a CNC may only file as an individual on behalf of self.*

*Please note that the appellate body must act on your appeal within a time period specified in the Section(s) of the Los Angeles Municipal Code (LAMC) pertaining to the type of appeal being filed. The Department of City Planning will make its best efforts to have appeals scheduled prior to the appellate body's last day to act in order to provide due process to the appellant. If the appellate body is unable to come to a consensus or is unable to hear and consider the appeal prior to the last day to act, the appeal is automatically deemed denied, and the original decision will stand. The last day to act as defined in the LAMC may only be extended if formally agreed upon by the applicant.*

This Section for City Planning Staff Use Only		
Base Fee:	Reviewed & Accepted by (DSC Planner):	Date:
Receipt No:	Deemed Complete by (Project Planner):	Date:
<input type="checkbox"/> Determination authority notified		<input type="checkbox"/> Original receipt and BTC receipt (if original applicant)







# Appeal Application

Final Audit Report

2020-06-16

Created:	2020-06-16
By:	Planning Intranet (planning.intranet@lacity.org)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAALv5mY1SldcBPLnjswVx3vwfpn7Npkf-


## "Appeal Application" History

-  Web Form created by Planning Intranet (planning.intranet@lacity.org)  
2020-04-17 - 11:54:27 PM GMT
-  Web Form filled in by Bill Christopher (BillC@urban-concepts.com)  
2020-06-16 - 11:47:29 PM GMT- IP address: 172.249.67.136
-  Document emailed to Bill Christopher (BillC@urban-concepts.com) for signature  
2020-06-16 - 11:47:31 PM GMT
-  Email viewed by Bill Christopher (BillC@urban-concepts.com)  
2020-06-16 - 11:47:54 PM GMT- IP address: 172.249.67.136
-  E-signature verified by Bill Christopher (BillC@urban-concepts.com)  
2020-06-16 - 11:48:00 PM GMT- IP address: 172.249.67.136
-  Signed document emailed to Planning Intranet (planning.intranet@lacity.org) and Bill Christopher (BillC@urban-concepts.com)  
2020-06-16 - 11:48:00 PM GMT



POWERED BY  
Adobe Sign

1993



LINCOLN BLVD. C.F. 1220

Subject Parcel


Ownerships of the Los Angeles Archdiocese Education and Welfare Corp.

PART OF THE RANCHO LA BALLONA  
L. S. 1-74

TRACT NO. 18591  
M. B. 529 -1-2

CODE 67

FOR PREV. ASSM'T. SEE: 571-1, 2 & 20  
4237-17





Ownership #6

Address: 1177 GARFIELD AVE  
VENICE, CA 90291-4936

Owner Name: ARCHDIOCESE OF L A EDUC & ST MARKS  
APN: 4237-023-007

Ownership #7

Address: 2475 LINCOLN BLVD  
VENICE, CA 90291-5040

Owner Name: ARCHDIOCESE OF L A EDUC & ST MARKS  
APN: 4237-023-006

Ownership #3

Address: NONE  
VENICE, CA 90291-4936

Owner Name: ARCHDIOCESE OF L A EDUC & ST MARKS  
APN: 4237-023-003

Regards,  
Alicia Pinkley

The Chicago Title Premier Services Team

Please contact [GPS@FNF.Com](mailto:GPS@FNF.Com) or call (877) 477-2880 if you have any questions or concerns.  
This email was sent at 10:08 AM (PDT) on 6/16/2020.

JUSTIFICATION  
Appeal to City Council  
June 16, 2020

CPC-2019-6069-CU-DB-CDP-CDO-SPP-MEL-WDI-PHP

The Roman Catholic Archdiocese of Los Angeles, on behalf of St. Mark's Parish and school, is appealing the entire action of the City Planning Commission as laid out in its decision letter, dated June 3, 2020 referencing the above noted case.

In this action, the Archdiocese recognizes two aspects of its core mission, serving the needs of the less fortunate in the community and its responsibility to ensure the safety of the children in its care at St. Mark's Elementary School. The subject action of the City Planning Commission brings these two aspects into direct conflict. On balance, the Archdiocese must support the concerns of its parishioners at St. Mark's and the parents of the children enrolled at St. Marks School.

For clarity, the Los Angeles Archdiocese Education and Welfare Corporation, is the legal owner of the abutting properties, to the southeast and to the southwest of the subject site, which house St. Mark's Parish and School. See attached Title Summary and Assessor's Map for APN's 4237-023-006 & 4237-023-007.

