



clerk CIS <clerk.cis@lacity.org>

Your Community Impact Statement Submittal - Council File Number: 23-0600-S121 - Agenda Item Number: 17

1 message

LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
Reply-To: LA City SNow <cityoflaprod@service-now.com>
To: philipa474@gmail.com, Clerk.CIS@lacity.org

Fri, Nov 28, 2025 at 12:48 PM

A Neighborhood Council Community Impact Statement (CIS) has been successfully submitted to your Commission or City Council. We provided information below about CISs and attached a copy of the CIS.

We encourage you to reach out to the Community Impact Statement Filer to acknowledge receipt and if this Community Impact Statement will be scheduled at a future meeting. Neighborhood Council board members are volunteers and it would be helpful if they received confirmation that you received their CIS.

The CIS process was enabled by the Los Angeles Administrative Code §Section 22.819. It provides that, "a Neighborhood Council may take a formal position on a matter by way of a Community Impact Statement (CIS) or written resolution." NCs representatives also testify before City Boards and Commissions on the item related to their CIS. If the Neighborhood Council chooses to do so, the Neighborhood Council representative must provide the Commission with a copy of the CIS or Resolution sufficiently in advance for review, possible inclusion on the agenda, and posting on the Commission's website. Any information you can provide related to your agenda setting schedule is helpful to share with the NC.

If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *listed on the Commission's agenda*, during the time the matter is heard, the designated Neighborhood Council representative should be given an opportunity to present the Neighborhood Council's formal position. We encourage becoming familiar with the City Council's rules on the subject. At the Chair's discretion, the Neighborhood Council representative may be asked to have a seat at the table (or equivalent for a virtual meeting) typically reserved for City staff and may provide the Neighborhood Council representative more time than allotted to members of the general public. They are also permitted up to five (5) minutes of time to address the legislative body. If the CIS or resolution pertains to a matter *not listed on the agenda*, the designated Neighborhood Council representative may speak during General Public Comments.

We share this information to assist you with the docketing neighborhood council items before your board/commission. If you have questions and/or concerns, please contact the Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at empowerla@lacity.org.

***** This is an automated response, please DO NOT reply to this email. *****

Contact Information

Neighborhood Council: Rampart Village

Name: Philip Armstrong

Email: philipa474@gmail.com

The Board approved this CIS by a vote of: Yea(7) Nay(0) Abstain(0) Ineligible(4) Recusal(0)

Date of NC Board Action: 08/19/2025

Type of NC Board Action: For

Impact Information

Date: 11/28/2025

Update to a Previous Input: Yes

Directed To: City Council and Committees

Council File Number: 23-0600-S121

City Planning Number:

Agenda Date: 12/02/2025

Item Number: 17

Summary: In our August 19 CIS, the Rampart Village Neighborhood Council (RVNC) lent our support to the City Council's adoption of the 14 recommendations outlined in the April 11, 2025, joint CAO and LADOT report and emphasized our support for the City Council's adoption of the CAO's and LADOT's Recommendations #3, #4, #5, and #12. However, in the August 13, 2025 meeting of the Transportation Committee, we understand that Councilmember Hutt introduced an

amendment that resulted in the Committee amending Council File 23-0600-S121 to delete Recommendation #5 without any discussion of the merits of doing so. Consistent with that understanding, Item 17 in the agenda for the December 2, 2025 meeting of the Budget and Finance Committee states parenthetically: "The Transportation Committee amended the item on August 13, 2025. The Public Safety Committee concurred with the Transportation Committee's action on November 12, 2025." For that reason, the RVNC would appreciate a discussion of the merits of adding back Recommendation #5 to the item, including the following. 1. On page 11, the joint report states: "Los Angeles was one of many cities to implement photo red light camera programs in the early 2000s, and in 2010, both the National Traffic Safety Administration and the National Safety Council reported the benefits of these programs, noting a 30 percent reduction in collisions and a 58 percent reduction in red light violations at intersections with cameras." 2. Recommendation #5 would evaluate potential red light camera program benefits and analyze the concerns with the prior program. SB 720 signed into law on October 13, 2025, would align the redlight camera program with the speed camera program. 3. According to Damian Kevitt's report, the Hidden Cost of Red Light Running in California, "Red light running has become a silent epidemic across our state—claiming lives, shattering families, and leaving behind an enormous financial burden that few ever stop to consider."