

Communication from Public

Name: Faigie Applebaum Carmel

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:27 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Asking for proof of vaccine is unconstitutional, unreasonable, and will lead to discrimination, violence, and other crimes (like forgery). How on earth will you enforce this? (With discrimination, violence, and an increasingly authoritarian style of governing.) You have got to find a better way to deal with this rampant coronavirus, a way that does not lead us down a dangerous path of accepting only one lifestyle and one outlook , one authority and punishing all others.

Communication from Public

Name: Adriana Vasquez

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:30 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Mandie

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:38 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX item 34 to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Also if you do your research people are dying from the COVID-19 vaccine and by you approving this you will be responsible for a crime against humanity! So you are here by notice that you will be out of office for pushing this evil agenda which goes against Our constitutional rights! Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Jason Ford

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:40 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Isabel Marquez

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:42 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:00 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Sarah Salazar

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:05 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear council members, I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today for article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Rev. Wendy Silvers

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:10 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you."

Communication from Public

Name: Concerned Citizen

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:50 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I feel this will bring more misery to the city, all Los Angelians. It will make vaccinated people feel even more “safe” gathering indoors, as though they can’t spread or catch Covid. I see that attitude coming back from the summer already. It will discriminate against many of the most vital members of the community. It makes sense to me to remove options of bars and huge venues, but to close down basic elements of living, without providing the option to show a negative PCR test, as an option, which would mean that unvaccinated person is less likely to have and spread Covid than any vaccinated person who hasn’t been tested, is draconian and cruel and not what this city, that my family has lived in for 4 generations, is built on. Thank you for your time and consideration. I honor and respect you for all you have to consider in doing the right thing.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:53 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Rafael Duarte

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:54 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: It's sad that the city of Los Angeles has taken this stance. It's a complete violation of our medical privacy. I'm completely against this, not only myself but many others.

Communication from Public

Name: Paul

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:58 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you."

Communication from Public

Name: Stephanie

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:59 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you."

Communication from Public

Name: Scott S.

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:00 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you."

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:00 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you."

Communication from Public

Name: Natasha

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:01 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Council File # 21-0878 Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you."

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:02 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Silvert Chilingarian

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:03 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you."

Communication from Public

Name: CG

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:04 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Item # 34 Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to Article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you. CG

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 11:01 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This is a form of an apartheid state based on medical status. It is unconscionable, and illegal, to do this to people who are HIV positive. These "vaccines" are not as safe nor as effective as reported in the media. Further, your apartheid state makes no exemptions for: natural immunity, allergic reactions, laws against coercion and fraud to induce experimental medical care. Your positions in local politics being secured through total control over election outcomes, you have each had your impulse to follow moral fads in your insulated peer group amplified. You are pushing the good people of this city too far. The human waste litters more and more streets, the murder, rape, theft, and chaos are growing. Businesses are being destroyed, wealth absorbed into the bank accounts of the wealthiest among us. Saddling the local population with this medical apartheid will only increase our problems. Your positions exist to ensure the common good; this ordinance is not it.

Communication from Public

Name: Rosanna Salazar

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 11:02 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear council members, I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you

Communication from Public

Name: Mark Anthony

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 11:07 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:10 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Item # 34 on the agenda Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Jae

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:49 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:49 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 30, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:50 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Josef Bray-Ali

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:50 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This is a form of an apartheid state based on medical status. It is unconscionable, and illegal, to do this to people who are HIV positive. These "vaccines" are not as safe nor as effective as reported in the media. Further, your apartheid state makes no exemptions for: natural immunity, allergic reactions, laws against coercion and fraud to induce experimental medical care. Your positions in local politics being secured through total control over election outcomes, you have each had your impulse to follow moral fads in your insulated peer group amplified. You are pushing the good people of this city too far. The human waste litters more and more streets, the murder, rape, theft, and chaos are growing. Businesses are being destroyed, wealth absorbed into the bank accounts of the wealthiest among us. Saddling the local population with this medical apartheid will only increase our problems. Your positions exist to ensure the common good; this ordinance is not it.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:52 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Roger

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:53 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:55 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Heather Goble

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:58 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considered September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Cynthia J Russo

Date Submitted: 09/29/2021 08:34 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose proof of vaccination to enter any bldg. Whether people are vaccinated or not it is unconstitutional. It is against The Civil Rights Act, it is against penal code 51, it is segregation and discrimination. It is against the nuremberg code. Although it may take time, you will be found guilty. Are you willing to go to prison?

Communication from Public

Name: Veronica maes

Date Submitted: 09/29/2021 09:13 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: IMPORTANT!!!! Good morning everyone: it is vital that each and everyone of us emails the LA City Council ASAP this morning. At 10 AM they will have a meeting and will consider the proposed ordinance for vaccine mandates to enter indoor public spaces including restaurants, bars, fast food establishments, coffee shops, tasting rooms, cafeterias, food courts, breweries, wineries, distilleries, banquet Halls, and Hotel ballroom. Gyms, fitness venues, Entertainment venues, movie theaters, concert venues, shopping centers, performing arts theaters, bowling alleys, museums, shopping centers, nail salons, hair salons, etc. etc. It's item # 34 on the agenda and Council File # 21-0878 Go to LAcouncilcomment.com Here is what I wrote. Feel free to copy and paste——- Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Marianna D'Ambrosi

Date Submitted: 09/29/2021 01:18 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: In regards to agenda item #34 and the chapter 10 covid 19 vaccination requirement for all indoor facilities: I stand in opposition to government imposed Covid 19 vaccine requirements for any establishments, indoor or outdoor within LA county, or as terms of employment funded by taxpayer revenue generated income, whether city, state or federally generated. The detriment that this type of legislation will have on our businesses will be severe, and as a result, so too will the business license, sales tax revenue the city will no longer benefit from when business shut their doors due to decreased business. This is beyond unconstitutional and a government over reach of power. Do the right thing by your constituents, not the fear driven mandated "thing".

Communication from Public

Name: Sally

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 07:32 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear council members: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 07:52 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you

Communication from Public

Name: Leo Cabrera

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 07:55 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you Leo Cabrera

Communication from Public

Name: S

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 07:59 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you

Communication from Public

Name: Aurica

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 08:00 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Jana

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 08:00 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 08:17 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 08:25 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Vote NO on vaccine passports! What's happening to this country? Be on the right side of history so that your grandchildren will not look on you with disgust.

