

Communication from Public

Name: James Duguid Steele

Date Submitted: 11/18/2024 09:04 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to express my strong support of Draft #3 ordinance without the seven "options" to open up single-family neighborhoods. Anything that upzones our neighborhoods should happen with we the stakeholders' input through Community Plans and not this way with none of us involved. Most importantly, the LA City Planning Department clearly states it can meet its state housing obligation without opening up single-family neighborhoods. Please help stop the perpetuation of Misleading Data on Single-Family Zoning: The oft-repeated claim that single-family zoning restricts development on 70% of LA's land is grossly misleading. The Planning Department's own Housing Element (Chapter 4, page 210) clearly states that 35% of LA land is undevelopable due to environmental concerns or topography. This leaves only 45% of the city's land with potential for development beyond R1 zoning. Moreover, existing R1 zoning already allows for duplexes and ADUs, significantly contributing to housing availability. Draft #3 includes provisions for significant housing growth in high-resource areas and along commercial corridors. This approach promotes responsible development while preserving the character of single-family neighborhoods. Instead of rezoning R1 areas, the City should focus on creating vibrant, mixed-use developments with affordable homes for purchase in areas best suited for density. I urge you to reject Draft #3 without the seven "options" to open up single-family neighborhoods as currently proposed and remove any Exhibit D options that would rezone single-family neighborhoods. This ordinance must prioritize sustainable, equitable growth without dismantling the very fabric of our communities. Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely, James Steele 1444 North Orange Grove Ave Los Angeles CA 90046 SPAULDING SQUARE HPOZ RESIDENT

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/18/2024 09:24 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I strongly implore the PLUM committee and City Council that "Exhibit D" NOT be considered for adoption by PLUM or the City Council. There are many reasons why it is inappropriate and bad public policy to upzone R1 properties via the Housing Element. I support DRAFT VERSION # 3 of the Housing Element / CHIP Ordinance which preserves R1 SINGLE FAMILY ZONING -- without adoption of the Exhibit D options included in the Planning Dept. report. Thank you.

Communication from Public

Name: nathalie samanon

Date Submitted: 11/18/2024 09:27 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: ATTN: PLUM Committee members Please support Draft #3 of the Housing Element/CHIP ordinance that rezones LA without regard to the Exhibit D “options” that would open up single-family neighborhoods. In its report, the LA City Planning Department clearly states that it has found enough zoning to meet the State’s mandate for housing without the need to rezone our single-family areas. The City Planning Commission also saw fit to recommend the adoption of Draft #3. Here are some facts to consider: • The City continues to communicate a misleading message related to R1 single-family zoning stating that R1 is restricting development on 70% of LA’s land. However, when pressed, Planning Department stated clearly on Chapter 4, page 210 of the Housing Element, that 35% of LA land was not developable beyond R1 due to topography or other environmental concerns. This left only 45% of single-family zones, less than half of the city land, developable. And State law allows a duplex and two ADUs on each and every residential property. Single-family zones are contributing to the housing inventory with thousands of ADUs. Single family areas continue to do their part. • Pro-development groups say rezoning single-family neighborhoods is a social justice issue when, in fact, allowing apartments in single family neighborhoods is an attempt by large land investors/developers to increase the value of their R1 real estate holdings by deregulating single-family neighborhoods to allow more density. Allowing apartments in single-family neighborhoods will not right the wrongs of redlining that prevented people from buying homes. Instead, it keeps more people as renters. People need the opportunity to buy affordable homes so they can build generational wealth. Ending single-family zones forces more people to remain renters. • The Draft has a comprehensive plan for adding housing in all our high-resource areas on our commercial corridors. If planned correctly, new, vibrant neighborhoods could be created here in each of our communities and new, affordable, attached single-family homes “for sale” should be included in these developments that abut single-family neighborhoods. We must help families who have lost hope of becoming homeowners to achieve that goal. Please move Draft #3 forward as presented. Thank you. Nathalie Samanon 1444 North Orange Grove ave LA,