A. The Reason for the Appeal.

The vast majority of parents of the children enrolled at St. Mark's Elementary School and share sincere concerns for the safety of the school children based on documented evidence over the past several years.

The abutting elementary school enrolls a diverse group of 310 students ages 4 to 13, 43% of which are children of color.

The safety concerns are rooted in the well documented operational and public safety issues of the Safe Place for Youth (SPY) social service and referral center that has operated on the subject site for the past five years. The public record supports the concerns of the parents.

Facts:

- LAPD & LA Fire have responded to 117 calls at SPY over the past three years.

- These public safety responses average almost a call per week and SPY is only open for drop in services three afternoons each week. See the attached police and fire call logs.
- The public safety logs show that the police have responded to a wide range of calls, including but not limited to: Assault with a Deadly Weapon, Violent Mentally Ill, Overdose, Battery, Burglary, and Vandalism.

The most egregious example of the real threat to the children's safety occurred this past January 15th when a Spy client wielding a heavy chain went on a rampage near the school campus, putting the school on lockdown and necessitating a major police response. An expanded SPY operation—without much improved safety and security measures—is likely to lead to an increase in public safety incidents.

St. Mark's is not the only local stakeholder impacted by SPY. A local businessman has testified at XYZ Hearing that "my employees and clients have encountered incidents of assault, vandalism, theft, drug use, public nudity, lewd acts and verbal abuse towards others from persons who are patrons of SPY. I have clients who are now afraid to come to my office because of the verbal assaults they have encountered. I have employees and associates who have been harassed repeatedly."

Parishioners and parents have also reported similar threatening behavior directed at them and their children by SPY's clients during pick-up and drop-off at the school over the past several years. On the morning of October 3, 2017, a SPY client invaded the Parish Hall, aggressively confronting parishioners meeting in the building. As he was directed to leave the premises, he threatened the life of a parishioner and his children.

In addition to the safety issues posed by the expansion of SPY, the addition of 40 units of supportive housing will also very likely increase the calls for Fire and Police services. For comparison, the other Venice Community Housing (VCH) supportive housing project in Venice, Gateway Apartments, has had 46 police calls and 132 fire department calls in the past three years. Gateway Gardens is half the size of the proposed project.

Taken together, the Expansion of SPY and addition of supportive housing pose a very significant risk to the safety of the children attending St. Mark's School. To date SPY and Venice Community Housing have been unwilling to

make any substantial changes to their plans or proposed operations to address the safety and security concerns raised by St. Mark's.

As a result, the Commission erred in approving an incompatible land use (a social service counseling and referral center/Permanent Supportive Housing project) immediately abutting an Elementary School and Church.

B. The Points at Issue.

1. The Commission determined that the project qualifies for a Class 32 (Urban Infill) Categorical Exemption from CEQA. We believe that two of the six criteria for an exception to the Categorical Exemption apply in this case.

*(a) The project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general plan policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and regulations.*

No. The project is not consistent with all applicable policies as explained below.

*(d) Approval of the project would not result in any significant effects relating to noise, air quality, or water quality.*

No. There are likely to be significant impacts related to construction noise. Project construction would require demolition, excavation for a foundation and highly impactful construction barely inches from the school walls. Compliance with the Noise Ordinance is not a properly adopted noise threshold, and it imposes no absolute limitation on construction noise.

2. The Commission approved a Conditional Use permit by making a finding that the project's location, size, height, operations and other significant features will be compatible with and will not adversely affect or further degrade adjacent properties, the surrounding neighborhood, or the public health, welfare, and safety.

No. The proposed project's operations will not be compatible with the abutting St. Mark's Elementary School and will have an adverse effect on the parish and school for the reasons outlined above related to the Safety of the children. Further, the Decision contains no recognition of dangerous past incidents related to SPY clients or analysis of the safety of the proposed project in relation to the elementary school children immediately adjacent to the project and sharing a pedestrian path of travel with the proposed residents of the project.

Also, the project does not conform to the provisions of the Venice Coastal Zone Land Use Plan or the Venice Coastal Zone Specific Plan

3. The Commission approved a Waiver of Development Standards to permit a building height of 49 feet which is not consistent with the Venice Specific Plan.

Similarly, the Commission approved a waiver of the requirement for a step-back of the street facade, which is not consistent with the Venice Specific Plan.

