Communication from Public

Name:	Ryan Levey
Date Submitted:	09/30/2023 05:54 PM
Council File No:	21-1230
Comments for Public Posting:	I am appalled with the city & City Council for attempting to change zoning of R1. The Housing Element and Community Plan Updates have plenty of zoning opportunities in commercial corridors, public lands and through Adaptive Reuse to meet state mandates. There is no need to rezone, through overlays or other zoning devices, R1 zones or sensitive, rent stabilized multi-family housing. I strongly oppose the Housing Element's proposed rezoning and densification of R1 and multi-family neighborhoods beyond what SB9 and ADU laws allow. So much talk about the need for more affordable housing, yet you allow tear downs older buildings only to build bigger, more expensive units in the same spot! Stop trying to ruin what so many of us have worked so hard for. There are better, smarter options. I'd also like to know, where's the infrastructure to support all the developement/building? We currently cannot handle what's already here, our infrastructure is crumbling and no one talks about this! I am against this plan. DO BETTER.

Communication from Public

Name:

Date Submitted: 10/02/2023 07:15 AM

Council File No: 21-1230

Comments for Public Posting: The Housing Element and Community Plan Updates have plenty of zoning opportunities in commercial corridors, public lands and through Adaptive Reuse to meet state mandates. There is no need to rezone, through overlays or other zoning devices, R1 zones or sensitive, rent stabilized multi-family housing. I oppose the Housing Element's proposed rezoning and densification of R1 and multi-family neighborhoods beyond what SB9 and ADU laws allow.

Communication from Public

Name:	Peter & Julie Denvir
Date Submitted:	10/02/2023 11:19 AM
Council File No:	21-1230
Comments for Public Posting:	Please read te attached correspondence.

October 2, 2023

Dear LA City Council,

Motion: The Housing Element and Community Plan Updates have plenty of zoning opportunities in commercial corridors, public lands and through Adaptive Reuse to meet state mandates. There is no need to rezone, through overlays or other zoning devices, R1 zones or sensitive, rent stabilized multi-family housing. As stakeholders of The Westchester Playa Neighborhood Council we oppose the Housing Element's proposed rezoning and densification of R1 and multi-family neighborhoods beyond what SB9 and ADU laws allow.

Sincerely,

Peter Denvir

Communication from Public

Name: Jay G.
Date Submitted: 10/02/2023 12:05 PM
Council File No: 21-1230
Comments for Public Posting: I am opposed to the unnecessary upzoning proposed. Approximately 1 in 5 of the families we know here (90025) have left or are planning to leave because of housing. But upzoning will only make the problem worse. Upzoning greatly increases density and predominantly replaces families with gentrified, wealthy singles. Even with a few token “affordable” units the net result is that middle class families leave, gentrifiers move in, and everyone hates the increased density (except the developers). And then there’s the outdated model of doing this near “transit centers.” COVID, Tech and homeless encampments have combined to make places like nearby Century City ghost office centers. And this is the way it should be - why commute by rail if you can work at home? It is simply an outdated fantasy that gentrified singles want to take public transit; why should they change their Uber habits if they can easily afford it? The ones I know work from home and things come to them by traffic-creators Uber and Amazon. The group of Techie singles in the next door house rental even have cups of coffee delivered! In summary, upzoning is a misguided and outdated model that leads to the kind of crowding that drives families away and replaces them with gentrified singles. And doing this near transit is useless as when the gentrifiers leave their apartments they nearly always take Uber.

Communication from Public

Name:	ANAT resnik
Date Submitted:	10/02/2023 04:21 PM
Council File No:	21-1230
Comments for Public Posting:	We oppose the development of any high density housing in Encino. We reside in a R1 zone near the Sepulveda Basin. This area is at risk for fires, and in the event of an evacuation the increase of residents poses a substantial risk for a safe evacuation. Further, there are sufficient vacant lots owned by LA county and the state that could serve as lots for the development of apartment buildings. https://www.propertyshark.com/mason/ca/Los-Angeles-County/Maps We vehement oppose any development in a non-commercial zone and deny that there is the need to develop in Amestoy Estates as there are other areas more suitable for high density housing.

Communication from Public

Name:	Yoanna Binder
Date Submitted:	10/02/2023 06:54 PM
Council File No:	21-1230
Comments for Public Posting:	High density housing in single family residential areas is a mistake in many ways. First, the character and beauty of our neighborhoods will be forever changed. Second, traffic, problems with the infrastructure, overpopulation, and not enough services, will be a real and present danger to our existing communities as they are. High density housing should be reserved for many areas in the valley that are currently vacant and House commercial buildings where there is plenty of space for high-density buildings.