

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Megan Zappa

**Date Submitted:** 03/09/2022 07:32 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0878-S4

**Comments for Public Posting:** Requiring a still experimental injection for admission to any place is unconscionable. The FDA approved version of the shots isn't even available anywhere. Furthermore, the VAERS data site shows proof that these shots are killing and injuring many people. My body my choice applies if you want to kill a baby but not when you want to avoid forced experimentation. I used to love CA but if this passes, it is the final nail in the coffin and I'm moving and taking my company to another state. Please allow us the right to enjoy medical freedom.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Dweezil Zappa

**Date Submitted:** 03/09/2022 07:36 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0878-S4

**Comments for Public Posting:** Forced medical experimentation violates Nuremberg code and is unethical. Why not listen to the thousands of doctors and medical researchers who are demanding that these shots either be stopped or be optional. Listen to the science and please do not force this medical injection on any citizen. I respectfully request that you oppose any and all covid injection requirements. Thank you.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Josef Bray-Ali

**Date Submitted:** 03/09/2022 05:40 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0878-S4

**Comments for Public Posting:** This entire ordinance should be repealed. Healthcare apartheid is wrong - discrimination over private medical status is morally wrong. The Local Emergency has been a disaster - masks are not medicine; lockdowns are for prisoners, not free people; the poisonous so-called vaccines have a horrific safety record (as revealed in recently released clinical data). The backlash coming sue to your incompetence will be severe. Spare us all the drama and de-authorize the Local Emergency.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Hillary

**Date Submitted:** 03/09/2022 07:57 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0878-S4

**Comments for Public Posting:** It is time to lift this cumbersome and unnecessary burden on the residents and business owners of Los Angeles. The vaccine does not stop transmission and each citizen must make their own health decisions. Time for the City Council to do the right thing.

## **Communication from Public**

**Name:** David Juarez  
**Date Submitted:** 03/09/2022 08:53 AM  
**Council File No:** 21-0878-S4  
**Comments for Public Posting:** Please see letter attached.



March 4, 2022

Los Angeles City Council  
Los Angeles City Hall  
200 N Spring St,  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

RE: File: 21-0878 – Vaccine Mandate to Enter Indoor Spaces

Dear Los Angeles City Councilmembers,

On behalf of the California Restaurant Association (CRA), and the thousands of restaurants in the City of Los Angeles, I am writing to ask you to revisit and invalidate Council File: 21-0878 which requires individuals to show proof of the COVID-19 vaccination in order to enter indoor public spaces such as restaurants.

We commend the City Council for addressing COVID-19 with the level of seriousness and determination it deserves, however, as we continue to make progress in our fight against the virus, the sharp decrease in cases and test positivity rates and hospitalizations must be acknowledged. The Los Angeles County Department of Public Health has already done so by removing guidelines that are no longer necessary in our battle against COVID-19. DPH has aligned with the State of California in removing mask requirements for indoor public spaces, such as restaurants. They have also announced the removal of bars, lounges, nightclubs, cardrooms and other establishments from the requirement to verify proof of vaccination or a negative COVID-19 test before entering indoor spaces.


We share your concern to keep Angelenos safe. We fought vigorously to keep our team-members towards the front of the line for vaccine eligibility- and have worked since to maximize the number of vaccines distributed within the restaurant workforce. However, the City's ongoing requirements to have restaurants and other businesses verify proof of a COVID-19 vaccination or a negative COVID-19 test in order to gain entry into our facilities are no longer necessary. These restrictions do act as another form of capacity limitation, and we believe it is now appropriate to remove such restrictions.

As COVID-19 cases continue to decline, our members continue to face challenges when conducting vaccine checks of our guests. This massive shift of public health responsibilities from local health departments onto the restaurant community has been extremely difficult for restaurant staff, and often has led to unnecessary conflict between employees and patrons.

Continuing to demand restaurant team-members verify proof of vaccination of guests when dining indoors will extend the emotional toll that our team-members have dealt with for months and will further upset our guests as neighboring jurisdictions have already lifted similar mandates.

We respectfully request that the proof of vaccination mandate to dine inside restaurants be lifted in order to continue making progress in safely getting back to normal. We appreciate you taking the time to consider the issues raised in this letter and looking forward to continuing to work with you to better serve our restaurant community.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'David Juarez', with a stylized, flowing script.