In both cases, the waivers may have specific adverse impacts upon public health and safety or the physical environment, specifically on the safety of the school children at St. Mark's Elementary School.

4. The Commission approved a Coastal Development Permit

Policy I.E.2 (Scale) holds that "New development within the Venice Coastal Zone shall respect the scale and character of community development. Buildings which are of a scale compatible with the community (with respect to bulk, height, buffer and setback) shall be encouraged. All new development and renovations should respect the scale, massing, and landscape of existing residential neighborhoods."

The subject project is clearly out of scale with the surrounding community.

5. The Commission approved a Community Design Overlay Plan Approval subject to finding that "The structure, site plan, and landscaping are harmonious in scale and design with existing

development and any cultural, scenic, or environmental resources adjacent to the site and in the vicinity.”

- (a) The subject site is clearly not harmonious in scale with existing development.
- (b) The Land Use Plan would require 104 parking spaces for the project, yet the project proposes only 6 parking spaces. The City failed to comply with policies requiring documentation to justify the full extent of the parking reduction.

6. The Commission approved a Project Permit Compliance Review under the provisions of the Venice Coastal Zone Specific Plan subject to the following findings and requirements:

- (a) That the Venice Coastal Development Project is compatible in scale and character with the existing neighborhood, and that the Venice Coastal Development Project would not be materially detrimental to adjoining lots or the immediate neighborhood.

As noted above, the proposed project is not in scale with the surrounding development and in this case represents a use that will likely be materially detrimental to the abutting elementary school.

- (b) Section 13 of the Specific Plan would require 104 parking spaces for the proposed project. The proposed project includes only six spaces which does not meet the requirements for either the Permanent Supportive Housing project or the proposed expansion of the existing social service counseling and referral center.

C. How the St. Mark's Parish is Aggrieved by the Decision.

Safe Place for Youth (SPY) has operated at the subject site for the past five years. SPY is intended to be folded into the project and provide supportive services to the residents, while expanding their services to the broader community.

Consistently, during the preceding five years, the parish, the elementary school and the local residential neighbors have been disturbed and disrupted by SPY's clients, to the extent that the elementary had to go on lockdown when one of SPY's clients was wielding a chain on a rampage near the school campus which sent the school into lockdown. Expanding SPY's services, whose residents could potentially suffer addiction issues or mental health issues, presents a risk to the elementary school children and the neighboring residents. The evidence presented in the case file and outlined in the Reason for the Appeal supports the Parish's position that the approval of this project increases the risk to the safety of the school children.

The Venice Neighborhood Council's Land Use and Planning Committee (LUPAC) voted overwhelmingly (after a three hour public hearing) to oppose the project. The full board of the Venice Neighborhood Council stayed with their hearing until after midnight for another three hours and also voted overwhelmingly to oppose the project.

By contrast, the Planning Commission limited public debate to 45 minute a side. Egregiously, the staff minimized the tsunami of intense local opposition letters and signatures by equating them to a much lesser set of support petition and form letters – many of which were from outside Venice.

To clarify the record:

- 927 local residents emailed the Commission in opposition
- More than 222 parents at St. Mark's Elementary School also emailed the Commission in opposition
- Total communications in opposition: 1,149

#### C. Why We Believe the Commission Erred in its Decision.

We believe the Commission erred in the following actions:

1. With regard to the Class 32 Categorical Exemption, we believe the Commission erred in finding that the proposed project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and all applicable general

plan policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and regulations.

Further, we believe that there may be significant impacts related noise as well as public safety as it relates to the proposed expansion of the social service counseling and referral center.

2. The Commission erred in approving a Conditional Use permit by making a finding that the project's location, size, height, operations and other significant features will be compatible with and will not adversely affect or further degrade adjacent properties, the surrounding neighborhood, or the public health, welfare, and safety.

The proposed project will not be compatible with the abutting elementary school and will have an adverse effect on the parish and school, particularly as it relates to the safety of the school children.

3. The Commission erred in approving a Waiver of Development Standards to permit a building height of 49 feet which is not consistent with the Venice Specific Plan.

Similarly, the Commission erred in approving a waiver of the requirement for a step-back of the street facade, which is not consistent with the Venice Specific Plan.

In both cases, we believe that the waivers will have specific adverse impacts upon public health and safety or the physical environment, specifically on the safety of the school children at St. Mark's Elementary School.

