

Communication from Public

Name: Arsine

Date Submitted: 01/25/2024 11:57 PM

Council File No: 21-0042-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly urge you to oppose Item 12. There is no reason this item should be rushed in one business day and potentially open a win-win program to abuse. As someone who provides Section 8 housing I believe direct rental assistance as a way to keep people housed is a much better solution, I urge you to stop creating unfair regulations which cause housing providers to go uncompensated for their work and service. This proposal doesn't make sense.
Thank you

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 01/26/2024 07:19 AM

Council File No: 21-0042-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Dear members of the Los Angeles City Council, I work at Prime Residential—proud owners of Park La Brea as well as other apartment communities in the City of Los Angeles— and we just received notice of the motion in Council File No. CF 21-0042-S7, proposed by Councilmembers Hernandez and Raman. We urge you to refer this motion to the Budget and Finance Committee, before it goes to a full council vote. The motion proposes enacting yet another eviction moratorium in the City of Los Angeles, which would of course require time for study and stakeholder input. Los Angeles has already burdened our housing providers extensively, forcing them to lose millions upon millions of dollars in rent due to other pandemic-era regulations, so any proposed action that would again imperil housing providers' ability to pursue their legal rights should be taken seriously. There is simply no reason to rush this process. Please refer this to committee or vote no. Sincerely, Bert

Communication from Public

Name: john burney

Date Submitted: 01/26/2024 07:34 AM

Council File No: 21-0042-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Dear members of the Los Angeles City Council, I work at Prime Residential—proud owners of Park La Brea as well as other apartment communities in the City of Los Angeles— and we just received notice of the motion in Council File No. CF 21-0042-S7, proposed by Councilmembers Hernandez and Raman. We urge you to refer this motion to the Budget and Finance Committee, before it goes to a full council vote. The motion proposes enacting yet another eviction moratorium in the City of Los Angeles, which would of course require time for study and stakeholder input. Los Angeles has already burdened our housing providers extensively, forcing them to lose millions upon millions of dollars in rent due to other pandemic-era regulations, so any proposed action that would again imperil housing providers' ability to pursue their legal rights should be taken seriously. There is simply no reason to rush this process. Please refer this to committee or vote no. Sincerely, John Burney

Communication from Public

Name: Bryan Taing

Date Submitted: 01/26/2024 07:35 AM

Council File No: 21-0042-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Members of the Los Angeles City Council, I work at Prime Residential—proud owners of Park La Brea as well as other apartment communities in the City of Los Angeles— and we just received notice of the motion in Council File No. CF 21-0042-S7, proposed by Councilmembers Hernandez and Raman. We urge you to refer this motion to the Budget and Finance Committee, before it goes to a full council vote. The motion proposes enacting yet another eviction moratorium in the City of Los Angeles, which would of course require time for study and stakeholder input. Los Angeles has already burdened our housing providers extensively, forcing them to lose millions upon millions of dollars in rent due to other pandemic-era regulations, so any proposed action that would again imperil housing providers' ability to pursue their legal rights should be taken seriously. There is simply no reason to rush this process. Please refer this to committee or vote no. Sincerely, Bryan Taing

Communication from Public

Name: Laura

Date Submitted: 01/26/2024 07:47 AM

Council File No: 21-0042-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Imposing another housing moratorium could have severe repercussions on the rental housing industry and providers. Such measures, while intended to protect tenants during challenging times, can inadvertently stifle the industry's functioning. Housing providers especially small landlords, heavily depend on rental income to cover mortgage payments, property maintenance, and other expenses. Another moratorium could exacerbate financial strain, potentially leading to foreclosures or property deterioration. Investors may become hesitant to invest in rental properties with this reoccurring pattern. This reduction in property investments can limit the overall housing supply, exacerbating the already existing shortage and driving up rental prices in the long run.

