

Communication from Public

Name: David Carrera

Date Submitted: 12/05/2022 09:35 AM

Council File No: 22-1462

Comments for Public Posting: I submitted my appeal of CPC-2019-1929-ZC-HD-MCUP-SPP-SPR(6450 Sunset Blvd.) on 11/22. A Council File (22-1462) was created on that same day; however, the Council File references a document received from Planning notifying the City Clerk of my appeal, but which is dated 11/23. How was a Council File created prior to the City Clerk receiving notice from the Planning Department of my appeal? Then, after formally receiving notice from the Planning Department of my appeal on 11/23, the Clerk sent notice for a public hearing by the full Council on that same day. This notice, and the upcoming hearing on 12/6, is in violation of Council Rule 17. Rule 17 states that, “[t]he Chair of a Committee, with the approval of the President, may waive consideration of any item pending in that Committee.” There is no record of the Chairperson of the PLUM Committee waiving consideration of my appeal on or before the notice for the full Council hearing was sent. Under what authority did the City Clerk have to waiver consideration of my appeal before PLUM? As of 11/23, without the Council President or Committee Chair’s approval, the City Clerk had no right to schedule my appeal before the full Council. In fact, the Council File indicates that the PLUM Committee only waived consideration on 11/30. Therefore, the Council hearing scheduling for 12/6 is in violation of the Council Rules and must be postponed. Failing to do so puts the city in violation of its own rules and subject to litigation.