Communication from Public

Name: Wendy Guess

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 08:26 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: MPORTANT!!!! Please pass along. Good morning everyone: it is vital that each and everyone of us emails the LA City Council ASAP this morning. At 10 AM they will have a meeting and will consider the proposed ordinance for vaccine mandates to enter indoor public spaces including restaurants, bars, fast food establishments, coffee shops, tasting rooms, cafeterias, food courts, breweries, wineries, distilleries, banquet Halls, and Hotel ballroom. Gyms, fitness venues, Entertainment venues, movie theaters, concert venues, shopping centers, performing arts theaters, bowling alleys, museums, shopping centers, nail salons, hair salons, etc. etc. It's item # 34 on the agenda and Council File # 21-0878 Go to LAcouncilcomment.com Here is what I wrote. Feel free to copy and paste——- Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 08:39 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: We do not support discrimination and medical apartheid! Medical history of a individual is private and this goes against HIPPA rights. You can not be a public institution or private and coerce entrance by taking an experimental injection with no long term studies with mRNA technology. Since the 1986 act pharma is not liable for vaccines. There have been over 17,000 deaths from the Covid Vaccine. You can not force an individual to take an injection with a side effect of death. Also this is harassment. This slaps the face of all who died for our American freedom

Communication from Public

Name: Richard McDonald

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 08:47 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. It is also a violation of California rights to privacy, particularly the right to medical health privacy. It is also a crime to prejudicially deny access to a public location based upon a requirement that is not legally binding. There is no law in California, and can be no law or measure passed in Los Angeles, that mandates a citizen must be vaccinated using an EUA (or even an FDA approved) vaccine. There are laws that require certain vaccinations for entry to specific locations, for example mandatory vaccines for children to attend in person public school. Those laws are at the State of California level, and are not modifiable by cities or counties. In essence, what you are attempting to do is not legal in the State of California, as this body does not have the legal authority. Private institutions have greater latitude, and mutual consent is part of the reason why. Public means "of the people." It does not mean "of the council's whims." There is no legal authority given to this council by it's citizens, in ANY bylaws or charters, that allows this council to even propose such a measure, let alone vote on it. There are other ways if LA wanted this to happen, and those are through processes such as proposition law and other legislative processes.

Communication from Public

Name: B. Brown

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 08:50 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive, and more inclusive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you for your time, attention, and consideration regarding my concerns as a U.S. Citizen and concerned community member. Respectfully,
B.Brown

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 08:51 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. There are many viable reasons portions of the population cannot and will not receive this vaccine. It is unconstitutional to segregate them out of participation in what vaccinated individuals can do. This creates a second class tiered society and is a direct violation of civil rights. This is not the answer, and it is your duty and responsibility as council members to find solutions that actually work. Thank you."

Communication from Public

Name: Kristina Burokas

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 08:51 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 08:55 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Temmer Darigan

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 08:57 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 08:57 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:16 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:17 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you. Best Regards, Jennifer Greene

Communication from Public

Name: Lauren

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:19 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a new form of discrimination and cannot stand. Is that the side of history you chose to be on? Do you think this is the only way? There is no science to support these restrictions and this type of coercion. Look at Israel. One of the highest vaccinated populations for C-19 and they are among the highest current infection rates in the world!! It's not working!! The vax does not prevent infection and does not prevent transmission - so why on earth can you justify these type of mandates?! This will be a detriment to businesses in LA, to tourism, to low income families with minimal resources, and will drive even more people out of this state. Los Angeles has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. It's not working! Please stop this madness and look at what you are doing to the people of Los Angeles and California!

Communication from Public

Name: Kendra oliver

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:22 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Joe

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:23 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:27 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:29 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Stanley Harris, MD DLFAPA

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:30 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: COVID-19 vaccines reduce risk of severe illness and death when someone is infected. The vaccines do not prevent infection and transmission, as was originally hoped and is still falsely promoted. Vaccinated people can become infected and contagious. Vaccination promotion should be supported by science, not by outdated dangerous false hope. People are being misled into thinking that they will not become infected and contagious if vaccinated. Vaccine card entry requirements promote false assurance of safety from infection and transmission, and therefor undermine public safety. Oppose vaccine card entry requirements.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:35 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:35 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you."

Communication from Public

Name: Brian

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:38 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Michael Ross

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:38 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Absolutely against any vaccine passports. This is unconstitutional and an outrage that anyone in government would support the stripping away of freedoms because of a virus that has a 99.97 percent survival rate. To pass this ordinance is insane.

Communication from Public

Name: Ophira

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:40 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: NO to vaccine mandates for LA. There are plenty of reasons why people are not vaccinated, and your heavy-handed discrimination against them will not make them comply --- rather, they will double-down, becoming even more suspicious of your motives. Your 'my way or the highway' does not make space for religious exemptions, medical exemptions, personal choice. If this passes, you will create a two-tier society of medical apartheid, leaving private business to enforce your rules..... in-person businesses are already suffereing because of the pandemic, and this will be the final nail in the coffin for them. It pits the business against the customer, and the customer will choose to go elsewhere. There are plenty of vaccinated people who will not participate in a two-tier society, and will take their purchasing dollars outside of Los Angeles. (We'll be patronizing Ventura County businesses.) NO to mandates: "my body, my choice".

Communication from Public

Name: kim Darigan

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:40 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear compassionate Council Members, You must reconsider the ordinance you are voting on today September 30, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. This mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more, and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals (much-less any individual) is not only unwarranted, but un-American. There are several reasons why so many of our fellow humans (including many first responders and medical professionals - that were yesterday's heros, but now find themselves classified as so-called "deplorables") have chosen not to accept this experimental solution that the narrative-controlling pharmaceutical elite have mandated. In your position, you are often insulated from hearing their compelling reasons for reticence, however I implore you all to look more deeply into these alternative points of view, before making this un-American mandate that reeks of Nazi Germany tactics. There are too many long-term unknowns to this experiment that have been unidentified according to Real Scientific Method, that must be verified with a true unbiased clearance before these concerned individuals can move forward with such a mandated agenda as you are proposing. Please look deeply into Your Hearts of compassion before sanctioning these sweeping measures! Thank you

Communication from Public

Name: Krishna Murphy

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:40 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:41 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you."