4. We believe the Commission erred in approving a Coastal Development Permit subject to LUP Policy I.E.2 (Scale). The policy holds that "New development within the Venice Coastal Zone shall respect the scale and character of community development. Buildings which are of a scale compatible with the community (with respect to bulk, height, buffer and setback) shall be encouraged. All new development and renovations should respect the scale, massing, and landscape of existing residential neighborhoods."

The subject project is clearly out of scale with the surrounding community.

5. The Commission erred in issuing a Community Design Overlay Plan Approval subject to finding that “The structure, site plan, and landscaping are harmonious in scale and design with existing development and any cultural, scenic, or environmental resources adjacent to the site and in the vicinity.”

The subject site is clearly not harmonious in scale with existing development.

6. The Commission erred in approving a Project Permit Compliance Review under the provisions of the Venice Coastal Zone Specific Plan subject to the following findings and requirements:

- (a) That the Venice Coastal Development Project is compatible in scale and character with the existing neighborhood, and that the Venice Coastal Development Project would not be materially detrimental to adjoining lots or the immediate neighborhood.

As noted above, the proposed project is not in scale with the surrounding development and in this case represents a use that will likely be materially detrimental to the abutting elementary school.

- (b) Section 13 of the Specific Plan would require 104 parking spaces for the proposed project. The proposed project includes only six spaces which does not meet the requirements for either the Permanent Supportive Housing project or the proposed expansion of the existing social service counseling and referral center.

Total: 30 Incidents  
between 01/01/2017 and 01/30/2020

Last updated: 01/30/2020 11:48 AM.

Inc	Type	Address	Zone	RFS	Time/Date		
0930	UK43	PD	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1341 12/19/2019	05:09
0844	OD4P	OD	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1325 12/18/2019	05:30
0890	MP03	PSYCH	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1427 12/03/2019	04:12
1096	MP43	AS	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1611 11/20/2019	03:52
0660	BD3P	DR	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1136 10/23/2019	04:35
1306	AUTOR	AUTOR	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1913 07/14/2019	04:12
0834	CP21	CHEST	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1315 07/03/2019	03:42
0799	SE	SE	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1337 06/19/2019	03:12
0858	AR04	ALLG	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1343 03/20/2019	05:01
1009	MP43	AS	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1525 03/05/2019	02:32
1249	AP41	ABDM	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1710 02/06/2019	04:02
0836	AP41	ABDM	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1351 12/10/2018	03:47
0844	BD04	DR	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1331 10/04/2018	03:22
0886	AA		2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1338 08/17/2018	05:08
0962	FA32	FALL	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1529 06/19/2018	03:11
1015	SK43	SICK	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1807 03/25/2018	03:09
1077	OD25	OD	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1636 01/30/2018	04:11
1019	IN51	INJ	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1545 12/20/2017	29:28
1038	MP43	AS	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1508 11/21/2017	03:22
1033	AP41	ABDM	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1536 10/05/2017	06:25
1211	UK41	PD	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1801 09/19/2017	03:17
0537	SK43	SICK	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1047 07/31/2017	03:27
0980	AP41	ABDM	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1541 07/20/2017	06:40
0991	AT41	AST	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1431 05/18/2017	13:50
0353	UN21	UNC	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	0829 04/18/2017	04:53
0776	AUTOP	AUTOP	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1301 03/29/2017	02:37
1217	AUTOP	AUTOP	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1721 03/28/2017	03:51
1267	MP4P	PSYCH	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1812 03/14/2017	04:06
0798	AT51	AST	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1336 03/01/2017	23:45
1270	SE4P	SZ	2469 S LINCOLN BL X E GARFIELD AV	WLA	63	1802 02/03/2017	03:12

