



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

---

## Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 23-0600-S121

---

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>  
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>  
To: philipa474@gmail.com, Clerk.CIS@lacity.org

Wed, Aug 20, 2025 at 1:11 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the to Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at [empowerla@lacity.org](mailto:empowerla@lacity.org).

\*\*\*\*\* This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. \*\*\*\*\*

### Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Rampart Village

Name: Philip Armstrong

Email: [philipa474@gmail.com](mailto:philipa474@gmail.com)

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(7) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(4) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 08/19/2025

Type of NC Board Action: For

### Impact Information

Date: 08/20/2025

Update to a Previous Input: Yes

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 23-0600-S121

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date:

Item Number:

Summary: In Council File 23-0600-S121, the City Administrative Officer (CAO) and Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT) provided a joint report, dated April 11, 2025, relative to an Independent Evaluation of the Vision Zero Program and a revised LADOT Vision Zero Evaluation and Safety Plan. Based on the findings in the two evaluations, this joint report outlined 14 recommendations by the CAO and LADOT to relaunch the Vision Zero Program with a more deliberate and collaborative approach. In addition to lending our support to the City Council's adoption of those 14 recommendations, the Rampart Village Neighborhood Council (RVNC) wishes to emphasize our support for the City Council's adoption of the CAO's and LADOT's recommendations #3, #4, #5, and #12 as described in our community

impact statement. Therefore, in consideration of this information, the RVNC supports the City Council's adoption of the 14 recommendations in the CAO and LADOT joint report, dated April 11, 2025. [The versions of this community impact statement submitted earlier today identified Kahlinah Garrett rather than Mujibar Badal as seconding the motion or did not indicate the council file number. ]

---

### 3 attachments

 **Narrative Supplemental Report.pdf**  
42K

 **TIMS\_Crash\_Details\_CASEID\_9732987\_2025\_05\_13.pdf**  
1944K

 **Community Impact Statement for CF 23-0600-S121.pdf**  
526K



Philip Armstrong, President | Ronnie Reece, Vice President  
Lara Morrison, Treasurer | Mohammad Sufian, Secretary  
Quazi Huda, Community Interest Rep | Jennifer Quinones, At-Large Rep  
Kahlinah Garrett, Resident Tenants Rep | Amy Lee, Student Youth Rep  
Gaines Newborn, Business Rep | Mujibar Badal, At-Large Rep  
Shafi Ahmed, Community Based Organization Rep



## **Community Impact Statement for Council File: 23-0600-S121 Vision Zero Program / Independent Evaluation / Los Angeles Department of Transportation Evaluation and Safety Plan**

August 19, 2025

Honorable Members of the Los Angeles City Council,

In Council File [23-0600-S121](#), the City Administrative Officer (CAO) and Los Angeles Department of Transportation (LADOT) provided a [joint report](#), dated April 11, 2025, relative to an Independent Evaluation of the Vision Zero Program and a revised LADOT Vision Zero Evaluation and Safety Plan. Based on the findings in the two evaluations, this joint report outlined 14 recommendations by the CAO and LADOT to relaunch the Vision Zero Program with a more deliberate and collaborative approach. In addition to lending our support to the City Council's adoption of those 14 recommendations, the Rampart Village Neighborhood Council (RVNC) wishes to emphasize our support for the City Council's adoption of the CAO's and LADOT's recommendations #3, #4, #5, and #12 for the following reasons.

**Recommendation #3, traffic enforcement strategies to improve compliance with traffic safety laws, and an implementation plan that prioritizes compliance on the streets in the City of Los Angeles with the highest rates of crashes that result in severe injury and death.**

On page 8 of the joint report, describing the KPMG's Independent Evaluation, the report states: "Since speeding is the most significant factor that determines the severity of any crash, and significantly increases the risk of death to pedestrians and bicyclists who are more physically vulnerable during a crash, the enforcement of traffic safety laws that reduce speeding must be prioritized on streets with the highest rate of traffic fatalities and serious injuries. Especially on [High Injury Network] HIN streets, illegal speeding and moving violations that contribute to collision characteristics must be adequately enforced and prioritized over other moving violations that do not directly reduce the frequency and severity of crashes. This is in line with the City's goals to limit pretextual stops, reduce the interaction between drivers and armed officers, and focus limited resources on driving behavior that has the highest safety consequences."