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:44 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you."

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:45 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear council members, I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 30, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Radka

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:45 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:46 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you."

Communication from Public

Name: John lee

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 09:49 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you."

Communication from Public

Name: J.

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 11:08 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. Please Reconsider!

Communication from Public

Name: Tabea Jaeckel

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 11:09 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 11:13 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear council members nunbnuts, I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? Ya dumb shits! I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Michelle M

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 11:13 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering, Council File #21-0878, that will require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. This mandate and its associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and further destroy local businesses that have barely made it through the pandemic. I implore you to adapt less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Adding more stringent restrictions to a system that is already not working is not an effective strategy. Discrimination against and condemnation of nonvaccinated individuals is morally and ethically wrong. Not only that, many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates, which has nothing to do with those who are nonvaccinated. This is not the answer. Let us find a more sustainable solution. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 11:23 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering, Council File #21-0878, that will require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. This mandate and its associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and further destroy local businesses that have barely made it through the pandemic. I implore you to adopt less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Adding more stringent restrictions to a system that is already not working is not an effective strategy. Discrimination against and condemnation of nonvaccinated individuals is morally and ethically wrong. Not only that, many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates, which has nothing to do with those who are nonvaccinated. This is not the answer. Let us find a more sustainable solution. Thank you. Susan Imperial

Communication from Public

Name: Kristi

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 11:30 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear council members: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 11:41 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 11:44 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear council members: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. It is also not right to force a vaccine on people who are refusing the vaccine for medical concerns. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Shotsie Kramer

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 11:48 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering, Council File #21-0878, that will require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. This mandate and its associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and further destroy local businesses that have barely made it through the pandemic. I implore you to adapt less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Adding more stringent restrictions to a system that is already not working is not an effective strategy. Discrimination against and condemnation of nonvaccinated individuals is morally and ethically wrong. Not only that, many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates, which has nothing to do with those who are nonvaccinated. This is not the answer. Let us find a more sustainable solution. Thank you.
Shotsie Kramer

Communication from Public

Name: Lesley Mitchell
Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 11:50 AM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: I REJECT medical mandates. They have no place in our city, schools, businesses.

Communication from Public

Name: Genevie White

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 11:52 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you."

Communication from Public

Name: jennifer zamudio

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 11:55 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Dante Zamudio

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 11:56 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Mirtha Milian

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 11:58 AM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear council members: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Dianna

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 12:07 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I urge the LA City Council to do the ethical and moral action of voting NO on vaccine mandates to access any buildings or venues in the city of LA. A NO vote will prevent division, segregation, discrimination and possibly an unfavorable and hostile environment for the residents and visitors of this AMAZING city. The ultimate private property is that of your own body and each individual should never be forced or coerced by another individual to receive anything in their body against their will to simply enjoy the day to day life. We must think about what this means for ourselves and our future generations. Do NOT cross this sacred line of entering another's flesh without their consent. Coercion is NOT consent. We all make choices that we feel are best for our bodies and one size will never fit all. We all want to be healthy and happy and we can achieve this in our own various ways. A governing body is NOT MY body and CANNOT make decisions for it. Think about the next thing that may be asked of the public that will be completely unacceptable to submit to but because a governing body says one must submit, should we; even if it could bring substantial harm to us? The answer is a resounding NO and NO means NO. Thank you for your time, attention and consideration. -Dianna

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 12:09 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear council members: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you. Be brave and don't mindlessly give in. You are supposed to represent the citizens but are failing miserably.

Communication from Public

Name: Tara Moss

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 12:10 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Item # 34 on the agenda Dear council members: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Sincerely, Tara Moss

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 12:14 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Hello, Please do not pass 21-0878 Small businesses are barely surviving. Continuing adding vaccination mandates will further cripple them pushing them over the line to complete financial loss and closure. This narrative is completely out of control and is no longer supported by evidence we now have. These mandates would in the end be harmful to our communities.

Communication from Public

Name: Karen Koczvara

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 12:18 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear council members, I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 to require proof of Covid-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate will be and how the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce Covid exposure, cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Los Angeles has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something is clearly not working here. Thousands of individuals around the world who have gotten the Covid vaccine have contracted and spread Covid, so clearly these mandates are illogical. These mandates are also highly discriminatory. Please immediately consider dropping this ordinance so LA County can thrive again.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 12:45 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: I'm writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering in mandating Covid vaccination proof for all indoor spaces in the city of Los Angeles. This will further weaken our economy and deter tourism, as many people are unable to get vaccinated due to health or religious reasons. People will not give up their constitutional rights to placate a political agenda. Los Angeles will lose so much money's, just like the 6% drop in public schools that you are now seeing. Vaccinated people are still able to contract and spread the SARS-Cov 2 Virus, so this truly does not make sense as a public health measure. Please consider less restrictive policies to ensure every citizen of the United States has fair and equal access to public spaces in the city of Los Angeles regardless of their medical status. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: R. Surmont

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 01:09 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering, Council File #21-0878, that will require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. This mandate and its associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and further destroy local businesses that have barely made it through the pandemic. I implore you to adapt less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Adding more stringent restrictions to a system that is already not working is not an effective strategy. Discrimination against and condemnation of nonvaccinated individuals is morally and ethically wrong. Not only that, many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates, which has nothing to do with those who are nonvaccinated. This is not the answer. Let us find a more sustainable solution. Thank you.
Rebecca

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 01:12 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear council members: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 01:16 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Council Members: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Los Angeles has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 01:20 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: #34 on agenda Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Janice Kong

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 01:29 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Councilmembers, I am writing to express my opposition to the ordinance under consideration that would require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is unethical, discriminatory, and in violation of personal liberties. Additionally, vaccine mandates would be detrimental to the economy and hurt businesses that have struggled throughout the pandemic. I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Furthermore, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes no sense since fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting and spreading the virus at alarmingly high rates. Please vote no on vaccine mandates. Thank you. Sincerely, Janice Kong

