

February 26, 2021

VIA E-MAIL

PLANNING & LAND USE MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE
LOS ANGELES CITY COUNCIL
COUNCILMEMBER MARQUEECE HARRIS-DAWSON, CHAIR
COUNCILMEMBER GILBERT A. CEDILLO
COUNCILMEMBER BOB BLUMENFIELD
COUNCILMEMBER MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS
COUNCILMEMBER JOHN S. LEE

Room 1010, City Hall
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

E-Mail: melissa.jones@lacity.org

Re: **Opposition to Historic-Cultural Monument Application for 435 South Boyle Avenue
("Subject Property")**

Dear Honorable Councilmembers:

Our law firm represents the nonprofit International Institute of Los Angeles ("International Institute"), owner of the Subject Property. Throughout this process, our client, ***the property owner***, has strongly opposed granting the request of the above-mentioned Application. Despite the clear and convincing evidence provided, which highlighted the fact that both the Application and the City Planning Department's Recommendation Report contained numerous critical inaccuracies and false statements, City Staff blithely disregarded each and every piece of documentation supplied and the clear facts, choosing instead to simply adopt the false statements made by the Applicant in its Final Recommendation Report.

It is also important to note that one of the main supporters of this Application has attempted to buy the property for a low-ball price. Therefore, one can surmise that at least some of the people pushing this Application forward have motives which are suspect, at best.

One of the main arguments for pushing this Application forward is one laced with highly charged emotion – that not enough historical/cultural monuments have been designated in geographic areas primarily in African American and Latino communities and therefore, the historical narrative of these areas may suffer. That statement may very well be true and perhaps the Cultural

City Council PLUM Committee
435 South Boyle Avenue
February 26, 2021
Page 2

ERVIN COHEN & JESSUP^{LLP}

Heritage Commission should work with the Council members who serve these areas to see whether truly historic properties are being ignored. However, this property does not fall into this category and should not be deemed historic as it does not meet the relevant criteria. We have previously provided all of this information in the letter(s) we have sent the City throughout this process and the City leaders should not just leap to designate a property historic when someone makes an emotionally charged but factually deficient claim.

Finally, the main point we want to emphasize to the City Council and the members of the City's Planning and Land Use Management Committee is this: by using the very history *of the lauded work* of the International Institute *as the primary justification to designate a dilapidated, altered, non-noteworthy and unsafe vacant building historic*, the City has caused great financial hardship to this magnificent organization at a time it is struggling to stretch every dollar to care for its vulnerable clients. Deeming the Subject Property as a historic one will not benefit its neighbors or the City at large, will not create historic value for the community, and will only cause this eyesore to lose further market value to an organization that had been depending on the sale of this property to further its historic work.

For all of the reasons contained in this letter as well as all of the letters submitted on behalf of the International Institute in opposition, we urge you to summarily reject the Application for Historic-Cultural Monument for 435 S. Boyle Avenue.

As an Exhibit A, we are attaching our previous letter to the Cultural Heritage Commission and all cited exhibits.

If you have any questions, please feel free to reach me via email at ethompson@ecilaw.com or via telephone at 310.281.6356.

Thanks in advance for your time.

Very truly yours,



Ellia M. Thompson

cc: Melissa Jones, City Planning Associate

EXHIBIT A

EXHIBIT A

August 13, 2020

VIA E-MAIL

LOS ANGELES CULTURAL HERITAGE COMMISSION
Office of Historic Resources
City Planning Department
221 North Figueroa Street, Suite 1350
Los Angeles, CA 90012
E-Mail: melissa.jones@lacity.org

Re: **Opposition to Historic-Cultural Monument Application for 435 South Boyle Avenue
("Subject Property")**

Dear Honorable Commissioners:

Our law firm represents the nonprofit International Institute of Los Angeles ("International Institute"), owner of the Subject Property. Our client, strongly opposes granting the request of the above-mentioned Application. Despite the clear and convincing evidence provided highlighting that both the Application and the City Planning Department's original Recommendation Report contained numerous inaccuracies and false statements, City Staff appears to have disregarded every piece of documentation supplied and simply adopted the false statements made by the Applicant in its Final Recommendation Report.