CA 90046 Spaulding Square HPOZ

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/18/2024 11:22 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: The City analyzed 7 different Options for City Council's consideration. I urge you to select options 4 and 5 out of the seven. Options 4 and 5 would save the smaller duplex neighborhoods along with the R1 neighborhoods and redirect that density to single unit lots on major corridors. These single unit lots are already poised to be surrounded by incentivized larger projects and would be severely harmed to remain as is. Moving the density to areas already surrounded by multifamily buildings such as this is bringing consistency to the major corridors.

Communication from Public

Name: Dan C.

Date Submitted: 11/19/2024 05:12 AM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: The affordable housing project 7556 Woodlake Avenue is NOT suitable for our neighborhood on the following grounds. 1. SAFETY: Nearby Chaminade Highschool has over 1,500 students where personal safety is a major concern. This number does NOT include Chaminade's new sports center proposed at the existing Fields Market location on Woodlake & Saticoy which would add to that number. A community center that would benefit the neighborhood would be a better option! 2. TRAFFIC: There are several existing 4-way stops at both Ingomar & Woodlake and Saticoy & Woodlake that are extremely busy especially during peak time. This presents a MAJOR safety concern in that primarily students and daily commuters utilize these already overloaded intersections daily. 3. PROPERTY VALUES: Will be reduced considerably due to the proposed project where we are already losing our local supermarket to Chaminade's new sports center.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/18/2024 06:15 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I sent this message but they said it was a landline ... I have now spent over 45 minutes trying to figure the correct address. Can you let me know the email or text address or send it to these people. Sorry to bother you... I cannot understand how to do their system ... I want to help but am not succeeding ?? Hello I hope this is the best way to get a message to The Los Angeles PLUM committee that is meeting tomorrow 11/19. I am a resident of Sawtelle and part of the Save Sawtelle community group. I want to urge the committee members to vote on CF21-1230-s5 option 4 and 5 to provide affordable housing to be built on large streets and boulevards while protecting the R2 residents middle class of Sawtelle core community from displacement. We want to offer affordable housing for our community members but not uproot our middleclass members. There is plenty of room for both. Please vote for option 4 and 5 and Save Sawtelle , a place many visit live and thrive. Thank you Kady Hoffman Homeowner, taxpayer, voter.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/18/2024 06:23 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: "I support Draft 3 of the CHIP Ordinance without options in Exhibit D. Protect our single-family neighborhoods!"

Communication from Public

Name: Annelize Bester
Date Submitted: 11/20/2024 07:19 AM
Council File No: 21-1230-S5
Comments for Public Posting: "I support Draft 3 of the CHIP Ordinance without options in Exhibit D. Protect our single-family neighborhoods!"

Communication from Public

Name: Willie Banks

Date Submitted: 11/19/2024 09:53 AM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to protect single-family neighborhoods throughout Los Angeles and in support of Draft #3 of the CHIP/Housing Element Rezoning ordinance without the Exhibit D “options” (Council File 21-1230-S5) that would open single-family neighborhoods to rezoning and redevelopment. The Planning Department, in its report, clearly states that they have identified enough opportunities throughout our city to rezone to meet the State’s mandate for housing without the need to rezone our single-family areas. Here are some facts to consider. 1. The Department of City Planning has already acknowledged that rezoning single-family neighborhoods is not necessary to achieve the City's ambitious housing goals CHIP set out to reach. 2. State law already allows a duplex and two ADUs on each and every residential property. Single-family zones do and will continue to contribute to the housing inventory with thousands of ADUs. 3. An unholy alliance of housing ideologues and greedy corporate investors and developers are collaborating to rezone R1 neighborhoods. Allowing apartment buildings in single-family neighborhoods will not right past wrongs that prevented people from buying homes. Instead, it keeps more people as renters. Individuals need the opportunity to buy single-family homes so they can build generational wealth. Ending single-family zones will take away upward economic mobility from current and future generations of Angelenos. 4. Draft # 3 without Exhibit D options already includes a comprehensive plan for adding housing in all our high resource areas on our commercial corridors. If planned correctly new, vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each of our communities that include new affordable single-family homes for sale along corridors that abut existing single-family neighborhoods. We must help families, who have lost hope of owning their own home, achieve that goal. Please respect the diversity of housing which makes Los Angeles the remarkable city that it is. Please vote to Approve Draft #3 without the options contained In Exhibit D. Respectfully, Willie Banks 720 Lorraine Blvd Los Angeles, CA 90005

