



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 21-1230 - City Planning Number: CPC-2023-7068-CA - Agenda Item Number: 5

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
 Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
 To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org, CPC@lacity.org
 Cc: tess.taylor@gtlnc.org

Wed, Oct 16, 2024 at 3:39 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Greater Toluca Lake

Name: Tess Taylor

Email: tess.taylor@gtlnc.org

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(7) Nay(1) Abstain(3) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 10/15/2024

Type of NC Board Action: For

Impact Information

Date: 10/16/2024

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees, City Planning Commission

Council File Number: 21-1230

City Planning Number: CPC-2023-7068-CA

Agenda Date: 10/15/2024

Item Number: 5

Summary: Resolution to endorse Council adoption of one aspect only of the City of Los Angeles Planning Department Third Draft Proposal of the Housing Element of the General Plan, and the Citywide Housing Incentive Program (CHIP) Ordinance (CPC-2023-7068-CA, ENV-2020-6762-EIR, CF-21-1230). The Greater Toluca Lake Neighborhood Council

supports the exclusion of R1 single family zones from multi-family housing development. GTLNC specifically opposes Exhibit D "options" which would permit such incompatible zoning. The Third Draft identifies sufficient zoning capacity to fulfill the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) state mandate without resorting to such options. This is GTLNC's only endorsement within the current Third Draft Proposal, approved by the City Planning Commission on Thursday, September 26th, 2024. Eighteen (18) days advance notice is a conspicuously inadequate amount of time to read let alone analyze and consider 2,050 pages of materials in the Proposal, even by people with specific expertise in land use and city planning, and its permanent, irreversible and too often negative implications, and much less so by unpaid Neighborhood Council volunteers, none of whom have unlimited time to commit to such tasks, and no qualified staff support whatsoever for such purposes. # # #

Ref:MSG11274192



GTLNC Supports CF 21-1230 Third Draft Proposal Housing Element General Plan CHIP Ordinance.pdf
292K



Greater Toluca Lake Neighborhood Council

Community Impact Statement



To be filed with the Offices of Mayor Karen Bass;
Councilmember Paul Krekorian, Council District 2;
Planning and Land Use Management Committee, Los Angeles City Council;
Los Angeles City Planning Department; and
Council File [21-1230](#) (Housing Element / General Plan / 2021-2029)

Passed at GTLNC Regular Board Meeting on Tuesday, October 15th, 2024

Position: SUPPORT
VOTE: 7 / 1 / 3

Resolution to endorse Council adoption of one aspect only of the City of Los Angeles Planning Department Third Draft Proposal of the Housing Element of the General Plan, and the Citywide Housing Incentive Program (CHIP) Ordinance (CPC-2023-7068-CA, ENV-2020-6762-EIR, CF-21-1230).

The Greater Toluca Lake Neighborhood Council supports the exclusion of R1 single family zones from multi-family housing development. GTLNC specifically opposes Exhibit D “options” which would permit such incompatible zoning. The Third Draft identifies sufficient zoning capacity to fulfill the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) state mandate without resorting to such options.

This is GTLNC’s only endorsement within the current Third Draft Proposal, approved by the City Planning Commission on Thursday, September 26th, 2024. Eighteen (18) days advance notice is a conspicuously inadequate amount of time to read let alone analyze and consider 2,050 pages of materials in the Proposal, even by people with specific expertise in land use and city planning, and its permanent, irreversible and too often negative implications, and much less so by unpaid Neighborhood Council volunteers, none of whom have unlimited time to commit to such tasks, and no qualified staff support whatsoever for such purposes.

###