The main false and/or inaccurate statements are the following:

- ❖ "Despite some *minor* interior and exterior alterations, the International Institute of Los Angeles is greatly intact". First of all, the International Institute is NOT presently located at this site and has not provided services and programs at the Subject Property for years. Second, it ceased operating at the Subject Property and vacated the facility because the building is NOT "greatly intact". In fact, the building is in extremely poor, unusable condition and is literally falling apart. Consequently, no public events have been hosted on this site for years.
- ❖ The Subject Property "was determined eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places and listed in the CA Register of Historic Resources." NO, it was not, as explained below.
- ❖ The list of alterations to the Subject Property is neither complete nor accurate. Nearly every window and door has been replaced. Multiple interior rooms have been re-configured and

changed. The kitchen has been completely gutted. The exterior staircase is broken and unusable, and cannot be re-attached without substantial re-construction of the exterior wall. As a result, the architectural integrity of the building has been severely compromised.

- ❖ The International Institute stopped all public events many years ago. They have only used the space for storage and minimum office activities until even that became impossible due to the age and condition of the structures.
- ❖ Several letters of Opposition have been sent to City Staff over the past few months, and yet NONE of these letters are included or addressed in Staff's package. The Commission must have all of the information to make its determination, not just what Staff considers relevant to support its recommendation.

I. Subject Property Is Not Listed in the National Register or California Register

The Applicant and the City Report attempt to portray the Subject Property as having obtained certain state or federally-approved historical status. The City Report plainly states, "The subject property was determined eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, and subsequently listed in the California Register of Historical Resources in 2000". (See, Final Recommendation Report, P. 4)

This statement is flatly wrong. In response to the City's and the Applicant's misrepresentations, we verified that the Property is NOT listed on the National Register of Historic Places (see, **Exhibit C**) nor on the California Register of Historical Resources (**Exhibit D**); the Property is also not cited in the National Archives database (**Exhibit E**). Moreover, neither the City nor the Applicant has offered any supporting documentation for this claim.

II. Subject Property Has Not Undergone the Proper Historic Review Process For Any State or Federal Designation

During its more than 90-year ownership of the Subject Property, the International Institute has never received any formal notification that its building was being considered for any kind of historic designation status until the instant Application. To be sure, there is no documented record of the Subject Property being formally considered for any historic designation by either the California State Historic Preservation Office or the United States National Park Service. Despite this fact, the City Report attempts to bolster a claim for special status by asserting that "the property was identified as a known historic resource in the SurveyLA Japanese-American, Latino, and Women's Rights historic context statements" (see, City Report, P. 4) without appropriate substantiation which is inaccurate and misleading. Two of these documents make no such finding, and only one—the Latino

historic context statement—inaccurately states the Subject Property is listed in the California Register of Historical Resources. The Applicant’s and the City’s attempts to magically designate the Subject Property as a “historic resource” without the necessary foundational facts are simply groundless.

The absence of any due process for a historic resource designation of the Subject Property is further amplified by the City’s own archived documents. According to materials uncovered by our office, the City, along with the California State Historic Preservation Officer (“SHPO”) and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (“Advisory Council”) prepared a Programmatic Agreement Compliance Report in 2000 that identified properties that were recipients of certain types of grants as being *potentially eligible* for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. In the Ninth Reporting Period, (spanning from July 1, 1999 – December 31, 1999) the City and its Historic Preservation Consultant “evaluated two properties as eligible for individual listing in the National Register” – one of them being the Subject Property. The extent of this cursory process is detailed by the City in its report – “The determination of eligibility for the International Institute was documented and submitted to the SHPO during the Ninth Reporting Period. The SHPO did not respond within the allotted 15 days to the determination. Therefore, according to Stipulation VI, the City has assumed that the SHPO did not object to the determination, considered it to be a Historic Property under the PA, and proceeded in accordance with Stipulation VII.” (See **Exhibit A**, pages 11-12.) There is no basis for a leap of faith on this ground.

The reason the Subject Property was included in the City’s Compliance Report mentioned above, was due to the fact that in 1999 the International Institute applied for, and obtained, a “Community Development Block Grant” to provide funding for certain senior activities conducted at the Subject Property, including providing nutritional meals, transportation, recreation and English classes, as well as other social and community benefits to certain senior citizens. This grant was conditioned on the International Institute using the funds, to renovate the existing building – specifically to rehabilitate or construct “two (2) Public restrooms on first floor and repair kitchen restrooms; installation of fire alarm system, emergency lighting and electrical throughout the building as required and repair/install rain gutter, drinking fountains and walkways”. (See **Exhibit B**, page 5.)