**Recommendation #4, improving data collection and reporting for all types of collisions, including near-misses, to better understand the volume of crashes and specific road design interventions needed to advance Vision Zero.**

On page 11 of the joint report, the report states: “Accurate and consistent data collection is required to effectively measure the impact and direct enforcement activity. In 2021, the [Los Angeles Police Department] LAPD stopped collecting and analyzing minor crash data. The LAPD is required to file reports when a traffic collision involves one or more of the following: fatality, suspected serious injury, hit-and-run injury, City property involved with possible City liability, and driving under the influence.”

On June 17, 2025, Philip Armstrong spoke with Officer Jonathan Maldonado (ID Number 37398) at the LAPD Central Traffic Detective Desk regarding Report No. 24-02-12449 (Transportation Injury Mapping System Case ID 9732987; copy attached) for a collision between a Metro bus and a bicyclist that he observed on Vermont Avenue near Beverly Boulevard on July 30, 2024. On June 24, 2025, Officer Maldonado told Philip that he was filing a Narrative/Supplemental report (copy attached), based on Philip’s statement, that includes a change request to the primary collision factor. He said the reason they took the initial report in this case is that LAPD has a contract with Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority. The officers who took this report are not investigators, he said, and the only narrative in the report will be Philip’s statement.

In light of Philip’s experience, we agree with KPMG’s recommendation as reported on page 11 of the joint report: “that LAPD improve the crash data collection and reporting processes so that the crash data is complete and available for analysis. With updated crash data, the LAPD and LADOT could re-prioritize enforcement activities and the implementation of Vision Zero projects, respectively, in the areas with the highest need. It is recommended that data collection and reporting be improved for all types of collisions, as well as near-misses, which will allow LADOT to identify contributing factors to severe and fatal crashes and appropriate mitigation measures. While the Safety Study used available data to develop collision profiles and pair them with appropriate countermeasures, this more robust data moving forward will better inform decision-making and design.”

**Recommendation #5, evaluation of the City’s previous red light camera program, including the potential benefits of red light camera enforcement and an analysis of the concerns that caused City Council to end the City’s red light camera enforcement programs, and any required legislative adjustments to effectively utilize photo red light cameras.**

Regarding the Photo Red Light Program, on page 11 the joint report states: “Los Angeles was one of many cities to implement photo red light camera programs in the early 2000s, and in 2010, both the National Traffic Safety Administration and the National Safety Council reported the benefits of these programs, noting a 30 percent reduction in collisions and a 58 percent reduction in red light violations at intersections with cameras.”

**Recommendation #12, implementation and funding strategy for the use of automated speed enforcement in accordance with direction in Council File [23-1168](#).**

On page 10 of the joint report, the report states: “On October 13, 2023, the Governor approved AB 645, which will allow the City (and five other cities) to implement a speed camera pilot program to capture a vehicle’s license if the vehicle speed exceeds the speed limit by 11 miles per hour or more and allow the City to impose civil penalties. Based on the City’s population, the City is allowed to install 125 systems, which may be in operation for five years, or until January 1, 2032, whichever date is sooner. LADOT is currently leading this effort (C.F. 23-1168).”

According to the [motion](#) in Council File 23-1168: “In 2022, 312 people were killed in car crashes in the City. These deaths predominantly impact people walking, people biking, people of color, and people from low-income communities. This alarming statistic marked the highest rate of such incidents in over two decades, with car crashes now the leading cause of death for people under thirty in Los Angeles County. Unsafe speed is the primary factor that determines the severity of a crash, and just 5 miles per hour can be the difference between injury and death.”

On pages 2 and 3 of the [LADOT report](#), dated March 12, 2024, relative to the Speed Safety System Pilot Program to comply with Assembly Bill 645, the report states: “According to the National Transportation Safety Board, speeding accounts for nearly a third of all traffic fatalities, and is the primary factor that determines the severity of a crash. A pedestrian hit by a vehicle going 20 mph has a 90 percent chance of survival. The likelihood of surviving a crash at 40 mph drops to 20 percent. Eight out of ten people hit by a car going 40 mph or higher will die from their injuries. Reducing speeding is the single most effective way to reduce traffic fatalities.” Furthermore, the LADOT report states: “By issuing low-cost tickets every time any vehicle violates the speed limit at a location in the program, rather than issuing high-cost tickets to occasional speeding vehicles, speed safety systems rely on consistent accountability rather than sporadic penalty to improve driver behavior. In the first year of New York City's recently expanded program, only about 20 percent of drivers who received a ticket through the speed safety camera program received a second ticket, and citations overall have dropped by 30 percent, indicating long-lasting behavior change.”