Communication from Public

Name: Sharon O'CONNOR
Date Submitted: 11/19/2024 10:28 AM
Council File No: 21-1230-S5
Comments for Public Posting: Protect single family homes. Help!

Communication from Public

Name: Karen Klein

Date Submitted: 11/19/2024 10:37 AM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: We support more affordable housing , but we need to do it in a way that respects all of us. We are writing to urge you to vote to approve Draft #3 of the Housing Element/CHIP ordinance without the seven "options" to open up single-family neighborhoods. Anything that upzones our neighborhoods should happen with we the stakeholders' input through Community Plans and not this way with none of us involved. The Draft has a comprehensive plan for adding housing in all our high-resource areas on our commercial corridors. If planned correctly, new, vibrant neighborhoods could be created here in each of our communities and new, affordable, attached single-family homes "for sale" should be included in these developments that abut single-family neighborhoods. We must help families who have lost hope of becoming homeowners to achieve that goal Most importantly, the LA City Planning Department clearly states it can meet its state housing obligation without opening up single-family neighborhoods. Please move Draft #3 forward as presented. Karen Klein Linda Hunt 1414 North Orange Grove Avenue LA 90046 Spaulding Square HPOZ

Communication from Public

Name: Douglas Segers

Date Submitted: 11/19/2024 02:06 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I'm writing to strongly urge you to approve Draft #3 of the Housing Element/CHIP ordinance as is, without the seven "options" that would rezone single-family neighborhoods. Changes to zoning should come from meaningful conversations with the stakeholders who live in and care for these communities—not from a rushed top-down decision. Notably, the LA City Planning Department has made it clear that the state's housing goals can be met without compromising single-family neighborhoods. Let's focus on smart, thoughtful solutions that respect both our housing needs and our communities. Thank you for considering this. Douglas Segers 1363 N Orange Grove Ave Spaulding Square HPOZ

Communication from Public

Name: Kimberly Hudson

Date Submitted: 11/19/2024 02:09 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: Hello, My name is Kimberly Hudson and member of the California Chapter of the American Descendant of Slavery Advocacy Foundation. I am here in support of agenda items 2, 3, and 4. Regarding Item #2, I urge this committee to adopt the Exhibit D option in the City Planning Department's report, to upzone R1 neighborhoods. Per the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), the 2021-2029 Housing Element found that current zoning regulations in Los Angeles would result in insufficient housing production to meet state mandated Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) goals. Currently, Los Angeles council district eight has seen a sharp decline in commercial space, and a sharp incline in permanent supportive housing developments. Currently there are four within a 2 mile radius, and in close proximity to elementary schools, senior homes, and liquor stores. Without the upzoning of single family residential zones, Los Angeles citizens, especially ADOS and Black American families will bear the brunt of the negative impacts of the density and tourism gentrification that has already began pricing us out of our neighborhoods that have long been in decline due to the immense lack of economic disinvestment. ADOS individuals and families endure more ethnic discrimination than any other group when seeking housing and homelessness services. I urge this committee to vote yes on items 2, 3, and 4. Without the upzoning of R1 neighborhoods, stronger anti-displacement measures, and rent protections our homelessness crisis will increase. Sincerely, Kimberly Hudson
ADOSAF California Chapter

