

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Michael mccoey  
**Date Submitted:** 05/08/2022 08:51 PM  
**Council File No:** 22-0158  
**Comments for Public Posting:** No shelters in R1 areas or in any residential area.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Jodi  
**Date Submitted:** 05/08/2022 08:55 PM  
**Council File No:** 22-0158  
**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 05/09/2022 09:27 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a Resident of Los Angeles we are totally opposed to this proposal. This would allow the city to declare the current shelters in Los Feliz permanent, for example, the A Bridge Home on Riverside. This was promised to be a temporary shelter, with the city then replacing it with a community center after 3 years. This arrangement had the backing of the majority of Los Feliz. With that proposal, the city promised increased security which it reneged on. Now the city wants to go back on the original promise and make the shelter permanent with absolute no say from the neighborhood. You want to eliminate us from participating in the conversation regarding what happens in our community, even when it is directly next door to us. This is NOT what city government is supposed to be and we oppose it completely. Please oppose council file 22-0158

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Steve J Parker

**Date Submitted:** 05/09/2022 11:34 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of the City Council to Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 05/07/2022 02:10 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Margaret Venizelos

**Date Submitted:** 05/07/2022 03:09 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** I am writing as a concerned citizen and homeowner 3 blocks from the proposed property that is supposed to be a temporary and permanent facility (hotels) for the homeless. Our Councilman Bob Blumfield has continually put our neighborhood at risk. We had homeless encampments under both of our freeway underpasses. Our complaints went without any action from him for over 2 years. Now he is proposing housing the homeless directly across from Taft High School and a Synagog. How would this be beneficial to the students, parents and congregation in direct contact to these people. We have experienced the blatant drug use, needles on the streets, open sex orgies. Not to be forgotten are these people walking through our neighborhood stealing packages, mail and breaking into our houses. I wonder why our esteemed councilman is once again selling us out? I question whether Mr. Bloomfield would want this in his back yard? How are the students going to be kept safe before and after school with these 2 facilities having homeless people walking around? They are already lingering around Taft at this time. They hover around the bus stops harassing the students. How in the world is this acceptable for 3,000 students on a daily basis. We are also aware that our property values will go down as a result of having to disclose the whereabouts of the facilities. Haven't we put up with enough? This is a blatant attack on our property, school, house of worship, and neighborhood. I am in favor of helping the homeless, however, with all the vacant properties around the Valley why does it have to be on Ventura Blvd? Please reconsider this decision and relocate elsewhere. I am fully opposed to this project and will not stay quiet on the matter. Margaret Venizelos College Acres resident.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Laura  
**Date Submitted:** 05/08/2022 08:43 AM  
**Council File No:** 22-0158  
**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Joanne Yvanek-Garb

**Date Submitted:** 05/09/2022 01:11 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** It would appear to me that housing for the homeless in R-1 zones is replacing our individual residences that we purchased at rates costing a considerable amount of our monthly budget. We have worked very hard for a long time in order to purchase our homes. I am all in favor of building homes for the homeless in areas zoned R-3 or commercial zone so that appropriate services can be provided as well as homes. Also, attending and participating in these services would be a requirement of living in the facility. These services would not be available in a R-1 zone as it would be cost prohibitive.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Stan Onaitis  
**Date Submitted:** 05/09/2022 03:02 PM  
**Council File No:** 22-0158  
**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of the City Council to Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Jeffery Dunaj

**Date Submitted:** 05/09/2022 03:29 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of the City Council to Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 05/09/2022 04:22 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** Vote NO on File 22-0158, schedule for Tuesday May 10th

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Nyla C Arslanian

**Date Submitted:** 05/09/2022 05:48 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** This is being written to express strong opposition to the proposed motion (Council File 22-0158). Homeowners in areas Zoned R-1 are still reeling with the ramification of the recent California vote allowing multiple family construction. To further erode neighborhood with such schemes is not the answer to address the crisis we are facing with the homeless population. Do not pass such a violation of property rights and sanctity of families and neighborhoods.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Len Hochberg

**Date Submitted:** 05/09/2022 06:03 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** Hello, I can appreciate the need to my find housing for people in need. Wouldn't it be better to channel all resources into stopping the problem at its root -- before people become homeless? As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of the City Council to Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158. That will not correct the problem of homelessness; it will only be a temporary stopgap. Len Hochberg  
Woodland Hills

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Phyllis Rabin  
**Date Submitted:** 05/09/2022 07:21 PM  
**Council File No:** 22-0158  
**Comments for Public Posting:** I don't agree that the city should have the power to put homeless shelters wherever they choose. The people should the right to vote on this issue!!!!!!

