

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Sally Maslon

**Date Submitted:** 08/23/2021 12:15 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0878

**Comments for Public Posting:** Dear Councilmembers, As a resident of Los Angeles I am concerned about the upcoming vote to require businesses to turn away unvaccinated customers. Not allowing the non-vaccinated into stores including grocery stores is basically condemning residents to starvation. Not all persons can be vaccinated for medical reasons and some choose not to be. For instance, I have just recovered from lymphoma and do not wish to risk putting a poorly tested, gene modifying experimental substance into my body. Also, low income and underserved residents do not have the means to travel to buy groceries or have them delivered. Homeless certainly can't go outside the city to acquire food and water just to survive. Why would you impose such a burden on your own constituents in the name of "keeping people safe", especially from a viral illness that 99% of the population recovers? People may have a choice not to go to the movies or Staples Center, but they must eat and drink, buy clothes, and medicine to survive, and you have no right to deprive them of their ability to acquire necessities. Are you going to allow this to happen in America where our constitutional rights are supposed to be respected? Are you going to facilitate our society descending into a totalitarian state with discrimination and surveillance a la Huxley's 1984? Those who have the means will shop outside the city depriving it of revenue due to lost sales tax and income taxes. 63% of adult residents in LA County are vaccinated, that means that 37% are not. They will not be able to shop in LA City. Are businesses willing to lose 1/3 or more of their customers and associated income? The number of unvaccinated is certainly higher in the city than the county due to a higher minority population which have lower vaccination rates. Are you willing to discriminate against the people of color and poor who can least afford reduced access to basic necessities? Compelling anyone to take any current Covid-19 vaccine violates federal and state law. Please vote NO on this measure. Thank you.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 08/23/2021 12:39 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0878

**Comments for Public Posting:** No on Covid-19 Experimental Inniculation Requirement Ordinance ! No on mandating People to get experimental Inniculation !

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Danielle Lewis

**Date Submitted:** 08/23/2021 02:07 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0878

**Comments for Public Posting:** Dear City Council, Not allowing the non-vaccinated into stores including grocery stores is basically condemning them to starvation. Not all persons can be vaccinated for medical reasons and some choose not to be. Poor and underserved residents do not have the means to travel to buy groceries or have them delivered. Homeless certainly can't go outside the city to acquire food and water just to survive. Why would you impose a death sentence on your own constituents? People may have a choice not to go to the movies or baseball stadium, but they must eat and drink, buy clothes and medicine to survive, and you have no right to deprive them of their ability to acquire necessities. Is this America where our constitutional rights are respected or a totalitarian state? Those who have the means will shop outside the city depriving it of revenue due to lost sales tax and income taxes. 63% of adult residents in LA County are vaccinated, that means that 37% are not. They will not be able to shop in LA City. Are businesses willing to lose 1/3 or more of their customers and associated income? The number of unvaccinated is certainly higher in the city than the County due to a higher minority population which have lower vaccination rates. Are you willing to discriminate against the poor who can least afford reduced access to basic necessities? Compelling anyone to take any current Covid-19 vaccine violates federal and state law Four key concerns: \* First, while the vaccine remains unapproved by the CDC and authorized only for emergency use, federal law forbids mandating it, in accordance with the Nuremberg Code of 1947; \* Second, the Americans with Disabilities Act proscribes, punishes and penalizes those who invasively inquire into someone's medical status and then treat those individuals differently based on their medical status, as the many AIDS related cases of decades ago fully attest; and \* Third, international law, Constitutional law, specific statutes and the common law of torts all forbid conditioning access upon coerced, invasive medical examinations and treatment, unless the mandating person can fully provide objective, scientifically validated evidence of the threat from the employee and how no practicable alternative could possibly suffice to mitigate such supposed public health threat and still perform the necessary essentials of employment. At the outset, consider the "problem" being "solved" by vaccination mandates:

dangerous or deadly transmission, by the asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic employee, in the business setting. Yet even government official Mr. Fauci admits, as scientific studies affirm, asymptomatic transmission is “very rare.” Symptomatic individuals already self-isolate by staying home when sick, just like we’ve always done to prevent the spread of flu or a cold. The previously infected are better protected than the vaccinated, so why aren’t they exempted? Anyone who suspects they may be infected can get tested. The vaccines don’t prevent transmission, according to the CDC. “Fully vaccinated people who get a Covid-19 breakthrough infection can transmit the virus”, said US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Director Dr. Rochelle Walensky, Thursday, August 5th, on CNN. “Our vaccines are working exceptionally well,” Walensky told CNN’s Wolf Blitzer. “They continue to work well for Delta, with regard to severe illness and death -- they prevent it. But what they can't do anymore is prevent transmission.” That's why the CDC changed its guidance last week and is now recommending even vaccinated people wear masks indoors again, Walensky said. Current data suggests the vaccinated are just as, or even much more, likely to transmit the virus as the asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic. Hence, the vaccine solves nothing. Forcing an experimental vaccine on residents does nothing to reduce the spread of Covid or improve safety in retail spaces, but rather puts at risk individuals that shouldn’t or don’t want to get vaccinated, exposing them to adverse side effects, including death. Educating the public on how to increase their individual immune systems using Vitamin D, C, Zinc and Quercetin, would do more to protect the population as it protects against vaccine breakthrough cases as well. Ivermectin has successfully prevented and treated Covid infections throughout the world including a 93% reduction in cases in India during their recent surge. See [www.FLCCC.net](http://www.FLCCC.net) for more details and protocol specifics including Ivermectin study results. Low Vitamin D levels have been linked to higher infection and Covid death rates in several medical studies. Other methods exist today to prevent Covid transmission besides vaccination, which no longer works, per the CDC.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Denice Grant

**Date Submitted:** 08/23/2021 02:08 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0878

**Comments for Public Posting:** Councilman Bob Blumenfield was quoted as saying “When you ask someone for their papers for the purpose of sending them to a death camp, that is the exact opposite of asking for proof of vaccination so we can save lives, it is the opposite.” Hitler never asked for papers to go to a death camp. Jews, gypsies, homosexuals and others were asked for their papers to be discriminated against, isolated and refused services. That is how it began and how it is beginning in Los Angeles, San Francisco and New York City. People were encouraged to think of these groups as dirty and contaminating others. I personally have relatives and friends who experienced this in Russia, Poland and China. They all say it is much worse here now than it ever was when the communists and socialists were in power. All the vaccines I've had in my life were to protect me at my choice. The government has no right under our Constitution, and for that matter the Unruh Civil Rights Act and other laws, to mandate what medicines people take. There is no medicine that is safe for every person, all have some side effects and are contraindicated for certain people. It is frightening that people in authority believe that they can make someone who has life threatening reactions to certain medicines to take a medicine that might hurt them to protect others. So those people must stay at home and never go anywhere? Are you trying to increase the suicide and murder rates? I truly do not understand the Council's position on this matter. It is quite frightening and frankly illegal. Your oath of office states: “I do solemnly swear (or affirm, as the case may be) that I will support the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of the State of California and the Charter of the City of Los Angeles, and that I will faithfully discharge the duties of the office of (here inserting the name of the office) according to the best of my ability.” Nothing in health passports supports either the California or the United States Constitution or the Charter of the City of Los Angeles. Please do not vote in favor of these "health passports." There is nothing healthy about them.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Elizabeth Barry