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/15/2024 04:59 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to protect single-family neighborhoods throughout Los Angeles and in support of Draft #3 of the CHIP/Housing Element Rezoning ordinance without the included the Exhibit D "options" (Council File 21-1230-S5) that would open up single-family neighborhoods to needless development. The Planning Department, in its report, clearly states that they have identified enough opportunities throughout our city to rezone to meet the State's mandate for housing without the need to rezone our single-family areas. Here are some facts to consider. 1. The Department of City Planning has already acknowledged that rezoning single-family neighborhoods is not necessary to achieve the housing goals CHIP set out to reach. 2. State law already allows a duplex and two ADUs on each and every residential property. Single-family zones do and will continue to contribute to the housing inventory with thousands of ADUs. 3. An "unholy alliance" of housing-at-any-and-all-costs-any-time-anywhere ideologues using an inflammatory narrative and greedy investor / developers seeking to increase the value of their R1 real estate holdings by deregulating single-family neighborhoods to allow more density are collaborating to re-zone R1. Allowing apartments in single-family neighborhoods will not right the wrongs that in the past prevented people from buying homes. Instead, it keeps more people as renters. People need the opportunity to buy affordable homes so they can build generational wealth. Ending single-family zones will take away upward economic mobility from current and future generations of Angelenos. 4. Draft # 3 without Exhibit D options already includes a comprehensive plan for adding housing in all our high resource areas on our commercial corridors. If planned correctly new, vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each of our communities that include new affordable single-family homes for sale along corridors that abut existing single-family neighborhoods. We must help families, who have lost hope of owning their own home, achieve that goal. You are deciding on an existential issue affecting hundreds of thousands of Angelenos. I ask you to respect the diversity of housing which makes Los Angeles the remarkable city that it is. Please vote to Approve Draft #3 without the options contained In Exhibit D. Best, David Rosenman 536 N Lucerne Blvd. - LA 90004

Communication from Public

Name: Gina Porretti

Date Submitted: 11/16/2024 08:08 AM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I'm writing to address equity and supply considerations as we evaluate policies affecting R-1 neighborhoods and broader city planning. While developer-driven arguments highlight the supposed fairness of densifying R-1 areas, there are critical fairness and supply issues that merit greater attention: Equity & Justice Considerations Protecting Starter Homes: Encouraging high-rise developments in R-1 areas depletes starter home inventory, making it harder for Angelenos to transition from renters to homeowners. Developers often target affordable R-1 properties for demolition, further compounding this issue. Middle-Class Stability: Families have invested their life savings and energy into R-1 neighborhoods. Altering their character without meaningful outreach undermines community trust and stability. Focused Densification: Concentrating new developments along corridors fosters thoughtful, community-driven planning. These areas can blend townhomes, starter homes, and multi-family units while preserving R-1 zones for families. Preserving Multi-Generational Housing: High-rise developments primarily offer studios and one-bedrooms, ignoring the needs of families and multi-generational households. Where are these families supposed to live? Public Transit Alignment: Corridors already align with public transportation, reducing reliance on cars. Densifying R-1 neighborhoods, where transit options are limited, incentivizes car use, undermining sustainable planning goals. Supply Considerations State Mandates: Despite state density mandates, city planning reports confirm sufficient capacity exists along corridors to meet statutory requirements. Corridor Capacity: High-resource areas have ample corridors for densification without compromising R-1 neighborhoods. Affordable Housing Preservation: Existing R-1 neighborhoods provide naturally affordable housing. Replacing them with market-rate units does little to address affordability issues. Adaptive Reuse: Corridors are ideal for adaptive reuse projects, creating more housing without destroying established neighborhoods. R-1 neighborhoods are integral to the city's social fabric, offering stability, family-friendly environments, and opportunities for homeownership. Let's focus densification efforts where they are most effective—along corridors—while safeguarding the vital role of R-1 zones. Thank you for your consideration.