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Edward Friedman

**Date Submitted:** 05/09/2022 08:31 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request that the members of of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. The language of the motion is misleading, would adversely impact cohesive single family neighborhoods, and avoids having to do the heavy lifting required to address our homelessness crisis. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Noblitt Vikki

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 06:50 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident for over 40 years of Los Angeles, I request the City Council members vote no on council number 22-0158. This is not the best option for the homeless issue. Housing should never be provided in family neighborhoods. There are plenty of vacated buildings in industrial areas as options.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Pam Evans

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 07:34 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** Wording for your opposition can be as simple as: As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of the City Council to Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158. There is no way that you should think that Homeless Shelters should be built in R1 zones, especially with no discussion. It is sad to say this governance style of not providing hearings his a shame. Secondly R1 are already dealing with ADU's and special needs homes of "6" that now can be "12" person. And it is unfair to expect homeowners to take on a burden created by THE CITY'S willingness to pander to votes for low income community members because they have created this system with so many low income community members, and an OUTRAGEOUS HOUSING SHORTAGE. Keep homeless centers near industrial areas where there are jobs, or near the freeways, so there residents can have housing without as much of an outrage. No allowing Homeless centers in R1 or A1 Zones. Vote against this 22-0158. So far this city council, has never taken back the schools that sit vacant all over Los Angeles? Why not? Why are they not being created into senior homes and places to help our communities shift. Takes schools by Eminent Domain, and build low income housing. (not shelters) Prices in Los Angeles are too high.. (caused by your votes to continue the eviction moratorium) start building homes and clear our side walks so we can walk safely.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Ann Gad  
**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 07:41 AM  
**Council File No:** 22-0158  
**Comments for Public Posting:** I request a NO vote on 22-0158!!!!!!

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Steven Alper

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 07:56 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** I SUPPORT council file Council File 22-0158 I am a property owner in Tract 6450, otherwise known as Hollywoodland. The Hollywoodland Homeowners Association (HHA) does NOT speak for me in their opposition to this much needed legislation. In fact the HHA represents only 10% of tract residents and any opposition they present should be weighed appropriately. Steven Alper

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Jan Tognozzi

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 08:10 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of LA County I request the members of city council to vote No on 22-0158, please Oppose!

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Edith and Christian Frere

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 08:15 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** The fact that this is coming up before the council with such little transparency, dissimulating the actual facts, is not only disappointing but dishonest. There is no reason to impose homeless housing in an R-1 zone, which is what this motion appears to authorize, when there is much available space in areas zoned for multi-unit occupancy. Do the councilmembers who are proposing this measure have no consideration for those who have worked hard to be able to afford a home? Do they want to eliminate public notification prior to building homeless shelters, eliminate CEQA (environmental studies), eliminate Community Impact Statements and discussion? We are opposed to this measure and ask the Council to vote NO.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 08:17 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** Opposition to CF22-0158 (by-right approval of homeless shelters)  
The homeless crisis in Los Angeles is real. It is a humanitarian crisis that exceeds what should have been allowed to happen and continue. We the people voted for and PAID FOR WITH OUR HARD EARNED TAX DOLLARS, BILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN PROP HHH. You have squandered that money and now want us to continue to be burdened by the homeless crisis by this motion. Vote NO on this motion. Find a different solution.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 08:36 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a lifelong resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request that the members of the City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please OPPOSE Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Steven Alper

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 08:40 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** Comments from the Hollywoodland Homeowners Association (HHA) indicate that they represent 580 properties. This is not true. While the number of properties in Tract 6450 is approximately 580, the actual membership of the Hollywoodland Homeowners Association is closer 10% of that number. There is no acknowledgement or acquiescence of HHA representation by the non-member majority (90%). In fact, other residents, like myself refuse to align with the HHA's sustained support of racially biased institutions and legislation, or its opposition to legislation that might mitigate that bias. Indeed, when the representatives of the HHA state to council that they represent 580 properties, there might be a violation of California Penal Code 115 PC - Lying to public officials.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Priscilla