Communication from Public

Name: Zia Rehman

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 01:36 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 01:47 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear council members: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Christian

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 02:02 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Emily

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 02:04 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Council Members: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. People will lose jobs. Businesses will close. This will devastate our area. Thank you. Sincerely, Emily Morrow

Communication from Public

Name: Nicolas

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 02:12 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear council members: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Shauna Leonhardt

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 02:19 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Council Members: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you. Sincerely, Shauna Leonhardt

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 02:26 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Item # 34 on the agenda and Council File # 21-0878 Dear council members: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 02:42 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering, Council File #21-0878, that will require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. This mandate and its associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and further destroy local businesses that have barely made it through the pandemic. I implore you to adapt less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Adding more stringent restrictions to a system that is already not working is not an effective strategy. Discrimination against and condemnation of nonvaccinated individuals is morally and ethically wrong. Not only that, many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates, which has nothing to do with those who are nonvaccinated. This is not the answer. Let us find a more sustainable solution. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: Grazia Caroselli Hayes

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 03:19 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Honorable LA City Council Members, As an avid Los Angeles Times daily reader, I was delighted to read today that the Council is moving forward on considering a sweeping law requiring adult customers to show proof of Covid vaccination for entry. Hopefully you will all (including Mr. Lee this time) pass this law, as it will make this special city of ours a lot SAFER for all us together. I just want you to know how important this is to me and my family, as residents of Los Angeles for over 35 years running. Hopefully this new law will take effect as soon as possible, on November 4th, I believe the article states. As you know, many other large U.S. cities have already implemented this mandate, and it is up to us as Los Angeles citizens to show the way for Southern California. I have cousins in Rome, Italy, who already use a “green passport” , a Covid RQ code that shows they are vaccinated, otherwise they can not board trains, public transport, attend schools, bars, restaurants, gyms, cinemas, museums, concerts, churches, public indoor spaces, etc. I for one am still scared and apprehensive to go to my LA Fitness gym, because they do not require proof of vaccination as yet. But come November 4th, hopefully they will request to see someone’s “POV” for entry. I implore all of you to please vote for approval of this important new law. Thank you for your time, and for help guiding all of us LA citizens towards a safer, better future. Cordially, Grazia Caroselli Hayes Evolve Productions 4181 Mildred Avenue L.A., CA. 90066 C: 310.717.7566 grazia2u@gmail.com

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 04:28 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear councilmembers: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering, Council File #21-0878, that will require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. This mandate and its associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and further destroy local businesses that have barely made it through the pandemic. I implore you to adopt less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Adding more stringent restrictions to a system that is already not working is not an effective strategy. Discrimination against and condemnation of nonvaccinated individuals is morally and ethically wrong. Not only that, many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates, which has nothing to do with those who are nonvaccinated. This is not the answer. Let us find a more sustainable solution. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 05:31 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear council members: I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering today September 29, 2021 to article 10 Chapter XX to require proof of COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 07:32 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Council members, I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance that is being considered to require proof of Covid-19 'vaccination' at indoor public locations. This mandate would be in violation of the U.S. Constitution. Decisions such as this will not age well. Voting in favor of what equates to a medical apartheid will only serve to put a stain on your political reputation, not to mention the obvious human rights violations. Think well before you do this thing. "I was only doing my job" didn't cut it at Nuremberg.

Communication from Public

Name: Austin Hajar

Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 08:47 PM

Council File No: 21-0878

Comments for Public Posting: Dear Council Members and specifically my Council District 15 Council Member Buscaino : I am writing to oppose the adoption of the ordinance you are considering to article 10 to require proof of a COVID-19 vaccination at indoor public locations. This mandate is a violation of the United States Constitution. Do you know just how detrimental this mandate and the associated penalties will cripple the economy even more and destroy businesses that have barely made it this far through the pandemic? I implore you to consider less restrictive measures that other cities around the country have taken to reduce COVID-19 exposure; cities whose infection rates have never been as high as Los Angeles. Our city has experienced some of the toughest mandates in the country, and yet infection rates are still higher than almost every other city in the country. Something isn't working here. Further, discrimination against unvaccinated individuals makes zero sense since so many fully vaccinated individuals are still contracting the virus at alarmingly high rates. This is not the answer. Thank you. CD15 Buscaino, I am calling you out! Come talk to your constituents of CD15, the people YOU WORK FOR and ASK US what we think. I haven't seen you at any of our Green Meadows meetings ever since you got elected.

Communication from Public

Name: Stan Harris, MD DLFAPA
Date Submitted: 09/30/2021 10:22 PM
Council File No: 21-0878
Comments for Public Posting: COVID-19 recovery immunity is just as good or better than vaccination immunity. Excluding recovered unvaccinated people is unscientific and unjust. Acknowledge and promote both vaccination and recovery immunity. Attached is a review of the science. Now that you have the scientific evidence, if you still discriminate against unvaccinated recovered people, we will sue the City for damages. Oppose vaccine card admission requirements.

A Systematic Review of the Protective Effect of Prior SARS-CoV-2 Infection on Repeat Infection

N. Kojima¹ , N. K. Shrestha², and J. D. Klausner³

Abstract

We systematically reviewed studies to estimate the risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection among those previously infected with SARS-CoV-2. For this systematic review, we searched scientific publications on PubMed and MedRxiv, a pre-print server, through August 18, 2021. Eligible studies were retrieved on August 18, 2021. The following search term was used on PubMed: (((“Cohort Studies”[Majr]) AND (“COVID-19”[Mesh] OR “SARS-CoV-2”[Mesh])) OR “Reinfection”[Majr]) OR “Reinfection”[Mesh]. The following search term was used on MedRxiv: “Cohort Studies” AND “COVID-19” OR “SARS-CoV-2” AND “Reinfection”. The search terms were broad to encompass all applicable studies. There were no restrictions on the date of publication. Studies that did not describe cohorts with estimates of the risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection among those with previous infection were excluded. Studies that included vaccinated participants were either excluded or limited to sub-groups of non-vaccinated individuals. To identify relevant studies with appropriate control groups, we developed the following criteria for studies to be included in the systematic analysis: (1) baseline polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing, (2) a uninfected comparison group, (3) longitudinal follow-up, (4) a cohort of human participants, i.e. not a case report or case series, and (5) outcome determined by PCR. The review was conducted following PRISMA guidelines. We assessed for selection, information, and analysis bias, per PRISMA guidelines. We identified 1,392 reports. Of those, 10 studies were eligible for our systematic review. The weighted average risk reduction against reinfection was 90.4% with a standard deviation of 7.7% (p -value: <0.01). Protection against SARS-CoV-2 reinfection was observed for up to 10 months. Studies had potential information, selection, and analysis biases. The protective effect of prior SARS-CoV-2 infection on re-infection is high and similar to the protective effect of vaccination. More research is needed to characterize the duration of protection and the impact of different SARS-CoV-2 variants.