Nowhere in the 37 page agreement (**Exhibit B**) between the International Institute and the City is there any mention of the City’s ability or inclination to use this agreement for the issuance of a Community Block Grant as a basis to designate the Subject Property as historic. However, unbeknownst to the Owner, within just two months of when the agreement was signed between the International Institute and the City for a grant to be used to upgrade the building in order to provide community services, the City uses its oversight of the Community Block Grant and identifies

the Subject Property as being potentially eligible for historic status with no public review process or notice to the Owner.

Although City Staff was presented all of this information in our previous letter, they have declined to acknowledge these facts in any of their reports and supplemental documentation.

In sum, the Subject Property never underwent a single formal (public) eligibility process for historic review or significance at either the State level or National level.

III. Application Does Not Support Necessary Findings for Historic-Cultural Monument Status

While the architects of the Subject Property may have been notable for other projects, the Subject Property is simply an average building for its time, certainly NOT a notable work. Neither the Applicant nor City Staff point to a single document or published article that highlights the architecture of the Subject Property. They merely claim that because a famous architectural firm has its name on the building permit for this site, that fact alone somehow automatically checks the box for the Subject Property as “represent[ing] a notable work of a master builder”. There is no documentation presented by either the City Staff or the Applicant to support a conclusion that this is a notable work, or that either Webber or Spaulding ever actually worked on this project.

Any published article about the Subject Property had to do with the work of the International Institute, not the structure itself. There is not one publication highlighting the architecture of the Subject Property.

IV. The People and the Works of the Institute Are the Subject of the Documentation, Not the Subject Property

As stated in our previous letter, the vast majority of the historical narrative and accompanying pictures included as part of the Application center, not surprisingly, on the work and the people of the International Institute. This building is long past its useful life, as is evidenced by the fact that the International Institute vacated the facility years ago and is not suitable for hosting public or private events. Unequivocally, it is in very poor physical condition, not compliant with ADA laws, and is far from being structurally sound. It currently sits vacant and is for sale. By deeming the Subject Property an “historic monument,” the City will create a long-lasting eyesore for the people of this Community. No significant portion of people who live in this community want this empty building to remain.

In fact, several property developers stopped pursuing a purchase of the Subject Property once the application was submitted, which was likely the ultimate goal of the Applicant who may be using

Cultural Heritage Commission
435 South Boyle Avenue
August 13, 2020
Page 5

ERVIN COHEN & JESSUP^{LLP}

this process to drive down the price. Despite the fact the Subject Property could house 62 residential units under existing codes—and possibly more units if this were an affordable housing project—this site will sit empty and unused for decades with a historic/cultural monument designation.

V. Removal of the Rear Building from the Historic Designation

Nearly all of the narrative created by the Applicant, and regurgitated by City Staff, focuses on the work of the International Institute along with some commentary regarding the front façade of the street facing (front) building. Although the International Institute vehemently opposes any historic nomination for the reasons stated in this letter and all submitted correspondence from the International Institute, we urge the Commission to, at the very least, consider removing the rear auditorium building from the historic designation, including later additions to the complex that connected the auditorium to the main building. The rear building was relocated from its original location in 1931, is not associated with any historic significance related to the work of the International Institute, and is not reminiscent of the Spanish Colonial Revival architecture style. As noted in the proposed monument description, “[t]he auditorium section is clad in stucco and has undergone a number of exterior and interior alterations during the period of significance.” Furthermore, the auditorium building “was expanded in 1934 and likely connected to the rest of the complex by the 1950’s.”

Conclusion

The Subject Property fails to meet any of the criteria for the City’s designation as a Historic-Cultural Monument. Not only is the building itself architecturally unremarkable, but also in such a seriously dilapidated and altered condition that its functionality is significantly diminished. Contrary to the Applicant’s and the City’s assertions, the Subject Property is NOT listed in either the National Register of Historic Places or the California Register of Historical Resources. The Applicant’s proposed nomination, which centers largely on the decades of good work performed by the International Institute, only jeopardizes the organization’s ability to continue its vital service to the community. We urge the Commission to independently evaluate all of the information provided to you and reach the only reasonable and just conclusion—that the Application must be denied.

Very truly yours,



Ellia M. Thompson

cc: Melissa Jones, City Planning Associate

III. RESULTS OF ACTIVITIES

3.1 Summary of Activities

This section summarizes the specific activities carried out under the PA between July 1, 1999 and December 31, 1999. An activity report for each of the following is included at the end of this Ninth Reporting Period PACR: Summary of Activities, including a list by property address of all undertakings; Identification and Evaluation of Historic Properties; Treatment of Historic Properties; Resolution of Adverse Effects; Consideration and Treatment of Archeological Resources; and Undertakings Not Requiring Review. Since no Standard Mitigation Measures Agreements were developed during this reporting period, none is included in this Ninth Reporting Period PACR.