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 08:40 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of LA I would like to request the members of the City Council vote no on Council File 22-1058. Please oppose Council File 22-1058.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Chasity Escobar  
**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 08:47 AM  
**Council File No:** 22-0158  
**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of the City Council to Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 09:04 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** request the members of the City Council to Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Emma

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 09:10 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** OPPOSE 22-0158.....OPPOSE...OPPOSE..OPPOSE! Raman continues her war on single family neighborhoods as she herself lives in one. She supports state bills that will destroy them, including the unconstitutional SB10 which gives city councils the power to override THE PEOPLE'S land use votes. She has to go. We all want housing and more shelters for the unhoused but this is NOT the way to do it. Ron Galperin in his HHH Failure Report strongly recommended repurposing unused buildings, hotels, etc. (AHF has been doing this successfully for years), and more shelters, it will be faster and more economical. Mayor Garcetti reiterated this in his State of the City speech (for the first time). Raman needs to get with the program.. Instead, she wants to carve into single family neighborhoods. She refuses to consider looking into the many unused buildings in her district and told The Planning Report she does not believe in sheltering, it's not housing. She'd rather the unhoused die on our streets waiting for housing to be built and now she's desperately going after residential neighborhoods, as if, there are no other places to build..How about next to her house first, where she has two young children as many of us do.. This is not a transparent, open method to change zoning so that homeless shelters can be built anywhere in the city. YOU ARE TRYING TO SNEAK THIS BY. ALSO.. BY RIGHT? ...IN OUR NEIGHBORHOODS? WHERE WE ARE RAISING CHILDREN?...INVESTED OUR LIVES?... YOU'VE GOT TO BE KIDDING. STREAMLINING?...NO CEQA..NO ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES?? SO, the formerly unhoused should live unsafely on top of active EQ faults, or building sites that have dangerous, toxic material underneath? The formerly UNHOUSED don't deserve safe, healthy housing? Wow...how caring of you. It is the worst idea by someone who is inept to tackle the problems she thought she could tackle, has no creativity or vision, but is so desperate she is going after Los Angeles single family neighborhoods, and if she were involved in the huge opposition to the SB9 and SB10, she would KNOW many of those single family communities, opposed to SB9, SB10, and other state bills that want to destroy their neighborhoods, fighting for their lives, and their neighborhoods will fight her too, such as the working class ethnic communities across L.A., and the South LA communities of color, who have invested in their

homes, their generational wealth, and their "EQUITY" fighting to save their neighborhoods from state bills destroying them, and now her too. STICK TO THE BOULEVARDS, PUBLICLY OWNED PROPERTIES, INDUSTRIAL ZONES, REPURPOSE OLDER UNUSED BUILDING and HOTELS.. AND KEEP OUT OF OUR SINGLE FAMILY NEIGHBORHOODS."

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 09:15 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Henry T Rice

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 09:15 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of the City Council to Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Katalin Nemeth  
**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 09:16 AM  
**Council File No:** 22-0158  
**Comments for Public Posting:** I don't want permanent housing for homeless in residential neighborhood.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Andrew Rosen

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 09:23 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of the City Council to Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158. I feel strongly that allowing the build of homeless shelters in any area of the city, including in residential (R1) zones is inappropriate. There's already enough crime in our residential neighborhood that I strongly oppose this and will vote against anyone that allows this to happen and will voice my concerns to family and friends that are in multiple respective districts.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Perla F Muhlstein  
**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 09:23 AM  
**Council File No:** 22-0158  
**Comments for Public Posting:** I vote NO I don't want any homeless on the streets near our homes they need mental help ASAP!!!