Therefore, in consideration of this information, the RVNC supports the City Council’s adoption of the 14 recommendations in the CAO and LADOT joint report, dated April 11, 2025.

In service,  
Rampart Village Neighborhood Council

PRESENTED BY: Lara Morrison  
SECONDED BY: Mujibar Badal  
YES: 7 NO: 0 ABSTAIN: 0 ABSENT: 0 INELIGIBLE: 4  
ON THIS DATE: August 19, 2025

Attachments:

- Transportation Injury Mapping System Case ID 9732987
- Narrative/Supplemental report, June 24, 2025

CC:

Matthew W. Szabo, City Administrative Officer, Office of the City Administrative Officer

Laura Rubio-Cornejo, General Manager, Los Angeles Department of Transportation

Martha Butler, Senior Director, Countywide Planning Development, Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Diana Vicente, Field Deputy, CD 1

Alan Antonio, Deputy, CD 10

Emma Howard, Community Development & Planning Director, CD 13

# Crash Details for: Case ID 9732987


## Crash Information

County	Los Angeles		
City	Los Angeles		
Date & Time (M/D/Y)	07/30/2024 13:20		
Location (Intersection)	Vermont Av & Oakwood Av		
Dist. & Dir. from Intersection	50.00 ft South		
State Highway	No		
Geocoded Location	34.0776138, -118.2917023		
Type of Crash	B - Sideswipe		
Motor Vehicle Involved With	G - Bicycle		
Crash Severity	3 - Injury (Other Visible)		
PCF Violation Category	09 - Automobile Right of Way		
Weather	A - Clear		
Alcohol Involved	No		
Pedestrian Crash	No	Bicycle Crash	Yes
Motorcycle Crash	No	Truck Crash	No

## Map View



## Street View



## Parties: 2

Party Number	Party Type	Statewide Vehicle Type	At Fault	Party Direction	Movement Preceding Collision
1	4 - Bicyclist	L - Bicycle	Yes	South	L - Entering Traffic
2	1 - Driver (including Hit and Run)	I - Other Bus	No	South	B - Proceeding Straight

## Victims: 1


Party Number	Victim Role	Victim Gender	Victim Age	Victim Degree of Injury
1	4 - Bicyclist	M - Male	50	6 - Suspected Minor Injury

DATE OF INCIDENT/OCCURRENCE <b>07/30/2024</b>	TIME (2400) <b>1320</b>	NCIC NUMBER <b>1942</b>	OFFICER I.D. NUMBER <b>43674</b>	NUMBER <b>24-02-12449</b>	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> "ONE" Narrative <input type="checkbox"/> Supplemental	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> "ONE" Collision report <input type="checkbox"/> Other:	TYPE SUPPLEMENTAL ("✓" APPLICABLE) <input type="checkbox"/> BA update <input type="checkbox"/> Fatal <input type="checkbox"/> Hazardous materials <input type="checkbox"/> School bus <input type="checkbox"/> Hit and run update <input type="checkbox"/> Other:			
CITY/COUNTY/JUDICIAL DISTRICT				REPORTING DISTRICT/BEAT	CITATION NUMBER
LOCATION/SUBJECT				STATE HIGHWAY RELATED <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	

**STATEMENTS/ADDITIONAL:**

The Primary Collision Factor should be chnged to 22107 VC, "Unsafe turning movemnt by P2"

On 061725 Mr. Philip Armstrong contacted me regarding a Traffic Crash that occurred on 073024 Rpt#250212449. Mr. Armstrong stated on 073024 at approximately 1320hrs he was standing at the north west corner of Vermont Ave & Beverly Blvd waiting for the #204 bus. He observed the #204 bus traveling southbound N Vermont Ave in the #3 lane. As the bus continued southbound it began to merge closer to the curb. Mr. Armstrong also observed cyclist traveling southbound between the bus and the curb. The bus continued to merge closer to the curb causing the cyclist to hit the curb with the front tire of his bicycle. Upon contact with the curb the cyclist was ejected from his bicycle and collided with the roadway. Mr. Armstrong informed me he provided his information to the bus driver and the paramedics in the Rescue Ambulance. He also spoke personally with the cyclist prior to him being transported. Mr. Armstrong left just as the Police unit arrived. It's Mr. Armstrong's impression that the bus driver didn't observe the cyclist prior to the crash.

PREPARER'S NAME AND I.D. NUMBER <b>J.MALDONADO</b> <b>37398</b>	DATE <b>06/24/2025</b>	REVIEWER'S NAME 	DATE <b>6/24/25</b>
--	---------------------------	---	------------------------