Keywords

SARS-CoV-2, COVID, COVID-19, prior infection, reinfection, natural immunity, vaccination, vaccine, immunity

Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the causative agent of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), is highly infectious and continues to cause substantial morbidity and mortality (Dong et al., 2020; Jin et al., 2020). Prior to the development of highly safe and effective vaccines for SARS-CoV-2 infection, scientists reported that a history of COVID-19 was associated with reduced risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection (Addetia et al., 2020). Virus-induced immunity has been described in many infections and is responsible for the decline of epidemic spread associated with exhaustion of the susceptible population (Rouse & Sehrawat, 2010). However, the duration and degree of the protective effect of SARS-CoV-2s-induced immunity is poorly studied.

Prior epidemiologic studies have found that individuals who are SARS-CoV-2 antibody-positive are protected against reinfection (Abu-Raddad et al., 2021; Harvey et al., 2021; Jeffery-Smith et al., 2021). Furthermore, investigators have reported that even those with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection *who*

lacked detectable antibodies were at 80% lower risk of reinfection than people who were SARS-CoV-2 naïve (Breathnach et al., 2021). One retrospective study that analyzed test results among nearly 10,000 individuals with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection found that only 0.7% became reinfected with SARS-CoV-2 (Qureshi et al., 2021).

Other studies have also described reduced risk of infection, morbidity, and mortality among those with prior COVID-19. A study conducted in Austria found that the frequency of

¹Department of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, CA, USA

²Department of Infectious Diseases, Cleveland Clinic, OH, USA

³Departments of Medicine and Population and Public Health Sciences and the COVID-19 Pandemic Research Center, USC, Keck School of Medicine, University Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Corresponding Author:

N. Kojima, Department of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, 10833 Le Conte Ave., Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA.

Email: nkojima@ucla.edu

Table 1. Studies Through August 8, 2021, That Show Prior COVID-19 Infection Reduces Risk for Reinfection.

Setting	Percent Reduction in Reinfection	Sample Size	Follow-Up	Reference	
Israel	94.8%	238,702	9.92 million person-months	Goldberg et al., April 20, 2021	(Goldberg et al., 2021)
England	84.0%	25,661	Median 9.2 months (IQR: 7.3-9.7)	Hall et al., April 9, 2021	(Hall et al., 2021)
Denmark	80.5%	525,339	Up to 10.3 months	Hansen et al., March 27, 2021	(Hansen et al., 2021)
USA	100.0%	5,052	12625.2 person-months	Kojima et al., July 8, 2021	(Kojima et al., 2021)
US Marines	82.0%	3,076	1.9 months	Letizia et al., April 15, 2021	(Letizia et al., 2021)
Austria	91.0%	8,900,480	Up to 9.4 months	Pilz et al., February 13, 2021	(Pilz et al., 2021)
USA	84.0%	16,101	8.4 months	Rennert et al., May 16, 2021	(Rennert & McMahan, 2021)
USA	81.8%	150,325	Up to 9.8 months	Sheehan et al., March 15, 2021	(Sheehan et al., 2021)
USA	100.0%	52,238	Median 5.1 months (IQR: 2.7-6.4)	Shrestha et al., June 1, 2021	(Shrestha et al., 2021)
Italy	94.0%	13,496	Mean 9.3 months (SD 1.4)	Vitale et al., May 28, 2021	(Vitale et al., 2021)
Weighted average	90.4%	9,930,470			

hospitalization and death due to a SARS-CoV-2 reinfection was 5 out of 14,840 (0.03%) and 1 out of 14,840 (0.01%), respectively (Pilz et al., 2021).

A history of COVID-19 may be as protective against reinfection as vaccination for SARS-CoV-2. A study investigating the frequency of repeat infection among laboratory personnel undergoing daily testing found no difference in SARS-CoV-2 infection rates between those with prior COVID-19 versus those vaccinated for SARS-CoV-2 infection (Kojima et al., 2021). Thompson et al. also reported that the decrease in risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection among those with prior infection was similar in magnitude to the relative risk reduction against SARS-CoV-2 infection among those who were vaccinated (Thompson et al., 2021). In a longitudinal study conducted among employees at the Cleveland Clinic, vaccination was not found to be associated with a lower risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection among people with prior COVID-19 (Shrestha et al., 2021).

Despite the availability of safe and effective vaccines, rates of SARS-CoV-2 infection are again increasing, especially among those without immunity (Christie et al., 2021). We aimed to determine the protective effect of previous infection among those who have not been also vaccinated against SARS-CoV-2. We systematically reviewed published longitudinal studies to estimate the risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection among those previously infected with SARS-CoV-2.

Method

For this systematic review, we searched scientific publications on PubMed and the pre-print server, MedRxiv, through August 18, 2021. Eligible studies were retrieved on August 18, 2021. The following search term was used on PubMed: (((“Cohort Studies”[Major]) AND (“COVID-19”[Mesh] OR “SARS-CoV-2”[Mesh])) OR “Reinfection”[Major]) OR “Reinfection”[Mesh].

The following search term was used on MedRxiv: “Cohort Studies” AND “COVID-19” OR “SARS-CoV-2” AND “Reinfection”. The search terms were broad to encompass all applicable studies. There were no restrictions on the date of publication. Studies that did not describe cohorts with estimates of the risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection among those with previous infection were excluded. Studies that included vaccinated participants were either excluded or limited to sub-groups of non-vaccinated individuals.

