



T 510.836.4200
F 510.836.4205

1939 Harrison Street, Ste. 150
Oakland, CA 94612

www.lozeaudrury.com
victoria@lozeaudrury.com

November 1, 2022

Via E-mail and Online Submission Form

Marqueece Harris-Dawson, Chair
Bob Blumenfield, Councilmember
John S. Lee, Councilmember
Monica Rodriguez, Councilmember
Planning and Land Use Management Committee
City of Los Angeles
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Oliver Netburn, City Planner
City of Los Angeles
201 N Figueroa St,
Los Angeles, CA 90012
oliver.netburn@lacity.org

Candy Rosales, Legislative Assistant
Planning and Land Use Management Committee
City of Los Angeles
200 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90012
clerk.plumcommittee@lacity.org

Re: Comment on Sustainable Communities Environmental Assessment, 1060 La Cienega Boulevard Mixed Use Project (DIR-2022-2279-TOC-SPR-VHCA; ENV-2022-2280-EAF; SCH 2022090143); PLUM Committee Meeting Agenda Item No. 15

Dear Chair Harris-Dawson, Councilmembers Blumenfield, Lee, Rodriguez, Ms. Rosales, and Mr. Netburn:

I am writing on behalf of Supporters Alliance for Environmental Responsibility ("SAFER") regarding the Sustainable Communities Environmental Assessment ("SCEA") prepared for the 1050 La Cienega Boulevard Mixed Use Project (DIR-2022-2279-TOC-SPR-VHCA; ENV-2022-2280-EAF; SCH 2022090143), including all actions related or referring to the proposed construction of a mixed-use development consisting of 290 apartment units, 7,500 square feet of commercial space, and approximately 300,000 square feet of total floor area located at 1022 – 1066 South La Cienega Boulevard in the City of Los Angeles ("Project").

After reviewing the SCEA, we conclude the SCEA fails as an informational document and fails to impose all feasible mitigation measures to reduce the Project's impacts. Therefore, we request that the City of Los Angeles ("City") prepare an Environmental Impact Report

(“EIR”) for the Project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”), Public Resources Code section 21000, et seq.

Pursuant to California Public Resources Code Section 21155, a SCEA may only be used if the proposed project is consistent with the applicable policies specified for the project area in either a sustainable communities strategy or an alternative planning strategy, for which the California Air Resources Board (CARB) has accepted a metropolitan planning organization’s determination that the sustainable communities strategy or the alternative planning strategy would, if implemented, achieve the greenhouse gas emission reduction targets established by CARB.

Pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21155.2(b)(2), the Project must have no significant unmitigated environmental impacts.

Pursuant to Public Resources Code section 21155.2(a), the Project must impose all mitigation measures required by the SCS EIR.

We reserve the right to supplement these comments, including but not limited to at public hearings concerning the Project. *Galante Vineyards v. Monterey Peninsula Water Management Dist.*, 60 Cal. App. 4th 1109, 1121 (1997).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Victoria Yundt".

Victoria Yundt
LOZEAU | DRURY LLP