

Communication from Public

Name: Goat Puppet

Date Submitted: 09/14/2021 08:28 PM

Council File No: 21-0970

Comments for Public Posting: Baaah. Wasn't the vote 2 NO votes? Who voted No? Nithya Raman-Noodle requested the item be SEPARATELY voted on! Yet the file shows NO ROLL CALL VOTE? Several public speakers stated that the law is UNCONSTITUTIONAL. One public comment stated they were going to SUE to block this law. The Council, instead of working overtime to suppress the First Amendment (17-0363--Item #40 was approved, then it WAS REFERRED TO A SPECIAL COMMITTEE NEXT DAY IN RULES, ELECTIONS, rather than PUBLIC SAFETY) Should read U.S. v. Jose Luis Huizar, Raymond She Wah Chan, Shen Zhen New World I, LLC Case No. Cr-20-326-JFW, Document #235 Defendants' "...MOTION TO DISMISS AND/OR STRIKE.." In the filing, the Los Angeles City Council is shown to be what it truly is--A RACKETEERING SCHEME. Mr. Huizar claims that Councilmembers have a FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT give and take favors, that it's LEGAL!!! All the Council wants is MORE AND MORE CAMPAIGN DONATIONS, OFFICE HOLDER ACCOUNT DONATIONS, AND FAVORS FOR EVERYONE THEY KNOW. The public can simply go to hell. That's why these two Council files are so important to Nury Martinez---to scare away, hide from, and conceal THEIR CITY HALL BUSINESS so they can't get caught like Huizar, et. al. When the F.B.I. serves the next set of elected officials, will you use this motion to keep THEM away 300 feet? How about a PERMIT? Can the public BUY a FUCKING PERMIT to allow protests "WITHIN 300 FEET OF A RESIDENCE?" I bet you idiots never considered that (until reading this, that is.) HA!! HA! HAWW!! Baaah Baah!! L.A. City Council---the MOST CORRUPT GOVERNMENT BODY SINCE THE SOVIET DUMA!

Communication from Public

Name: Jamie York
Date Submitted: 09/14/2021 02:54 AM
Council File No: 21-0970

Comments for Public Posting: This is a craven move on the city council. Trespassing laws already exist. The 100 foot ordinance already exists. You ask citizens to keep a foot ball field away from you the moment you feel threatened, but you stay silent on the inconsistent policing by LAPD that leaves certain protestors over prosecuted and others protected. How do you think this new ordinance will be enforced? If you're honest you already know the answer. You show that you will act with urgency to protect yourselves, but do not show the same consideration for the rest of us. Who does the law protect? If you're creating a law in service of yourselves, you've already lost your way. I knew a young man who was murdered by an extremist in Southern California because he was gay and Jewish. This law doesn't further the protection of people like him. It doesn't further the protection of everyday Angelenos who have been subject to violence and those acts ignored by lapd. Show some back bone. Show some respect for the 1st amendment. There's already laws that exist that could be enforced but they are not.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 09/14/2021 10:09 AM

Council File No: 21-0970

Comments for Public Posting: If the motion contained in Council File 21-0970 is approved then for example: A new family moves in on the block in a Los Angeles neighborhood. Aggressive yelling can be heard coming from within their home at night. Concerned neighbors call the police. The police are seen to arrive, speak with occupants of the home for few minutes and then depart. The same circumstance is repeated over several nights. During the day, neighbors catch glimpses of the adult female entering or exiting the property with her kids. She appears sullen, sometimes bruised or limping. The neighbors become distressed that someone in the new family may be a victim of severe spousal abuse syndrome. The concerned neighbors organize a plan. There will be groups of at least 2 neighbors who will stand outside this home at all times, 24 hours/day. They will carry signs which read: Our Community Does Not Tolerate Spousal Abuse! We Can Help On the other side of the signs is a phone number for the hotline of a local non-profit organization dedicated to serving victims of domestic abuse. If any of the sign carrying group of concerned neighbors come within 300 feet of the target residence, they will be in violation of the ordinance contained in 21-0970. . The occupant-victimizer may file suit to get a court order to stop their actions and the court may assess a penalty of \$1,000 payable to the abuser against each of the concerned neighbors for every shift spent holding their signs outside the plaintiffs residence. This is the type of unintended and undesirable consequence resulting from a motion based on good intentions and approved under the cloak of false urgency.