To identify relevant studies with appropriate control groups, we developed the following criteria for studies to be included in the systematic analysis: (1) baseline polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing, (2) a uninfected comparison group, (3) longitudinal follow-up, (4) a cohort of human participants, i.e. not a case report or case series, and (5) outcome determined by PCR.

The review was conducted following PRISMA guidelines (Page et al., 2021). Abstracts were reviewed and ineligible studies were not included. Two reviewers identified studies for the systematic review. One reviewer collected data from each report and the other reviewer independently checked the work. If there was an inconsistency, reviewer one and reviewer two would discuss the study and come to a consensus.

Synthesis Methods

Articles that met criteria for the systematic review are shown in Table 1. We reviewed selected reports to extract the following information: Author, year of publication, study cohort, reinfection risk, and follow-up time in person-months when available. We assessed for selection, information, and analysis bias, per PRISMA guidelines. Due to the heterogeneity of the studies reviewed, sensitivity analyses and a meta-analysis was not attempted.




Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram for systematic reviews.

Statistics

A *p*-value for percent reduction was calculated on StataSE (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

Registration

This systematic review was not registered.

Results

We identified 1,392 reports (Figure 1). Of those reports, 10 studies met the study inclusion criteria from 6 different countries. The total population in the 10 studies included 9,930,470 individuals with a median observation period that ranged from one to 10.3 months.

We found that the relative decreased risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection ranged between 80.5 to 100% compared to those without prior infection (Table 1). The weighted average risk reduction against reinfection was 90.4%, with a standard deviation of 7.7%. The *p*-value for percentage reduction was less than 0.01.

The studies conducted by Goldberg et al., Hansen et al., Pilz et al., and Vitale et al., had cohorts compiled from national databases, which may have generated a selection bias towards people who had access to SARS-CoV-2 testing and were registered (Goldberg et al., 2021; Hansen et al., 2021; Pilz et al., 2021; Vitale et al., 2021). The study conducted by Hall et al. followed a cohort of 30,625 participants (Hall et al., 2021). In their study 51 participants withdrew and 4,913 participants were excluded because they did not have linked data for SARS-CoV-2 testing. That may have biased their study against people who did not have follow up testing, i.e. information and

analysis bias. The study conducted by Letizia et al. studied young and healthy adults in the United States Marines who were undergoing basic training, and the housing conditions and interactions of individuals in that setting would not be readily extrapolated to the average population (Letizia et al., 2021). The study conducted by Rennert et al. studied university students that are younger and healthier than the average population (Rennert & McMahan, 2021). The study conducted by Shrestha et al. included younger and relatively healthier people, and since the study was done entirely after vaccines became available, there was also likely selection bias due to differential participation among those who decided not to get vaccinated (Shrestha et al., 2021).

The studies conducted by Hall et al., Rennert et al., and Sheehan et al., included follow-up that extended into the period when vaccines became available, and since vaccination was not controlled for, it is likely that vaccination among some subjects in the previously uninfected groups would have resulted in information bias resulting in an underestimation or overestimation of the effect size (Hall et al., 2021; Rennert & McMahan, 2021; Sheehan et al., 2021).

Discussion

We systematically reviewed published longitudinal studies of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection with PCR confirmed initial and repeat infections. We found that the weighted average risk reduction against reinfection was 90.4% and was statistically significant. Protection was observed up to 10 months. People with prior COVID-19 had a similar and durable level of protection when compared to those vaccinated against SARS-CoV-2 (Kojima et al., 2021; Stephens & McElrath, 2020).

In our systematic review, protection against SARS-CoV-2 reinfection was observed in up to 10 months following initial infection. It is not clear how long natural protection after infection will last. Biological studies have found persistent reservoirs of immunological active and antibody producing cells for up to 10 months or longer (Cohen et al., 2021).

The studies were conducted in 6 different countries. The studies ranged from participants that were younger than the national average (Letizia et al., 2021; Rennert & McMahan, 2021), as well as populations that were older than the national average (Vitale et al., 2021). Some studies followed participants at a national level (Goldberg et al., 2021; Hansen et al., 2021; Pilz et al., 2021), whereas other studies more closely followed cohorts (Letizia et al., 2021; Rennert & McMahan, 2021). While methodologies of studies differed, all reviewed studies consistently found decreased risk of reinfection among people with prior SARS-CoV-2 infection.

A recent United States Centers of Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) investigation conducted in Kentucky among persons with prior COVID-19 found that vaccination was associated with enhanced protection of those with prior infection (Cavanaugh et al., 2021). In the CDC study, lack of vaccination after infection was associated with an increased odds of repeat

SARS-CoV-2 infection, although the absolute increased risk of re-infection was very low. The study may have been biased due to different risk behaviors of the cases and controls. The study did not account for adherence with pandemic precautions (masking and social distancing), which would have been expected to have been different in the cases and controls.

Our study had several limitations. Our review was limited to studies with PCR confirmation of infection and re-infection. Multiple other studies, however, using SARS-CoV-2 antibody status as a measure of infection have similar results (Abu-Raddad et al., 2021; Harvey et al., 2021; Leidi, Berner, et al., 2021; Leidi, Kogler, et al., 2021). Our systematic review utilized some studies published on MedRxiv, a pre-print server. While MedRxiv has been helpful during the COVID-19 pandemic due to the rapid ability to disseminate information to colleagues, studies that were accessed on the site were not peer-reviewed. Furthermore, many of the studies cannot be replicated because they occurred in settings prior to the availability of vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 among people with history of infection.

Many of the studies including in our review followed people infected with SARS-CoV-2 earlier in the pandemic when infection was most likely with the original wildtype strain of SARS-CoV-2 before the development of variant strains. Therefore, our findings may differ in the current context of infections with exposure to variants that differ from the original infecting variant.

