

Communication from Public

Name: Fran Reichenbach

Date Submitted: 10/23/2021 08:20 PM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: I've lived in L.A. all my life and am very glad we have Griffith Park as a place to go and enjoy nature. I'm impressed that it has remained so natural all these years. But I see the hand of industry and tourism moving in a dangerous direction. Griffith Park was never intended to be an amusement park. We have plenty of those. As Friends of Griffith Park says: Please support Alternative 1 • Don't destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 City-protected trees • The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan • The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented I hope you will listen to the people who enjoy this park and listen to the City's own declaration of prevention of destruction of endangered trees and our ecosystem. Griffith Park isn't broken, so don't try to "fix" it.

Communication from Public

Name: Analise Dubner
Date Submitted: 10/23/2021 06:17 PM
Council File No: 21-0828
Comments for Public Posting: Please support Alternative 1 proposed by Friends of Griffith Park. Improve the zoo, but no more expansion into the park. At this point, how can we still be doing this? Why do we have to rise up every single year to keep the park safe from nonsense development? Why are we - again - fighting to prevent the destruction of one of the most incredible features of LA? A living jewel in the middle of our metropolis? Who on earth thinks LA needs another amusement park? Isn't the zoo itself enough of an impact on both park and the natural world? Griffith is strained enough as it is with drought/climate change and increased usage by our population. Destroying 23 acres of *native* habitat, including protected trees and important habitat for our *native* animal neighbors in the park is the same old insanity that has led us to the point we teeter on now. I dislike zoos, but appreciate the need to improve the sad lives of the captive animals there ... but please do not destroy habitat for the animals actually are meant to live here. No one... NO ONE... needs a stupid climbing wall in the park. Go climb Bee Rock.... done and done, no need for a fake one.

Communication from Public

Name: Sarah James Rogers

Date Submitted: 10/23/2021 04:27 PM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: I'm writing in support of Alternative 1. Destroying 23 acres of native habitat (including 227 city-protected trees) is counter to city priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan. It is counter to the zoo's mission and the mission of Griffith Park. The zoo says it is a "community resource" for Angelenos. But so is Griffith Park. One of the most valuable resources to Angelenos will be harmed by the increased traffic and loss of native habitat. The LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many visitor amenities if Alternative 1 is implemented.

Communication from Public

Name: Freda Shen

Date Submitted: 10/23/2021 08:08 AM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: While the LA Zoo is a great LA resource and site for some conservation work, it is not and SHOULD NOT be a general entertainment facility. The conversion of LA Zoo's remaining natural habitat areas for amusement-park-like attractions and major event centers runs counter to all principles of conservation. This massive project would destroy the natural habitats essential for wildlife within Griffith Park. The full project as proposed would destroy 23 acres of native habitat, including 227 City-protected trees. The full project is counter to City priorities, including the Biodiversity Report and the LA Sustainability Plan. Instead, PLEASE SUPPORT ALTERNATIVE 1. With Alternative 1, the LA Zoo will still benefit from zoo animal care improvements and many improved/ new visitor amenities. We all want the very best care for Zoo animals through modernization, but the full project goes far beyond that goal.