

Communication from Public

Name: Elizabeth Neat

Date Submitted: 07/31/2023 01:38 PM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: I believe that excavation of a wholly-artificial 60-foot deep Condor Canyon through a significant geological feature is destructive and expensive and will radically change Griffith Park forever, aesthetically and ecologically. Griffith Park is a treasure and should remain as it was intended a natural retreat for urban people. I further believe that placement of the new 18,000 sq. ft. event space –the California Visitor Center – on top of a ridgeline will destroy the natural Park topography and should be reconsidered. I have supported the zoo through the Greater Los Angeles Zoo Association for more than 25 years, but I do not support this change. The undeveloped land boasts rare and sensitive plants and wildlife, plus 26 City Protected Trees/Shrubs. Implementing Alternative 1.5 as proposed would leave the first significant scars on the Santa Monica Mountain Range in the 21st Century, at its most easterly terminus. The leadership of the LA Zoo should withdraw this proposal. Our Council leadership representing Griffith Park should not allow this to happen. The members of GLAZA should not allow this to happen. Thank you for listening to citizen input. Elizabeth Neat

Communication from Public

Name: Debbie Spinelli

Date Submitted: 07/31/2023 03:19 PM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: Please vote NO on Zoo Expansion. Griffith Park belongs to the people of Los Angeles and is a treasured resource. It provides much needed green space for habitat and for recreation. The Zoo plans are over reaching and invasive. Despite the insistence that much of the 16 acres of native habitat has already been disturbed, the undeveloped land boasts rare and sensitive plants and wildlife, plus 26 City Protected Trees/Shrubs. Excavation of a wholly-artificial 60-foot deep Condor Canyon through a significant geological feature is destructive and expensive and will radically change Griffith Park forever, aesthetically and ecologically. Placement of the new 18,000 sq. ft. event space – the California Visitor Center – on top of a ridgeline will destroy the natural Park topography and should be removed from the plans entirely. Please stand with the citizens you represent and VOTE NO ON COUNCIL FILE # 21-0828. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Jake Rosen

Date Submitted: 07/31/2023 03:31 PM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly oppose the proposed expansion of the LA Zoo. Preserve Griffith!

Communication from Public

Name: Sarah Hermanowski

Date Submitted: 07/31/2023 09:08 AM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: I live in Los Feliz. I do not want a zoo expansion. I do not want area around the zoo bulldozed to create a parking structure, etc. Keep the whole park what it is. Do not destroy wildlife and plant habitat. Do not bring in more traffic and crowds to this area.

Communication from Public

Name: Cynthia Brown

Date Submitted: 07/31/2023 06:08 PM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose the proposed expansion of the zoo at Griffith Park. I believe the park's natural habitat should remain undisturbed and is a precious resource.

Communication from Public

Name: Dylan Kendall

Date Submitted: 07/31/2023 08:23 PM

Council File No: 21-0828

Comments for Public Posting: The idea of expanding a zoo which is in distress is truly the definition of lunacy. Add more non-native animals? In our climate? BIG NO. Destroy our natural habit to do so to add a rock wall? BIG NO. The zoo is useless, teaches nothing (studies show that kids do not develop an appreciation for wildlife after zoo visits - its just entertainment at the expense of our fellow creatures.)