Communication from Public

Name: David Garfinkle
Date Submitted: 11/07/2024 09:48 PM
Council File No: 21-1230-S5
Comments for Public Posting: Single family zoning must remain as is, not converted to commercial or multiple family residential

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/07/2024 11:18 AM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: "I support Draft #3 of the CHIP ordinance without any options from Exhibit D."

Communication from Public

Name: Julie Washenik

Date Submitted: 11/16/2024 05:45 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I SUPPORT Draft #3 of the Housing Element WITHOUT Appendix D options. Density should be placed on our commercial corridors where new vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each high-resource community, while protecting existing single-family neighborhoods, RSO multifamily neighborhoods, HPOZs that are the historic heart of our city, and our vulnerable communities in high fire and coastal zones. There is plenty of capacity in L.A. to meet our housing needs and at the same time preserve a wide range of housing options. All of us will be part of the solution to create affordable housing in L.A. Thank you, Julie

Communication from Public

Name: Reza Birjandi

Date Submitted: 11/17/2024 12:41 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to protect single-family neighborhoods throughout Los Angeles and in support of Draft #3 of the CHIP/Housing Element Rezoning ordinance without including the Exhibit D “options” (Council File 21-1230-S5) that would open up single-family neighborhoods to needless development. The Planning Department, in its report, clearly states that they have identified enough rezoning opportunities throughout our city to meet the State’s mandate for housing without the need to rezone our single-family areas. 1. The Department of City Planning has already acknowledged that rezoning single-family neighborhoods is not necessary to achieve the housing goals CHIP set out to reach. 2. State law already allows a duplex and two ADUs on each and every residential property. Single-family zones do and will continue to contribute to the housing inventory with thousands of ADUs. 3. Allowing apartments in single-family neighborhoods will not right the wrongs that in the past prevented people from buying homes. Instead, it keeps more people as renters. People need the opportunity to buy affordable homes so they can build generational wealth. Renting an apartment in a single family neighborhood doesn't do that. Ending single-family zones will take away upward economic mobility from current and future generations of Angelenos. 4. Draft # 3 without Exhibit D options already includes a comprehensive plan for adding housing in all our high resource areas on our commercial corridors. If planned correctly new, vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each of our communities that include new affordable single-family homes for sale along corridors that abut existing single-family neighborhoods. We must help families, who have lost hope of owning their own home, achieve that goal. I support the Approval of Draft #3 of the CHIP as recommended by the City Planning Commission, without the options contained In Exhibit D. Respectfully, Reza Birjandi 1927 S. Moray Ave San Pedro, CA 90732 -South Shores Neighborhood

Communication from Public

Name: Roger Strull

Date Submitted: 11/17/2024 01:27 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: High density housing should be located on commercial corridors not single family neighborhoods. Thank you. Roger Strull

Communication from Public

Name: Bridget Burns

Date Submitted: 11/18/2024 04:22 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I SUPPORT Draft #3 of the Housing Element WITHOUT Appendix D options. Density should be placed on our commercial corridors where new vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each high-resource community, while protecting existing single-family neighborhoods, RSO multifamily neighborhoods, HPOZs that are the historic heart of our city, and our vulnerable communities in high fire and coastal zones. There is plenty of capacity in L.A. to meet our housing needs and at the same time preserve a wide range of housing options. All of us will be part of the solution to create affordable housing in L.A.

Communication from Public

Name: Dona Heller
Date Submitted: 11/18/2024 04:50 PM
Council File No: 21-1230-S5
Comments for Public Posting: "I support Draft 3 of the CHIP Ordinance without options in Exhibit D. Protect our single-family neighborhoods!"

Communication from Public

Name: Joel King

Date Submitted: 11/18/2024 05:04 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: There are many ways to provide housing in this city without destroying our quiet neighborhoods. There is so many underutilized former retail spaces that should be converted to residential. Many of these spaces are in West LA. Zoning laws are in place for a reason!

