



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Community Impact Statement - Submission Details

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
To: Clerk.CIS@lacity.org

Wed, Oct 25, 2023 at 9:14 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or rResolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Councils rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Coastal San Pedro

Name: Robin Rudisill

Email: wldrudi@mac.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(6) Nay(3) Abstain(1) Ineligible(0) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 10/16/2023

Type of NC Board Action: Against

Impact Information

Date: 10/26/2023

Update to a Previous Input: No

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 21-1230

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: The Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council opposes the Housing Element's proposed rezoning and densification of single- and multi-family residential neighborhoods beyond what existing laws allow. See attached letter.

Ref:MSG9229300



Letter with resolution opposing housing element's proposed rezoning 10-23-23.pdf
627K



COASTAL SAN PEDRO NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL

Doug Epperhart
President

Dean Pentcheff
Vice President

Sheryl Akerblom
Treasurer

1840 S Gaffey St., Box 34 • San Pedro, CA 90731 • (310) 918-8650
cspnclive@gmail.com

October 23, 2023

To:

Cally.Hardy@lacity.org

Blair.Smith@lacity.org

Erika.Cui@lacity.org

housingelement@lacity.org

Re: **Council File 21-1230**

The following resolution was approved by the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council Board at the October 16, 2023 Monthly Board Meeting:

Motion opposing Housing Element's proposed rezoning of residential neighborhoods

Whereas, the City of Los Angeles, like every California city, is required to update its General Plan Housing Element every 8 years; however, the City's new draft Housing Element identifies zoning for 1.4 million new housing units, when compliance only requires the City to add 255,000 newly zoned housing units;

Whereas, the City proposes to find room for all of these extra housing units in our single and multi-family residential neighborhoods;

Whereas, the Housing Element has plenty of zoning opportunities in commercial corridors, public lands and through Adaptive Reuse to meet state housing density mandates; thus, there is no need to rezone, through overlays or other zoning devices, single- or multi-family residential zones, many with sensitive rent-stabilized ("RSO") housing;

Whereas, San Pedro, sitting as it does at the end of a peninsula, is in a unique position as we have virtually no public transit and it is even worse in the area identified as a high opportunity zone;

Whereas, San Pedro's infrastructure is already stretched and a plan for upgrading/replacing and/or maintaining it must be in place before density is increased;

Whereas, the 2021 American Community survey found that only 0.7% of the people in zip code 90732 use public transit to get to work and 86% of them commute more than 15 minutes; Whereas, to increase density an upgraded transit plan must be in place, and Metro has no plans to improve the public transit system in San Pedro;

Whereas, Gaffey St. and Pacific Ave. are main routes for emergency egress, such as during a Tsunami;

Whereas, according to City Planning the jobs to housing ratio for San Pedro is significantly lower than that for the city as a whole (in 2010 the City was 1.34 while the ratio for San Pedro was only 0.44);

Whereas, adding housing without additional jobs and improved public transit would have adverse environmental impacts; and

Whereas, Western Avenue would seem like a logical place for higher density but traffic there is already impacted and will only get worse as the nearly 800 new units at Ponte Vista get developed and occupied; and furthermore, the City of Rancho Palos Verdes is proposing to locate virtually all of their planned for density along Western avenue abutting San Pedro, adding to the traffic congestion.

Resolved, the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council opposes the Housing Element's proposed rezoning and densification of single- and multi-family residential neighborhoods beyond what existing laws allow.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Douglas Epperhart". The signature is written in a cursive style with a long, sweeping horizontal line extending to the right.

Doug Epperhart, President
On behalf of the Coastal San Pedro Neighborhood Council Board

cc:

Amanda Enriquez amanda.enriquez@lacity.org
Christian Guzman-CD15 christian.l.guzman@lacity.org
Diana Nave diananave@gmail.com
Jason Herring jaherring@usa.net
Raymond Regalado rreg55@hotmail.com
Javier Gonzalez-Camarillo-Land-Use-Chair <javier@studiogarchitecture.net>,
pamela.thornton@lacity.org