The City and the HPC worked on the review of 142 undertakings under the PA during the Ninth reporting period which involved 150 properties. Of the 142 undertakings, 26 were Community Development Department (CDD) projects and 116 were Housing Department (LAHD) projects.

3.2 Identification and Evaluation

Identification and evaluation were carried out for 102 properties during the Ninth reporting period.

3.2.1 Listed and Eligible Properties

Of the 102 properties for which identification and evaluation were carried out during the Ninth reporting period, one had been previously determined eligible for listing in the National Register:

 3501 Valley Boulevard/3540 North Mission Road (Lincoln Park Boathouse)

One had been previously listed in the National Register:

1221 East 40th Place (Ralph Bunche House)

The City and HPC evaluated two properties as eligible for individual listing in the National Register and one property as a contributor to a district during this reporting period:

 435 South Boyle Avenue (International Institute)
5600 North Figueroa Street (Highland Theater)
1065 West 82nd Street (contributor to a district)

The determination of eligibility for the International Institute was documented and submitted to the SHPO during the Ninth Reporting Period. The SHPO did not respond within the allotted 15 days to the determination. Therefore, according to Stipulation VI, the City has assumed that the SHPO did not object to the determination, considered it to be a Historic Property under the PA,

II. DUTIES AND POWERS OF THE CONTRACTOR

\$201. Independent Contractor Status

The parties agree that the performance of the Contractor's services hereunder shall be in the capacity of an independent Contractor and that no employees of the Contractor have been, are, or shall be employees of the City by virtue of this Agreement, and the Contractor shall so inform each employee organization, each employee, and, if applicable, each collaborating subcontractor agency hired or retained under this Agreement.

\$202. Contractor Agreements

- A. The Contractor shall submit to the City a list of all grant or funding agreements entered into between the Contractor and other public or private organizations concerning the activities funded under this Agreement and of any termination, default, suspension or disallowed costs under said funding agreements. The Contractor shall inform the City in writing of all new sources of funding the Contractor may acquire during the term of this Agreement concerning the activities funded under this Agreement.
- B. A copy of any of the above agreements shall be furnished to the City upon its request.

\$203. Services to be Provided by the Contractor

International Institute of Los Angeles provides senior citizens a wide range of social services which consists of the following: nutritional meals, transportation, recreation, citizenship and English classes, Immigration services and legal representation services as required. Hours of operation are from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.

A. Identification of Project Eligibility/National Objectives

- 1. This project is eligible under 24 CFR 570 as follows (indicate all appropriate letters and sub-numbers, project may be eligible under several criteria):

201 (a-1) _____	204 (a-c) _____
202 (a-e) <u> A </u>	205 (a-b) _____
203 (a-b) _____	206 (a-g) _____

- 2. All projects funded with HCDBG funds must meet one of three national objectives. This project meets the following national objective (check only one):
 - 1) Activities benefiting very low and low income persons under 24CFR 570.208(a)

B. General Requirements

- 1. The Contractor shall, in furtherance of this Agreement:
 - a. Renovate an existing building
 - b. All specific activities contained in Subsection C, Specific Requirements of the Contractor.
- 2. The Contractor shall use the funds provided in this Agreement as defined herein. It is further understood that there is neither an expressed nor implied assurance that the City will provide further funding to the Contractor beyond the completion of this Agreement.

National Register of Historic Places

National Park Service
U.S. Department of the Interior



Public, non-restricted data depicting National Register spatial data processed by the Cultural Resources GIS Facility. Data last updated in April, 2014.