Communication from Public

Name: Amy Smith

Date Submitted: 11/17/2024 02:04 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I oppose expanding CHIP builder incentives into R-1 zones. Vancouver has already demonstrated that densifying residential neighborhoods does not make housing more affordable; show me one city where this plan already worked before we undertake some big experiment in LA. This is not good for our community or for the city.

Communication from Public

Name: Ava Evans

Date Submitted: 11/17/2024 02:46 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: "I support Draft #3 of the CHIP Ordinance without any options from Exhibit D"

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/20/2024 02:50 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to protect single-family neighborhoods throughout Los Angeles and in support of Draft #3 of the CHIP/Housing Element Rezoning ordinance without the included the Exhibit D "options" (Council File 21-1230-S5) that would open up single-family neighborhoods to needless development. The Planning Department, in its report, clearly states that they have identified enough opportunities throughout our city to rezone to meet the State's mandate for housing without the need to rezone our single-family areas. Here are some facts to consider. 1. The Department of City Planning has already acknowledged that rezoning single-family neighborhoods is not necessary to achieve the housing goals CHIP set out to reach. 2. State law already allows a duplex and two ADUs on each and every residential property. Single-family zones do and will continue to contribute to the housing inventory with thousands of ADUs. 3. An "unholy alliance" of housing-at-any-and-all-costs-any-time-anywhere ideologues using an inflammatory narrative and greedy investor / developers seeking to increase the value of their R1 real estate holdings by deregulating single-family neighborhoods to allow more density are collaborating to re-zone R1. Allowing apartments in single-family neighborhoods will not right the wrongs that in the past prevented people from buying homes. Instead, it keeps more people as renters. People need the opportunity to buy affordable homes so they can build generational wealth. Ending single-family zones will take away upward economic mobility from current and future generations of Angelenos. 4. Draft # 3 without Exhibit D options already includes a comprehensive plan for adding housing in all our high resource areas on our commercial corridors. If planned correctly new, vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each of our communities that include new affordable single-family homes for sale along corridors that abut existing single-family neighborhoods. We must help families, who have lost hope of owning their own home, achieve that goal. You are deciding on an existential issue affecting hundreds of thousands of Angelenos. I ask you to respect the diversity of housing which makes Los Angeles the remarkable city that it is. The Department of City Planning, the City Planning Commission, the Council's PLUM Committee and the State Housing Board have all approved Draft

#3 without Exhibit D. Please vote to Approve Draft #3 without the options contained In Exhibit D.

Communication from Public

Name: L. King

Date Submitted: 11/20/2024 02:59 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I support Draft #3 of the Housing Element/CHIP Ordinance, as presented by the Planning Department, WITHOUT Exhibit D “options” that would open up single-family neighborhoods to rezoning. The Planning Department in its report clearly states that they have found enough zoning in other areas to meet the State’s mandate for housing without the need to rezone our single-family areas. Our single-family area is a close-knit community of individuals, families, and neighbors who look out for one another to protect our homes and keep our neighborhood safe and secure. Most of us are homeowners who have spent our lives paying for and improving our properties and paying always rising property taxes to do so.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/20/2024 12:48 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I support Draft #3 of the Housing Element CHIP program that preserves R1 communities, and OPPOSE Exhibit D options to upzone R1 neighborhoods.

Communication from Public

Name: Robert M Cherry
Date Submitted: 11/20/2024 09:48 AM
Council File No: 21-1230-S5
Comments for Public Posting: "I support Draft 3 of the CHIP Ordinance without options in Exhibit D. Protect our single-family neighborhoods!"

Communication from Public

Name: Howard Weg

Date Submitted: 11/20/2024 10:13 AM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I support Draft 3 of the CHIP Ordinance without options in Exhibit D. Protect our single-family neighborhoods!