However a recent pre-print from a study conducted in the United Kingdom found among persons infected during a period of nearly exclusive Delta SARS-CoV-2 transmission, those fully vaccinated with BNT162b2 and ChAdOx1 (had similar levels of protection (82% and 67%, respectively) as those with previous infection (73%) (Pouwels et al., 2021). Additionally, in a recent retrospective cohort study that was conducted in Israel which compared rates of SARS-CoV-2 infection or reinfection with the Delta variant among SARS-CoV-2-naïve individuals who received the BNT162b2 vaccine to people who had recovered from COVID-19, found that vaccinated, but SARS-CoV-2-naïve people, had an *increased* risk of infection with the Delta variant when compared to people who had recovered from COVID-19 (Gazit et al., 2021). This association was statistically significant in two models that either matched to the time of the first event (13.1-fold increased risk) or did not match to time of first event (6.0-fold increased risk).

Implications

Our findings suggest that persons with prior COVID-19 are protected against SARS-CoV-2 reinfection. While protection has been observed in up to 10 months after initial infection, it is unclear how long the protection will last. Given the recency of new circulating variants like Delta, the protective effect of a previous infection with one variant and exposure to a different variant are uncertain. However, recent studies during the period of transmission of the Delta variant are promising.

Conclusions

There is consistent epidemiologic evidence that prior SARS-CoV-2 infection provides substantial immunity to repeat SARS-CoV-2 infection. Prior SARS-CoV-2 infections provide similar protection when compared to vaccination for SARS-CoV-2. Longer follow-up studies are needed to determine how long protection lasts for natural immunity, especially among higher risk groups such as those with chronic medical conditions and those that are immunocompromised. More research is needed to investigate whether initial disease severity changes the risk of repeat infection. Finally, more research is needed to determine how much protection persists against emerging variants, like the Delta variant of SARS-CoV-2.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared the following potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: N.K. has received consulting fees from Curative Inc. J.D.K. serves as Medical Director of Curative Inc.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Supported in part by a gift to the Keck School of Medicine of the University of Southern California by the W.M. Keck Foundation.

ORCID iD

N. Kojima  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3667-9719>

References

- Abu-Raddad, L. J., Chemaitelly, H., Coyle, P., Malek, J. A., Ahmed, A. A., Mohamoud, Y. A., Younuskunju, S., Ayoub, H. H., Al Kanaani, Z., Al Kuwari, E., Butt, A. A., Jeremijenko, A., Kaleeckal, A. H., Latif, A. N., Shaik, R. M., Abdul Rahim, H. F., Nasrallah, G. K., Yassine, H. M., Al Kuwari, M. G., . . . Bertollini, R. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 antibody-positivity protects against reinfection for at least seven months with 95% efficacy. *EClinicalMedicine*, 35, 100861. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.100861>
- Addetia, A., Crawford, K. H. D., Dingens, A., Zhu, H., Roychoudhury, P., Huang, M. L., Jerome, K. R., Bloom, J. D., & Greninger, A. L. (2020). Neutralizing antibodies correlate with protection from SARS-CoV-2 in humans during a fishery vessel outbreak with a high attack rate. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 58(11). <https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02107-20>
- Breathnach, A. S., Duncan, C. J. A., Bouzidi, K. E., Hanrath, A. T., Payne, B. A. I., Randell, P. A., Habibi, M. S., Riley, P. A., Planche, T. D., Busby, J. S., Sudhanva, M., Pallett, S. J. C., & Kelleher, W. P. (2021). Prior COVID-19 protects against reinfection, even in the absence of detectable antibodies. *Journal of Infection*, 83(2), 237–279. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2021.05.024>
- Cavanaugh, A., Spicer, K., Thoroughman, D., Glick, C., & Winter, K. (2021). Reduced risk of reinfection with SARS-CoV-2 after COVID-19 vaccination—Kentucky, May–June 2021. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)*. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7032e1>
- Christie, A., Brooks, J. T., Hicks, L. A., Sauber-Schatz, E. K., Yoder, J. S., Honein, M. A., & Team, C. C.-R. (2021). Guidance for implementing COVID-19 prevention strategies in the context of varying community transmission levels and vaccination coverage. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)*, 70(30), 1044–1047. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7030e2>
- Cohen, K. W., Linderman, S. L., Moodie, Z., Czartoski, J., Lai, L., Mantus, G., Norwood, C., Nyhoff, L. E., Edara, V. V., Floyd, K., De Rosa, S. C., Ahmed, H., Whaley, R., Patel, S. N., Prigmore, B., Lemos, M. P., Davis, C. W., Furth, S., O’Keefe, J. B., . . . McElrath, M. J. (2021). Longitudinal analysis shows durable and broad immune memory after SARS-CoV-2 infection with persisting antibody responses and memory B and T cells. *Cell Reports Medicine*, 2(7), 100354. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2021.100354>
- Dong, E., Du, H., & Gardner, L. (2020). An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 20(5), 533–534. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30120-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30120-1)
- Gazit, S., Shlezinger, R., Perez, G., Lotan, R., Peretz, A., Ben-Tov, A., Cohen, D., Muhsen, K., Chodick, G., & Patalon, T. (2021). Comparing SARS-CoV-2 natural immunity to vaccine-induced immunity: Reinfections versus breakthrough infections. *medRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.24.21262415>
- Goldberg, Y., Mandel, M., Woodbridge, Y., Fluss, R., Novikov, I., Yaari, R., Ziv, A., Freedman, L., & Huppert, A. (2021). Protection of previous SARS-CoV-2 infection is similar to that of BNT162b2 vaccine protection: A three-month nationwide experience from Israel. *medRxiv*.
- Hall, V. J., Foulkes, S., Charlett, A., Atti, A., Monk, E. J. M., Simmons, R., Wellington, E., Cole, M. J., Saei, A., Oguti, B., Munro, K., Wallace, S., Kirwan, P. D., Shrotri, M., Vusirikala, A., Rokadiya, S., Kall, M., Zambon, M., & Ramsay, M., . . . Group, S. S. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 infection rates of antibody-positive compared with antibody-negative health-care workers in England: A large, multi-centre, prospective cohort study (SIREN). *Lancet*, 397(10283), 1459–1469. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00675-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00675-9)
- Hansen, C. H., Michlmayr, D., Gubbels, S. M., Molbak, K., & Ethelberg, S. (2021). Assessment of protection against reinfection with SARS-CoV-2 among 4 million PCR-tested individuals in Denmark in 2020: A population-level observational study. *Lancet*, 397(10280), 1204–1212. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(21\)00575-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00575-4)
- Harvey, R. A., Rassen, J. A., Kabelac, C. A., Turenne, W., Leonard, S., Klesh, R., Meyer, W. A., 3 rdKaufman, H. W., Anderson, S., Cohen, O., Petkov, V. I., Cronin, K. A., Van Dyke, A. L., Lowy, D. R., Sharpless, N. E., & Penberthy, L. T. (2021). Association of SARS-CoV-2 seropositive antibody test with risk of future infection. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 181(5), 672–679. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2021.0366>
- Jeffery-Smith, A., Iyanger, N., Williams, S. V., Chow, J. Y., Aiano, F., Hoschler, K., Lackenby, A., Ellis, J., Platt, S., Miah, S., Brown, K., Amirhalingam, G., Patel, M., Ramsay, M. E., Gopal, R., Charlett, A., Ladhani, S. N., & Zambon, M. (2021). Antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 protect against re-infection during outbreaks in care homes, September and October 2020. *Euro Surveill*, 26(5). <https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2021.26.5.2100092>