**Date Submitted:** 08/23/2021 02:54 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0878

**Comments for Public Posting:** Good Afternoon Councilmembers, As a concerned citizen, I urge you to vote no on the Vaccine Requirement Ordinance coming before you. Without addressing the merits of the vaccine or lack thereof at length, please consider this: Los Angeles has already suffered a considerable economic impact from the lockdowns and ordinances issued over the last 16 months. Those who have not been vaccinated will not be 'persuaded' to do so by a mandate. Those who have had Covid-19 and now have antibodies, and therefore, natural immunity, will not take an experimental vaccine that may have deleterious affects on them. In spite of the lack of reportage, I assure you that the VAERS recorded cases of injuries, deaths and troubling conditions such as cardiomyopathy are coming to light and causing grave concern to those paying attention. Those who are resisting because they realize that this vaccine does not offer them the immunity from the disease that a traditional vaccine affords, but only offers a lessening of the symptoms, will not be persuaded to subject themselves to endless booster shots. Particularly since they are now becoming aware of the fact that they can catch and transmit Covid-19 in spite of the shot. There goes the theory that we are getting the vaccination not just for our well being, but for the well being of others. This applies equally to folks who have had the vaccine already and are starting the question its efficacy. Should you mandate this vaccine, people will be forced to leave their places of employment, and will no longer be able to patronize Los Angeles businesses. I assume we will no longer be able to shop locally, and will be forced to travel out of the county for provisions. This is unfairly burdensome, and will ultimately result in the relocation of a portion of the populace. Perhaps this is your intention. I can't imagine what you have in mind if you vote yes. Certainly not our well being. I won't address the survival rate for Covid-19. You should know the stats if you are voting. As a small business owner, my husband, who has operated a restaurant for the last 30 years, has certainly suffered. Not only from having to shut down, and from a reduction in regular commerce, but from the fact he has not been able to find employees. I am sure that this is an all too common quandary that other business owners find themselves facing. If you mandate the vaccine, his business will fall off to the point where he will have to shut down the restaurant. Multiply

this by a myriad of small business owners, Mandating this vaccine will be absolutely catastrophic for Los Angeles and unconscionable. Please, consider the serious consequence and impact your votes will have on us. Please vote no on the Covid-19 Vaccine Requirement Ordinance. Thank you for your time and attention to this matter. Sincerely, Elizabeth Barry

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Denice

**Date Submitted:** 08/23/2021 03:05 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0878

**Comments for Public Posting:** Please see Dr. Christina Parks testimony for Michigan HB4471 on 8/19/21: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Jktvh6k0qys> Clinical trials do not indicate that vaccines prevent infection or transmission. PLEASE DO NOT DISCRIMINATE AGAINST PEOPLE WHO HAVE CONTRAINDICATIONS FOR THIS VACCINE!!!



## Communication from Public

**Name:** Ty McLemore

**Date Submitted:** 08/23/2021 03:21 PM

**Council File No:** 21-0878

**Comments for Public Posting:** The requirement of a vaccine passport to enter any establishment or business in L.A. County violates numerous city, state, federal and HIPPA laws. Furthermore, adhering to guidelines set forth by the CDC is beyond egregious. This is an agency that has a long, sordid history. In 2013 Skid Row suffered a MAJOR Tuberculosis outbreak - one SO horrific that this agency put its own medical personnel and scientists on the ground to administer medication and monitor further developments. The trouble was they failed to notify any of the residents, nor quarantine them, nor restrict their movements. The info was leaked to the L.A. Times and other news outlets in an attempt to alert the public. Not only is Tuberculosis a resilient disease, but it is far more contagious and deadlier than Covid. So much so that its treatment often requires the use of industrial-strength drugs anywhere from 9 to 18 months - depending on its severity and the patient's overall health. Ironically, those on Skid Row were allowed to frequent all businesses WITHOUT presenting any type of proof or documentation that they had been treated or were disease free. Yet we'll need a pass showing we have been vaccinated against a disease that has a 99 PERCENT survival rate BEFORE any type of treatment is rendered. In addition, there are at least a half dozen over-the-counter and prescription remedies that have been shown to be most effective in stopping Covid. Am urging you to stop the nonsense and scrap any and all ideas regarding a vaccine passport.