

Communication from Public

Name: Edward Lantz

Date Submitted: 08/25/2026 11:28 AM

Council File No: 21-4118-S14

Comments for Public Posting: I am concerned about permitting homeless encampments in Silver Lake, particularly under the 101 overpasses, and especially on Silver Lake Boulevard where I drive past on a daily basis. This is a narrow medium on a high-traffic road. Past encampments there were a major hazard with brush fires plus garbage and people regularly blocking lanes. I've had to swerve on multiple occasions to avoid hitting homeless residents who had to step into the lane to walk around tents and possessions. This space is literally uninhabitable and allowing people to camp there is a huge liability to the city. I like the idea of Los Angeles designating small zones for homeless encampments. Those zones should have running water, portable toilet and shower facilities, security and occasional visits by medical personnel. Perhaps open lots in industrial areas, parks, train yards, or easements can be used for this. It is otherwise inhumane to have people living literally in the street at random locations. There are nonprofits who can build infrastructure for homeless encampments (i.e. Burners without Borders) with the proper variances. I know this is a difficult issue to navigate within our current bureaucracy. A vision statement from the City Council would go a long way towards breaking through this morass of conflicting laws and priorities. But simply allowing a free-for-all is not the solution and demonstrates a complete lack of leadership.

Communication from Public

Name: Deborah Slater

Date Submitted: 08/25/2026 04:04 PM

Council File No: 21-4118-S14

Comments for Public Posting: HSM cares about one marginalized group in his district with disregard to the tax paying stakeholders and businesses in his district who may have voted for him! I never have, and never will vote for him! This RAPID change to the original motion from 2021 is shady as hell. Less than a weeks' notice, with no opportunity to for stakeholders to weigh in. He claims there was outreach to the 8 areas affected but I know NC's were not advised and allowed to weigh in. Another disregard for the had work of these volunteers. The biggest problem with this: it STILL DOESN'T GET PEOPLE HOUSED! And if he would actually make sure they don't cause safety concerns that impede traffic or allow them to have illegal items, like the camp stove which cause the fire under 101, maybe I would understand. What this change says to me is "okay - you've got your stuff. See ya!"