- Jin, H., Liu, J., Cui, M., & Lu, L. (2020). Novel coronavirus pneumonia emergency in Zhuhai: Impact and challenges. *Journal of Hospital Infection*, 104(4), 452–453. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.02.005>
- Kojima, N., Roshani, A., Brobeck, M., Baca, A., & Klausner, J. D. (2021). Incidence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 infection among previously infected or vaccinated employees. *medRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.03.21259976>
- Leidi, A., Berner, A., Roxane, D., Dubos, R., Koegler, F., Piumatti, G., Vuilleumier, N., Kaiser, L., Balavoine, J. F., Trono, D., Pittet, D., Chappuis, F., Kherad, O., Courvoisier, D., Azman, A. S., Zaballa, M. E., Guessous, I., & Stringhini, S. (2021). Occupational risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection and reinfection during the second pandemic surge: A cohort study. *medRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.06.21261419>
- Leidi, A., Koegler, F., Dumont, R., Dubos, R., Zaballa, M. E., Piumatti, G., Coen, M., Berner, A., Darbellay Farhoumand, P., Vetter, P., Vuilleumier, N., Kaiser, L., Courvoisier, D., Azman, A. S., Guessous, I., & Stringhini, S., & Group, S. E.-P. S. (2021). Risk of reinfection after seroconversion to SARS-CoV-2: A population-based propensity-score matched cohort study. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab495>
- Letizia, A. G., Ge, Y., Vangeti, S., Goforth, C., Weir, D. L., Kuzmina, N. A., Balinsky, C. A., Chen, H. W., Ewing, D., Soares-Schanoski, A., George, M. C., Graham, W. D., Jones, F., Bharaj, P., Lizewski, R. A., Lizewski, S. E., Marayag, J., Marjanovic, N., Miller, C. M., ... Sealfon, S. C. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 seropositivity and subsequent infection risk in healthy young adults: A prospective cohort study. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(21\)00158-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(21)00158-2)
- Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J. M., Akl, E. A., Brennan, S. E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J. M., Hrobjartsson, A., Lalu, M. M., Li, T., Loder, E. W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., ... Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, 372, n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>
- Pilz, S., Chakeri, A., Ioannidis, J. P., Richter, L., Theiler-Schwetz, V., Trummer, C., Krause, R., & Allerberger, F. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 re-infection risk in Austria. *European Journal of Clinical Investigation*, 51(4), e13520. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eci.13520>
- Pouwels, K. B., Pritchard, E., Matthews, P. C., Stoesser, N., Eyre, D. W., Vihta, K. -D., House, T., Hay, J., Bell, J. I., Newton, J. N., Farrar, J., Crook, D., Cook, D., Rourke, E., Studley, R., Peto, T., Diamond, I., & Walker, A. S., & Team, C.-I. S. (2021). Impact of Delta on viral burden and vaccine effectiveness against new SARS-CoV-2 infections in the UK. *Nuffield Department of Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.08.18.21262237>
- Qureshi, A. I., Baskett, W. I., Huang, W., Lobanova, I., Naqvi, S. H., & Shyu, C. R. (2021). Re-infection with SARS-CoV-2 in patients undergoing serial laboratory testing. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab345>
- Rennett, L., & McMahan, C. (2021). Risk of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection in a university student population. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab454>
- Rouse, B. T., & Sehrawat, S. (2010). Immunity and immunopathology to viruses: What decides the outcome? *Nature Reviews Immunology*, 10(7), 514–526. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nri2802>
- Sheehan, M. M., Reddy, A. J., & Rothberg, M. B. (2021). Reinfection rates among patients who previously tested positive for COVID-19: A retrospective cohort study. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab234>
- Shrestha, N. K., Burke, P. C., Nowacki, A. S., Terpeluk, P., & Gordon, S. M. (2021). Necessity of COVID-19 vaccination in previously infected individuals. *medRxiv*. <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.06.01.21258176v2>
- Stephens, D. S., & McElrath, M. J. (2020). COVID-19 and the path to immunity. *JAMA*, 324(13), 1279–1281. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.16656>
- Thompson, M. G., Burgess, J. L., Naleway, A. L., Tyner, H. L., Yoon, S. K., Meece, J., Olsho, L. E. W., Caban-Martinez, A. J., Fowlkes, A., Lutrick, K., Kuntz, J. L., Dunnigan, K., Odean, M. J., Hegmann, K. T., Stefanski, E., Edwards, L. J., Schaefer-Solle, N., Grant, L., ... Gaglani, M. (2021). Interim estimates of vaccine effectiveness of BNT162b2 and mRNA-1273 COVID-19 vaccines in preventing SARS-CoV-2 infection among health care personnel, first responders, and other essential and frontline workers—Eight U.S. locations, December 2020–March 2021. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)*, 70(13), 495–500. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7013e3>
- Vitale, J., Mumoli, N., Clerici, P., De Paschale, M., Evangelista, I., Cei, M., & Mazzone, A. (2021). Assessment of SARS-CoV-2 reinfection 1 year after primary infection in a population in Lombardy, Italy. *JAMA Internal Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2021.2959>