**CALL FOR SERVICE**  
**Location: 2469 LINCOLN**  
**Date: 01/01/2018 - 12/31/2018**

LOCATION	RD	CDATE	C_TIME	DISP DATE	TIME CALL	CALL TYPE	UNIT	CD	ARVD	DISPO	DISPO TYPE	AREA	
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	06/07/2018	08:59		906B1	CODE 30 RINGER	.	0		CPR	CANCELLED BY PR	14	
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	01/27/2018	07:38	01/27/2018	07:38	906B1	CODE 30 RINGER	14A13	0	07:49	FALSN	FALSE ALARM CODE 12 SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	01/30/2018	16:40	01/30/2018	16:43	907A2	AMB O/D	14A58	2	16:59	OCCSN	OFCR COMPLETED CALL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL		03/20/2018	11:20	03/20/2018	11:20	006	CODE 6	6HOPE20	0	11:20	CMPSY	COMPLETED SUPV YES	34
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	03/23/2018	12:31	03/23/2018	12:54	9032	HAZARD	14A58	2	13:13	OCCSN	OFCR COMPLETED CALL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BLVD		03/27/2018	18:50	03/27/2018	18:50	006	CODE 6	14SL45	0	18:50	CMPSN	COMPLETED SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	04/10/2018	12:40	04/10/2018	12:53	9073	ATT SUICIDE	14A11	2	13:04	GOASN	GONE ON ARRIVAL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	04/19/2018	16:09	04/19/2018	16:09	907P3	POSS ATT SUICIDE	14A45	2	16:38	OCCSN	OFCR COMPLETED CALL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	05/25/2018	11:11	05/25/2018	11:16	415M	MAN	14Z1	0	11:39	OCCSN	OFCR COMPLETED CALL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	05/28/2018	12:09	05/28/2018	12:13	906B1	CODE 30 RINGER	.	0		CPR	CANCELLED BY PR	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	06/14/2018	15:20	06/14/2018	15:27	7200	OTHER	14A67	2	15:44	OCCSY	OFCR COMPLETED CALL SUPV YES	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	06/22/2018	05:32	06/22/2018	05:32	906B1	CODE 30 RINGER	14A13	0	06:02	FALSN	FALSE ALARM CODE 12 SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	06/28/2018	14:40	06/28/2018	14:53	918VM	VIOLENT MALE	14A11	3	14:57	HOMSY	HOLD MENTAL ILL SUPV YES	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	07/02/2018	08:50	07/02/2018	08:54	918M	MALE	14A45	2	09:03	GOASY	GONE ON ARRIVAL SUPV YES	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	07/03/2018	10:20	07/03/2018	10:39	918M	MALE	14A45	2	10:48	NMISN	NMI SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	07/04/2018	17:20	07/04/2018	17:32	906B1	CODE 30 RINGER	14A28	0	19:41	FALSN	FALSE ALARM CODE 12 SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	07/07/2018	04:44	07/07/2018	04:45	906B1	CODE 30 RINGER	14A45	0	04:47	FALSN	FALSE ALARM CODE 12 SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	07/15/2018	11:14	07/15/2018	11:15	918M	MALE	14A25	2	11:40	NMISY	NMI SUPV YES	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	07/17/2018	14:56	07/17/2018	14:57	9212	TRESPASS SUSP	14A11	2	14:58	ARRSY	ARREST SUPV YES	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	07/17/2018	17:17	07/17/2018	17:21	918F	FEM	14A13	2	17:28	HOMSY	HOLD MENTAL ILL SUPV YES	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	07/23/2018	14:29	07/23/2018	14:31	415M	MAN	14A67	3	14:41	GOASN	GONE ON ARRIVAL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL		07/26/2018	12:48	07/26/2018	12:48	006	CODE 6	14A13	0	12:48	OCCSN	OFCR COMPLETED CALL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	09/04/2018	16:35	09/04/2018	16:48	9073	ATT SUICIDE	14A67	2	17:17	OCCSY	OFCR COMPLETED CALL SUPV YES	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	09/06/2018	18:40	09/06/2018	18:45	918F	FEM	14A56	2	18:52	REMSY	REFERRED MENTAL ILL SUPV YES	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	09/11/2018	18:20	09/11/2018	18:27	907P3	POSS ATT SUICIDE	14A16	2	18:41	REMSN	REFERRED MENTAL ILL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	09/27/2018	09:31	09/27/2018	09:53	9212	TRESPASS SUSP	14A45	0	14:02	GOASN	GONE ON ARRIVAL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	10/05/2018	16:35	10/05/2018	16:36	918M	MALE	14A45	2	17:14	NMISN	NMI SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	10/09/2018	16:50	10/09/2018	17:01	918M	MALE	14A45	2	17:12	NMISY	NMI SUPV YES	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	11/02/2018	08:33	11/02/2018	08:36	9212N	TRESPASS SUSP NOW	14A25	2	09:01	WRNSN	WARNED SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	11/06/2018	14:14	11/06/2018	14:30	620B	BUSN	14A26	0	18:06	OCCSN	OFCR COMPLETED CALL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	12/06/2018	10:44	12/06/2018	10:54	9073	ATT SUICIDE	14A49	2	11:04	NMISY	NMI SUPV YES	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	12/11/2018	21:25	12/11/2018	21:26	594SN	SUSP NOW	14A45	2	21:46	RPTSN	REPORT SUPV NO	14