Communication from Public

Name: Mr. Stephen R. Wilson

Date Submitted: 11/18/2024 10:38 AM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to protect single-family neighborhoods throughout Los Angeles and in support of Draft #3 of the CHIP/Housing Element Rezoning ordinance without the Exhibit D “options” (Council File 21-1230-S5) that would open single-family neighborhoods to rezoning and redevelopment. The Planning Department, in its report, clearly states that they have identified enough opportunities throughout our city to rezone to meet the State’s mandate for housing without the need to rezone our single-family areas. Here are some facts to consider. 1. The Department of City Planning has already acknowledged that rezoning single-family neighborhoods is not necessary to achieve the City's ambitious housing goals CHIP set out to reach. 2. State law already allows a duplex and two ADUs on each and every residential property. Single-family zones do and will continue to contribute to the housing inventory with thousands of ADUs. 3. An unholy alliance of housing ideologues and greedy corporate investors and developers are collaborating to rezone R1 neighborhoods. Allowing apartment buildings in single-family neighborhoods will not right past wrongs that prevented people from buying homes. Instead, it keeps more people as renters. Individuals need the opportunity to buy single-family homes so they can build generational wealth. Ending single-family zones will take away upward economic mobility from current and future generations of Angelenos. 4. Draft # 3 without Exhibit D options already includes a comprehensive plan for adding housing in all our high resource areas on our commercial corridors. If planned correctly new, vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each of our communities that include new affordable single-family homes for sale along corridors that abut existing single-family neighborhoods. We must help families, who have lost hope of owning their own home, achieve that goal. Please respect the diversity of housing which makes Los Angeles the remarkable city that it is. Please vote to Approve Draft #3 without the options contained In Exhibit D. Respectfully, Stephen Wilson 117 S Windsor Blvd LA 90004 LA (Add Your Name) (Add Your Address / Block / Neighborhood or Zip Code)

Communication from Public

Name: Peter C. Utas

Date Submitted: 11/18/2024 03:37 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: The City has clearly demonstrated that it can easily meet and far exceed the housing goals assigned to it by the State in the current Regional Housing needs Assessment (RHNA) planning cycle without upzoning single family neighborhoods. A lot by lot analysis reveals that there is capacity far in excess of need. Any assertion to the contrary is false, and those making such assertions are not to be trusted. Upzoning R1 neighborhoods is unnecessary. Why on earth consider adopting a policy with gross negative consequences if it is absolutely unnecessary? It makes no sense at all, from a city planning viewpoint. The City Planning Commission adopted the Planning Dept's recommendations to approve the Housing Element CHIP program draft #3 which preserves R1 neighborhoods without upzoning. This adoption was based on a sound analysis. Housing advocates continue to lobby for Exhibit D. We must question their reasoning and motives. Their lobbying is not based on sound analysis and their misguided demands should be rejected.

Communication from Public

Name: Larry G Kline
Date Submitted: 11/18/2024 09:58 AM
Council File No: 21-1230-S5
Comments for Public Posting: "I support Draft 3 of the CHIP Ordinance without options in Exhibit D. Protect our single-family neighborhoods!"

Communication from Public

Name: arlene Joyce slater

Date Submitted: 11/17/2024 05:57 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I SUPPORT Draft #3 of the Housing Element WITHOUT Appendix D options. Density should be placed on our commercial corridors where new vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each high-resource community, while protecting existing single-family neighborhoods, RSO multifamily neighborhoods, HPOZs that are the historic heart of our city, and our vulnerable communities in high fire and coastal zones. There is plenty of capacity in L.A. to meet our housing needs and at the same time preserve a wide range of housing options. All of us will be part of the solution to create affordable housing in L.A.