national_register_listed_20190404.xlsx - Total

Ref#	Property Name	Status	Request Type	Status Date	Restricted Address	City	County
1570	Archaeological Site FS 06 96 58 25	Eligible	Federal DOE	4/7/1978	TRUE	City unavailable	Lesson
1580	Archaeological Site IU 1	Eligible	Federal DOE	7/10/1975	TRUE	City unavailable	Lesson
1581	Archaeological Site IU 5	Eligible	Federal DOE	7/10/1975	TRUE	City unavailable	Lesson
1582	Archaeological Site CA LAN 1010	Eligible	Federal DOE	11/10/1982	TRUE	City unavailable	Los Angeles
1583	Archaeological Site CA LAN 1011	Eligible	Federal DOE	11/10/1982	TRUE	City unavailable	Los Angeles
1584	Archaeological Site CA LAN 1051	Eligible	Federal DOE	11/10/1982	TRUE	City unavailable	Los Angeles
1585	Arroyo Seco Parkway	Eligible	Federal DOE	3/21/1983	FALSE	City unavailable	Los Angeles
1586	Baldwin Park City Hall	Eligible	Federal DOE	2/16/1978	FALSE	City unavailable	Los Angeles
1587	Beverly Gardens Park	Eligible	Federal DOE	4/15/1985	FALSE	City unavailable	Los Angeles
1588	Big Tujunga Prehistoric Archaeological Site (D4-LAN-167)	Eligible	Federal DOE	10/28/1875	TRUE	City unavailable	Los Angeles
1589	Grassie House	Eligible	Federal DOE	3/31/1983	FALSE	City unavailable	Los Angeles
1590	Hollywood Bowl	Eligible	Federal DOE	5/23/1983	FALSE	City unavailable	Los Angeles
1591	Marlham Place District	Eligible	Federal DOE	3/31/1983	TRUE	City unavailable	Los Angeles
1592	National Bank of Pico	Eligible	Federal DOE	11/9/1977	FALSE	City unavailable	Los Angeles
1593	South of Mission District	Eligible	Federal DOE	3/31/1983	TRUE	City unavailable	Los Angeles
1594	United Artists/Texas Company Building	Eligible	Federal DOE	9/15/1982	FALSE	City unavailable	Los Angeles
1595	Van Norman (or Los Angeles) Reservoir Archaeological District	Eligible	Federal DOE	5/19/1975	TRUE	City unavailable	Los Angeles
1596	Archaeological Site CA-Marl-176	Eligible	Federal DOE	6/29/1975	TRUE	City unavailable	Marlana
1597	Archaeological Site CA-Marl-177	Eligible	Federal DOE	6/29/1975	TRUE	City unavailable	Marlana
1598	Archaeological Site CA-Marl-178	Eligible	Federal DOE	6/19/1975	TRUE	City unavailable	Marlana

national_register_listed_20191118.xlsx - Ford

Ref#	Property Name	Status	Used Date	NHL Designated Date	Restricted Address	Name of Multiple Property Listing	State	County	City
6683	Hollywood Boulevard Commercial	Listed	4/4/1985		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6684	Hollywood Cemetery	Listed	5/24/1999		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6685	Hollywood High School Historic District	Listed	5/4/2012		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6686	Hollywood-Melrose Historic District	Listed	7/9/1980		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6687	Hollywood Palladium	Listed	9/29/2010		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6688	Hollywood Western Building, The	Listed	7/7/2015		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6689	Holmes-Stanford House	Listed	3/29/2008		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6690	Hotel Chancellor	Listed	2/2/2006		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6691	Hotel Rosilyn Annex	Listed	8/23/2013		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6692	Irving, Washington, Branch	Listed	2/25/1987		FALSE	Los Angeles Branch Library System TR	CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6693	Irving, Washington, Branch	Listed	2/25/1987		FALSE	Los Angeles Branch Library System TR	CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6694	Jefferson Apartments	Listed	10/29/1980		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6695	Jefferson Branch	Listed	3/10/1987		FALSE	Los Angeles Branch Library System TR	CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6696	Juston Studios	Listed	3/25/1999		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6697	Kerckhoff Building and Annex	Listed	8/2/2005		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6698	Knox, George B., House	Listed	9/25/1998		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6699	La Casa Del Rey	Listed	12/12/2010		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6700	Lady-Talkie Barn	Listed	9/14/1914		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6701	Lauder, Lois and Mary, House	Listed	9/19/2010		FALSE	REASSEMBLY ARCHITECTURE OF LOIS LAUDER III HOUSE	CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6702	Lincoln Heights Branch	Listed	6/10/1987		FALSE	Los Angeles Branch Library System TR	CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6703	Lincoln Place Apartments	Listed	12/12/2015		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6704	Lincoln Towers	Listed	2/5/2009		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6705	Little Tokyo Historic District	Listed	8/27/1986	6/12/1999	FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6706	Los Aliso Apartments	Listed	7/1/1959		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6707	Los Angeles Central Library	Listed	12/19/1970		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles
6708	Los Angeles Harbor Light Station	Listed	10/14/1980		FALSE		CALIFORNIA	Los Angeles	Los Angeles

ohp.parks.ca.gov/ListedResources/?view=county&criteria=19

Apps Curbed LA - Los Angeles The Real Deal | Los Angeles Google News Planitizer - Urbanize LA Urbanize LA Slate Magazine - Politics ZIMAS Daily Pilot - Los Angeles Westlaw