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Stella Rivera

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 11:31 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Linda Kouyghadosh  
**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 11:46 AM  
**Council File No:** 22-0158  
**Comments for Public Posting:** As a long time resident of the county of Los Angeles, I strongly oppose Counsel file 22-1058. Please vote NO on it.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Therese Li

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 12:19 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Pati Moser

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 12:35 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a 72 year old resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of the City Council to Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Pati Moser

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 12:37 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a 72 year old resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of the City Council to Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Jeffrey Grunfeld  
**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 12:43 PM  
**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** Our family is 100% opposed to this pending bill/motion. It will do very little to diminish the homeless population and based upon history, will only aggravate the problem. Los Angeles has been expanding its annual allocation to solve the homeless problem. And yet, the homeless population continues to grow and grow. We have small resident housing set up along the freeway near Laurel Canyon and Victory. Now, small tent encampments are likewise growing alongside the perimeter. Is it compassionate to blight our neighborhoods? Is it compassionate to allow fecal matter and debris to litter our streets and affect the safety of our neighborhoods and families. I have friends that live at 4601 Coldwater Canyon, a condominium project in Studio City. On a daily/weekly basis, they have experienced a spike in thefts from their "secured" garage. In the alleyway behind their building, they have seen needles and used condoms. It is a result of the homeless population that moves in and out from under the freeway and the offramps. Despite all of the public housing and homeless shelters in the downtown LA area, the homeless problem continues to grow and grow. Despite the efforts of the Hollywood Presbyterian Church just north of Hollywood Blvd, the homeless problem continues to grow there as well. The problem repeats itself and the city's solutions and increased funding are not working. Your proposal apart from the immediate costs will negatively impact our neighborhoods, the safety of our families, and the value of our homes. We too are deserving of compassion and common sense.  
Jeff Grunfeld

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Scott Silverstein

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 12:46 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** The facilities created for interim housing were expedited due to a pandemic. They were placed without regard for those that live in the area. When the pandemic emergency wanes, the temporary facilities should also wane as business are again hiring and jobs are plentiful. There comes a time when we need to get back to a sense of normalcy and people will again have to take responsibility for their actions. Those that are incapable of taking care of themselves due to mental illness should be in a facility that provides long term care. The community should decide the future of the facilities, not a politician.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Jim Krantz

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 12:54 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** Residential areas are for the individuals and families who purchased and paid for their homes. Residential areas need to be designated for homeowners and renters who elect to live in particular areas based on their desire to be safe. With the rampant crime that is extending into our area by people who do not live in our neighborhood. It is increasing daily, causing property damage and inviting threatening situations to those that purchased their homes. Temporary housing has no place in a neighborhood unless it is approved by the neighbors who live there, not by elected officials.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** David Adatto

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 12:54 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Moshe Salem

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 12:58 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Vito Rubini

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 01:08 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Susan Krantz

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 01:12 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158. Homeless shelters have no place in neighborhoods. We experience vandalism, threats and physical violence against residents from the homeless from those with mental illness or who are drug abusers, with no recourse for justice. Build these shelters next door to you. We will all support that as your choice. Please respect our choice and desire to be able to walk our neighborhood without fear. You have options for housing. Keep safety the priority for citizens before you make your decision.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Andres Boulton  
**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 10:38 AM  
**Council File No:** 22-0158  
**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Bill

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 10:49 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** OPPOSE 22-0158!!! Please OPPOSE 22-0158... This is not a transparent and open method to change zoning so that homeless shelters can be built anywhere in the city, by right, no community input, no environmental testing, streamlining projects..basically willing to house the unhoused in potentially unsafe, unhealthy housing. There are many other ways to get housing for the homeless other than carving into and destroying single family neighborhoods. AND THEY MAY BE PERMANENT? Seriously? This is more of Nithya Raman's war on single family communities. It will cause further mistrust of our city officials by property owners and renters (VOTERS) of Los Angeles in single family neighborhoods. Enacting this is going to create backlash against the City, and against efforts to house the homeless..and LITIGATION. There are plenty of Boulevards, Public Owned Properties, Industrial Zones, Many Older Unused Buildings that can be REPURPOSED.. Carving into single family communities should never happen, but should be a last ditch effort, when all else has failed. Nithya Raman and her collaborators have not done nearly enough, have not worked hard enough, have not tried EVERYTHING, that this can be considered a last ditch effort. THIS is just EASIER, for LAZY Councilmembers with NO VISION.. The CITY created the worst homeless crisis in the country, selling us out to developers building nothing but luxury housing, destroying whole affordable neighborhoods, and thousands of affordable units and RSOs, tossing people to the streets without blinking an eye, who many times appeal YOUR approvals for luxury projects or hotels, wiping out their buidlings, homes and neighborhoods, appealing YOUR decisions and all appeals are always DENIED, causing massive displacement and gentrification, and now Angelenos are supposed to pay for this HUMANITARIN CRISIS and the CRIMES of a DEVELOPER CORRUPT CITY COUNCIL. Single Family Neighborhoods are already getting beaten up by State Bills like SB9 and SB10, that L.A. City Council voted to oppose, but Raman supported, and The Housing Element.. Enough is Enough. KEEP OUT OF SINGLE FAMILY NEIGHBORHOODS.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Assata Umoja  
**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 10:49 AM  
**Council File No:** 22-0158  
**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident organization of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Drew