Communication from Public

Name: arlene Joyce slater

Date Submitted: 11/17/2024 05:58 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I SUPPORT Draft #3 of the Housing Element WITHOUT Appendix D options. Density should be placed on our commercial corridors where new vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each high-resource community, while protecting existing single-family neighborhoods, RSO multifamily neighborhoods, HPOZs that are the historic heart of our city, and our vulnerable communities in high fire and coastal zones. There is plenty of capacity in L.A. to meet our housing needs and at the same time preserve a wide range of housing options. All of us will be part of the solution to create affordable housing in L.A.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/17/2024 06:44 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: "I support Draft 3 of the CHIP Ordinance without options in Exhibit D. Protect our single-family neighborhoods!"

Communication from Public

Name: Bonnie Rubin

Date Submitted: 11/17/2024 06:48 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: "I support Draft 3 of the CHIP Ordinance WITHOUT options in Exhibit D. Protect our single-family neighborhoods!"

Communication from Public

Name: Natasha Desai

Date Submitted: 11/17/2024 04:44 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I support Draft 3 of the CHIP Ordinance without options in Exhibit D. Protect our single-family neighborhoods.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/20/2024 06:05 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I support Draft 3 of the CHIP Ordinance without options in Exhibit D. Single family neighborhoods should be protected.

Communication from Public

Name: Amy Krivis

Date Submitted: 11/17/2024 03:52 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I support Draft 3 of the CHIP Ordinance without options in Exhibit D. Protect our single-family neighborhoods!

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/17/2024 04:21 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I support Draft 3 of the CHIP Ordinance without options in Exhibit D. Protect our single-family neighborhoods.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/18/2024 02:06 PM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I am writing to protect single-family neighborhoods throughout Los Angeles and in support of Draft #3 of the CHIP/Housing Element Rezoning ordinance without the Exhibit D “options” (Council File 21-1230-S5) that would open single-family neighborhoods to rezoning and redevelopment. The Planning Department, in its report, clearly states that they have identified enough opportunities throughout our city to rezone to meet the State’s mandate for housing without the need to rezone our single-family areas. Here are some facts to consider. 1. The Department of City Planning has already acknowledged that rezoning single-family neighborhoods is not necessary to achieve the City's ambitious housing goals CHIP set out to reach. 2. State law already allows a duplex and two ADUs on each and every residential property. Single-family zones do and will continue to contribute to the housing inventory with thousands of ADUs. 3. An unholy alliance of housing ideologues and greedy corporate investors and developers are collaborating to rezone R1 neighborhoods. Allowing apartment buildings in single-family neighborhoods will not right past wrongs that prevented people from buying homes. Instead, it keeps more people as renters. Individuals need the opportunity to buy single-family homes so they can build generational wealth. Ending single-family zones will take away upward economic mobility from current and future generations of Angelenos. 4. Draft # 3 without Exhibit D options already includes a comprehensive plan for adding housing in all our high resource areas on our commercial corridors. If planned correctly new, vibrant neighborhoods can be created in each of our communities that include new affordable single-family homes for sale along corridors that abut existing single-family neighborhoods. We must help families, who have lost hope of owning their own home, achieve that goal. Please respect the diversity of housing which makes Los Angeles the remarkable city that it is. Please vote to Approve Draft #3 without the options contained In Exhibit D.

Communication from Public

Name: Maggie Torsney-Weir

Date Submitted: 11/18/2024 11:29 AM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I support Draft 3 of the CHIP Ordinance without options in Exhibit D. Protect our single-family neighborhoods!

Communication from Public

Name: Bill clark
Date Submitted: 11/17/2024 03:43 PM
Council File No: 21-1230-S5
Comments for Public Posting: Draft 3 WITHOUT exhibit D

Communication from Public

Name: Amanda Van Dyk
Date Submitted: 11/18/2024 10:07 AM
Council File No: 21-1230-S5
Comments for Public Posting: I support Draft 3 of the CHIP Ordinance without options in Exhibit D. Protect our single-family neighborhoods!

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 11/18/2024 10:09 AM

Council File No: 21-1230-S5

Comments for Public Posting: I support Draft 3 of the CHIP Ordinance without options in Exhibit D. Protect our single-family neighborhoods!