Communication from Public

Name: Jennifer Fougere

Date Submitted: 01/26/2024 07:52 AM

Council File No: 21-0042-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Dear members of the Los Angeles City Council, I work at Prime Residential—proud owners of Park La Brea as well as other apartment communities in the City of Los Angeles— and we just received notice of the motion in Council File No. CF 21-0042-S7, proposed by Councilmembers Hernandez and Raman. We urge you to refer this motion to the Budget and Finance Committee, before it goes to a full council vote. The motion proposes enacting yet another eviction moratorium in the City of Los Angeles, which would of course require time for study and stakeholder input. Los Angeles has already burdened our housing providers extensively, forcing them to lose millions upon millions of dollars in rent due to other pandemic-era regulations, so any proposed action that would again imperil housing providers' ability to pursue their legal rights should be taken seriously. There is simply no reason to rush this process. Please refer this to committee or vote no. Sincerely, Jennifer Fougere

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 01/26/2024 08:20 AM

Council File No: 21-0042-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I am a 72 year old landlord in Los Angeles and own a 31-unit building. We all know that Los Angeles has a serious unhoused population problem, but this proposal is not the way to address it. For every tenant who does not pay rent, there is potential tenant not currently housed who can and will. Among the many problems with this proposal is that we landlords have huge expenses (mortgage, property taxes, repairs and maintenance, etc.) that are not paused while the tenant waits for government rent relief. There are seemingly no protections in this proposal for landlords to be paid rent so that we can pay our bills. By the way, what stops a tenant from applying for ULA relief in order to stop paying rent knowing he/she couldn't be evicted during the unlimited time that his/her application is being considered. This proposal has the potential to destroy my investment and livelihood. It is unjust and unfair and should not be passed.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 01/26/2024 08:21 AM

Council File No: 21-0042-S7

Comments for Public Posting: I am a 72 year old landlord in Los Angeles and own a 31-unit building. We all know that Los Angeles has a serious unhoused population problem, but this proposal is not the way to address it. For every tenant who does not pay rent, there is potential tenant not currently housed who can and will. Among the many problems with this proposal is that we landlords have huge expenses (mortgage, property taxes, repairs and maintenance, etc.) that are not paused while the tenant waits for government rent relief. There are seemingly no protections in this proposal for landlords to be paid rent so that we can pay our bills. By the way, what stops a tenant from applying for ULA relief in order to stop paying rent knowing he/she couldn't be evicted during the unlimited time that his/her application is being considered. This proposal has the potential to destroy my investment and livelihood. It is unjust and unfair and should not be passed.

Communication from Public

Name: Jerwin Sta Ana

Date Submitted: 01/26/2024 08:50 AM

Council File No: 21-0042-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Dear members of the Los Angeles City Council, I work at Prime Residential—proud owners of Park La Brea as well as other apartment communities in the City of Los Angeles— and we just received notice of the motion in Council File No. CF 21-0042-S7, proposed by Councilmembers Hernandez and Raman. We urge you to refer this motion to the Budget and Finance Committee, before it goes to a full council vote. The motion proposes enacting yet another eviction moratorium in the City of Los Angeles, which would of course require time for study and stakeholder input. Los Angeles has already burdened our housing providers extensively, forcing them to lose millions upon millions of dollars in rent due to other pandemic-era regulations, so any proposed action that would again imperil housing providers' ability to pursue their legal rights should be taken seriously. There is simply no reason to rush this process. Please refer this to committee or vote no. Sincerely, Jerwin Sta Ana

Communication from Public

Name: Doug LePore

Date Submitted: 01/26/2024 08:51 AM

Council File No: 21-0042-S7

Comments for Public Posting: Dear members of the Los Angeles City Council, I work at Prime Residential—proud owners of Park La Brea as well as other apartment communities in the City of Los Angeles— and we just received notice of the motion in Council File No. CF 21-0042-S7, proposed by Councilmembers Hernandez and Raman. We urge you to refer this motion to the Budget and Finance Committee, before it goes to a full council vote. The motion proposes enacting yet another eviction moratorium in the City of Los Angeles, which would of course require time for study and stakeholder input. Los Angeles has already burdened our housing providers extensively, forcing them to lose millions upon millions of dollars in rent due to other pandemic-era regulations, so any proposed action that would again imperil housing providers' ability to pursue their legal rights should be taken seriously. There is simply no reason to rush this process. Please refer this to committee or vote no. Sincerely,