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 09:56 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a long-time resident renter in CD5 , I would like to request the members of City Council Vote YES on Council File 22-0158. Over the past several years, I have been witness to how difficult it can be amongst people I know to even make rent month to month. Not owning a home in this city puts me too on the precariousness slippery slope towards homelessness. One missed paycheck, it could happen to me. I urge the Council to help alleviate the burden of homelessness on my unhoused neighbors, support shelter construction, and approve Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Brad S Kane

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 09:58 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** Opposition to Council File 22-0158 Preserve Public Participation  
Dear Honorable City Council Members: The South Carthay Neighborhood Association (“SCNA”) strongly opposes Council File 22-0158, which will grant permission to build homeless shelters in any area of the city, including in R1 zones as "by right" projects. Unfortunately, this major change to our democratic process is being made without adequate public comment or notice. In particular, the motion is intended to affect R-1 properties without mentioning R-1 properties, but instead says: LAMC to allow "shelters for the homeless" and other forms of interim housing to be established and operated on properties located outside of R3, RAS3, R4, RAS4, RS, C2, C4, CS, CM, MI, M2, and M3 zones during a declared shelter crisis. The motion also allows the city to declare current shelters they previously promised would be temporary, as now permanent. Worse, this motion uses the terms such as: "streamline the administrative approval" and "reducing or eliminating certain objective zoning requirements, revising existing performance standards". These bureaucratic objectives do not justify eliminating public notification prior to building homeless shelters, eliminate CEQA (environmental studies), eliminate Community Impact Statements and discussion. In short, our ability to participate in the conversations regarding what happens in our community, even when it is directly next door to us, will be eliminated. Sincerely,  
Brad S. Kane, Esq. President South Carthay Neighborhood Association



**2021-2022 TERM**

**PRESIDENT**

Brad Kane

**VICE PRESIDENT**

Carl Sunshine

**TREASURER**

Edward Friedman

**SECRETARY**

Ron Sokoloff

**EVENTS**

Kelly DeLaat

**COMMUNICATION & MEMBERSHIP**

Chuck Marquart

**SAFETY & SECURITY**

Interim Lisa Kaye/Vacant

**URBAN AFFAIRS & HISTORIC REGISTRY CHAIR**

Walter Dominguez

**P.I.C.O. NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL AREA REPRESENTATIVE**

Lisa Kaye

May 9, 2022

Illissa Gold, President  
Los Angeles City Council  
City Hall  
200 N. Spring Street  
Los Angeles, California 90012

Re: Opposition to Council File 22-0158  
Preserve Public Participation

Dear Honorable City Council Members:

The South Carthay Neighborhood Association ("SCNA") strongly opposes Council File 22-0158, which will grant permission to build homeless shelters in any area of the city, including in R1 zones as "by right" projects. Unfortunately, this major change to our democratic process is being made without adequate public comment or notice. In particular, the motion is intended to affect R-1 properties without mentioning R-1 properties, but instead says:

LAMC to allow "shelters for the homeless" and other forms of interim housing to be established and operated on properties located outside of R3, RAS3, R4, RAS4, RS, C2, C4, CS, CM, M1, M2, and M3 zones during a declared shelter crisis.

The motion also allows the city to declare current shelters they previously promised would be temporary, as now permanent. Worse, this motion uses the terms such as: "streamline the administrative approval" and "reducing or eliminating certain objective zoning requirements, revising existing performance standards". These bureaucratic objectives do not justify eliminating public notification prior to building homeless shelters, eliminate CEQA (environmental studies), eliminate Community Impact Statements and discussion. In short, our ability to participate in the conversations regarding what happens in our community, even when it is directly next door to us, will be eliminated.

