

Communication from Public

Name: Jackson

Date Submitted: 11/18/2020 04:49 PM

Council File No: 20-1044

Comments for Public Posting: The mere suggestion that the corrupt PLUM Committee be bypassed due to the likelihood of developers paying off the plum committee members is nonsensical. Instead of getting to the bottom of the corruption and prosecuting the city council member found guilty of the corruption, and instead of prosecuting them to the maximum penalty, Martinez's motion suggests to just have these "high value" projects skip right along to the city council; where members of the corrupt PLUM committee serve. I would be embarrassed to admit this motion came from an elected official. By its mere suggestion, you have admitted no matter who its members are, that the PLUM committee is corrupt. But anyone who knows how this city council is run also knows city council members are doing everything they can to divert attention away from them to avoid their own investigation due to their own corruption. In addition to the above, pushing this motion through during the pandemic is another example of this council's failure to consider anything more from the public than a written comment. Out of ALL the public comment letters you receive on a motion; what percentage of them contribute to your final decision? I would be delusional to think this council may actually do the right thing and do what is best for the citizens of the city. A motion to bypass the PLUM Committee would be another move to serve YOUR interests. Not ours.

Communication from Public

Name: Jackson

Date Submitted: 11/18/2020 04:52 PM

Council File No: 20-1044

Comments for Public Posting: The mere suggestion that the corrupt PLUM Committee be bypassed due to the likelihood of developers paying off the plum committee members is nonsensical. Instead of getting to the bottom of the corruption and prosecuting the city council member found guilty of the corruption, and instead of prosecuting them to the maximum penalty, Martinez's motion suggests to just have these "high value" projects skip right along to the city council; where members of the corrupt PLUM committee serve. I would be embarrassed to admit this motion came from an elected official. By its mere suggestion, you have admitted no matter who its members are, that the PLUM committee is corrupt. But anyone who knows how this city council is run also knows city council members are doing everything they can to divert attention away from them to avoid their own investigation due to their own corruption. In addition to the above, pushing this motion through during the pandemic is another example of this council's failure to consider anything more from the public than a written comment. Out of ALL the public comment letters you receive on a motion; what percentage of them contribute to your final decision? I would be delusional to think this council may actually do the right thing and do what is best for the citizens of the city. A motion to bypass the PLUM Committee would be another move to serve YOUR interests. Not ours.