David Juarez  
Director of Local Government Affairs  
California Restaurant Association

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Linda Frithiof

**Date Submitted:** 03/09/2022 09:38 AM

**Council File No:** 21-0878-S4

**Comments for Public Posting:** 21-0878-S4 Please vote in favour of removing SafePassLA and making proof of vaccinations optional. It is now very clear to all that the Covid-19 vaccines do not stop the transmission of the dominating strain Omicron. It is therefor useless discrimination to ask anyone to show proof of vaccination at any time. It is a personal medical decision that is not easy to make for those who are informed. A brand new study at the Lund University in Sweden has done the first in vitro study on the effect of COVID-19 mRNA vaccine BNT162b2 on human liver cell line. They present evidence on fast entry of BNT162b2 into the cells and subsequent intracellular reverse transcription of BNT162b2 mRNA into DNA. Something Pfizer promised would not happen and we don't know the consequences of yet. I have three people in my close family/friends who has had severe irreversible reactions to the vaccine. Please stop forcing people to take this, since it does not stop transmission and the Omicron is mild. Stop SafePassLA and and the requirement of proof of vaccinations at restaurants/museums etc. Let families and friends go to events, restaurants and gather once more without anyone being excluded. Thank you! Link to Swedish study:  
<https://www.mdpi.com/1467-3045/44/3/73/htm>



## Communication from Public

**Name:** Goat Puppet

**Date Submitted:** 03/09/2022 02:15 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0878-S4

**Comments for Public Posting:** FINALLY! Baaah Baaaah. Now the F.B.I. agents will have easier access to an OPEN CITY HALL EAST AND REGULAR CITY HALL. This Council locked out 4 million people from their taxpayer owned buildings and denied thousands of people the right to speak at City meetings all because of City Hall's relentless theft of honest government services. I attach a recent picture of Nury Martinez (lose some weight dear.) This cheap fucking City ran by the mentally ill can't even attack a fucking photo taken (mp3, mp4.) Who the fuck takes photos into pdf.

NURY MARTINEZ LOOKING FOR A MIDDAY SNACK



OPEN UP CITY HALL MS. PIGGY

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Dr. Anonymous

**Date Submitted:** 03/09/2022 12:00 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0878-S4

**Comments for Public Posting:** We have already seen so much over-zealous enforcement of the LA City Mandate, where businesses decided not to accept a test as the the mandate stated. We have empowered businesses to be able to arbitrarily enact rules, including the segregation of the population by vaccination status. Thus, the public has been left powerless to exercise their rights to live, work, and do business in Los Angeles. Many of us have resorted to patronizing business outside of Los Angeles City in order to do so. The motion put forth to make the checking of medical status cards, which as such an incredible infringement upon our civil liberties, voluntary, will simply empower businesses to carry this forward - and whatever else they wish to do in the future. There will be no end to this, and it is indeed a dangerous precedent. Why must Los Angeles always be such an outlier? We need to make sure that such poorly-founded legislation never sees the light of day again. End the mandate and pass a motion that restricts such legislation or arbitrary business rules for the future. We know now that lockdowns and mandates did not protect the public whatsoever. Let us be on the right side of history. Let Los Angeles live. I don't want to make phone calls all day to see which business is going to decide to check cards and which isn't. I won't participate in such exercises. Like many other people, I will continue to patronize businesses outside of Los Angeles if this mandate is not ended completely. The sunset clause was ambiguous; good legislation is never ambiguous. Sunsets cannot be tied to states of emergency because they will linger due to the necessity of funding. Malls were removed from the mandate rather quickly once businesses spoke up. It would be amazing if constituents also had the same ear of the LA City Council..

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Don C.

**Date Submitted:** 03/09/2022 08:44 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0878-S4

**Comments for Public Posting:** Thank you for doing the right thing. Finally. This regulation has been an utter joke in light of omicron and the admission of authorities that the covid-19 vaccines do stop transmission or infection. Later communications to and from the city attorney revealed that the key goal was not to protect the community as was first proposed. How could the vaccines do that in light of the above? Instead, the simple goal was to increase vaccination rates -- perhaps as part of funding related to the broader vaccination programs. Early language included the shaming of the unvaccinated as if they hadn't "done their part". The fact that many minority groups, such as African Americans, have the lowest vaccination rates, was ignored and a policy of discrimination ensued. Even rational and educated people who were proponents of traditional vaccines were hesitant towards this new mRNA technology. So sad that this regulation was eventually pushed even on children 12 and above, this age range at significant risk for adverse events from these vaccines like myocarditis. The reality was that this regulation required proof of vaccination OR a negative test. This was an easy out crafted by the legal team because obviously, a straight-up vaccine requirement would be both discriminatory and on shaky constitutional ground. However, the option for testing was never prominent in the language of the related documents. In fact, many business owners and employees didn't know about it. Our local government put the liability and the responsibility to enforce this rule on business owners. The regulation was never really enforced by county or city officials, nor could it be. We were told these regulations and requirements would allow us to get back to normal and ensure we wouldn't have to take more drastic measures again, such as hard lockdowns. That point was moot as numerous prestigious research studies show that these unprecedented lockdowns simply didn't work, doing nothing to curb cases and deaths. We know this has been a strange time, forgiveness will be offered. But leaders have to admit when they were wrong. The science didn't change. The evidence just became too overwhelming for the dominant narrative to survive. I hope we do better next time. Cheers, Don