**CALL FOR SERVICE**  
**Location: 2469 LINCOLN**  
**Date: 01/01/2018 - 12/31/2018**

<u>LOCATION</u>	<u>RD</u>	<u>CDATE</u>	<u>C_TIME</u>	<u>DISP DATE</u>	<u>TIME CALL</u>	<u>CALL TYPE</u>	<u>UNIT</u>	<u>CD</u>	<u>ARVD</u>	<u>DISPO</u>	<u>DISPO TYPE</u>	<u>AREA</u>
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	12/15/2018	09:23	12/15/2018	09:25	459SN SUSP NOW	14A49	2	10:00	OCCSN OFCR COMPLETED CALL SUPV NO		14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	12/15/2018	15:44	12/15/2018	15:44	594J J/O	14A26	2	16:11	ARRSY ARREST SUPV YES		14

NOTE: THERE ARE 34 INSTANCES DISPLAYED IN THIS REPORT

Prepared by: ADSD/ESS on March 11, 2020  
calls\_for\_service\_by\_location\_and\_date

**CALL FOR SERVICE**  
**Location: 2469 LINCOLN**  
**Date: 01/01/2017 - 01/18/2020**

LOCATION	RD	C_DATE	C_TIME	DISP_DATE	TIME_CALL	CALL_TYPE	UNIT	CD	ARVD	DISPO	DISPO_TYPE	AREA
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	10/01/2019	13:08			242PSN POSS SUSP NOW	.	2		CPR	CANCELLED BY PR	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	10/01/2019	13:50			242SN SUSP NOW	.	2		CPR	CANCELLED BY PR	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	01/29/2017	14:03			906B1F EXCESSIVE FALSE RING	.	0		CPR	CANCELLED BY PR	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	12/01/2017	04:38			906B1F EXCESSIVE FALSE RING	.	0		FAL	FALSE ALARM CODE 12	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	12/01/2017	05:04			906B1F EXCESSIVE FALSE RING	.	0		EFA	EXCESSIVE FALSE ALARM	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	12/23/2017	07:23			906B1F EXCESSIVE FALSE RING	.	0		EFA	EXCESSIVE FALSE ALARM	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	12/27/2017	16:23			415M MAN	.	0		CPR	CANCELLED BY PR	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	02/01/2017	14:08	02/01/2017	14:15	9212 TRESPASS SUSP		14A45	0	15:02	GOASN GONE ON ARRIVAL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	02/03/2017	17:39	02/03/2017	17:45	918F FEM		14A13	2	17:58	HOMSN HOLD MENTAL ILL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	03/01/2017	13:28	03/01/2017	13:29	918PF POSS FEM		14A45	2	13:32	RPTSY REPORT SUPV YES	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	03/09/2017	13:55	03/09/2017	13:57	415W WOMAN		14A25	0	14:27	GOASN GONE ON ARRIVAL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	03/14/2017	17:13	03/14/2017	17:16	918F FEM		14A27	2	17:34	ARMSY ARREST MENTAL ILL SUPV YES	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	04/05/2017	14:43	04/05/2017	14:50	2451I W/VEH INVEST	.	0		CLO	CLOSED	34
2469 LINCOLN BLVD		04/21/2017	13:24	04/21/2017	13:24	006 CODE 6		14SL67	0	13:24	OCCSN OFCR COMPLETED CALL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BLVD		04/21/2017	13:51	04/21/2017	13:51	006 CODE 6		14S13	0	13:51	OCCSY OFCR COMPLETED CALL SUPV YES	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	05/18/2017	14:35	05/18/2017	14:44	242A AMB		14A13	2	15:13	GOASN GONE ON ARRIVAL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	07/05/2017	12:11	07/05/2017	12:22	918M MALE		14A13	2	12:27	NMISN NMI SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	07/27/2017	14:26	07/27/2017	14:27	242H CITZ HLDG SUSP		14A13	3	14:28	ARRSN ARREST SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	09/27/2017	06:03	09/27/2017	07:24	906B1 CODE 30 RINGER		14A27	0	08:07	FALSN FALSE ALARM CODE 12 SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	11/30/2017	13:51	11/30/2017	14:50	261I INVEST		14A25	0	15:40	RPTSN REPORT SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	12/19/2017	09:07	12/19/2017	09:22	906B1F EXCESSIVE FALSE RING		14A25	0	10:13	FALSN FALSE ALARM CODE 12 SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	12/27/2017	16:21	12/27/2017	16:35	415M MAN	.	2		16:40	CCB CANCELLED BY CALLBACK	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	01/31/2019	14:08	01/31/2019	14:18	4591I BFV INVEST		14Z1	0	14:26	ARRSN ARREST SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	02/03/2019	22:00	02/03/2019	22:02	242PSN POSS SUSP NOW		14X16	2	22:12	GOASN GONE ON ARRIVAL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	03/05/2019	15:31	03/05/2019	15:49	7203 FD		14A11	2	16:12	OCCSN OFCR COMPLETED CALL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	04/24/2019	15:39	04/24/2019	15:42	918M MALE		14A13	2	15:50	HOMSY HOLD MENTAL ILL SUPV YES	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	05/01/2019	15:51	05/01/2019	15:56	7200 OTHER		14A45	2	16:26	OCCSN OFCR COMPLETED CALL SUPV NO	14