Sincerely,

Brad S. Kane, Esq.

President

South Carthay Neighborhood Association

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Tosca Tabak

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 10:11 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 09:41 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** SHERMAN

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 09:49 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** Our status of a Sanctuary State has caused these problems of having been overrun by homeless people. I do not believe for one second all of the homeless here were actually residents of Los Angeles and fell on hard times. They come here from all over for the free money and weather that our incompetent elected officials continue to welcome them with open arms. We have a water shortage, fuel shortage, food shortage. traffic issues and the rise in CRIME. Our neighborhoods are not safe as it is with these mentally ill and drug addicted homeless wandering around. And there are also criminals hiding among them such as a prison escapee from Tennessee (who is from Florida) hiding right here in Studio City. He was arrested for a felony here and once he serves his time, he will be sent back to Tennessee. WE ARE THE TAX PAYING PEOPLE, NOT THEM!! YET YOU CATER TO THEM MORE THAN YOU DO TO THE HARD WORKING TAX PAYING TRUE RESIDENTS OF LOS ANGELS. It is no surprise that Bonin and Raman wrote this. With the new redistricting we got stuck with Raman that we did NOT vote for as she would have never been elected if we were able to vote. I urge you to vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Thank goodness elections are coming up, so Bonin chickened out to run again as he knows he wouldn't win. And Raman, enjoy the rest of your term because this will be your last. Is there anyone that has a brain on the City Council?? We are watching...

## Communication from Public

**Name:** LW

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 09:17 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council vote NO on Council file 22-0158. Please oppose Council File 22-0158

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Colleen Magtoto  
**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 09:18 AM  
**Council File No:** 22-0158  
**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Victor Reuven

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 09:20 AM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Henry T Rice  
**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 09:21 AM  
**Council File No:** 22-0158  
**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of the City Council to Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 03:19 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** I oppose this is a terrible idea

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Andrea Baly

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 08:00 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** This is outrageous! The community must be consulted before putting homeless housing in our residential neighborhoods .As a taxpayer I have the right to be consulted before this is imposed on me and my community. The rights of the homeless do not outweigh the rights of the taxpayers and homeowners.

## Communication from Public

**Name:**

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 04:08 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Loretta Kaye

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 05:43 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** I am against all permanent or any housing for homeless Put them to work or elsewhere Like Amsterdam did

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Rena  
**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 05:57 PM  
**Council File No:** 22-0158  
**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** natalie brandon  
**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 06:31 PM  
**Council File No:** 22-0158  
**Comments for Public Posting:** as a homeowner paying los angeles city property taxes i want you  
tp vote NO on 22-0158. Thank YOU.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** Benjamin Shahrabani

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 06:44 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** Haven't any of you learned yet that these shelters - whatever their form - attract squalor and crime? Every place, every state. It's a foregone conclusion they do not belong in residential communities. This is Mike Bonin raising a finger to the community on his way out.

## Communication from Public

**Name:** karen reuven

**Date Submitted:** 05/09/2022 11:02 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As a resident of Los Angeles, I would like to request the members of City Council Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. Please Oppose Council File 22-0158. Thank you

## Communication from Public

**Name:** GINA PHEARSON

**Date Submitted:** 05/10/2022 01:52 PM

**Council File No:** 22-0158

**Comments for Public Posting:** As an owner and resident of a RA-1 zoned property in Los Angeles, I urge the members of the City Council to Vote NO on Council File 22-0158. A by-right project doesn't require discretionary review by Los Angeles City Planning and can proceed directly to the Department of Building and Safety to request a building permit. There are many viewpoints and ripple effects to consider, something which this proposed procedure ignores. Just because a project meets all the codes doesn't mean it should proceed, nor should it be allowed to be sped up to avoid public debate. This illusion of space that seems to be available ignores the property rights of adjacent owners and those that appreciate these open spaces. Seems to me if the city, and the developers eager to build in these space, have their way we'll all be living in an urban footprint before to long, and what a shame that will be. I thought this city was founded on the openness of the sky and the promise that we were different from those closed in spaces of the east.