HOUSE AT 530 S. MARENGO AVENUE (N820)	✓	9/13/1979	Pasadena (Los Angeles)
HOUSE AT 574 BELLEFONTAINE ST. (N2030)	✓	8/6/1998	Pasadena (Los Angeles)
HOUSE AT 674 ELLIOT DRIVE (N2263)	✓	8/20/2004	Pasadena (Los Angeles)
HOWARD MOTOR COMPANY BUILDING (N1945)	✓	4/18/1996	Pasadena (Los Angeles)
HUBBLE, EDWIN, HOUSE (N455)	✓	12/8/1976	San Marino (Los Angeles)
HIMALIWA (N436)	✓	9/1/1976	Malibu (Los Angeles)
HUNTINGTON BUILDING - PACIFIC ELECTRIC BUILDING (P696)	✓	3/30/1988	Los Angeles (Los Angeles)
IRVING, WASHINGTON, BRANCH (N1514)	✓	5/19/1987	Los Angeles (Los Angeles)
J.D. PALOMARES I HOUSE (P795)	✓	3/11/1994	La Verne (Los Angeles)
JACKSON, HELEN HUNT, BRANCH (N1515)	✓	5/19/1987	Los Angeles (Los Angeles)
JARDIN DEL ENCANTO & CASCADES PARK (C1)	✓	11/13/1998	Monterey Park (Los Angeles)
JARDINETTE APARTMENTS (N1473)	✓	12/29/1986	Los Angeles (Los Angeles)
JEFFERSON BRANCH (N1516)	✓	5/19/1987	Los Angeles (Los Angeles)
JOHNSON, DARIUS DAVID, I HOUSE (N682)	✓	11/2/1970	Norwalk (Los Angeles)
JORDAN, ORIN, HOUSE (N892)	✓	7/28/1980	Whittier (Los Angeles)
JULIAN MATIAS SANCHEZ D'AMORE (P228)	✓	1/19/1977	Montebello (Los Angeles)

+

catalog.archives.gov/search?q="national%20register%20of%20historic%20places"%20and%20"435%20Boyle%20Avenue"

ARCHIVES.GOV LOGIN REGISTER VETERANS' SERVICE RECORDS HELP API CONTACT US About Us Privacy Policy Accessibility FOIA USA.gov

"national register of historic places" and "435 S. Boyle Avenue" Advanced Search Login Register

0 results in 0.00 seconds. Share

There are no search results found using the search terms: "national register of historic places" and "435 S. Doyle Avenue"

What should I do next?

- Check your spelling and perhaps try alternate spellings. If you are searching for a person's name, read our [Search Tips for Family Historians](#).
- If you used quotes around your keywords, try the search without them. [Read more about How to Search.](#)
- Your search may have been too specific. Try a broader topic or related topic. Visit our [Research Topics Pages](#) for more ideas.
- If you would like to request copies of military service records, use our separate online system called [eVetRecs](#) to make your request.
- The National Archives might not have what you are looking for. [Read about What's here and what's not and What is included in this search?](#)
- The National Archives might have what you are looking for, but it is not available online.

catalog.archives.gov/search?q="national%20register%20of%20historic%20places"%20and%20"international%20institute%20of%20los%20angeles"

ARCHIVES.GOV LOGIN REGISTER VETERANS' SERVICE RECORDS HELP API CONTACT US About Us Privacy Policy Accessibility FOIA USA.gov

"national register of historic places" and "international institute of los angeles" Advanced Search Login Register

0 results in 0.00 seconds. Share

There are no search results found using the search terms: "national register of historic places" and "international institute of los angeles"

What should I do next?

- Check your spelling and perhaps try alternate spellings. If you are searching for a person's name, read our [Search Tips for Family Historians](#).
- If you used quotes around your keywords, try the search without them. [Read more about How to Search.](#)
- Your search may have been too specific. Try a broader topic or related topic. Visit our [Research Topics Pages](#) for more ideas.
- If you would like to request copies of military service records, use our separate online system called [eVetRecs](#) to make your request.
- The National Archives might not have what you are looking for. [Read about What's here and what's not and What is included in this search?](#)
- The National Archives might have what you are looking for, but it is not available online.