**CALL FOR SERVICE**  
**Location: 2469 LINCOLN**  
**Date: 01/01/2017 - 01/18/2020**

LOCATION	RD	CDATE	C_TIME	DISP DATE	TIME CALL	CALL TYPE	UNIT	CD	ARVD	DISPO	DISPO TYPE	AREA
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	05/28/2019	13:41	05/28/2019	13:51	918VM VIOLENT MALE	14A45	2	14:08	HOMSY HOLD	MENTAL ILL SUPV YES	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	06/06/2019	09:44	06/06/2019	09:58	8200 OTHER	14A67	2	10:45	GOASN GONE	ON ARRIVAL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	07/10/2019	08:21	07/10/2019	08:50	459TI ATT INVEST	14A73	0	11:58	RPTSN	REPORT SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	07/14/2019	19:13	07/14/2019	19:17	904A AMB	14A28	3	19:17	RPTSN	REPORT SUPV NO	34
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	08/21/2019	13:11	08/21/2019	13:13	245PSN POSS SUSP NOW	14EL2	3	13:14	QNRSN	QUESTIONED & RELEASED SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	09/15/2019	16:15	09/15/2019	18:20	415M MAN	14FB2	0	19:16	GOASN GONE	ON ARRIVAL SUPV NO	14
2469 S LINCOLN BL	1443	11/13/2019	11:11	11/13/2019	11:18	594I INVEST	14A49	0	12:47	RPTSN	REPORT SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	12/03/2019	14:32	12/03/2019	14:37	918AF AMB FEM	14A25	2		GOA	GONE ON ARRIVAL	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	12/04/2019	17:58	12/04/2019	18:07	918M MALE	14L40	2		GOA	GONE ON ARRIVAL	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	12/06/2019	06:32	12/06/2019	07:22	906B1 CODE 30 RINGER	14A45	0	08:52	OCCSN	OF CR COMPLETED CALL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	12/18/2019	13:35	12/18/2019	13:47	907A2 AMB O/D	14A28	2	14:07	OCCSN	OF CR COMPLETED CALL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	12/19/2019	13:42	12/19/2019	14:06	907PA2 POSS AMB O/D	14A73	2	14:18	OCCSN	OF CR COMPLETED CALL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	01/11/2020	00:44	01/11/2020	00:56	906B1 CODE 30 RINGER	14A45	0	01:11	RPTSN	REPORT SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	01/13/2020	08:53	01/13/2020	09:14	9283 PROPERTY	14A45	2	11:13	OCCSN	OF CR COMPLETED CALL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	01/13/2020	15:35	01/13/2020	15:49	918M MALE	14A27	2	16:29	ARMSN	ARREST MENTAL ILL SUPV NO	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	01/15/2020	14:11	01/15/2020	14:13	594SN SUSP NOW	14A28	2		ARRSY	ARREST SUPV YES	14
2469 LINCOLN BL	1443	01/15/2020	14:15	01/15/2020	14:20	245S SUSP	14A28	2	14:26	OCCSY	OF CR COMPLETED CALL SUPV YES	14

NOTE: THERE ARE 53 INSTANCES DISPLAYED IN THIS REPORT

Prepared by: ADSD/ESS on January 24, 2020  
calls\_for\_service\_by\_location\_and\_date